

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY BEFORE THE ADMINISTRATOR

In the Matter of:	
Adamas Construction and Development Services, PLLC, and Nathan Pierce,	
Respondents.	

Docket No. CWA-07-2019-0262

ORDER SCHEDULING POST-HEARING SUBMISSIONS

)

The evidentiary hearing in this matter concluded on Wednesday, August 23, 2023. The Headquarters Hearing Clerk has received the official transcript of testimony taken. Electronic copies of the transcript are being provided to the parties simultaneously with this Order.

Any motion to conform the transcript to the actual testimony shall be filed on or before **Monday, October 23, 2023**. *See* 40 C.F.R. § 22.25. The parties may file any such motion jointly or separately.

As indicated on the record at the conclusion of the hearing, the parties may file posthearing briefs. *See* 40 C.F.R. § 22.26 ("After the hearing, any party may file proposed findings of fact, conclusions of law, and a proposed order, together with briefs in support thereof."). Reply briefs may be filed if the parties deem it necessary. The post-hearing briefs shall be filed and served pursuant to the following schedule:

Complainant's Initial Post-Hearing Brief	Friday, November 3, 2023
Respondents' Initial Post-Hearing Brief	Friday, December 1, 2023
Complainant's Reply Post-Hearing Brief	Friday, December 15, 2023
Respondents' Reply Post-Hearing Brief	Friday, December 29, 2023

All briefs shall contain specific citations to the record and to any legal authorities upon which the filing party relied. If a party does not intend to file an initial or reply post-hearing brief as permitted above, they shall notify Jennifer Almase, an Attorney-Advisor for this Tribunal, at <u>almase.jennifer@epa.gov</u>.

SO ORDERED.

Christine Doulion Coyfilm Christine Donelian Coughlin

Administrative Law Judge

Dated: September 21, 2023 Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of Adamas Construction and Development Services, PLLC, and Nathan Pierce, Respondents Docket No. CWA-07-2019-0262

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing **Order Scheduling Post-Hearing Submissions**, dated September 21, 2023, and issued by Administrative Law Judge Christine Donelian Coughlin, was sent this day to the following parties in the manner indicated below.

Mary Angeles

Mary Angeles Paralegal Specialist

<u>Original by OALJ E-Filing System to:</u> U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Administrative Law Judges <u>https://yosemite.epa.gov/OA/EAB/EAB-ALJ_Upload.nsf</u>

<u>Copy by Electronic Mail to:</u> Christopher Muehlberger, Esq. Katherine Kacsur, Esq. Assistant Regional Counsel Office of Regional Counsel U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 7 Email: <u>muehlberger.christopher@epa.gov</u> Email: <u>kacsur.katherine@epa.gov</u> *Attorneys for Complainant*

<u>Copy by Electronic and Regular Mail to:</u> Nathan Pierce 16550 Cottontail Trail Shepherd, MT 59079 Email: <u>adamas.mt.406@gmail.com</u> *For Respondents*

Dated: September 21, 2023 Washington, D.C.

Degra 1	Dama 2
Page 1	Page 3
H E A R I N G	1 INDEX 2 Proceedings Page:
In The Matter of Adamas Construction and Development Services, PLLC, and Nathan Pierce Docket No. CWA-07-2019-0262	 Complainant's (EPA) Opening Statement By Mr. Muchlberger 40 Respondent's (Adamas Construction) Opening Statement By Mr. Pierce 42
TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS Tuesday, August 22nd, 2023 Wednesday, August 23rd, 2023 9:00 a.m. CHRISTINE COUGHLIN Administrative Law Judge Presiding	WITNESSES: 7 For Complainant EPA ERIN KLEFFNER 8 Direct Examination by Mr. Muchlberger 47 Cross Examination by Mr. Pierce 9 Redirect Examination by Mr. Muchlberger 239 Recross Examination by Mr. Pierce 10 JAMES COURTNEY 11 Direct Examination by Ms. Kacsur 274 Cross Examination by Mr. Pierce 12 Redirect Examination by Ms. Kacsur 350 Recross Examination by Mr. Pierce 13
Federal Courthouse 4th Floor Ella Knowles Courtroom 2601 2nd Avenue North Billings, Montana	TOM ROBINSON 14 Direct Examination by Mr. Muchlberger 371 Cross Examination by Mr. Pierce 372 15 Redirect Examination by Mr. Muchlberger 381 Recross Examination by Mr. Pierce 384 16 Redirect Examination by Mr. Muchlberger 388 Recross Examination by Mr. Pierce 390 17 ERNIE SPRAGUE 18 Direct Examination by Ms. Kacsur 400 Cross Examination by Mr. Pierce 420 19 Redirect Examination by Ms. Kacsur 431
Marla Jeske, CSR, Notary Public Bridger Court Reporters, Inc. P.O. Box 7311 Bozeman, Montana 59771 (406)582-0668 bridgercourtreporters@msn.com	20 WITNESSES: For Respondent Adamas Construction 21 EARNIE SPRAGUE 22 Direct Examination by Mr. Pierce 436 23 MICHELLE PIERCE Direct Examination by Mr. Pierce 454 24 Cross Examination by Ms. Kacsur 25 Continued -
Page 2	Page 4
1 APPEARANCES 2 ATTORNEYS APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE COMPLAINANT, U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 3 AGENCY, (EPA): 4 Mr. Chris Muehlberger, Esq. 5 Ms. Katherine Kacsur, Esq. Assistant Regional Counsel 6 Office of Regional Counsel 11201 Renner Boulevard 8 Lenexa, Kansas 66219 muehlberger.chris@epa.gov 9 8 Lenexa, Kansas 66219 muehlberger.chris@epa.gov 9 11201 Renner Boulevard 8 Lenexa, Kansas 66219 muehlberger.chris@epa.gov 9 8 Lenexa, Kansas 66219 11201 Renner Boulevard 8 Lenexa, Kansas 66219 113 Shepherd, Montana 59079 (406)697-3022 14 adamas.mt.406@gmail.com 15 ALSO PRESENT: 16 Jennifer Almase - Administrative Law Judge 17 Sarah Moreno - Paralegal for EPA Michelle Pierce 18 Ernie Sprague Dan Breedlove 19 20 21 22 23 24<	1 IN D E X - Continued 2 NATHAN PIERCE Direct Examination by Mr. Muchlberger 496 4 COMPLAINANT EXHIBITS: 5 CX1 NCUC Proposal 6 CX2 Memorandum, dated December 23, 2015 7 CX3 Letter from James Courtney, EIT, Dated May 18, 2018 8 CX4 E-mail to James Courtney 9 CX5 Certified Letter to Sheri Bement 10 CX6 Analytical Summary Report 11 CX7 Subcontractor Agreement 12 CX8 Letter to Sheri Bement from James Courtney 14 CX9 Technical Assistance Record 15 CX10 Certified Letter to Mr. Nathan Pierce 17 CX12 Certified Letter to Mr. Notichaud 18 CX13 Email to Mr. Person from Dan Breedlove 19 CX14 Certified Letter to Nathan Pierce 20 CX15 Email from Erin Kleffner to James Courtney 21 CX16 Email correspondence between Erin Kleffner and Sara HertzWu 22 CX17 Email correspondence between Erin Kleffner and Sara HertzWu <t< td=""></t<>

1 (Pages 1 to 4)

		Page 5	Page 7
1 2	I N D E X - Continued CX18 Email correspondence between Sara HertzWu and Chris Gallus		1 I N D E X - Continued 2 CX49 Email correspondence between James Courtney and Erin Kleffner
3 4 5	CX19 Email correspondence between Sara HertzWu and Adamas Construction CX20 Email correspondence between		3 CX50 Email correspondence between 4 Erin Kleffner and Liz Huson and Sara HertzWu
6 7	Sara HertzWu and Adamas Construction CX21 Email correspondence between Sara HertzWu Adamas Construction		5 CX51 Certified Letter to Tom Robinson 6 CX52 Redelegation of Authority
8	CX22 EJScreen Report (Version 2018) CX23 Email to Ms. Hertz Wu from Wvatt Golding		7 U.S. EPA Region 7 8 CX53 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8 9
10 11	CX24 Adamas Construction and Development Services PLLC website		CX54 Letter to Brian Chestnut from 10 Adam Spang 11 CX55 Arbitration demand to Gary Fahlstedt from Adamas Construction
12 13	CX25 Certified Mail Receipt CX26 Adamas Construction and Development Services PLLC and Nathan Pierce		CX56 Letter to Gary Fahlstedt from 13 J. Andrew Person
14 15	Clean Water Act Public Notice CX27 Email correspondence between		14 CX57 Email correspondence between Sheri Bement and Christopher 15 Muchlberger 16 CX58 U.S. Environmental Protection
15 16 17	Sara HertzWu and Adamas Construction CX28 Email correspondence between Sara HertzWu and Adamas Construction		Agency, Region 8 17 18 RESPONDENT EXHIBITS:
18 19 20	CX29 Email correspondence between Sara HertzWu and Adamas Construction CX30 Certified Letter to Tommy Robinson CX31 Certified Letter to Alexa Coolahan		19 RX1 NCUC Proposal Lame Deer Sewer Lagoon Sludge Removal 20 RX2 Letter from James Courtney, EIT,
21	and Ernie Sprague CX32 Certified Letter to Adam Spang		21 Dated May 18, 2018 22 RX3 E-mail to James Courtney RX4 Analytical Summary Report
22 23 24	CX33 Environmental Protectin Agency 40 CFR Parts 257, 403 and 503 CX34 LexisNexis		23 RX5 Subcontractor Agreement 24 Continued -
25	Continued -		25
			-
		Page 6	Page 8
1 2 3	I N D E X - Continued CX35 EPA Land Application of Sewage Sludge Guide	Page 6	1 I N D E X - Continued 2 RX6 Letter to Sheri Bement from James Courtney
	 CX35 EPA Land Application of Sewage Sludge Guide CX36 A Plain English Guide to the EPA Part 503 Biosolids Rule CX37 Biosolids Generation, Use, 	Page 6	1 I N D E X - Continued 2 RX6 Letter to Sheri Bement from James Courtney 3 RX7 Technical Assistance Record 4 RX8 Certified Letter to Nathan Pierce
2 3 4 5 6	 CX35 EPA Land Application of Sewage Sludge Guide CX36 A Plain English Guide to the EPA Part 503 Biosolids Rule CX37 Biosolids Generation, Use, and Disposal in The United States CX38 Environmental Regulations and 	Page 6	1 I N D E X - Continued 2 RX6 Letter to Sheri Bement from James Courtney 3 RX7 Technical Assistance Record 4 RX8 Certified Letter to Nathan Pierce 5 From Jeffery Robichaud 6 RX9 Certified Letter to Mr. Robichaud 6 RX9 Certified Letter to Mr. Robichaud
2 3 4 5	 CX35 EPA Land Application of Sewage Sludge Guide CX36 A Plain English Guide to the EPA Part 503 Biosolids Rule CX37 Biosolids Generation, Use, and Disposal in The United States CX38 Environmental Regulations and Technology CX39 EPA Technical Support Document for Land Application of Sewage Sludge, Volume 1 	Page 6	1 I N D E X - Continued 2 RX6 Letter to Sheri Bement from James Courtney 3 RX7 Technical Assistance Record 4 RX8 Certified Letter to Nathan Pierce 5 From Jeffery Robichaud 6 RX9 Certified Letter to Mr. Robichaud
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 CX35 EPA Land Application of Sewage Sludge Guide CX36 A Plain English Guide to the EPA Part 503 Biosolids Rule CX37 Biosolids Generation, Use, and Disposal in The United States CX38 Environmental Regulations and Technology CX39 EPA Technical Support Document for Land Application of Sewage Sludge, Volume 1 CX40 EPA Technical Support Document for Land Application of Sewage Sludge, Volume I 	Page 6	1 I N D E X - Continued 2 RX6 Letter to Sheri Bement from James Courtney 3 RX7 Technical Assistance Record 4 RX8 Certified Letter to Nathan Pierce From Jeffery Robichaud 6 RX9 Certified Letter to Mr. Robichaud from J. Andrew Person 7 RX10 Email from Erin Kleffner to James Courtney 9 RX11 Email from Christopher Gallus to Erin Kleffner 10 RX12 Email from Adamas Construction to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 CX35 EPA Land Application of Sewage Sludge Guide CX36 A Plain English Guide to the EPA Part 503 Biosolids Rule CX37 Biosolids Generation, Use, and Disposal in The United States CX38 Environmental Regulations and Technology CX39 EPA Technical Support Document for Land Application of Sewage Sludge, Volume 1 CX40 EPA Technical Support Document for Land Application of Sewage 	Page 6	1 I N D E X - Continued 2 RX6 Letter to Sheri Bement from James Courtney 3 RX7 Technical Assistance Record 4 RX8 Certified Letter to Nathan Pierce 5 From Jeffery Robichaud 6 RX9 Certified Letter to Mr. Robichaud from J. Andrew Person 7 RX10 Email from Erin Kleffner to James Courtney 9 RX11 Email from Christopher Gallus to Erin Kleffner 10 RX12 Email from Adamas Construction to Sara HertzWu 12 RX13 Email from Sara HertzWu to Adamas Construction
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 CX35 EPA Land Application of Sewage Sludge Guide CX36 A Plain English Guide to the EPA Part 503 Biosolids Rule CX37 Biosolids Generation, Use, and Disposal in The United States CX38 Environmental Regulations and Technology CX39 EPA Technical Support Document for Land Application of Sewage Sludge, Volume 1 CX40 EPA Technical Support Document for Land Application of Sewage Sludge, Volume 11 CX41 Information Request Response Form CX42 Enclosure B Statement of Certification CX43 Adamas Financial File to EPA CX44 Certified Letter to 	Page 6	1 INDEX-Continued 2 RX6 Letter to Sheri Bement from James Courtney 3 RX7 Technical Assistance Record 4 RX8 Certified Letter to Nathan Pierce From Jeffery Robichaud 5 From Jeffery Robichaud 6 RX9 Certified Letter to Mr. Robichaud from J. Andrew Person 7 RX10 Email from Erin Kleffner to James Courtney 9 RX11 Email from Christopher Gallus to Erin Kleffner 10 RX12 Email from Adamas Construction to Sara HertzWu 12 RX13 Email from Sara HertzWu to Adamas Construction 13 RX14 Email from Sara HertzWu to Adamas Construction 13 RX14 Email from Sara HertzWu to Adamas Construction 13 RX14 Email from Sara HertzWu to Adamas Construction 13 RX14 Email from Adamas Construction to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 CX35 EPA Land Application of Sewage Sludge Guide CX36 A Plain English Guide to the EPA Part 503 Biosolids Rule CX37 Biosolids Generation, Use, and Disposal in The United States CX38 Environmental Regulations and Technology CX39 EPA Technical Support Document for Land Application of Sewage Sludge, Volume 1 CX40 EPA Technical Support Document for Land Application of Sewage Sludge, Volume 1 CX41 Information Request Response Form CX42 Enclosure B Statement of Certification CX43 Adamas Financial File to EPA CX44 Certified Letter to Christopher Gallus CX45 Email correspondence between James Courtney and Liz Huston 	Page 6	1 INDEX-Continued 2 RX6 Letter to Sheri Bement from James Courtney 3 RX7 Technical Assistance Record 4 RX8 Certified Letter to Nathan Pierce From Jeffery Robichaud 6 RX9 Certified Letter to Mr. Robichaud from J. Andrew Person 7 RX10 Email from Erin Kleffner to James Courtney 9 RX11 Email from Christopher Gallus to Erin Kleffner 0 RX12 Email from Adamas Construction to Sara HertzWu 12 RX13 Email from Sara HertzWu to Adamas Construction 13 RX14 Email from Adamas Construction to Sara HertzWu 14 Adamas Construction 15 RX15 Email from Adamas Construction to Sara HertzWu 16 RX16 EPA Land Application of Sewage
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 CX35 EPA Land Application of Sewage Sludge Guide CX36 A Plain English Guide to the EPA Part 503 Biosolids Rule CX37 Biosolids Generation, Use, and Disposal in The United States CX38 Environmental Regulations and Technology CX39 EPA Technical Support Document for Land Application of Sewage Sludge, Volume 1 CX40 EPA Technical Support Document for Land Application of Sewage Sludge, Volume 1 CX40 EPA Technical Support Document for Land Application of Sewage Sludge, Volume 1 CX41 Information Request Response Form CX42 Enclosure B Statement of Certification CX43 Adamas Financial File to EPA CX44 Certified Letter to Christopher Gallus CX45 Email correspondence between James Courtney and Liz Huston and Erin Kleffner CX46 Email correspondence between James Courtney and Liz Huston 	Page 6	1 INDEX-Continued 2 RX6 Letter to Sheri Bement from James Courtney 3 RX7 Technical Assistance Record 4 RX8 Certified Letter to Nathan Pierce From Jeffery Robichaud 6 RX9 Certified Letter to Mr. Robichaud from J. Andrew Person 7 RX10 Email from Erin Kleffner to James Courtney 9 RX11 Email from Christopher Gallus to Erin Kleffner 10 RX12 Email from Adamas Construction to Sara HertzWu 11 Sara HertzWu Adamas Construction 13 RX14 Email from Sara HertzWu to Adamas Construction 13 RX14 Email from Sara HertzWu to Sara HertzWu 16 RX16 EPA Land Application of Sewage 17 Sludge Guide RX17 18 RX17 Email from James Courtney to Liz Huston and Erin Kleffner
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 CX35 EPA Land Application of Sewage Sludge Guide CX36 A Plain English Guide to the EPA Part 503 Biosolids Rule CX37 Biosolids Generation, Use, and Disposal in The United States CX38 Environmental Regulations and Technology CX39 EPA Technical Support Document for Land Application of Sewage Sludge, Volume 1 CX40 EPA Technical Support Document for Land Application of Sewage Sludge, Volume 1 CX41 Information Request Response Form CX42 Enclosure B Statement of Certification CX43 Adamas Financial File to EPA CX44 Certified Letter to Christopher Gallus CX45 Email correspondence between James Courtney and Liz Huston and Erin Kleffner CX46 Email correspondence between 	Page 6	1 INDEX-Continued 2 RX6 Letter to Sheri Bement from James Courtney 3 RX7 Technical Assistance Record 4 RX8 Certified Letter to Nathan Pierce From Jeffery Robichaud 6 RX9 Certified Letter to Mr. Robichaud from J. Andrew Person 7 RX10 Email from Erin Kleffner to James Courtney 9 RX11 Email from Christopher Gallus to Erin Kleffner 10 RX12 Email from Adamas Construction to Sara HertzWu 11 Sara HertzWu Adamas Construction 12 RX14 Email from Sara HertzWu to Adamas Construction 13 RX14 Email from Adamas Construction to Sara HertzWu to Sara HertzWu 14 Adamas Construction 15 15 RX15 Email from Adamas Construction to Sara HertzWu 16 RX16 EPA Land Application of Sewage 17 Sludge Guide 18 18 RX17 Email from James Courtney to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 CX35 EPA Land Application of Sewage Sludge Guide CX36 A Plain English Guide to the EPA Part 503 Biosolids Rule CX37 Biosolids Generation, Use, and Disposal in The United States CX38 Environmental Regulations and Technology CX39 EPA Technical Support Document for Land Application of Sewage Sludge, Volume 1 CX40 EPA Technical Support Document for Land Application of Sewage Sludge, Volume 1 CX41 Information Request Response Form CX42 Enclosure B Statement of Certification CX43 Adamas Financial File to EPA CX44 Certified Letter to Christopher Gallus CX45 Email correspondence between James Courtney and Liz Huston and Erin Kleffner CX46 Email correspondence between James Courtney and Liz Huston and Erin Kleffner CX47 Email correspondence between James Courtney and Liz Huston 	Page 6	1 INDEX-Continued 2 RX6 Letter to Sheri Bement from James Courtney 3 RX7 Technical Assistance Record 4 RX8 Certified Letter to Nathan Pierce From Jeffery Robichaud 6 RX9 Certified Letter to Mr. Robichaud from J. Andrew Person 7 RX10 Email from Erin Kleffner to James Courtney 9 RX11 Email from Christopher Gallus to Erin Kleffner 10 RX12 Email from Adamas Construction to Sara HertzWu 11 Sara HertzWu Email from Sara HertzWu to Adamas Construction 13 RX14 Email from Sara HertzWu to Adamas Construction to Sara HertzWu 14 Email from Adamas Construction to Sara HertzWu Sara HertzWu 15 RX15 Email from Adamas Construction to Sara HertzWu 16 RX16 EPA Land Application of Sewage 17 Sludge Guide RX17 18 RX17 Email from James Courtney to Liz Huston and Erin Kleffner 19 RX18 Letter to Nathan Pierce from Steve Daines

BRIDGER COURT REPORTERS, INC. (406) 582-0668

2 (Pages 5 to 8)

	Page 9		Page 11
1	INDEX-Continued	1	and Nathan Pierce, collectively the respondents,
2	RX22 Placeholder for 308 Responses	2	in a Clean Water Act case, Docket Number
3	from Tom Robinson	3	CWA-07-2019-0262.
5	RX23 Placeholder for 308 Responses	4	And today's date is Tuesday, August
4	from D&R Disposal	5	22nd. I'm at about five minutes before 9:00 a.m.,
5	RX24 Placeholder for 308 Responses from NCUC	6	so I think we're getting starting a little ahead of
6	Hom Neee	7	time.
	RX25 Gmail - Compliance with 503	8	And at this point, I'm just going to ask
7	DV2 (Cruzil Dian 8 24 2018 Decrements	9	the parties to please identify themselves for the
8	RX26 Gmail - Dion 8-24-2018 Payments	10	record. I'll begin with the complainant, Region 7,
0	RX27 Gmail - Notice to Cease and Desist	11	in this matter.
9	RX28 Letter to Senator Daines from	12	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Good morning, Your Honor.
10	Bryce Redgrave	13	My name is Chris Muehlberger. I'm an attorney
11	JOINT EXHIBITS:	14	representing the Environmental Protection Agency.
12 13	JX1 GIS Map JX2 Fixed Price Agreement	15	I'll let my other counterparts introduce
14	JA2 Place The Agreement	16	themselves.
15		17	MS. KACSUR: Good morning, Your Honor. My
16 17		18	name is Kate. I'm also with the Environmental
18		19	Protection Agency or Kate Kacsur, excuse me.
19		20	And I'm also with the Environmental Protection
20 21		21 22	Agency.
21			THE COURT: Okay.
23		23 24	MS. MORENO: Good morning. I'm Sarah Moreno. I'm a paralegal with the Environmental Protection
24 25		24	Agency.
25		2.5	Agency.
	Page 10		Daga 12
			Page 12
1	MORNING SESSION, TUESDAY, AUGUST 22, 2023	1	THE COURT: So the C is silent. It's just
1 2	MORNING SESSION, TUESDAY, AUGUST 22, 2023	1 2	
	MORNING SESSION, TUESDAY, AUGUST 22, 2023		THE COURT: So the C is silent. It's just
2		2	THE COURT: So the C is silent. It's just Kacsur? MS. KACSUR: Correct. It's unique. THE COURT: Okay. I just want to make sure
2 3 4 5	* * * * * (Whereupon, the hearing convened at 8:53	2 3 4 5	THE COURT: So the C is silent. It's just Kacsur? MS. KACSUR: Correct. It's unique. THE COURT: Okay. I just want to make sure I'm pronouncing it correctly. So I'm going to try
2 3 4 5 6	* * * * *(Whereupon, the hearing convened at 8:53a.m., with all interested parties present, and the	2 3 4 5 6	THE COURT: So the C is silent. It's just Kacsur? MS. KACSUR: Correct. It's unique. THE COURT: Okay. I just want to make sure I'm pronouncing it correctly. So I'm going to try to make a note phonetically. But I'll apologize in
2 3 4 5 6 7	* * * * * (Whereupon, the hearing convened at 8:53	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE COURT: So the C is silent. It's just Kacsur? MS. KACSUR: Correct. It's unique. THE COURT: Okay. I just want to make sure I'm pronouncing it correctly. So I'm going to try to make a note phonetically. But I'll apologize in advance if I botch that. Okay. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	* * * * * (Whereupon, the hearing convened at 8:53 a.m., with all interested parties present, and the following proceedings were had:)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE COURT: So the C is silent. It's just Kacsur? MS. KACSUR: Correct. It's unique. THE COURT: Okay. I just want to make sure I'm pronouncing it correctly. So I'm going to try to make a note phonetically. But I'll apologize in advance if I botch that. Okay. Thank you. And for Adamas and Nathan Pierce.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	* * * * * (Whereupon, the hearing convened at 8:53 a.m., with all interested parties present, and the following proceedings were had:) THE COURT: All right. We are on record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE COURT: So the C is silent. It's just Kacsur? MS. KACSUR: Correct. It's unique. THE COURT: Okay. I just want to make sure I'm pronouncing it correctly. So I'm going to try to make a note phonetically. But I'll apologize in advance if I botch that. Okay. Thank you. And for Adamas and Nathan Pierce. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, good morning. My
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 * * * * * (Whereupon, the hearing convened at 8:53 a.m., with all interested parties present, and the following proceedings were had:) THE COURT: All right. We are on record. Good morning again, everyone. I'm 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE COURT: So the C is silent. It's just Kacsur? MS. KACSUR: Correct. It's unique. THE COURT: Okay. I just want to make sure I'm pronouncing it correctly. So I'm going to try to make a note phonetically. But I'll apologize in advance if I botch that. Okay. Thank you. And for Adamas and Nathan Pierce. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, good morning. My name is Nathan Pierce. I'm here for Adamas and for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>* * * * * (Whereupon, the hearing convened at 8:53 a.m., with all interested parties present, and the following proceedings were had:) THE COURT: All right. We are on record. Good morning again, everyone. I'm Christine Coughlin. I'm the designated</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE COURT: So the C is silent. It's just Kacsur? MS. KACSUR: Correct. It's unique. THE COURT: Okay. I just want to make sure I'm pronouncing it correctly. So I'm going to try to make a note phonetically. But I'll apologize in advance if I botch that. Okay. Thank you. And for Adamas and Nathan Pierce. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, good morning. My name is Nathan Pierce. I'm here for Adamas and for myself.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	* * * * * (Whereupon, the hearing convened at 8:53 a.m., with all interested parties present, and the following proceedings were had:) THE COURT: All right. We are on record. Good morning again, everyone. I'm Christine Coughlin. I'm the designated administrative law judge to preside over this EPA	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE COURT: So the C is silent. It's just Kacsur? MS. KACSUR: Correct. It's unique. THE COURT: Okay. I just want to make sure I'm pronouncing it correctly. So I'm going to try to make a note phonetically. But I'll apologize in advance if I botch that. Okay. Thank you. And for Adamas and Nathan Pierce. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, good morning. My name is Nathan Pierce. I'm here for Adamas and for myself. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	* * * * * (Whereupon, the hearing convened at 8:53 a.m., with all interested parties present, and the following proceedings were had:) THE COURT: All right. We are on record. Good morning again, everyone. I'm Christine Coughlin. I'm the designated administrative law judge to preside over this EPA matter. With me is Jennifer Almase. I think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE COURT: So the C is silent. It's just Kacsur? MS. KACSUR: Correct. It's unique. THE COURT: Okay. I just want to make sure I'm pronouncing it correctly. So I'm going to try to make a note phonetically. But I'll apologize in advance if I botch that. Okay. Thank you. And for Adamas and Nathan Pierce. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, good morning. My name is Nathan Pierce. I'm here for Adamas and for myself. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MS. PIERCE: Michelle Pierce.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	* * * * * (Whereupon, the hearing convened at 8:53 a.m., with all interested parties present, and the following proceedings were had:) THE COURT: All right. We are on record. Good morning again, everyone. I'm Christine Coughlin. I'm the designated administrative law judge to preside over this EPA matter. With me is Jennifer Almase. I think you've had plenty of dealings with her over the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE COURT: So the C is silent. It's just Kacsur? MS. KACSUR: Correct. It's unique. THE COURT: Okay. I just want to make sure I'm pronouncing it correctly. So I'm going to try to make a note phonetically. But I'll apologize in advance if I botch that. Okay. Thank you. And for Adamas and Nathan Pierce. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, good morning. My name is Nathan Pierce. I'm here for Adamas and for myself. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MS. PIERCE: Michelle Pierce. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	* * * * * (Whereupon, the hearing convened at 8:53 a.m., with all interested parties present, and the following proceedings were had:) THE COURT: All right. We are on record. Good morning again, everyone. I'm Christine Coughlin. I'm the designated administrative law judge to preside over this EPA matter. With me is Jennifer Almase. I think you've had plenty of dealings with her over the course of preparation for today's hearing.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE COURT: So the C is silent. It's just Kacsur? MS. KACSUR: Correct. It's unique. THE COURT: Okay. I just want to make sure I'm pronouncing it correctly. So I'm going to try to make a note phonetically. But I'll apologize in advance if I botch that. Okay. Thank you. And for Adamas and Nathan Pierce. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, good morning. My name is Nathan Pierce. I'm here for Adamas and for myself. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MS. PIERCE: Michelle Pierce. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. All right. Preliminarily, let me just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	**** (Whereupon, the hearing convened at 8:53 a.m., with all interested parties present, and the following proceedings were had:) THE COURT: All right. We are on record. Good morning again, everyone. I'm Christine Coughlin. I'm the designated administrative law judge to preside over this EPA matter. With me is Jennifer Almase. I think you've had plenty of dealings with her over the course of preparation for today's hearing. I'm going to just begin by introducing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE COURT: So the C is silent. It's just Kacsur? MS. KACSUR: Correct. It's unique. THE COURT: Okay. I just want to make sure I'm pronouncing it correctly. So I'm going to try to make a note phonetically. But I'll apologize in advance if I botch that. Okay. Thank you. And for Adamas and Nathan Pierce. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, good morning. My name is Nathan Pierce. I'm here for Adamas and for myself. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MS. PIERCE: Michelle Pierce. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. All right. Preliminarily, let me just explain. Mr. Pierce, I know you're proceeding pro
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	** ** * (Whereupon, the hearing convened at 8:53 a.m., with all interested parties present, and the following proceedings were had:) THE COURT: All right. We are on record. Good morning again, everyone. I'm Christine Coughlin. I'm the designated administrative law judge to preside over this EPA matter. With me is Jennifer Almase. I think you've had plenty of dealings with her over the course of preparation for today's hearing. I'm going to just begin by introducing the case, and then I'll ask the parties to please	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE COURT: So the C is silent. It's just Kacsur? MS. KACSUR: Correct. It's unique. THE COURT: Okay. I just want to make sure I'm pronouncing it correctly. So I'm going to try to make a note phonetically. But I'll apologize in advance if I botch that. Okay. Thank you. And for Adamas and Nathan Pierce. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, good morning. My name is Nathan Pierce. I'm here for Adamas and for myself. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MS. PIERCE: Michelle Pierce. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. All right. Preliminarily, let me just explain. Mr. Pierce, I know you're proceeding pro se. I, you know, have gone through to review the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	** ** * (Whereupon, the hearing convened at 8:53 a.m., with all interested parties present, and the following proceedings were had:) THE COURT: All right. We are on record. Good morning again, everyone. I'm Christine Coughlin. I'm the designated administrative law judge to preside over this EPA matter. With me is Jennifer Almase. I think you've had plenty of dealings with her over the course of preparation for today's hearing. I'm going to just begin by introducing the case, and then I'll ask the parties to please identify themselves for the record. I'll just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE COURT: So the C is silent. It's just Kacsur? MS. KACSUR: Correct. It's unique. THE COURT: Okay. I just want to make sure I'm pronouncing it correctly. So I'm going to try to make a note phonetically. But I'll apologize in advance if I botch that. Okay. Thank you. And for Adamas and Nathan Pierce. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, good morning. My name is Nathan Pierce. I'm here for Adamas and for myself. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MS. PIERCE: Michelle Pierce. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. All right. Preliminarily, let me just explain. Mr. Pierce, I know you're proceeding pro se. I, you know, have gone through to review the record a couple of times now since we've had to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	**** (Whereupon, the hearing convened at 8:53 a.m., with all interested parties present, and the following proceedings were had:) THE COURT: All right. We are on record. Good morning again, everyone. I'm Christine Coughlin. I'm the designated administrative law judge to preside over this EPA matter. With me is Jennifer Almase. I think you've had plenty of dealings with her over the course of preparation for today's hearing. I'm going to just begin by introducing the case, and then I'll ask the parties to please identify themselves for the record. I'll just review very basic procedures for the hearing and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE COURT: So the C is silent. It's just Kacsur? MS. KACSUR: Correct. It's unique. THE COURT: Okay. I just want to make sure I'm pronouncing it correctly. So I'm going to try to make a note phonetically. But I'll apologize in advance if I botch that. Okay. Thank you. And for Adamas and Nathan Pierce. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, good morning. My name is Nathan Pierce. I'm here for Adamas and for myself. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MS. PIERCE: Michelle Pierce. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. All right. Preliminarily, let me just explain. Mr. Pierce, I know you're proceeding pro se. I, you know, have gone through to review the record a couple of times now since we've had to postpone and reschedule the hearing. I recognize
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE COURT: So the C is silent. It's just Kacsur? MS. KACSUR: Correct. It's unique. THE COURT: Okay. I just want to make sure I'm pronouncing it correctly. So I'm going to try to make a note phonetically. But I'll apologize in advance if I botch that. Okay. Thank you. And for Adamas and Nathan Pierce. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, good morning. My name is Nathan Pierce. I'm here for Adamas and for myself. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MS. PIERCE: Michelle Pierce. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. All right. Preliminarily, let me just explain. Mr. Pierce, I know you're proceeding pro se. I, you know, have gone through to review the record a couple of times now since we've had to postpone and reschedule the hearing. I recognize you're proceeding pro se, although probably more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE COURT: So the C is silent. It's just Kacsur? MS. KACSUR: Correct. It's unique. THE COURT: Okay. I just want to make sure I'm pronouncing it correctly. So I'm going to try to make a note phonetically. But I'll apologize in advance if I botch that. Okay. Thank you. And for Adamas and Nathan Pierce. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, good morning. My name is Nathan Pierce. I'm here for Adamas and for myself. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MS. PIERCE: Michelle Pierce. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. All right. Preliminarily, let me just explain. Mr. Pierce, I know you're proceeding pro se. I, you know, have gone through to review the record a couple of times now since we've had to postpone and reschedule the hearing. I recognize you're proceeding pro se, although probably more sophisticated pro se person we've had by virtue of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE COURT: So the C is silent. It's just Kacsur? MS. KACSUR: Correct. It's unique. THE COURT: Okay. I just want to make sure I'm pronouncing it correctly. So I'm going to try to make a note phonetically. But I'll apologize in advance if I botch that. Okay. Thank you. And for Adamas and Nathan Pierce. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, good morning. My name is Nathan Pierce. I'm here for Adamas and for myself. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MS. PIERCE: Michelle Pierce. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. All right. Preliminarily, let me just explain. Mr. Pierce, I know you're proceeding pro se. I, you know, have gone through to review the record a couple of times now since we've had to postpone and reschedule the hearing. I recognize you're proceeding pro se, although probably more sophisticated pro se person we've had by virtue of some comments I think the attorney assisting you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE COURT: So the C is silent. It's just Kacsur? MS. KACSUR: Correct. It's unique. THE COURT: Okay. I just want to make sure I'm pronouncing it correctly. So I'm going to try to make a note phonetically. But I'll apologize in advance if I botch that. Okay. Thank you. And for Adamas and Nathan Pierce. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, good morning. My name is Nathan Pierce. I'm here for Adamas and for myself. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MS. PIERCE: Michelle Pierce. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. All right. Preliminarily, let me just explain. Mr. Pierce, I know you're proceeding pro se. I, you know, have gone through to review the record a couple of times now since we've had to postpone and reschedule the hearing. I recognize you're proceeding pro se, although probably more sophisticated pro se person we've had by virtue of some comments I think the attorney assisting you has made throughout in at least the written
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE COURT: So the C is silent. It's just Kacsur? MS. KACSUR: Correct. It's unique. THE COURT: Okay. I just want to make sure I'm pronouncing it correctly. So I'm going to try to make a note phonetically. But I'll apologize in advance if I botch that. Okay. Thank you. And for Adamas and Nathan Pierce. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, good morning. My name is Nathan Pierce. I'm here for Adamas and for myself. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MS. PIERCE: Michelle Pierce. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. All right. Preliminarily, let me just explain. Mr. Pierce, I know you're proceeding pro se. I, you know, have gone through to review the record a couple of times now since we've had to postpone and reschedule the hearing. I recognize you're proceeding pro se, although probably more sophisticated pro se person we've had by virtue of some comments I think the attorney assisting you

3 (Pages 9 to 12)

	Page 13		Page 15
1		1	
1 2	more detail with anyone who is proceeding pro se		opportunity to file any motions to conform the
	just to make sure you have a grasp of how the		transcript. Basically, it's just if there are any
3	hearing goes. And certainly, if you have any	3	typos or any mistakes that you want to make note
4	questions at all, you just let me know. I'm happy	4	of. I typically rule on that in advance. If
5	to explain.	5	they're really minor, sometimes I'll even
6	The complainant, or Region 7 I kind	6	incorporate it into the initial decision.
7	of use the terms interchangeably bears the	7	But after that is done, there will be a
8	burden in the case. And so they have the burden of	8	briefing period whereby each side has the
9	persuasion, and they need to go first when it comes	9	opportunity to submit post-hearing briefs. I tend
10	to presenting their case.	10	to stagger them but, you know, we'll just see. But
11	So before each witness testifies, I'll	11	I I let you know about that via post-hearing
12	place them under oath so that their testimony is	12	briefing order, and it gives you deadlines to
13	sworn. The Region will conduct their direct	13	submit your initial brief and then any reply brief.
14	examination of their witness, after which you will	14	And once all that's done, then I go about the
15	have an opportunity to cross-examine that witness	15	business of reviewing everything and writing an
16	based on the testimony that they've offered on	16	initial decision.
17	direct. I then give the parties the opportunity	17	This case is going to involve liability
18	for any redirect and even any recross, if something	18	as well as penalty. It will cover both of those
19	comes up, and then usually, end it there. I'll	19	issues. And that can take some time. So we do try
20	follow that same process with each of the Region's	20	to work efficiently, but we're also pretty detailed
21	witnesses. And I'll actually follow that process	21	and thorough, and that just takes time. So we do
22	with you and your witnesses once their	22	the best we can.
23	case-in-chief rests and you begin your	23	Obviously, any decision I issue is
24	presentation. You'll be conducting direct.	24	appealable. Should one side or sometimes both
25	They'll be conducting cross. Same process.	25	disagree with my decision, you can appeal to the
	Page 14		Page 16

	-		-
1	Before we begin with the witnesses, I do	1	Environmental Appeals Board and then thereafter
2	give the parties the opportunity for any brief	2	into the into an Article III court.
3	opening statements if you wish and any brief	3	Any questions at all about that process,
4	closing remarks before we conclude, if you wish.	4	Mr. Pierce?
5	You don't have to. You can. It's all considered	5	MR. PIERCE: No, ma'am.
6	argument. So I generally caution to make them	6	THE COURT: Okay. And from the Region?
7	brief because really, what I'm looking at is the	7	MR. MUEHLBERGER: No, Your Honor.
8	evidentiary record that's going to be created from	8	THE COURT: Okay. A couple of housekeeping
9	this hearing. That will consist of testimonial	9	notes. The courtroom opens early, earlier than I'm
10	evidence when each witness testifies as well as	10	used to, which is wonderful in case we want to get
11	documentary evidence for any documents that are	11	started early. I understand that they open at 7.
12	admitted into evidence. And I think we've got some	12	The doors open at 7 and close at 5. So we can keep
13	housekeeping to do in that regard in just a minute.	13	that in mind just for scheduling purposes for
14	But that will consist of the evidentiary record.	14	tomorrow and if there's a third day. I'm happy to
15	And then once the hearing closes, that	15	start early and work, you know, right up until we
16	concludes any and all evidence. After we	16	can if that helps shorten the amount of time you'll
17	finish which, you know, I don't know how long it	17	have to be here.
18	will take us. I understand that you've reduced the	18	I know it's stressful on litigants. So
19	number of witnesses to just what you think is	19	I like to try to do all that I can to minimize that
20	really necessary, and that's great, to preserve	20	as much as possible.
21	time and resources. So whether that takes us into	21	There's no cafeteria in here, but lots
22	two days or three, whatever the case may be, once	22	of lunch spots. So we'll obviously take a break
23	we finish, what happens is the transcript, which is	23	for lunch. I defer to you all as to how much time
24	the official record for this proceeding, will then	24	you want. I come prepared either way. So we can
25	be sent out to both parties. You'll have an	25	take an hour. We can take less. I'll kind of go

4 (Pages 13 to 16)

Page 17

Page 20

1	over that with you when the time comes. And I try	1	repeated attempts to contact him. So it's unclear
2	to be mindful of taking comfort breaks throughout	2	whether or not he will be present.
3	the proceedings, but if I get absorbed and forget,	3	THE COURT: Okay. Was service effectuated?
4	please don't hesitate to just let me know you'd	4	MR. MUEHLBERGER: We we got there was a
5	like a five, ten-minute break just to collect	5	signature, right, at the what was it? Oh, yeah.
6	yourselves, use the restroom, whatever the case may	6	UPS said that they had left it at the door, but we
7	be. Just kind of keep me on track with that, okay,	7	did not get a signature. So no, we did not get
8	so everyone is comfortable.	8	service effectuated.
9	Any questions about some of those basic	9	THE COURT: Okay. So what's the plan with
10	logistics?	10	that? Are you if he doesn't then appear, do
11	MR. MUEHLBERGER: No.	11	you you're just going to proceed without him?
12	THE COURT: No. Okay. Let me get a quick	12	Or did
13	rundown of the witnesses. I did jot them down.	13	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor. EPA's
14	Jennifer shared information with me with this last	14	position is that we don't need Mr. Robinson's
15	prehearing conference that she held with you all.	15	testimony. What we have in the record is
16	But if you would, just to make sure that we're all	16	sufficient for proving the elements of our case.
17	on the same page. I'll begin with the Region.	17	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Okay. All
18	Can you just give me the names of the	18	right. And then we'll talk about Mr. Sprague in
19	witnesses you intend to call as part of your direct	19	just a second.
20	case.	20	Mr. Pierce, can you review with me the
21	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor. First,	21	witnesses you intend to present as far as your case
22	I'd like to introduce Erin Kleffner. She will be	22	on behalf of Adamas and yourself?
23	representing the EPA Region 7, and she is a	23	MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. I'd
24	compliance officer in this case.	24	be Ernie Sprague would be one of the witnesses.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Welcome.	25	Michelle Pierce and myself will be the witnesses on

Page 18

			5
1	MR. MUEHLBERGER: We also plan to call James	1	behalf of myself and Adamas.
2	Courtney, formerly of IHS, Indian Health Services,	2	THE COURT: Okay. So I had notes of two
3	now works for the National Park Service. He will	3	other individuals, Sean Bad Bear and Dana
4	be participating via video conference through	4	Eaglefeathers. You're not planning to call them?
5	Teams. We also plan to call Ernie Sprague, who is	5	MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, Sean Bad Bear is
б	in attendance right now. And I've got a question	6	unable to be in attendance, and as well as
7	about that as well.	7	Mr. Eaglefeather. He has a conflict that does not
8	THE COURT: Okay.	8	allow him to be here. And I didn't have time to
9	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Mr. Sprague has asked if he	9	make motion or subpoena.
10	could attend the full hearing. But I wanted to	10	THE COURT: Are you comfortable proceeding
11	make it very clear with the Court that he's also a	11	without them?
12	witness that we are that we plan to call. And	12	MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor.
13	so I want to just leave it to the Court whether or	13	THE COURT: Okay. So let's talk a moment,
14	not that you're okay with his attendance.	14	then, about Mr. Sprague. Basically, I think it
15	THE COURT: Okay. We'll deal with that in	15	comes down to an issue of sequestration and whether
16	just a second.	16	or not either side wishes to have the witnesses
17	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Sure.	17	sequestered throughout the process. I usually
18	THE COURT: Do you have another witness?	18	defer to both sides about that rather than sua
19	MR. MUEHLBERGER: That's everybody.	19	sponte, on my own. Since you're both calling him,
20	THE COURT: Those three?	20	I don't know what the position is on that.
21	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yeah.	21	Are you comfortable having him remain in
22	THE COURT: Okay.	22	the proceeding throughout, Mr. Pierce?
23	MR. MUEHLBERGER: We also just for the	23	MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor.
24	record, we issued a subpoena to Tom Robinson. We	24	THE COURT: Okay. And Mr. Muehlberger, how
25	have not heard back from Mr. Robinson after	25	about you?

5 (Pages 17 to 20)

	Page 21		Page 23
1	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Your Honor, given the low	1	particular piece of evidence. Those are two very
2	number of witnesses in the case, I think we're fine	2	distinct concepts.
3	with proceeding.	3	If anyone needs me to elaborate, please
4	THE COURT: Okay. All right. So	4	say. Even some of the most experienced litigators
5	Mr. Sprague, where are you? Okay. So	5	aren't always familiar with that distinction.
6	you're good morning. You're welcome to just	6	So even though it comes in and it's a
7	remain in the courtroom for now.	7	fairly, I would say, liberal policy with regard to
8	MR. SPRAGUE: Thank you.	8	the evidentiary rule that we follow, EPA has it
9	THE COURT: Okay. And, I mean, this is an	9	identified in our rules. I could read it to you.
10	open hearing. But just so I know, who is the other	10	There are lots of, like, double negatives, which
11	gentleman in the gallery?	11	kind of drive me crazy. But basically, it goes to
12	MR. BREEDLOVE: Your Honor, my name is Dan	12	relevance, materiality, not being unduly
13	Breedlove. I'm with the Environmental Protection	13	repetitive. They're kind of common concepts,
14	Agency.	14	particularly in an administrative context, for what
15	THE COURT: Oh, you're just observing?	15	is allowed, which can also include hearsay. But
16	MR. BREEDLOVE: Yes, ma'am.	16	that is something distinct from the amount of
17	THE COURT: Okay. No problem.	17	weight I afford any particular piece of evidence
18	Okay. So we have that squared away.	18	based on the totality of the record.
19	Mr. Sprague will remain throughout. Let's just	19	So even if it's in the record and it's
20	talk about one final housekeeping matter before we	20	admitted, you can always argue how much weight I
21	get started, and that is with regard to the joint	21	should assign a particular piece of evidence based
22	set of stipulated facts, exhibits, and/or	22	on a variety of factors that you want to argue
23	testimony. I think we're going to need to spend	23	about. Hearsay, I suppose, being one of them, but
24	just a few minutes on this because I also need to	24	others too.
25	review just a few things here based on the final	25	Is that is that clear, Mr. Pierce?
	Dage 22		Dage 24
1	Page 22	1	Page 24
1	exhibits that came in.	1	MR. PIERCE: It is, Your Honor.
2	exhibits that came in. So I'm prepared to honor this joint set	2	MR. PIERCE: It is, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And Mr. Muehlberger or
2 3	exhibits that came in. So I'm prepared to honor this joint set of stipulations that was previously submitted,	2 3	MR. PIERCE: It is, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And Mr. Muehlberger or Ms. Kacsur, any questions about that?
2 3 4	exhibits that came in. So I'm prepared to honor this joint set of stipulations that was previously submitted, which would provide for the stipulation of both	2 3 4	MR. PIERCE: It is, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And Mr. Muehlberger or Ms. Kacsur, any questions about that? MR. MUEHLBERGER: No, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5	exhibits that came in. So I'm prepared to honor this joint set of stipulations that was previously submitted, which would provide for the stipulation of both sides to the admissibility of all evidence included	2 3 4 5	MR. PIERCE: It is, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And Mr. Muehlberger or Ms. Kacsur, any questions about that? MR. MUEHLBERGER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So do you want
2 3 4 5 6	exhibits that came in. So I'm prepared to honor this joint set of stipulations that was previously submitted, which would provide for the stipulation of both sides to the admissibility of all evidence included in complainant's prehearing exchange and	2 3 4 5 6	MR. PIERCE: It is, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And Mr. Muehlberger or Ms. Kacsur, any questions about that? MR. MUEHLBERGER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So do you want to just offer all of that in now and get that out
2 3 4 5 6 7	exhibits that came in. So I'm prepared to honor this joint set of stipulations that was previously submitted, which would provide for the stipulation of both sides to the admissibility of all evidence included in complainant's prehearing exchange and Supplements 5 on or before July 25, 2022. By my	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. PIERCE: It is, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And Mr. Muehlberger or Ms. Kacsur, any questions about that? MR. MUEHLBERGER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So do you want to just offer all of that in now and get that out of the way since there aren't any it sounds like
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	exhibits that came in. So I'm prepared to honor this joint set of stipulations that was previously submitted, which would provide for the stipulation of both sides to the admissibility of all evidence included in complainant's prehearing exchange and Supplements 5 on or before July 25, 2022. By my account, that amounts to CX1 through CX58. Am I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. PIERCE: It is, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And Mr. Muehlberger or Ms. Kacsur, any questions about that? MR. MUEHLBERGER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So do you want to just offer all of that in now and get that out of the way since there aren't any it sounds like there aren't going to be any foundational
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	exhibits that came in. So I'm prepared to honor this joint set of stipulations that was previously submitted, which would provide for the stipulation of both sides to the admissibility of all evidence included in complainant's prehearing exchange and Supplements 5 on or before July 25, 2022. By my account, that amounts to CX1 through CX58. Am I correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. PIERCE: It is, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And Mr. Muehlberger or Ms. Kacsur, any questions about that? MR. MUEHLBERGER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So do you want to just offer all of that in now and get that out of the way since there aren't any it sounds like there aren't going to be any foundational challenges to admissibility?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	exhibits that came in. So I'm prepared to honor this joint set of stipulations that was previously submitted, which would provide for the stipulation of both sides to the admissibility of all evidence included in complainant's prehearing exchange and Supplements 5 on or before July 25, 2022. By my account, that amounts to CX1 through CX58. Am I correct? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. PIERCE: It is, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And Mr. Muehlberger or Ms. Kacsur, any questions about that? MR. MUEHLBERGER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So do you want to just offer all of that in now and get that out of the way since there aren't any it sounds like there aren't going to be any foundational challenges to admissibility? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Complainant continues to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	exhibits that came in. So I'm prepared to honor this joint set of stipulations that was previously submitted, which would provide for the stipulation of both sides to the admissibility of all evidence included in complainant's prehearing exchange and Supplements 5 on or before July 25, 2022. By my account, that amounts to CX1 through CX58. Am I correct? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. And then also a joint	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. PIERCE: It is, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And Mr. Muehlberger or Ms. Kacsur, any questions about that? MR. MUEHLBERGER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So do you want to just offer all of that in now and get that out of the way since there aren't any it sounds like there aren't going to be any foundational challenges to admissibility? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Complainant continues to stipulate to the admissibility, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	exhibits that came in. So I'm prepared to honor this joint set of stipulations that was previously submitted, which would provide for the stipulation of both sides to the admissibility of all evidence included in complainant's prehearing exchange and Supplements 5 on or before July 25, 2022. By my account, that amounts to CX1 through CX58. Am I correct? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. And then also a joint stipulation to the admissibility of all evidence	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. PIERCE: It is, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And Mr. Muehlberger or Ms. Kacsur, any questions about that? MR. MUEHLBERGER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So do you want to just offer all of that in now and get that out of the way since there aren't any it sounds like there aren't going to be any foundational challenges to admissibility? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Complainant continues to stipulate to the admissibility, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And how about you,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	exhibits that came in. So I'm prepared to honor this joint set of stipulations that was previously submitted, which would provide for the stipulation of both sides to the admissibility of all evidence included in complainant's prehearing exchange and Supplements 5 on or before July 25, 2022. By my account, that amounts to CX1 through CX58. Am I correct? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. And then also a joint stipulation to the admissibility of all evidence included in respondent's prehearing exchange and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. PIERCE: It is, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And Mr. Muehlberger or Ms. Kacsur, any questions about that? MR. MUEHLBERGER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So do you want to just offer all of that in now and get that out of the way since there aren't any it sounds like there aren't going to be any foundational challenges to admissibility? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Complainant continues to stipulate to the admissibility, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And how about you, Mr. Pierce?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	exhibits that came in. So I'm prepared to honor this joint set of stipulations that was previously submitted, which would provide for the stipulation of both sides to the admissibility of all evidence included in complainant's prehearing exchange and Supplements 5 on or before July 25, 2022. By my account, that amounts to CX1 through CX58. Am I correct? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. And then also a joint stipulation to the admissibility of all evidence included in respondent's prehearing exchange and Supplements 5 on or before the same date of July	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. PIERCE: It is, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And Mr. Muehlberger or Ms. Kacsur, any questions about that? MR. MUEHLBERGER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So do you want to just offer all of that in now and get that out of the way since there aren't any it sounds like there aren't going to be any foundational challenges to admissibility? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Complainant continues to stipulate to the admissibility, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And how about you, Mr. Pierce? MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I do have some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	exhibits that came in. So I'm prepared to honor this joint set of stipulations that was previously submitted, which would provide for the stipulation of both sides to the admissibility of all evidence included in complainant's prehearing exchange and Supplements 5 on or before July 25, 2022. By my account, that amounts to CX1 through CX58. Am I correct? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. And then also a joint stipulation to the admissibility of all evidence included in respondent's prehearing exchange and Supplements 5 on or before the same date of July 25, 2022. And by my account, that was RX1 through	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. PIERCE: It is, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And Mr. Muehlberger or Ms. Kacsur, any questions about that? MR. MUEHLBERGER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So do you want to just offer all of that in now and get that out of the way since there aren't any it sounds like there aren't going to be any foundational challenges to admissibility? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Complainant continues to stipulate to the admissibility, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And how about you, Mr. Pierce? MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I do have some challenges. But I believe I can as you stated,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	exhibits that came in. So I'm prepared to honor this joint set of stipulations that was previously submitted, which would provide for the stipulation of both sides to the admissibility of all evidence included in complainant's prehearing exchange and Supplements 5 on or before July 25, 2022. By my account, that amounts to CX1 through CX58. Am I correct? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. And then also a joint stipulation to the admissibility of all evidence included in respondent's prehearing exchange and Supplements 5 on or before the same date of July 25, 2022. And by my account, that was RX1 through RX28; is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. PIERCE: It is, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And Mr. Muehlberger or Ms. Kacsur, any questions about that? MR. MUEHLBERGER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So do you want to just offer all of that in now and get that out of the way since there aren't any it sounds like there aren't going to be any foundational challenges to admissibility? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Complainant continues to stipulate to the admissibility, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And how about you, Mr. Pierce? MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I do have some challenges. But I believe I can as you stated, I can challenge them directly. So I will admit to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	exhibits that came in. So I'm prepared to honor this joint set of stipulations that was previously submitted, which would provide for the stipulation of both sides to the admissibility of all evidence included in complainant's prehearing exchange and Supplements 5 on or before July 25, 2022. By my account, that amounts to CX1 through CX58. Am I correct? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. And then also a joint stipulation to the admissibility of all evidence included in respondent's prehearing exchange and Supplements 5 on or before the same date of July 25, 2022. And by my account, that was RX1 through RX28; is that correct? MR. PIERCE: That's correct. Yes, Your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. PIERCE: It is, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And Mr. Muehlberger or Ms. Kacsur, any questions about that? MR. MUEHLBERGER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So do you want to just offer all of that in now and get that out of the way since there aren't any it sounds like there aren't going to be any foundational challenges to admissibility? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Complainant continues to stipulate to the admissibility, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And how about you, Mr. Pierce? MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I do have some challenges. But I believe I can as you stated, I can challenge them directly. So I will admit to the stipulations and then challenge them directly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	exhibits that came in. So I'm prepared to honor this joint set of stipulations that was previously submitted, which would provide for the stipulation of both sides to the admissibility of all evidence included in complainant's prehearing exchange and Supplements 5 on or before July 25, 2022. By my account, that amounts to CX1 through CX58. Am I correct? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. And then also a joint stipulation to the admissibility of all evidence included in respondent's prehearing exchange and Supplements 5 on or before the same date of July 25, 2022. And by my account, that was RX1 through RX28; is that correct? MR. PIERCE: That's correct. Yes, Your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. PIERCE: It is, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And Mr. Muehlberger or Ms. Kacsur, any questions about that? MR. MUEHLBERGER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So do you want to just offer all of that in now and get that out of the way since there aren't any it sounds like there aren't going to be any foundational challenges to admissibility? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Complainant continues to stipulate to the admissibility, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And how about you, Mr. Pierce? MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I do have some challenges. But I believe I can as you stated, I can challenge them directly. So I will admit to the stipulations and then challenge them directly to the weight.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	exhibits that came in. So I'm prepared to honor this joint set of stipulations that was previously submitted, which would provide for the stipulation of both sides to the admissibility of all evidence included in complainant's prehearing exchange and Supplements 5 on or before July 25, 2022. By my account, that amounts to CX1 through CX58. Am I correct? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. And then also a joint stipulation to the admissibility of all evidence included in respondent's prehearing exchange and Supplements 5 on or before the same date of July 25, 2022. And by my account, that was RX1 through RX28; is that correct? MR. PIERCE: That's correct. Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Any issues with me	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. PIERCE: It is, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And Mr. Muehlberger or Ms. Kacsur, any questions about that? MR. MUEHLBERGER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So do you want to just offer all of that in now and get that out of the way since there aren't any it sounds like there aren't going to be any foundational challenges to admissibility? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Complainant continues to stipulate to the admissibility, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And how about you, Mr. Pierce? MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I do have some challenges. But I believe I can as you stated, I can challenge them directly. So I will admit to the stipulations and then challenge them directly to the weight. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	exhibits that came in. So I'm prepared to honor this joint set of stipulations that was previously submitted, which would provide for the stipulation of both sides to the admissibility of all evidence included in complainant's prehearing exchange and Supplements 5 on or before July 25, 2022. By my account, that amounts to CX1 through CX58. Am I correct? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. And then also a joint stipulation to the admissibility of all evidence included in respondent's prehearing exchange and Supplements 5 on or before the same date of July 25, 2022. And by my account, that was RX1 through RX28; is that correct? MR. PIERCE: That's correct. Yes, Your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. PIERCE: It is, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And Mr. Muehlberger or Ms. Kacsur, any questions about that? MR. MUEHLBERGER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So do you want to just offer all of that in now and get that out of the way since there aren't any it sounds like there aren't going to be any foundational challenges to admissibility? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Complainant continues to stipulate to the admissibility, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And how about you, Mr. Pierce? MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I do have some challenges. But I believe I can as you stated, I can challenge them directly. So I will admit to the stipulations and then challenge them directly to the weight.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	exhibits that came in. So I'm prepared to honor this joint set of stipulations that was previously submitted, which would provide for the stipulation of both sides to the admissibility of all evidence included in complainant's prehearing exchange and Supplements 5 on or before July 25, 2022. By my account, that amounts to CX1 through CX58. Am I correct? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. And then also a joint stipulation to the admissibility of all evidence included in respondent's prehearing exchange and Supplements 5 on or before the same date of July 25, 2022. And by my account, that was RX1 through RX28; is that correct? MR. PIERCE: That's correct. Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Any issues with me admitting this, these exhibits in the beginning	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. PIERCE: It is, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And Mr. Muehlberger or Ms. Kacsur, any questions about that? MR. MUEHLBERGER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So do you want to just offer all of that in now and get that out of the way since there aren't any it sounds like there aren't going to be any foundational challenges to admissibility? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Complainant continues to stipulate to the admissibility, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And how about you, Mr. Pierce? MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I do have some challenges. But I believe I can as you stated, I can challenge them directly. So I will admit to the stipulations and then challenge them directly to the weight. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So you understand the concept that I'm getting at with

- that type of thing. Of course, the parties always 24 have the ability to argue, present argument with
- 25 regard to how much weight I should attribute any

23

6 (Pages 21 to 24)

challenge the entire stipulation or I can challenge

the weight of the evidence as it's presented.

THE COURT: Right. By stipulating,

BRIDGER COURT REPORTERS, INC. (406) 582-0668

23

24

25

	Page 25		Page 27
1	basically, you're acknowledging that you're not	1	hearing so that by motion, if you wanted to
2	challenging that the evidence can come in, that	2	withdraw your stipulation so that there was time
3	it's admissible, which tends to go to issues like	3	for me to rule in advance of the hearing and for
4	foundation, you know.	4	arrangements, you know, obviously, to be made to
5	If something wasn't stipulated to,	5	deal with that.
б	then and this would apply to both sides you'd	6	But I would also point out that the same
7	have to have a witness come in, testify about the	7	would hold true with regard to your evidence. So
8	document, authenticate it, and cover other	8	if the stips don't come in, it applies to everybody
9	foundational bases before you could then admit it,	9	here.
10	offer it for admission into evidence. By	10	MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am.
11	stipulating to the admissibility of that, you're	11	THE COURT: So, you know, it's just something
12	sort of going past all of that.	12	to keep in mind if you still wish to challenge
13	But the important point that I wanted to	13	that.
14	make is that even though the evidence is in the	14	MR. PIERCE: I do. And I believe the burden
15	record, a separate I am separately tasked with	15	is on the EPA.
16	having to review all of that evidence and determine	16	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Muehlberger, did you
17	how much weight I want to place on any piece of it	17	have any or Ms. Kacsur Kacsur?
18	when I'm coming to a decision in the case.	18	MS. KACSUR: Kacsur. It rhymes with Frazier.
19	MR. PIERCE: I appreciate the clarification.	19	THE COURT: Kacsur. Okay. All right. I'm
20	Under that context, there are some challenges that	20	spelling it phonetically. Thank you.
21	I would like to make to the stipulations.	21	Any response before I make a ruling?
22	THE COURT: You no longer wish to stipulate?	22	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor. Just a
23	MR. PIERCE: That's correct, Your Honor.	23	few points. I would like to point out that under
24	THE COURT: And why is that?	24	Part 22, respondents had the same opportunity that
25	MR. PIERCE: So, Your Honor, when we	25	EPA did to call witnesses, to use the subpoena
	Page 26		Page 28
1	initially came to the agreement of these	1	authority under Part 22. To our knowledge,
2	stipulations, it was understood that witnesses	2	Mr. Pierce did not contact any of the witnesses,
3	would be available for cross-examination and would	3	including Mr. Robinson or Ms. Sheri Bement, that he
4	be here at the hearing so that we could	4	named as someone that he wanted to see present at
5	authenticate the particular evidence on hand. I	5	the hearing.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

39, it seems like those stipulations where they a four-day period were based on that motion for 13 cannot be here to testify and cross-examine stipulation so that we wouldn't have to lay a 14 foundation. So I think as far as expediency is wouldn't be admissible. 15 THE COURT: Um, well, I would say this: You concerned, that that would have a big impact on the 16 should realize, too -- I mean, it's up to my length of the hearing. So for those reasons, we discretion --17 would oppose any motion at this point to withdraw 18 stipulations. MR. PIERCE: Sure. THE COURT: -- whether or not I'm going to 19 THE COURT: Okay. Um, my ruling is going to 20 allow you to now no longer stipulate, especially be that I'm going to honor this joint set of stipulated facts, exhibits, and/or testimony. I since we're at the hearing. 21 22 think at this moment at the hearing right now, MR. PIERCE: Sure. 23 THE COURT: Because I think you were advised we're really far beyond withdrawing that. And I that if you wanted to make those types of 24 also think it, frankly, behooves both sides for me 25 to honor this. Because otherwise, there's very challenges, it needed to be done in advance of the

7 (Pages 25 to 28)

	Page 29		Page 31
1	little you all could be able to present on both	1	complainant has presented. But let me just I
2	sides with respect to the matter based on your	2	just want to make sure we're all on the same page
3	drastically reduced witness list. And I just think	3	right out of the gate to be very clear up front.
4	it's the fair thing to do at this stage. We're	4	Okay?
5	here. We're ready to begin. So any desire or	5	MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor.
6	motion to try to withdraw that prior stipulation	6	THE COURT: So I just want to run through
7	should have been done before now. So I'm going to	7	these with you. And I'll ask complainant to follow
8	honor it.	8	along, too, just so you can make sure that we're
9	Certainly, if you wanted to disagree	9	all in agreement here.
10	with that ruling, I mean, it's something you could	10	So looking at those final hearing
11	always include as a basis for appeal if you wish.	11	exhibits from respondents, obviously, RX1 through
12	But as I said, I think it's really the benefit of	12	RX18, actually 19, all are all begin with that
13	both sides for me to do that.	13	RX designation. There's after that, there is an
14	So with that said, I will go ahead and I	14	RX20 identified as the TERO letter of Sean Bad
15	just guess at the outset admit into the record CX1	15	Bear, which correlates to what was proposed
16	through CX58 and RX1 through RX28.	16	prehearing. Same with RX21, letter to Frank
17	(Whereupon, Complainant Exhibits Nos.	17	Backbone. Am I correct so far?
18	CX1 through CX58 were admitted into evidence.)	18	MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor.
19	(Whereupon, Respondent Exhibits Nos. RX1	19	MR. MUEHLBERGER: We've seen these exhibits,
20	through RX28 were admitted into evidence.)	20	Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: I understand that you've	21	THE COURT: Okay. And then jumping up to 22,
22	submitted those final exhibits to the court	22	there's the placeholder. That's RX22. And that
23	reporter, as well as I was able to access them via	23	remains a placeholder for the 308 responses from
24	OneDrive. Is that or Share. I get the terms	24	Tom Robinson that I believe is contained in
25	confused. But I basically was able to get ahold of	25	complainant's exhibits.
			-
	Page 30		Page 32
1	Page 30 them. Am I right?	1	Page 32 MR. PIERCE: That's right.
1 2		1 2	
	them. Am I right?		MR. PIERCE: That's right.
2	them. Am I right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor. That's	2	MR. PIERCE: That's right. THE COURT: Okay. Agreed, Mr. Pierce?
2 3	them. Am I right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor. That's correct.	2 3	MR. PIERCE: That's right. THE COURT: Okay. Agreed, Mr. Pierce? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor.
2 3 4	them. Am I right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor. That's correct. MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor.	2 3 4	MR. PIERCE: That's right. THE COURT: Okay. Agreed, Mr. Pierce? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And I think that that
2 3 4 5	them. Am I right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor. That's correct. MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Now, with respondent's final	2 3 4 5	MR. PIERCE: That's right. THE COURT: Okay. Agreed, Mr. Pierce? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And I think that that holds true also for RX23, which is for the D&R
2 3 4 5 6	 them. Am I right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor. That's correct. MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Now, with respondent's final exhibits, I need to just cover a couple of quick 	2 3 4 5 6	MR. PIERCE: That's right. THE COURT: Okay. Agreed, Mr. Pierce? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And I think that that holds true also for RX23, which is for the D&R Disposal, the 308 response that's contained in
2 3 4 5 6 7	 them. Am I right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor. That's correct. MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Now, with respondent's final exhibits, I need to just cover a couple of quick points. Complainant, did you go through them? 	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. PIERCE: That's right. THE COURT: Okay. Agreed, Mr. Pierce? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And I think that that holds true also for RX23, which is for the D&R Disposal, the 308 response that's contained in complainant's exhibits.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 them. Am I right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor. That's correct. MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Now, with respondent's final exhibits, I need to just cover a couple of quick points. Complainant, did you go through them? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. PIERCE: That's right. THE COURT: Okay. Agreed, Mr. Pierce? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And I think that that holds true also for RX23, which is for the D&R Disposal, the 308 response that's contained in complainant's exhibits. MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 them. Am I right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor. That's correct. MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Now, with respondent's final exhibits, I need to just cover a couple of quick points. Complainant, did you go through them? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Because the way they 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. PIERCE: That's right. THE COURT: Okay. Agreed, Mr. Pierce? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And I think that that holds true also for RX23, which is for the D&R Disposal, the 308 response that's contained in complainant's exhibits. MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And also for RX24, which
2 3 6 7 8 9 10	them. Am I right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor. That's correct. MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Now, with respondent's final exhibits, I need to just cover a couple of quick points. Complainant, did you go through them? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Because the way they came I basically looked at them. And I appreciate that I think Mr. Pierce took what had been previously submitted as a proposed as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. PIERCE: That's right. THE COURT: Okay. Agreed, Mr. Pierce? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And I think that that holds true also for RX23, which is for the D&R Disposal, the 308 response that's contained in complainant's exhibits. MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And also for RX24, which is for the 308 responses from NCUC, also contained
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	them. Am I right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor. That's correct. MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Now, with respondent's final exhibits, I need to just cover a couple of quick points. Complainant, did you go through them? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Because the way they came I basically looked at them. And I appreciate that I think Mr. Pierce took what had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. PIERCE: That's right. THE COURT: Okay. Agreed, Mr. Pierce? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And I think that that holds true also for RX23, which is for the D&R Disposal, the 308 response that's contained in complainant's exhibits. MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And also for RX24, which is for the 308 responses from NCUC, also contained in complainant's exhibits.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	them. Am I right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor. That's correct. MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Now, with respondent's final exhibits, I need to just cover a couple of quick points. Complainant, did you go through them? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Because the way they came I basically looked at them. And I appreciate that I think Mr. Pierce took what had been previously submitted as a proposed as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. PIERCE: That's right. THE COURT: Okay. Agreed, Mr. Pierce? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And I think that that holds true also for RX23, which is for the D&R Disposal, the 308 response that's contained in complainant's exhibits. MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And also for RX24, which is for the 308 responses from NCUC, also contained in complainant's exhibits. MR. MUEHLBERGER: We agree, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	them. Am I right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor. That's correct. MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Now, with respondent's final exhibits, I need to just cover a couple of quick points. Complainant, did you go through them? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Because the way they came I basically looked at them. And I appreciate that I think Mr. Pierce took what had been previously submitted as a proposed as proposed exhibits, a kind of consolidated document	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. PIERCE: That's right. THE COURT: Okay. Agreed, Mr. Pierce? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And I think that that holds true also for RX23, which is for the D&R Disposal, the 308 response that's contained in complainant's exhibits. MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And also for RX24, which is for the 308 responses from NCUC, also contained in complainant's exhibits. MR. MUEHLBERGER: We agree, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	them. Am I right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor. That's correct. MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Now, with respondent's final exhibits, I need to just cover a couple of quick points. Complainant, did you go through them? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Because the way they came I basically looked at them. And I appreciate that I think Mr. Pierce took what had been previously submitted as a proposed as proposed exhibits, a kind of consolidated document that I think had 25 through 28, and you broke that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. PIERCE: That's right. THE COURT: Okay. Agreed, Mr. Pierce? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And I think that that holds true also for RX23, which is for the D&R Disposal, the 308 response that's contained in complainant's exhibits. MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And also for RX24, which is for the 308 responses from NCUC, also contained in complainant's exhibits. MR. MUEHLBERGER: We agree, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. MR. PIERCE: Agreed, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 them. Am I right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor. That's correct. MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Now, with respondent's final exhibits, I need to just cover a couple of quick points. Complainant, did you go through them? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Because the way they came I basically looked at them. And I appreciate that I think Mr. Pierce took what had been previously submitted as a proposed as proposed exhibits, a kind of consolidated document that I think had 25 through 28, and you broke that out into each individual one, which is fine. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. PIERCE: That's right. THE COURT: Okay. Agreed, Mr. Pierce? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And I think that that holds true also for RX23, which is for the D&R Disposal, the 308 response that's contained in complainant's exhibits. MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And also for RX24, which is for the 308 responses from NCUC, also contained in complainant's exhibits. MR. MUEHLBERGER: We agree, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. MR. PIERCE: Agreed, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. MR. PIERCE: Agreed, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So that brings
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 them. Am I right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor. That's correct. MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Now, with respondent's final exhibits, I need to just cover a couple of quick points. Complainant, did you go through them? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Because the way they came I basically looked at them. And I appreciate that I think Mr. Pierce took what had been previously submitted as a proposed as proposed exhibits, a kind of consolidated document that I think had 25 through 28, and you broke that out into each individual one, which is fine. Great, actually. But the way that they are described here in this, you need to open each one to see what exhibit it is. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. PIERCE: That's right. THE COURT: Okay. Agreed, Mr. Pierce? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And I think that that holds true also for RX23, which is for the D&R Disposal, the 308 response that's contained in complainant's exhibits. MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And also for RX24, which is for the 308 responses from NCUC, also contained in complainant's exhibits. MR. MUEHLBERGER: We agree, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. MR. PIERCE: Agreed, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. MR. PIERCE: Agreed, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So that brings us to 25, what would be RX25. And that's where I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 them. Am I right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor. That's correct. MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Now, with respondent's final exhibits, I need to just cover a couple of quick points. Complainant, did you go through them? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Because the way they came I basically looked at them. And I appreciate that I think Mr. Pierce took what had been previously submitted as a proposed as proposed exhibits, a kind of consolidated document that I think had 25 through 28, and you broke that out into each individual one, which is fine. Great, actually. But the way that they are described here in this, you need to open each one to see what exhibit it is. So I just went through to look to see 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. PIERCE: That's right. THE COURT: Okay. Agreed, Mr. Pierce? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And I think that that holds true also for RX23, which is for the D&R Disposal, the 308 response that's contained in complainant's exhibits. MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And also for RX24, which is for the 308 responses from NCUC, also contained in complainant's exhibits. MR. MUEHLBERGER: We agree, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. MR. PIERCE: Agreed, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. MR. PIERCE: Agreed, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So that brings us to 25, what would be RX25. And that's where I got to the document titled, "Gmail, Compliance with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 them. Am I right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor. That's correct. MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Now, with respondent's final exhibits, I need to just cover a couple of quick points. Complainant, did you go through them? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Because the way they came I basically looked at them. And I appreciate that I think Mr. Pierce took what had been previously submitted as a proposed as proposed exhibits, a kind of consolidated document that I think had 25 through 28, and you broke that out into each individual one, which is fine. Great, actually. But the way that they are described here in this, you need to open each one to see what exhibit it is. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. PIERCE: That's right. THE COURT: Okay. Agreed, Mr. Pierce? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And I think that that holds true also for RX23, which is for the D&R Disposal, the 308 response that's contained in complainant's exhibits. MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And also for RX24, which is for the 308 responses from NCUC, also contained in complainant's exhibits. MR. MUEHLBERGER: We agree, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. MR. PIERCE: Agreed, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. MR. PIERCE: Agreed, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So that brings us to 25, what would be RX25. And that's where I got to the document titled, "Gmail, Compliance with 503." That's RX25. That aligns with what was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 them. Am I right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor. That's correct. MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Now, with respondent's final exhibits, I need to just cover a couple of quick points. Complainant, did you go through them? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Because the way they came I basically looked at them. And I appreciate that I think Mr. Pierce took what had been previously submitted as a proposed as proposed exhibits, a kind of consolidated document that I think had 25 through 28, and you broke that out into each individual one, which is fine. Great, actually. But the way that they are described here in this, you need to open each one to see what exhibit it is. So I just went through to look to see which one correlated with which. And in doing so, I also noted that there were three documents 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. PIERCE: That's right. THE COURT: Okay. Agreed, Mr. Pierce? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And I think that that holds true also for RX23, which is for the D&R Disposal, the 308 response that's contained in complainant's exhibits. MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And also for RX24, which is for the 308 responses from NCUC, also contained in complainant's exhibits. MR. MUEHLBERGER: We agree, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. MR. PIERCE: Agreed, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. MR. PIERCE: Agreed, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So that brings us to 25, what would be RX25. And that's where I got to the document titled, "Gmail, Compliance with 503." That's RX25. That aligns with what was submitted as a proposed exhibit prehearing, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 them. Am I right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor. That's correct. MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Now, with respondent's final exhibits, I need to just cover a couple of quick points. Complainant, did you go through them? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Because the way they came I basically looked at them. And I appreciate that I think Mr. Pierce took what had been previously submitted as a proposed as proposed exhibits, a kind of consolidated document that I think had 25 through 28, and you broke that out into each individual one, which is fine. Great, actually. But the way that they are described here in this, you need to open each one to see what exhibit it is. So I just went through to look to see which one correlated with which. And in doing so, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. PIERCE: That's right. THE COURT: Okay. Agreed, Mr. Pierce? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And I think that that holds true also for RX23, which is for the D&R Disposal, the 308 response that's contained in complainant's exhibits. MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And also for RX24, which is for the 308 responses from NCUC, also contained in complainant's exhibits. MR. MUEHLBERGER: We agree, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. MR. PIERCE: Agreed, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. MR. PIERCE: Agreed, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So that brings us to 25, what would be RX25. And that's where I got to the document titled, "Gmail, Compliance with 503." That's RX25. That aligns with what was submitted as a proposed exhibit prehearing, right? MR. PIERCE: Correct. Yes, Your Honor. MR. MUEHLBERGER: If I can just take a second to look at the document.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 them. Am I right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor. That's correct. MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Now, with respondent's final exhibits, I need to just cover a couple of quick points. Complainant, did you go through them? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Because the way they came I basically looked at them. And I appreciate that I think Mr. Pierce took what had been previously submitted as a proposed as proposed exhibits, a kind of consolidated document that I think had 25 through 28, and you broke that out into each individual one, which is fine. Great, actually. But the way that they are described here in this, you need to open each one to see what exhibit it is. So I just went through to look to see which one correlated with which. And in doing so, I also noted that there were three documents included that had not, as far as I could tell, been part of the prehearing exchange. Two of them, I 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. PIERCE: That's right. THE COURT: Okay. Agreed, Mr. Pierce? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And I think that that holds true also for RX23, which is for the D&R Disposal, the 308 response that's contained in complainant's exhibits. MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And also for RX24, which is for the 308 responses from NCUC, also contained in complainant's exhibits. MR. MUEHLBERGER: We agree, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. MR. PIERCE: Agreed, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. MR. PIERCE: Agreed, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So that brings us to 25, what would be RX25. And that's where I got to the document titled, "Gmail, Compliance with 503." That's RX25. That aligns with what was submitted as a proposed exhibit prehearing, right? MR. PIERCE: Correct. Yes, Your Honor. MR. MUEHLBERGER: If I can just take a second to look at the document. THE COURT: Sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 them. Am I right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor. That's correct. MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Now, with respondent's final exhibits, I need to just cover a couple of quick points. Complainant, did you go through them? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Because the way they came I basically looked at them. And I appreciate that I think Mr. Pierce took what had been previously submitted as a proposed as proposed exhibits, a kind of consolidated document that I think had 25 through 28, and you broke that out into each individual one, which is fine. Great, actually. But the way that they are described here in this, you need to open each one to see what exhibit it is. So I just went through to look to see which one correlated with which. And in doing so, I also noted that there were three documents included that had not, as far as I could tell, been part of the prehearing exchange. Two of them, I don't know how much it matters because I think it's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. PIERCE: That's right. THE COURT: Okay. Agreed, Mr. Pierce? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And I think that that holds true also for RX23, which is for the D&R Disposal, the 308 response that's contained in complainant's exhibits. MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And also for RX24, which is for the 308 responses from NCUC, also contained in complainant's exhibits. MR. MUEHLBERGER: We agree, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. MR. PIERCE: Agreed, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. MR. PIERCE: Agreed, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So that brings us to 25, what would be RX25. And that's where I got to the document titled, "Gmail, Compliance with 503." That's RX25. That aligns with what was submitted as a proposed exhibit prehearing, right? MR. PIERCE: Correct. Yes, Your Honor. MR. MUEHLBERGER: If I can just take a second to look at the document. THE COURT: Sure. MR. MUEHLBERGER: We're fine with that, Your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 them. Am I right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor. That's correct. MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Now, with respondent's final exhibits, I need to just cover a couple of quick points. Complainant, did you go through them? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Because the way they came I basically looked at them. And I appreciate that I think Mr. Pierce took what had been previously submitted as a proposed as proposed exhibits, a kind of consolidated document that I think had 25 through 28, and you broke that out into each individual one, which is fine. Great, actually. But the way that they are described here in this, you need to open each one to see what exhibit it is. So I just went through to look to see which one correlated with which. And in doing so, I also noted that there were three documents included that had not, as far as I could tell, been part of the prehearing exchange. Two of them, I 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. PIERCE: That's right. THE COURT: Okay. Agreed, Mr. Pierce? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And I think that that holds true also for RX23, which is for the D&R Disposal, the 308 response that's contained in complainant's exhibits. MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And also for RX24, which is for the 308 responses from NCUC, also contained in complainant's exhibits. MR. MUEHLBERGER: We agree, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. MR. PIERCE: Agreed, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. MR. PIERCE: Agreed, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So that brings us to 25, what would be RX25. And that's where I got to the document titled, "Gmail, Compliance with 503." That's RX25. That aligns with what was submitted as a proposed exhibit prehearing, right? MR. PIERCE: Correct. Yes, Your Honor. MR. MUEHLBERGER: If I can just take a second to look at the document. THE COURT: Sure.

8 (Pages 29 to 32)

	Page 33		Page 35
1	THE COURT: Okay. And the document titled,	1	THE COURT: to be able to do it. Okay.
2	"Gmail, Dion, August 24, 2018 payments" is what	2	So is it your understanding as well that that Plain
3	corresponds to RX26. And that appears in the	3	English Guide is a duplicate of their CX36?
4	document when you open it. I'm just trying to	4	MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor.
5	identify it up front based on the title of it	5	THE COURT: Okay. All right. And then the
6	alone. Is that	6	next one is the land application sewage sludge
7	MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor.	7	document. And I made a note to myself that it
8	THE COURT: Okay.	8	looked like it was a possible duplicate of RX16.
9	MR. MUEHLBERGER: We're fine with that	9	Is that right?
10	exhibit, Your Honor.	10	MR. PIERCE: I believe so. Yes, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Okay. And then the next document	11	THE COURT: Okay. Is that your
12	titled, "Gmail, Notice to cease and desist"	12	understanding, too? Was that the one? Yeah. I
13	corresponds to RX27; is that right?	13	think there was just a little bit of a page
14	MR. PIERCE: That is correct, Your Honor.	14	difference, 103 pages versus 105.
15	MR. MUEHLBERGER: We're fine with that as	15	MR. MUEHLBERGER: We're familiar with that
16	well, Your Honor.	16	document. And however you want to have that
17	THE COURT: Okay. And then lastly, the	17	entered into evidence, we're fine.
18	letter to Senator Daines for Bryce Redgrave, July	18	THE COURT: Okay. I think it was already
19	12, 2019, corresponds to RX28.	19	RX16, so I'm just going to leave it alone.
20	MR. PIERCE: That's correct. Yes, Your	20	And then so I think we're squared
21	Honor.	21	away there. The only other standalone document
22	MR. MUEHLBERGER: No objections, Your Honor.	22	that I don't see I see as new, actually, is an
23	THE COURT: Okay. All right. So we've got	23	NWPR fact sheet overview that has that looks to
24	RX1 through 28. Those also are admitted into	24	be or purports to be from EPA's fact sheet,
25	evidence. That leaves three remaining documents in	25	Navigable Waters Protection Rule, regarding a time
	Page 34		Page 36
1	-	1	
1 2	here that were sent to the court reporter and to me	1	frame of January 23, 2020. I'm not really sure how
	here that were sent to the court reporter and to me that are not actually marked as respondent's	2	frame of January 23, 2020. I'm not really sure how this is relevant, but What was the purpose of
2	here that were sent to the court reporter and to me that are not actually marked as respondent's exhibits and have now been offered into evidence by		frame of January 23, 2020. I'm not really sure how this is relevant, but What was the purpose of including it?
2 3	here that were sent to the court reporter and to me that are not actually marked as respondent's exhibits and have now been offered into evidence by stipulation or admitted. But I see some	2 3	frame of January 23, 2020. I'm not really sure how this is relevant, but What was the purpose of including it? MR. PIERCE: Um, Your Honor, it obviously
2 3 4	here that were sent to the court reporter and to me that are not actually marked as respondent's exhibits and have now been offered into evidence by stipulation or admitted. But I see some duplication, so I feel like it's worth covering or	2 3 4	frame of January 23, 2020. I'm not really sure how this is relevant, but What was the purpose of including it? MR. PIERCE: Um, Your Honor, it obviously talks about navigable water and how that's
2 3 4 5	here that were sent to the court reporter and to me that are not actually marked as respondent's exhibits and have now been offered into evidence by stipulation or admitted. But I see some duplication, so I feel like it's worth covering or pointing out.	2 3 4 5	frame of January 23, 2020. I'm not really sure how this is relevant, but What was the purpose of including it? MR. PIERCE: Um, Your Honor, it obviously talks about navigable water and how that's determined. The EPA has brought this as a Clean
2 3 4 5 6	here that were sent to the court reporter and to me that are not actually marked as respondent's exhibits and have now been offered into evidence by stipulation or admitted. But I see some duplication, so I feel like it's worth covering or	2 3 4 5 6	frame of January 23, 2020. I'm not really sure how this is relevant, but What was the purpose of including it? MR. PIERCE: Um, Your Honor, it obviously talks about navigable water and how that's determined. The EPA has brought this as a Clean Water case and has identified a creek that actually
2 3 4 5 6 7	here that were sent to the court reporter and to me that are not actually marked as respondent's exhibits and have now been offered into evidence by stipulation or admitted. But I see some duplication, so I feel like it's worth covering or pointing out. The first is with the Plain English Guide Part 503 Biosolids Rule. It's a PDF in	2 3 4 5 6 7	frame of January 23, 2020. I'm not really sure how this is relevant, but What was the purpose of including it? MR. PIERCE: Um, Your Honor, it obviously talks about navigable water and how that's determined. The EPA has brought this as a Clean
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	here that were sent to the court reporter and to me that are not actually marked as respondent's exhibits and have now been offered into evidence by stipulation or admitted. But I see some duplication, so I feel like it's worth covering or pointing out. The first is with the Plain English	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	frame of January 23, 2020. I'm not really sure how this is relevant, but What was the purpose of including it? MR. PIERCE: Um, Your Honor, it obviously talks about navigable water and how that's determined. The EPA has brought this as a Clean Water case and has identified a creek that actually is non-navigable. That would provide guidance for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	here that were sent to the court reporter and to me that are not actually marked as respondent's exhibits and have now been offered into evidence by stipulation or admitted. But I see some duplication, so I feel like it's worth covering or pointing out. The first is with the Plain English Guide Part 503 Biosolids Rule. It's a PDF in respondent's final exhibits. From what I could	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	frame of January 23, 2020. I'm not really sure how this is relevant, but What was the purpose of including it? MR. PIERCE: Um, Your Honor, it obviously talks about navigable water and how that's determined. The EPA has brought this as a Clean Water case and has identified a creek that actually is non-navigable. That would provide guidance for it. It was also something that was submitted with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	here that were sent to the court reporter and to me that are not actually marked as respondent's exhibits and have now been offered into evidence by stipulation or admitted. But I see some duplication, so I feel like it's worth covering or pointing out. The first is with the Plain English Guide Part 503 Biosolids Rule. It's a PDF in respondent's final exhibits. From what I could tell, it appeared to be a duplicate of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	frame of January 23, 2020. I'm not really sure how this is relevant, but What was the purpose of including it? MR. PIERCE: Um, Your Honor, it obviously talks about navigable water and how that's determined. The EPA has brought this as a Clean Water case and has identified a creek that actually is non-navigable. That would provide guidance for it. It was also something that was submitted with a previous motion to dismiss during this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	here that were sent to the court reporter and to me that are not actually marked as respondent's exhibits and have now been offered into evidence by stipulation or admitted. But I see some duplication, so I feel like it's worth covering or pointing out. The first is with the Plain English Guide Part 503 Biosolids Rule. It's a PDF in respondent's final exhibits. From what I could tell, it appeared to be a duplicate of complainant's Exhibit 36; is that right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	frame of January 23, 2020. I'm not really sure how this is relevant, but What was the purpose of including it? MR. PIERCE: Um, Your Honor, it obviously talks about navigable water and how that's determined. The EPA has brought this as a Clean Water case and has identified a creek that actually is non-navigable. That would provide guidance for it. It was also something that was submitted with a previous motion to dismiss during this proceeding.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	here that were sent to the court reporter and to me that are not actually marked as respondent's exhibits and have now been offered into evidence by stipulation or admitted. But I see some duplication, so I feel like it's worth covering or pointing out. The first is with the Plain English Guide Part 503 Biosolids Rule. It's a PDF in respondent's final exhibits. From what I could tell, it appeared to be a duplicate of complainant's Exhibit 36; is that right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: That's correct. And we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	frame of January 23, 2020. I'm not really sure how this is relevant, but What was the purpose of including it? MR. PIERCE: Um, Your Honor, it obviously talks about navigable water and how that's determined. The EPA has brought this as a Clean Water case and has identified a creek that actually is non-navigable. That would provide guidance for it. It was also something that was submitted with a previous motion to dismiss during this proceeding. THE COURT: Okay. Well, um, you know, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	here that were sent to the court reporter and to me that are not actually marked as respondent's exhibits and have now been offered into evidence by stipulation or admitted. But I see some duplication, so I feel like it's worth covering or pointing out. The first is with the Plain English Guide Part 503 Biosolids Rule. It's a PDF in respondent's final exhibits. From what I could tell, it appeared to be a duplicate of complainant's Exhibit 36; is that right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: That's correct. And we don't have any issue with entering that into	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	frame of January 23, 2020. I'm not really sure how this is relevant, but What was the purpose of including it? MR. PIERCE: Um, Your Honor, it obviously talks about navigable water and how that's determined. The EPA has brought this as a Clean Water case and has identified a creek that actually is non-navigable. That would provide guidance for it. It was also something that was submitted with a previous motion to dismiss during this proceeding. THE COURT: Okay. Well, um, you know, the navigable waters issue is, even as we speak, under
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	here that were sent to the court reporter and to me that are not actually marked as respondent's exhibits and have now been offered into evidence by stipulation or admitted. But I see some duplication, so I feel like it's worth covering or pointing out. The first is with the Plain English Guide Part 503 Biosolids Rule. It's a PDF in respondent's final exhibits. From what I could tell, it appeared to be a duplicate of complainant's Exhibit 36; is that right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: That's correct. And we don't have any issue with entering that into evidence on the other side.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	frame of January 23, 2020. I'm not really sure how this is relevant, but What was the purpose of including it? MR. PIERCE: Um, Your Honor, it obviously talks about navigable water and how that's determined. The EPA has brought this as a Clean Water case and has identified a creek that actually is non-navigable. That would provide guidance for it. It was also something that was submitted with a previous motion to dismiss during this proceeding. THE COURT: Okay. Well, um, you know, the navigable waters issue is, even as we speak, under development based on a recent Supreme Court case.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	here that were sent to the court reporter and to me that are not actually marked as respondent's exhibits and have now been offered into evidence by stipulation or admitted. But I see some duplication, so I feel like it's worth covering or pointing out. The first is with the Plain English Guide Part 503 Biosolids Rule. It's a PDF in respondent's final exhibits. From what I could tell, it appeared to be a duplicate of complainant's Exhibit 36; is that right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: That's correct. And we don't have any issue with entering that into evidence on the other side. THE COURT: Well, I'd rather not go down that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	frame of January 23, 2020. I'm not really sure how this is relevant, but What was the purpose of including it? MR. PIERCE: Um, Your Honor, it obviously talks about navigable water and how that's determined. The EPA has brought this as a Clean Water case and has identified a creek that actually is non-navigable. That would provide guidance for it. It was also something that was submitted with a previous motion to dismiss during this proceeding. THE COURT: Okay. Well, um, you know, the navigable waters issue is, even as we speak, under development based on a recent Supreme Court case. But more importantly, I believe that the law I have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	here that were sent to the court reporter and to me that are not actually marked as respondent's exhibits and have now been offered into evidence by stipulation or admitted. But I see some duplication, so I feel like it's worth covering or pointing out. The first is with the Plain English Guide Part 503 Biosolids Rule. It's a PDF in respondent's final exhibits. From what I could tell, it appeared to be a duplicate of complainant's Exhibit 36; is that right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: That's correct. And we don't have any issue with entering that into evidence on the other side. THE COURT: Well, I'd rather not go down that road	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	frame of January 23, 2020. I'm not really sure how this is relevant, but What was the purpose of including it? MR. PIERCE: Um, Your Honor, it obviously talks about navigable water and how that's determined. The EPA has brought this as a Clean Water case and has identified a creek that actually is non-navigable. That would provide guidance for it. It was also something that was submitted with a previous motion to dismiss during this proceeding. THE COURT: Okay. Well, um, you know, the navigable waters issue is, even as we speak, under development based on a recent Supreme Court case. But more importantly, I believe that the law I have to apply is the law that was in effect at the time of the alleged incidences giving rise to this enforcement action. And my understanding is those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	here that were sent to the court reporter and to me that are not actually marked as respondent's exhibits and have now been offered into evidence by stipulation or admitted. But I see some duplication, so I feel like it's worth covering or pointing out. The first is with the Plain English Guide Part 503 Biosolids Rule. It's a PDF in respondent's final exhibits. From what I could tell, it appeared to be a duplicate of complainant's Exhibit 36; is that right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: That's correct. And we don't have any issue with entering that into evidence on the other side. THE COURT: Well, I'd rather not go down that road MR. MUEHLBERGER: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	frame of January 23, 2020. I'm not really sure how this is relevant, but What was the purpose of including it? MR. PIERCE: Um, Your Honor, it obviously talks about navigable water and how that's determined. The EPA has brought this as a Clean Water case and has identified a creek that actually is non-navigable. That would provide guidance for it. It was also something that was submitted with a previous motion to dismiss during this proceeding. THE COURT: Okay. Well, um, you know, the navigable waters issue is, even as we speak, under development based on a recent Supreme Court case. But more importantly, I believe that the law I have to apply is the law that was in effect at the time of the alleged incidences giving rise to this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	here that were sent to the court reporter and to me that are not actually marked as respondent's exhibits and have now been offered into evidence by stipulation or admitted. But I see some duplication, so I feel like it's worth covering or pointing out. The first is with the Plain English Guide Part 503 Biosolids Rule. It's a PDF in respondent's final exhibits. From what I could tell, it appeared to be a duplicate of complainant's Exhibit 36; is that right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: That's correct. And we don't have any issue with entering that into evidence on the other side. THE COURT: Well, I'd rather not go down that road MR. MUEHLBERGER: Okay. THE COURT: simply because I think it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	frame of January 23, 2020. I'm not really sure how this is relevant, but What was the purpose of including it? MR. PIERCE: Um, Your Honor, it obviously talks about navigable water and how that's determined. The EPA has brought this as a Clean Water case and has identified a creek that actually is non-navigable. That would provide guidance for it. It was also something that was submitted with a previous motion to dismiss during this proceeding. THE COURT: Okay. Well, um, you know, the navigable waters issue is, even as we speak, under development based on a recent Supreme Court case. But more importantly, I believe that the law I have to apply is the law that was in effect at the time of the alleged incidences giving rise to this enforcement action. And my understanding is those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	here that were sent to the court reporter and to me that are not actually marked as respondent's exhibits and have now been offered into evidence by stipulation or admitted. But I see some duplication, so I feel like it's worth covering or pointing out. The first is with the Plain English Guide Part 503 Biosolids Rule. It's a PDF in respondent's final exhibits. From what I could tell, it appeared to be a duplicate of complainant's Exhibit 36; is that right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: That's correct. And we don't have any issue with entering that into evidence on the other side. THE COURT: Well, I'd rather not go down that road MR. MUEHLBERGER: Okay. THE COURT: simply because I think it's just going to muddy the record. We've got RX1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	frame of January 23, 2020. I'm not really sure how this is relevant, but What was the purpose of including it? MR. PIERCE: Um, Your Honor, it obviously talks about navigable water and how that's determined. The EPA has brought this as a Clean Water case and has identified a creek that actually is non-navigable. That would provide guidance for it. It was also something that was submitted with a previous motion to dismiss during this proceeding. THE COURT: Okay. Well, um, you know, the navigable waters issue is, even as we speak, under development based on a recent Supreme Court case. But more importantly, I believe that the law I have to apply is the law that was in effect at the time of the alleged incidences giving rise to this enforcement action. And my understanding is those incidences allegedly took place in the July-August
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	here that were sent to the court reporter and to me that are not actually marked as respondent's exhibits and have now been offered into evidence by stipulation or admitted. But I see some duplication, so I feel like it's worth covering or pointing out. The first is with the Plain English Guide Part 503 Biosolids Rule. It's a PDF in respondent's final exhibits. From what I could tell, it appeared to be a duplicate of complainant's Exhibit 36; is that right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: That's correct. And we don't have any issue with entering that into evidence on the other side. THE COURT: Well, I'd rather not go down that road MR. MUEHLBERGER: Okay. THE COURT: simply because I think it's just going to muddy the record. We've got RX1 through 28 coming in. If it's already in your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	frame of January 23, 2020. I'm not really sure how this is relevant, but What was the purpose of including it? MR. PIERCE: Um, Your Honor, it obviously talks about navigable water and how that's determined. The EPA has brought this as a Clean Water case and has identified a creek that actually is non-navigable. That would provide guidance for it. It was also something that was submitted with a previous motion to dismiss during this proceeding. THE COURT: Okay. Well, um, you know, the navigable waters issue is, even as we speak, under development based on a recent Supreme Court case. But more importantly, I believe that the law I have to apply is the law that was in effect at the time of the alleged incidences giving rise to this enforcement action. And my understanding is those incidences allegedly took place in the July-August 2018 time frame. Am I correct? MR. MUEHLBERGER: That's correct. MR. PIERCE: Correct, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	here that were sent to the court reporter and to me that are not actually marked as respondent's exhibits and have now been offered into evidence by stipulation or admitted. But I see some duplication, so I feel like it's worth covering or pointing out. The first is with the Plain English Guide Part 503 Biosolids Rule. It's a PDF in respondent's final exhibits. From what I could tell, it appeared to be a duplicate of complainant's Exhibit 36; is that right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: That's correct. And we don't have any issue with entering that into evidence on the other side. THE COURT: Well, I'd rather not go down that road MR. MUEHLBERGER: Okay. THE COURT: simply because I think it's just going to muddy the record. We've got RX1 through 28 coming in. If it's already in your exhibits, you can refer Mr. Pierce, you can refer to the complainant's exhibits for any arguments as well. It benefits you in that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	frame of January 23, 2020. I'm not really sure how this is relevant, but What was the purpose of including it? MR. PIERCE: Um, Your Honor, it obviously talks about navigable water and how that's determined. The EPA has brought this as a Clean Water case and has identified a creek that actually is non-navigable. That would provide guidance for it. It was also something that was submitted with a previous motion to dismiss during this proceeding. THE COURT: Okay. Well, um, you know, the navigable waters issue is, even as we speak, under development based on a recent Supreme Court case. But more importantly, I believe that the law I have to apply is the law that was in effect at the time of the alleged incidences giving rise to this enforcement action. And my understanding is those incidences allegedly took place in the July-August 2018 time frame. Am I correct? MR. MUEHLBERGER: That's correct. MR. PIERCE: Correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. So anything happening
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	here that were sent to the court reporter and to me that are not actually marked as respondent's exhibits and have now been offered into evidence by stipulation or admitted. But I see some duplication, so I feel like it's worth covering or pointing out. The first is with the Plain English Guide Part 503 Biosolids Rule. It's a PDF in respondent's final exhibits. From what I could tell, it appeared to be a duplicate of complainant's Exhibit 36; is that right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: That's correct. And we don't have any issue with entering that into evidence on the other side. THE COURT: Well, I'd rather not go down that road MR. MUEHLBERGER: Okay. THE COURT: simply because I think it's just going to muddy the record. We've got RX1 through 28 coming in. If it's already in your exhibits, you can refer Mr. Pierce, you can refer to the complainant's exhibits for any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	frame of January 23, 2020. I'm not really sure how this is relevant, but What was the purpose of including it? MR. PIERCE: Um, Your Honor, it obviously talks about navigable water and how that's determined. The EPA has brought this as a Clean Water case and has identified a creek that actually is non-navigable. That would provide guidance for it. It was also something that was submitted with a previous motion to dismiss during this proceeding. THE COURT: Okay. Well, um, you know, the navigable waters issue is, even as we speak, under development based on a recent Supreme Court case. But more importantly, I believe that the law I have to apply is the law that was in effect at the time of the alleged incidences giving rise to this enforcement action. And my understanding is those incidences allegedly took place in the July-August 2018 time frame. Am I correct? MR. MUEHLBERGER: That's correct. MR. PIERCE: Correct, Your Honor.

9 (Pages 33 to 36)

	Page 37		Page 39
1	believe I'm obligated to consider the law in effect	1	THE COURT: Okay. So I won't admit this
2	at the time of the incidences that gave rise to	2	document. But obviously, you can you can
3	this allegation in this administrative enforcement	3	argue the legal issue as you wish in, you know,
4	complaint, not something that's happened after the	4	post-hearing.
5	fact and that may very well be changing as we	5	MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor.
6	speak.	6	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Okay. So
7	So I don't see the relevance of it. You	7	we're squared away with the exhibits. Anything
8	can make whatever arguments you wish in briefing	8	else before I offer the parties the chance for any
9	and certainly question witnesses as you wish as	9	type of opening statement or to simply begin the
10	long as it's relevant to what I have before me.	10	case?
11	But I think I'm committed to the law that was in	11	MR. MUEHLBERGER: None from complainant, Your
12	effect at the time, not what's happened	12	Honor.
13	subsequently. Is there any difference of opinion	13	THE COURT: Mr. Pierce?
14	on that?	14	MR. PIERCE: No, Your Honor.
15	MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. I think, based	15	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Then I'll ask
16	upon the Supreme Court decision you're speaking of,	16	complainant to go ahead and please did you want
17	if we only applied the law at the time, the Supreme	17	to make an opening statement?
18	Court wouldn't have any reason to review that.	18	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor.
19	That decision was made their decision is made	19	THE COURT: Okay. Please go ahead.
20	based upon real-time information, not based upon	20	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thank you, Your Honor.
21	the law as it was at the time and whether that law	21	May it please the Court is the
22	was applicable. So if we do it as based upon the	22	microphone on? Got it.
23	law at the time, but that law was not applicable or	23	THE COURT: Okay. Now I can hear you.
24	ruled to not be something that the EPA was allowed	24	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Let's try again.
25	to have within their authority, then are we really	25	///
	Page 38		Page 40
1	ruling on a law that is something that should be	1	COMPLAINANT'S OPENING STATEMENT
2	applied.	2	MR. MUEHLBERGER: May it please the Court,
3	THE COURT: Yeah. I understand the point	3	once again, my name is Chris Muehlberger, and I
4	with respect to the constitutionality of a	4	represent the Environmental Protection Agency.
5	constitutionality issue. But it's still on the	5	Your Honor, this case involves the
6	books. The Clean Water Act is still in force.	6	preparation and application of sewage sludge on a
7	Regulation is still on the books. So I'm not	7	cropland in 2018 in Lame Deer, Montana. Putting
,			cropiand in 2018 in Lane Deer, Montana. Tutting

8

9

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Regulation is still on the books. So I'm not
 really convinced of that position.
 But did the Region have anything to say?
 MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yeah. I've got two
 comments, Your Honor. First, I'd like to point out
- the Navigable Waters Protection Rule was vacated in
 federal district court in I think it was 2022.
 The other point that I'd like to make is
 we are prepared to discuss the waters of the United
 States issue post-Sackett, if that comes up.
 The Region agrees with your assessment
 that the applicable regulations are the ones at the
- time of the violation. Having said that, we're
 prepared to argue the waters in this kind of
 post-Sackett environment as well.
 THE COURT: Okay. And that's -- you know,
 it's a legal issue, so I assume that's just
- something that you all can cover in briefing.
 MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor.

respondents Nathan Pierce and his company, Adamas

- 10 Construction and Development Services, wanted to
- 11 get paid for managing that sludge project,

this case in its most simple terms, when

- 12 Mr. Pierce repeatedly and unambiguously asserted
- 13 that he was in charge and solely responsible for
- completion of the sludge project. But when it cametime to comply with Clean Water Act regulations for
- time to comply with Clean Water Act regulations for
 which Mr. Pierce was responsible, he repeatedly and
 unambiguously asserted that it was somebody else's
 job.
 - It's important to note that respondents repeatedly describe themselves throughout the record as managers and supervisors of the sludge removal and application project. As such, EPA will demonstrate that they were required to create and maintain records under EPA's biosolids regulations.
 - And respondents were required to submit those

BRIDGER COURT REPORTERS, INC. (406) 582-0668

10 (Pages 37 to 40)

Page 41

	Page 41		Page 43
1	records to EPA upon request by the agency pursuant	1	Firstly, I'd like to bring to your
2	to the Clean Water Act. To date and almost five	2	attention that the EPA faces limitations in
3	years later, EPA has never received the full	3	presenting substantial number of witnesses who can
4	records respondents were required to create,	4	provide direct testimony regarding the events in
5	maintain, and submit pursuant to federal law.	5	question. Consequently, the EPA may rely on
б	Now I want to emphasize something here.	6	hearsay evidence during this proceeding. I wish to
7	These are not mere paperwork violations. The EPA	7	emphasize that hearsay evidence lacks the same
8	regulates biosolids in order to prevent harm to	8	level of reliability and credibility as direct
9	human health and the environment. Without these	9	testimony. Rest assured, though, I will try to due
10	records, there's no way for EPA to determine if	10	diligently cross-examine any such evidence to
11	bacteria, other pathogens or heavy metals that can	11	ensure a thorough examination of the facts.
12	be found in sewage sludge has the potential to harm	12	I'd like to draw your attention to 40
13	local waterways, aquatic life, or humans that may	13	CFR 503.11(h), which defines land application
14	come into contact with that sludge.	14	concerning sewer sludge disposal. The EPA may
15	During these proceedings, respondents	15	contend that I conducted these activities.
16	will argue that other parties were responsible for	16	However, I firmly assert that the actual work
17	creating, maintaining, and submitting those	17	performed by independent contractors, namely Tom
18	biosolids records. However, EPA will demonstrate	18	Robinson and Ernie Sprague, in relation to a
19	by a preponderance of the evidence that, 1,	19	contract that was created by the government, IHS,
20	respondents repeatedly held themselves out to be	20	with the Northern Cheyenne Utility Commission, to
21	exclusively in charge of the 2018 sludge removal	21	whom I was a subcontractor. The testimony of Ernie
22	and application project; 2, respondents demanded	22	Sprague will substantiate these facts.
23	compensation for their leadership role in that	23	Furthermore, the EPA may allege that I
24	project; 3, respondents repeatedly discussed the	24	failed to respond to their information requests. I
25	biosolids regulations with other parties and	25	want to clarify unequivocally that I not only
	Page 42		Page 44
1	Page 42 repeatedly said that they would comply with all	1	
1 2		1 2	Page 44 responded, but also directed the EPA to the precise location where the requested information could be
	repeatedly said that they would comply with all		responded, but also directed the EPA to the precise
2	repeatedly said that they would comply with all applicable biosolids regulations; respondents 4,	2	responded, but also directed the EPA to the precise location where the requested information could be
2 3	repeatedly said that they would comply with all applicable biosolids regulations; respondents 4, respondents performed operator duties at the Lame	2 3	responded, but also directed the EPA to the precise location where the requested information could be found and the persons who could provide them with
2 3 4	repeatedly said that they would comply with all applicable biosolids regulations; respondents 4, respondents performed operator duties at the Lame Deer Publicly-Owned Treatment Works and repeatedly held themselves out as POTW operators; and lastly, as a result, it's EPA's position that respondents	2 3 4	responded, but also directed the EPA to the precise location where the requested information could be found and the persons who could provide them with the exact documentation that they were looking for,
2 3 4 5	repeatedly said that they would comply with all applicable biosolids regulations; respondents 4, respondents performed operator duties at the Lame Deer Publicly-Owned Treatment Works and repeatedly held themselves out as POTW operators; and lastly,	2 3 4 5	responded, but also directed the EPA to the precise location where the requested information could be found and the persons who could provide them with the exact documentation that they were looking for, the most responsible thing that I could do as I was
2 3 4 5 6	repeatedly said that they would comply with all applicable biosolids regulations; respondents 4, respondents performed operator duties at the Lame Deer Publicly-Owned Treatment Works and repeatedly held themselves out as POTW operators; and lastly, as a result, it's EPA's position that respondents	2 3 4 5 6	responded, but also directed the EPA to the precise location where the requested information could be found and the persons who could provide them with the exact documentation that they were looking for, the most responsible thing that I could do as I was not the person who physically did the work that
2 3 4 5 6 7	repeatedly said that they would comply with all applicable biosolids regulations; respondents 4, respondents performed operator duties at the Lame Deer Publicly-Owned Treatment Works and repeatedly held themselves out as POTW operators; and lastly, as a result, it's EPA's position that respondents were the only parties in a position to create,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	responded, but also directed the EPA to the precise location where the requested information could be found and the persons who could provide them with the exact documentation that they were looking for, the most responsible thing that I could do as I was not the person who physically did the work that they were claiming. Ernie Sprague, who is here today as an independent contractor, holds a unique perspective
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	repeatedly said that they would comply with all applicable biosolids regulations; respondents 4, respondents performed operator duties at the Lame Deer Publicly-Owned Treatment Works and repeatedly held themselves out as POTW operators; and lastly, as a result, it's EPA's position that respondents were the only parties in a position to create, maintain, and produce all of the records required under the Clean Water Act. With that, EPA is prepared to call its	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	responded, but also directed the EPA to the precise location where the requested information could be found and the persons who could provide them with the exact documentation that they were looking for, the most responsible thing that I could do as I was not the person who physically did the work that they were claiming. Ernie Sprague, who is here today as an independent contractor, holds a unique perspective on the events in question. His testimony will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	repeatedly said that they would comply with all applicable biosolids regulations; respondents 4, respondents performed operator duties at the Lame Deer Publicly-Owned Treatment Works and repeatedly held themselves out as POTW operators; and lastly, as a result, it's EPA's position that respondents were the only parties in a position to create, maintain, and produce all of the records required under the Clean Water Act. With that, EPA is prepared to call its first witness.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	responded, but also directed the EPA to the precise location where the requested information could be found and the persons who could provide them with the exact documentation that they were looking for, the most responsible thing that I could do as I was not the person who physically did the work that they were claiming. Ernie Sprague, who is here today as an independent contractor, holds a unique perspective on the events in question. His testimony will provide valuable insight into the authenticity of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	repeatedly said that they would comply with all applicable biosolids regulations; respondents 4, respondents performed operator duties at the Lame Deer Publicly-Owned Treatment Works and repeatedly held themselves out as POTW operators; and lastly, as a result, it's EPA's position that respondents were the only parties in a position to create, maintain, and produce all of the records required under the Clean Water Act. With that, EPA is prepared to call its first witness. THE COURT: All right. Thank you,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	responded, but also directed the EPA to the precise location where the requested information could be found and the persons who could provide them with the exact documentation that they were looking for, the most responsible thing that I could do as I was not the person who physically did the work that they were claiming. Ernie Sprague, who is here today as an independent contractor, holds a unique perspective on the events in question. His testimony will provide valuable insight into the authenticity of the EPA's request for records and the circumstances
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	repeatedly said that they would comply with all applicable biosolids regulations; respondents 4, respondents performed operator duties at the Lame Deer Publicly-Owned Treatment Works and repeatedly held themselves out as POTW operators; and lastly, as a result, it's EPA's position that respondents were the only parties in a position to create, maintain, and produce all of the records required under the Clean Water Act. With that, EPA is prepared to call its first witness. THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Muchlberger.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	responded, but also directed the EPA to the precise location where the requested information could be found and the persons who could provide them with the exact documentation that they were looking for, the most responsible thing that I could do as I was not the person who physically did the work that they were claiming. Ernie Sprague, who is here today as an independent contractor, holds a unique perspective on the events in question. His testimony will provide valuable insight into the authenticity of the EPA's request for records and the circumstances surrounding my involvement in this case. I firmly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	repeatedly said that they would comply with all applicable biosolids regulations; respondents 4, respondents performed operator duties at the Lame Deer Publicly-Owned Treatment Works and repeatedly held themselves out as POTW operators; and lastly, as a result, it's EPA's position that respondents were the only parties in a position to create, maintain, and produce all of the records required under the Clean Water Act. With that, EPA is prepared to call its first witness. THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Muehlberger. Mr. Pierce, did you want to make an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	responded, but also directed the EPA to the precise location where the requested information could be found and the persons who could provide them with the exact documentation that they were looking for, the most responsible thing that I could do as I was not the person who physically did the work that they were claiming. Ernie Sprague, who is here today as an independent contractor, holds a unique perspective on the events in question. His testimony will provide valuable insight into the authenticity of the EPA's request for records and the circumstances surrounding my involvement in this case. I firmly believe Mr. Sprague's testimony will demonstrate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	repeatedly said that they would comply with all applicable biosolids regulations; respondents 4, respondents performed operator duties at the Lame Deer Publicly-Owned Treatment Works and repeatedly held themselves out as POTW operators; and lastly, as a result, it's EPA's position that respondents were the only parties in a position to create, maintain, and produce all of the records required under the Clean Water Act. With that, EPA is prepared to call its first witness. THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Muehlberger. Mr. Pierce, did you want to make an opening statement?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	responded, but also directed the EPA to the precise location where the requested information could be found and the persons who could provide them with the exact documentation that they were looking for, the most responsible thing that I could do as I was not the person who physically did the work that they were claiming. Ernie Sprague, who is here today as an independent contractor, holds a unique perspective on the events in question. His testimony will provide valuable insight into the authenticity of the EPA's request for records and the circumstances surrounding my involvement in this case. I firmly believe Mr. Sprague's testimony will demonstrate that I was unfairly targeted by the EPA and that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	repeatedly said that they would comply with all applicable biosolids regulations; respondents 4, respondents performed operator duties at the Lame Deer Publicly-Owned Treatment Works and repeatedly held themselves out as POTW operators; and lastly, as a result, it's EPA's position that respondents were the only parties in a position to create, maintain, and produce all of the records required under the Clean Water Act. With that, EPA is prepared to call its first witness. THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Muchlberger. Mr. Pierce, did you want to make an opening statement? MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. Yes, Your Honor. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	responded, but also directed the EPA to the precise location where the requested information could be found and the persons who could provide them with the exact documentation that they were looking for, the most responsible thing that I could do as I was not the person who physically did the work that they were claiming. Ernie Sprague, who is here today as an independent contractor, holds a unique perspective on the events in question. His testimony will provide valuable insight into the authenticity of the EPA's request for records and the circumstances surrounding my involvement in this case. I firmly believe Mr. Sprague's testimony will demonstrate that I was unfairly targeted by the EPA and that the EPA's actions are not in line with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	repeatedly said that they would comply with all applicable biosolids regulations; respondents 4, respondents performed operator duties at the Lame Deer Publicly-Owned Treatment Works and repeatedly held themselves out as POTW operators; and lastly, as a result, it's EPA's position that respondents were the only parties in a position to create, maintain, and produce all of the records required under the Clean Water Act. With that, EPA is prepared to call its first witness. THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Muehlberger. Mr. Pierce, did you want to make an opening statement? MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. Yes, Your Honor. I sure do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	responded, but also directed the EPA to the precise location where the requested information could be found and the persons who could provide them with the exact documentation that they were looking for, the most responsible thing that I could do as I was not the person who physically did the work that they were claiming. Ernie Sprague, who is here today as an independent contractor, holds a unique perspective on the events in question. His testimony will provide valuable insight into the authenticity of the EPA's request for records and the circumstances surrounding my involvement in this case. I firmly believe Mr. Sprague's testimony will demonstrate that I was unfairly targeted by the EPA and that the EPA's actions are not in line with the principles of fairness or transparency. I ask that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	repeatedly said that they would comply with all applicable biosolids regulations; respondents 4, respondents performed operator duties at the Lame Deer Publicly-Owned Treatment Works and repeatedly held themselves out as POTW operators; and lastly, as a result, it's EPA's position that respondents were the only parties in a position to create, maintain, and produce all of the records required under the Clean Water Act. With that, EPA is prepared to call its first witness. THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Muehlberger. Mr. Pierce, did you want to make an opening statement? MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. Yes, Your Honor. I sure do. RESPONDENT'S OPENING STATEMENT	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	responded, but also directed the EPA to the precise location where the requested information could be found and the persons who could provide them with the exact documentation that they were looking for, the most responsible thing that I could do as I was not the person who physically did the work that they were claiming. Ernie Sprague, who is here today as an independent contractor, holds a unique perspective on the events in question. His testimony will provide valuable insight into the authenticity of the EPA's request for records and the circumstances surrounding my involvement in this case. I firmly believe Mr. Sprague's testimony will demonstrate that I was unfairly targeted by the EPA and that the EPA's actions are not in line with the principles of fairness or transparency. I ask that you consider Mr. Sprague's independent perspective
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	repeatedly said that they would comply with all applicable biosolids regulations; respondents 4, respondents performed operator duties at the Lame Deer Publicly-Owned Treatment Works and repeatedly held themselves out as POTW operators; and lastly, as a result, it's EPA's position that respondents were the only parties in a position to create, maintain, and produce all of the records required under the Clean Water Act. With that, EPA is prepared to call its first witness. THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Muchlberger. Mr. Pierce, did you want to make an opening statement? MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. Yes, Your Honor. I sure do. RESPONDENT'S OPENING STATEMENT MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, may it please the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	responded, but also directed the EPA to the precise location where the requested information could be found and the persons who could provide them with the exact documentation that they were looking for, the most responsible thing that I could do as I was not the person who physically did the work that they were claiming. Ernie Sprague, who is here today as an independent contractor, holds a unique perspective on the events in question. His testimony will provide valuable insight into the authenticity of the EPA's request for records and the circumstances surrounding my involvement in this case. I firmly believe Mr. Sprague's testimony will demonstrate that I was unfairly targeted by the EPA and that the EPA's actions are not in line with the principles of fairness or transparency. I ask that you consider Mr. Sprague's independent perspective as we move forward with these proceedings.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	repeatedly said that they would comply with all applicable biosolids regulations; respondents 4, respondents performed operator duties at the Lame Deer Publicly-Owned Treatment Works and repeatedly held themselves out as POTW operators; and lastly, as a result, it's EPA's position that respondents were the only parties in a position to create, maintain, and produce all of the records required under the Clean Water Act. With that, EPA is prepared to call its first witness. THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Muchlberger. Mr. Pierce, did you want to make an opening statement? MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. Yes, Your Honor. I sure do. RESPONDENT'S OPENING STATEMENT MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, may it please the Court excuse me. May it please the Court, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	responded, but also directed the EPA to the precise location where the requested information could be found and the persons who could provide them with the exact documentation that they were looking for, the most responsible thing that I could do as I was not the person who physically did the work that they were claiming. Ernie Sprague, who is here today as an independent contractor, holds a unique perspective on the events in question. His testimony will provide valuable insight into the authenticity of the EPA's request for records and the circumstances surrounding my involvement in this case. I firmly believe Mr. Sprague's testimony will demonstrate that I was unfairly targeted by the EPA and that the EPA's actions are not in line with the principles of fairness or transparency. I ask that you consider Mr. Sprague's independent perspective as we move forward with these proceedings. It is imperative to recognize that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	repeatedly said that they would comply with all applicable biosolids regulations; respondents 4, respondents performed operator duties at the Lame Deer Publicly-Owned Treatment Works and repeatedly held themselves out as POTW operators; and lastly, as a result, it's EPA's position that respondents were the only parties in a position to create, maintain, and produce all of the records required under the Clean Water Act. With that, EPA is prepared to call its first witness. THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Muehlberger. Mr. Pierce, did you want to make an opening statement? MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. Yes, Your Honor. I sure do. RESPONDENT'S OPENING STATEMENT MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, may it please the Court excuse me. May it please the Court, I stand here before you today as a pro se litigant	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	responded, but also directed the EPA to the precise location where the requested information could be found and the persons who could provide them with the exact documentation that they were looking for, the most responsible thing that I could do as I was not the person who physically did the work that they were claiming. Ernie Sprague, who is here today as an independent contractor, holds a unique perspective on the events in question. His testimony will provide valuable insight into the authenticity of the EPA's request for records and the circumstances surrounding my involvement in this case. I firmly believe Mr. Sprague's testimony will demonstrate that I was unfairly targeted by the EPA and that the EPA's actions are not in line with the principles of fairness or transparency. I ask that you consider Mr. Sprague's independent perspective as we move forward with these proceedings. It is imperative to recognize that although the EPA may argue that I was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	repeatedly said that they would comply with all applicable biosolids regulations; respondents 4, respondents performed operator duties at the Lame Deer Publicly-Owned Treatment Works and repeatedly held themselves out as POTW operators; and lastly, as a result, it's EPA's position that respondents were the only parties in a position to create, maintain, and produce all of the records required under the Clean Water Act. With that, EPA is prepared to call its first witness. THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Muehlberger. Mr. Pierce, did you want to make an opening statement? MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. Yes, Your Honor. I sure do. RESPONDENT'S OPENING STATEMENT MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, may it please the Court excuse me. May it please the Court, I stand here before you today as a pro se litigant seeking fair and equitable resolution to this case.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	responded, but also directed the EPA to the precise location where the requested information could be found and the persons who could provide them with the exact documentation that they were looking for, the most responsible thing that I could do as I was not the person who physically did the work that they were claiming. Ernie Sprague, who is here today as an independent contractor, holds a unique perspective on the events in question. His testimony will provide valuable insight into the authenticity of the EPA's request for records and the circumstances surrounding my involvement in this case. I firmly believe Mr. Sprague's testimony will demonstrate that I was unfairly targeted by the EPA and that the EPA's actions are not in line with the principles of fairness or transparency. I ask that you consider Mr. Sprague's independent perspective as we move forward with these proceedings. It is imperative to recognize that although the EPA may argue that I was charged that I was in charge, the factual
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	repeatedly said that they would comply with all applicable biosolids regulations; respondents 4, respondents performed operator duties at the Lame Deer Publicly-Owned Treatment Works and repeatedly held themselves out as POTW operators; and lastly, as a result, it's EPA's position that respondents were the only parties in a position to create, maintain, and produce all of the records required under the Clean Water Act. With that, EPA is prepared to call its first witness. THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Muehlberger. Mr. Pierce, did you want to make an opening statement? MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. Yes, Your Honor. I sure do. RESPONDENT'S OPENING STATEMENT MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, may it please the Court excuse me. May it please the Court, I stand here before you today as a pro se litigant seeking fair and equitable resolution to this case. It's crucial to shed light on certain fundamental	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	responded, but also directed the EPA to the precise location where the requested information could be found and the persons who could provide them with the exact documentation that they were looking for, the most responsible thing that I could do as I was not the person who physically did the work that they were claiming. Ernie Sprague, who is here today as an independent contractor, holds a unique perspective on the events in question. His testimony will provide valuable insight into the authenticity of the EPA's request for records and the circumstances surrounding my involvement in this case. I firmly believe Mr. Sprague's testimony will demonstrate that I was unfairly targeted by the EPA and that the EPA's actions are not in line with the principles of fairness or transparency. I ask that you consider Mr. Sprague's independent perspective as we move forward with these proceedings. It is imperative to recognize that although the EPA may argue that I was charged that I was in charge, the factual context contradicts this assertion. The Northern
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	repeatedly said that they would comply with all applicable biosolids regulations; respondents 4, respondents performed operator duties at the Lame Deer Publicly-Owned Treatment Works and repeatedly held themselves out as POTW operators; and lastly, as a result, it's EPA's position that respondents were the only parties in a position to create, maintain, and produce all of the records required under the Clean Water Act. With that, EPA is prepared to call its first witness. THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Muehlberger. Mr. Pierce, did you want to make an opening statement? MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. Yes, Your Honor. I sure do. RESPONDENT'S OPENING STATEMENT MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, may it please the Court excuse me. May it please the Court, I stand here before you today as a pro se litigant seeking fair and equitable resolution to this case. It's crucial to shed light on certain fundamental issues that underpin this case, which I believe is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	responded, but also directed the EPA to the precise location where the requested information could be found and the persons who could provide them with the exact documentation that they were looking for, the most responsible thing that I could do as I was not the person who physically did the work that they were claiming. Ernie Sprague, who is here today as an independent contractor, holds a unique perspective on the events in question. His testimony will provide valuable insight into the authenticity of the EPA's request for records and the circumstances surrounding my involvement in this case. I firmly believe Mr. Sprague's testimony will demonstrate that I was unfairly targeted by the EPA and that the EPA's actions are not in line with the principles of fairness or transparency. I ask that you consider Mr. Sprague's independent perspective as we move forward with these proceedings. It is imperative to recognize that although the EPA may argue that I was charged that I was in charge, the factual context contradicts this assertion. The Northern Cheyenne Utility Commission was the primary
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	repeatedly said that they would comply with all applicable biosolids regulations; respondents 4, respondents performed operator duties at the Lame Deer Publicly-Owned Treatment Works and repeatedly held themselves out as POTW operators; and lastly, as a result, it's EPA's position that respondents were the only parties in a position to create, maintain, and produce all of the records required under the Clean Water Act. With that, EPA is prepared to call its first witness. THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Muehlberger. Mr. Pierce, did you want to make an opening statement? MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. Yes, Your Honor. I sure do. RESPONDENT'S OPENING STATEMENT MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, may it please the Court excuse me. May it please the Court, I stand here before you today as a pro se litigant seeking fair and equitable resolution to this case. It's crucial to shed light on certain fundamental	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	responded, but also directed the EPA to the precise location where the requested information could be found and the persons who could provide them with the exact documentation that they were looking for, the most responsible thing that I could do as I was not the person who physically did the work that they were claiming. Ernie Sprague, who is here today as an independent contractor, holds a unique perspective on the events in question. His testimony will provide valuable insight into the authenticity of the EPA's request for records and the circumstances surrounding my involvement in this case. I firmly believe Mr. Sprague's testimony will demonstrate that I was unfairly targeted by the EPA and that the EPA's actions are not in line with the principles of fairness or transparency. I ask that you consider Mr. Sprague's independent perspective as we move forward with these proceedings. It is imperative to recognize that although the EPA may argue that I was charged that I was in charge, the factual context contradicts this assertion. The Northern

11 (Pages 41 to 44)

	Page 45		Page 47
1	throughout the project. I was not the	1	THE COURT: Yeah. I think just for the
2	decision-maker in this scenario and was, in fact,	2	purposes of the record, as long as you clearly
3	denied access to the facilities as well as other	3	identify which exhibit number it is that you're
4	contractors, including Mr. Sprague, that was	4	questioning about so that the testimony is clear, I
5	outside of my control.	5	think we're good. And then I'll follow along as
б	In closing, this hearing gives us an	6	well.
7	opportunity to rectify the situation and put into	7	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thank you.
8	the record the true facts beyond what the EPA is	8	THE COURT: Okay. And if at any point, you
9	attempting to state here today. I thank you for	9	know, there's a question, Mr. Pierce, if you need a
10	the opportunity to represent myself as well as	10	second to follow or whatever, or if I do, I'll
11	Adamas Construction in these proceedings.	11	chime in just so we're all staying on track. Okay?
12	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Pierce.	12	MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor.
13	Okay. Complainant, if you'd call your	13	THE COURT: All right. Great.
14	first witness.	14	Please go ahead.
15	MR. MUEHLBERGER: I will. One question, Your	15	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thank you.
16	Honor.	16	
17	THE COURT: Sure.	17	DIRECT EXAMINATION
18	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Erin Kleffner will be our	18	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:
19	first witness, and she'd like to have a copy of the	19	Q. Ms. Kleffner, can you provide and spell
20	applicable regulations and biosolids guidance with	20	your name for the record, please?
21	her up at the stand for reference. Is that	21	A. My name is Erin Kleffner. And it's
22	permissible?	22	spelled E-R-I-N, K-L-E-F-F-N-E-R.
23	THE COURT: Sure.	23	Q. And where do you currently work,
24	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thank you.	24	Ms. Kleffner?
25	THE COURT: Sure.	25	A. I work at EPA Region 7.

Page 46

	10.90 10		10.90 10
1	MR. MUEHLBERGER: We'd like to call Erin	1	Q. Okay. And can you name your title and
2	Kleffner to the stand, please.	2	describe your position, please?
3		3	A. I'm a compliance officer for Region 7 in
4	ERIN KLEFFNER,	4	the water branch. So typically, what my position
5	called as a witness on behalf of the Complainant,	5	does is we will receive inspection reports or
6	having been first duly sworn testified as follows:	6	complaints, sometimes annual reports for biosolids.
7		7	We will review those, determine if there are any
8	THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat.	8	violations present, and then based on that, we will
9	The witness has been sworn. Please go	9	either provide compliance assistance or take an
10	ahead, Mr. Muehlberger.	10	enforcement action.
11	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thank you. We're going to	11	Q. Okay. And when you say that you take
12	pull up the exhibits here on the screen. If we	12	enforcement actions, what does that look like?
13	could just have a minute here, please.	13	What does the agency do when it is taking an
14	THE COURT: Sure.	14	enforcement action?
15	MR. MUEHLBERGER: One of the housekeeping	15	A. So our enforcement actions are usually
16	things while we're working on this that I wanted to	16	broken down into two different cases. We can
17	point out, as we show the exhibits on the screen	17	either take a penalty, or we can do a compliance
18	and zoom in on some of the text here, you will not	18	order to help a facility get back into compliance
19	see the Complainant's Exhibit Number, which is at	19	with the regulations, or we can do both of those at
20	the bottom of the screen. If you prefer, we can	20	the same time.
21	zoom in so you can see it initially and or	21	Q. Thank you.
22	sorry zoom out and then zoom in to the relevant	22	Can you describe your educational
23	text. I will also, of course, be highlighting what	23	background?
24	the exhibit is as we go through. So just whatever	24	A. I have an undergraduate degree in
25	the what you prefer.	25	ecology and conservation from Northwest Missouri

12 (Pages 45 to 48)

Page 48

	i dge i j		idge bi
1	State University. And I also received a master's	1	Q. So concerning your biosolids work, have
2	degree in environmental assessment from the	2	you taken enforcement cases under biosolids?
3	University of Kansas.	3	A. I have.
4	Q. Okay. And within your studies, was	4	Q. Okay. About how many cases do you think
5	there any area of expertise?	5	you've worked on?
6	A. Yes. So both my undergrad and my	6	A. Approximately ten.
7	master's degree. My master's degree in particular	7	Q. Okay. So let's talk about biosolids.
8	has a foundation in biological sciences. So a lot	8	What is that?
9	of the coursework was in water quality, soil	9	A. So biosolids, also known as sewage
10	science, hydrogeology, that kind of stuff. And	10	sludge, is basically it can be solids, liquids,
11	then, in addition, on my master's degree, my	11	semisolids. It's basically the leftover from the
12	capstone project was on biosolids land application.	12	treatment process at a domestic wastewater
13	Q. Okay. So you talked about how your	13	treatment facility.
14	studies included both a science background and also	14	Q. Okay. And what do folks do with
15	study of the regulations; is that correct?	15	biosolids?
16	A. Yes. Yeah. We also had a law in	16	A. So there's a couple of options on what
17	regulations class that we completed.	17	you can do with biosolids. Most commonly,
18	Q. And can you talk a little bit how this	18	biosolids are land applied. It's a beneficial use
19	overlap between science and the regulation informs	19	as a replacement for fertilizer. They can also be
20	the work that you do now?	20	landfilled. There's also an option for surface
21	A. So the biological sciences background	21	disposal. And then some facilities also
22	gives the basis for the regulations. And then it	22	incinerate.
23	also helps me to determine, whenever there is a	23	Q. And why does EPA regulate biosolids
24	lapse in regulations or a violation in regulations,	24	under the Clean Water Act?
25	if there are impacts to the environment from that.	25	A. So there's two different factors there.
	Page 50		Page 52
1	Q. Okay. Can you talk a little bit about	1	They are regulated under the Clean Water Act
2	the work that you did after you completed your	2	because they do come from a point source. It's a
3	education?	3	byproduct from a wastewater treatment facility.
4	A. So after I well, actually, during my	4	And then, in addition, in particular for land
5	master's degree program, I was an intern for EPA	5	application, once biosolids are land applied, it
6	Region 7. After I finished my master's degree, I	6	does have the capacity to potentially affect a
7	was converted to a full-time employee.	7	water body from runoff.
8	Q. Did you go straight to EPA, then, after	8	Q. Okay. Let's talk about that a little
9	you received your degree?	9	bit. What are some of the potential environmental
10	A. Yes. My master's degree, yes.	10	risks associated with biosolids?
11	Q. Okay. How long have you been with the	11	A. So biosolids, in terms of the
12	agency?	12	regulations, there are a couple different factors
13	A. About eight years.	13	that are incorporated into it. You have pathogens,
14	Q. Okay. And you testified earlier that	14	metals content, and then also site restrictions and
15	you work on enforcement cases. About how many	15	management practices.
16	enforcement cases have you conducted at EPA?	16	So for something like metal content, any
17	A. Approximately 25.	17	exposure to metals over a certain amount is
18	Q. And can you talk about some of the areas	18	detrimental to wildlife and humans both. In terms
19	of specialization that you work at at EPA?	19	of wildlife, it has the capacity to change
20	A. So when I first started as an intern,	20	behavior, reproductive growth, that kind of thing.
21	and for the first couple years, I did a lot of	21	It can also even result in death for some wildlife.
22	biosolids work. Since then, I've kind of moved on	22	In humans, it can cause cancer or other
23		23	effects that have kind of like an Alzheimer's-type
23 24	to other parts such as industrial stormwater,	23 24	effects that have kind of like an Alzheimer's-type effect. So it can affect memory, cognitive
			effects that have kind of like an Alzheimer's-type effect. So it can affect memory, cognitive function, that kind of stuff.

13 (Pages 49 to 52)

	Page 53		Page 55
1	For pathogens, obviously, any exposure	1	discrete conveyance. It can be a pipe, a tunnel, a
2	to a pathogen such as fecal coliform, E. coli,	2	ditch, anything that has a point that discharges
3	salmonella, et cetera, has the capacity to make any	3	into a water body.
4	human sick. That is also true for some wildlife.	4	Q. Okay. And when you say "water body"
5	It can also displace in a water body more	5	there, are all waters regulated under the Clean
6	beneficial organisms. So if you introduce	6	Water Act?
7	salmonella into a water body, that can also replace	7	A. Not exactly. So for the Clean Water
8	what would normally be there.	8	Act, at least outside of wetlands, which are at
9	And then additionally, for management	9	issue right now but, it would be relatively
10	practices and site restrictions, site restrictions	10	permanent waterways, and then traditionally
11	are mostly based on keeping humans away from	11	navigable waters.
12	biosolids. Management practices are more to keep	12	-
13		13	Q. And let's talk a little bit about the
14	biosolids in place.	14	owner/operator that you talked about. What is an
$14 \\ 15$	So if you don't follow an agronomic rate	15	operator of a point source?
15 16	calculation, they're overapplied, they do run off	16	A. So an operator would be someone that
10	into a water body. That can result in something	17	either is at a facility or does an activity that would be covered under the NPDES program.
	like it's called eutrophication. So that's an		
18	overabundance of nitrogen within a water body. And	18	Q. Okay. And when you say NPDES, what do
19	that has several impacts. It can cause an	19	you mean by that?
20	overgrowth of plants and algae in bacterial	20	A. That stands for NPDES. It's the
21	communities. It can lower the dissolved oxygen	21	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System.
22	content. It just, in general, throws off the	22	And that is basically what regulates the discharge
23	balance of a water body.	23	of pollutants from a point source into a water of
24	Q. Thank you.	24	the United States.
25	And can you walk us through what is a	25	Q. Thanks.
25	And can you walk us through what is a Page 54	25	Q. Thanks. Page 56
	Page 54		Page 56
1		1	Page 56 What kind of duties do operators point
1 2	Page 54 typical biosolids enforcement case? What does that look like?	1 2	Page 56 What kind of duties do operators point sources typically perform in your experience?
1 2 3	Page 54 typical biosolids enforcement case? What does that look like? A. So biosolids come to Region 7 through	1	Page 56 What kind of duties do operators point sources typically perform in your experience? A. In my experience, an operator basically
1 2 3 4	Page 54 typical biosolids enforcement case? What does that look like? A. So biosolids come to Region 7 through two ways. We do have an annual reporting	1 2 3	Page 56 What kind of duties do operators point sources typically perform in your experience? A. In my experience, an operator basically runs the facility. They do operations and
1 2 3	Page 54 typical biosolids enforcement case? What does that look like? A. So biosolids come to Region 7 through two ways. We do have an annual reporting requirement for facilities that meet that	1 2 3 4	Page 56 What kind of duties do operators point sources typically perform in your experience? A. In my experience, an operator basically runs the facility. They do operations and maintenance. That can include the collection
1 2 3 4 5	Page 54 typical biosolids enforcement case? What does that look like? A. So biosolids come to Region 7 through two ways. We do have an annual reporting requirement for facilities that meet that threshold. So there's an annual report for some	1 2 3 4 5	Page 56 What kind of duties do operators point sources typically perform in your experience? A. In my experience, an operator basically runs the facility. They do operations and maintenance. That can include the collection system and the wastewater treatment facility
1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 54 typical biosolids enforcement case? What does that look like? A. So biosolids come to Region 7 through two ways. We do have an annual reporting requirement for facilities that meet that threshold. So there's an annual report for some facilities. We also do take complaints. Once we	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 56 What kind of duties do operators point sources typically perform in your experience? A. In my experience, an operator basically runs the facility. They do operations and maintenance. That can include the collection system and the wastewater treatment facility itself. So working on lift stations, fixing pumps,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 54 typical biosolids enforcement case? What does that look like? A. So biosolids come to Region 7 through two ways. We do have an annual reporting requirement for facilities that meet that threshold. So there's an annual report for some facilities. We also do take complaints. Once we have gotten that information and reviewed what is	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 56 What kind of duties do operators point sources typically perform in your experience? A. In my experience, an operator basically runs the facility. They do operations and maintenance. That can include the collection system and the wastewater treatment facility itself. So working on lift stations, fixing pumps, making adding, you know, any chemicals that need
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 54 typical biosolids enforcement case? What does that look like? A. So biosolids come to Region 7 through two ways. We do have an annual reporting requirement for facilities that meet that threshold. So there's an annual report for some facilities. We also do take complaints. Once we have gotten that information and reviewed what is available to us, most of the time we will issue a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 56 What kind of duties do operators point sources typically perform in your experience? A. In my experience, an operator basically runs the facility. They do operations and maintenance. That can include the collection system and the wastewater treatment facility itself. So working on lift stations, fixing pumps, making adding, you know, any chemicals that need to be added. It can also vary. Something as
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 54 typical biosolids enforcement case? What does that look like? A. So biosolids come to Region 7 through two ways. We do have an annual reporting requirement for facilities that meet that threshold. So there's an annual report for some facilities. We also do take complaints. Once we have gotten that information and reviewed what is	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 56 What kind of duties do operators point sources typically perform in your experience? A. In my experience, an operator basically runs the facility. They do operations and maintenance. That can include the collection system and the wastewater treatment facility itself. So working on lift stations, fixing pumps, making adding, you know, any chemicals that need to be added. It can also vary. Something as simple as if it's a lagoon system, it can be mowing
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 54 typical biosolids enforcement case? What does that look like? A. So biosolids come to Region 7 through two ways. We do have an annual reporting requirement for facilities that meet that threshold. So there's an annual report for some facilities. We also do take complaints. Once we have gotten that information and reviewed what is available to us, most of the time we will issue a Clean Water Act 308 information request to gather	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 56 What kind of duties do operators point sources typically perform in your experience? A. In my experience, an operator basically runs the facility. They do operations and maintenance. That can include the collection system and the wastewater treatment facility itself. So working on lift stations, fixing pumps, making adding, you know, any chemicals that need to be added. It can also vary. Something as simple as if it's a lagoon system, it can be mowing the berms. So something simple for maintenance.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 54 typical biosolids enforcement case? What does that look like? A. So biosolids come to Region 7 through two ways. We do have an annual reporting requirement for facilities that meet that threshold. So there's an annual report for some facilities. We also do take complaints. Once we have gotten that information and reviewed what is available to us, most of the time we will issue a Clean Water Act 308 information request to gather more information to determine if there are violations or if there are more violations than	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 56 What kind of duties do operators point sources typically perform in your experience? A. In my experience, an operator basically runs the facility. They do operations and maintenance. That can include the collection system and the wastewater treatment facility itself. So working on lift stations, fixing pumps, making adding, you know, any chemicals that need to be added. It can also vary. Something as simple as if it's a lagoon system, it can be mowing the berms. So something simple for maintenance. Generally, treatment operators, unless
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 54 typical biosolids enforcement case? What does that look like? A. So biosolids come to Region 7 through two ways. We do have an annual reporting requirement for facilities that meet that threshold. So there's an annual report for some facilities. We also do take complaints. Once we have gotten that information and reviewed what is available to us, most of the time we will issue a Clean Water Act 308 information request to gather more information to determine if there are violations or if there are more violations than what is indicated in the complaint or annual	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 56 What kind of duties do operators point sources typically perform in your experience? A. In my experience, an operator basically runs the facility. They do operations and maintenance. That can include the collection system and the wastewater treatment facility itself. So working on lift stations, fixing pumps, making adding, you know, any chemicals that need to be added. It can also vary. Something as simple as if it's a lagoon system, it can be mowing the berms. So something simple for maintenance. Generally, treatment operators, unless it's contracted out to a lab, will also take
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 54 typical biosolids enforcement case? What does that look like? A. So biosolids come to Region 7 through two ways. We do have an annual reporting requirement for facilities that meet that threshold. So there's an annual report for some facilities. We also do take complaints. Once we have gotten that information and reviewed what is available to us, most of the time we will issue a Clean Water Act 308 information request to gather more information to determine if there are violations or if there are more violations than what is indicated in the complaint or annual report. And then based on that, we will determine	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 56 What kind of duties do operators point sources typically perform in your experience? A. In my experience, an operator basically runs the facility. They do operations and maintenance. That can include the collection system and the wastewater treatment facility itself. So working on lift stations, fixing pumps, making adding, you know, any chemicals that need to be added. It can also vary. Something as simple as if it's a lagoon system, it can be mowing the berms. So something simple for maintenance. Generally, treatment operators, unless it's contracted out to a lab, will also take samples. And they're usually present for
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 54 typical biosolids enforcement case? What does that look like? A. So biosolids come to Region 7 through two ways. We do have an annual reporting requirement for facilities that meet that threshold. So there's an annual report for some facilities. We also do take complaints. Once we have gotten that information and reviewed what is available to us, most of the time we will issue a Clean Water Act 308 information request to gather more information to determine if there are violations or if there are more violations than what is indicated in the complaint or annual report. And then based on that, we will determine if we want to do a compliance order or a penalty.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 56 What kind of duties do operators point sources typically perform in your experience? A. In my experience, an operator basically runs the facility. They do operations and maintenance. That can include the collection system and the wastewater treatment facility itself. So working on lift stations, fixing pumps, making adding, you know, any chemicals that need to be added. It can also vary. Something as simple as if it's a lagoon system, it can be mowing the berms. So something simple for maintenance. Generally, treatment operators, unless it's contracted out to a lab, will also take samples. And they're usually present for inspections by the state or the federal government.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 54 typical biosolids enforcement case? What does that look like? A. So biosolids come to Region 7 through two ways. We do have an annual reporting requirement for facilities that meet that threshold. So there's an annual report for some facilities. We also do take complaints. Once we have gotten that information and reviewed what is available to us, most of the time we will issue a Clean Water Act 308 information request to gather more information to determine if there are violations or if there are more violations than what is indicated in the complaint or annual report. And then based on that, we will determine	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 56 What kind of duties do operators point sources typically perform in your experience? A. In my experience, an operator basically runs the facility. They do operations and maintenance. That can include the collection system and the wastewater treatment facility itself. So working on lift stations, fixing pumps, making adding, you know, any chemicals that need to be added. It can also vary. Something as simple as if it's a lagoon system, it can be mowing the berms. So something simple for maintenance. Generally, treatment operators, unless it's contracted out to a lab, will also take samples. And they're usually present for inspections by the state or the federal government. Q. In your experience, have you ever seen
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 54 typical biosolids enforcement case? What does that look like? A. So biosolids come to Region 7 through two ways. We do have an annual reporting requirement for facilities that meet that threshold. So there's an annual report for some facilities. We also do take complaints. Once we have gotten that information and reviewed what is available to us, most of the time we will issue a Clean Water Act 308 information request to gather more information to determine if there are violations or if there are more violations than what is indicated in the complaint or annual report. And then based on that, we will determine if we want to do a compliance order or a penalty. Q. Thanks. So let's talk a little bit about that	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 56 What kind of duties do operators point sources typically perform in your experience? A. In my experience, an operator basically runs the facility. They do operations and maintenance. That can include the collection system and the wastewater treatment facility itself. So working on lift stations, fixing pumps, making adding, you know, any chemicals that need to be added. It can also vary. Something as simple as if it's a lagoon system, it can be mowing the berms. So something simple for maintenance. Generally, treatment operators, unless it's contracted out to a lab, will also take samples. And they're usually present for inspections by the state or the federal government.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 54 typical biosolids enforcement case? What does that look like? A. So biosolids come to Region 7 through two ways. We do have an annual reporting requirement for facilities that meet that threshold. So there's an annual report for some facilities. We also do take complaints. Once we have gotten that information and reviewed what is available to us, most of the time we will issue a Clean Water Act 308 information request to gather more information to determine if there are violations or if there are more violations than what is indicated in the complaint or annual report. And then based on that, we will determine if we want to do a compliance order or a penalty. Q. Thanks. So let's talk a little bit about that Section 308 authority that you just described. Who	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 56 What kind of duties do operators point sources typically perform in your experience? A. In my experience, an operator basically runs the facility. They do operations and maintenance. That can include the collection system and the wastewater treatment facility itself. So working on lift stations, fixing pumps, making adding, you know, any chemicals that need to be added. It can also vary. Something as simple as if it's a lagoon system, it can be mowing the berms. So something simple for maintenance. Generally, treatment operators, unless it's contracted out to a lab, will also take samples. And they're usually present for inspections by the state or the federal government. Q. In your experience, have you ever seen operators do biosolids work at the point source? A. Yes.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 54 typical biosolids enforcement case? What does that look like? A. So biosolids come to Region 7 through two ways. We do have an annual reporting requirement for facilities that meet that threshold. So there's an annual report for some facilities. We also do take complaints. Once we have gotten that information and reviewed what is available to us, most of the time we will issue a Clean Water Act 308 information request to gather more information to determine if there are violations or if there are more violations than what is indicated in the complaint or annual report. And then based on that, we will determine if we want to do a compliance order or a penalty. Q. Thanks. So let's talk a little bit about that	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 56 What kind of duties do operators point sources typically perform in your experience? A. In my experience, an operator basically runs the facility. They do operations and maintenance. That can include the collection system and the wastewater treatment facility itself. So working on lift stations, fixing pumps, making adding, you know, any chemicals that need to be added. It can also vary. Something as simple as if it's a lagoon system, it can be mowing the berms. So something simple for maintenance. Generally, treatment operators, unless it's contracted out to a lab, will also take samples. And they're usually present for inspections by the state or the federal government. Q. In your experience, have you ever seen operators do biosolids work at the point source?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 54 typical biosolids enforcement case? What does that look like? A. So biosolids come to Region 7 through two ways. We do have an annual reporting requirement for facilities that meet that threshold. So there's an annual report for some facilities. We also do take complaints. Once we have gotten that information and reviewed what is available to us, most of the time we will issue a Clean Water Act 308 information request to gather more information to determine if there are violations or if there are more violations than what is indicated in the complaint or annual report. And then based on that, we will determine if we want to do a compliance order or a penalty. D. Thanks. So let's talk a little bit about that Section 308 authority that you just described. Who is required under the Clean Water Act to respond to a Section 308 request?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 56 What kind of duties do operators point sources typically perform in your experience? A. In my experience, an operator basically runs the facility. They do operations and maintenance. That can include the collection system and the wastewater treatment facility itself. So working on lift stations, fixing pumps, making adding, you know, any chemicals that need to be added. It can also vary. Something as simple as if it's a lagoon system, it can be mowing the berms. So something simple for maintenance. Generally, treatment operators, unless it's contracted out to a lab, will also take samples. And they're usually present for insections by the state or the federal government. Q. In your experience, have you ever seen generators do biosolids work at the point source? A. Yes. Q. Or the wastewater treatment facility ? A. Uh-huh.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 54 typical biosolids enforcement case? What does that look like? A. So biosolids come to Region 7 through two ways. We do have an annual reporting requirement for facilities that meet that threshold. So there's an annual report for some facilities. We also do take complaints. Once we have gotten that information and reviewed what is available to us, most of the time we will issue a Clean Water Act 308 information request to gather more information to determine if there are violations or if there are more violations than what is indicated in the complaint or annual report. And then based on that, we will determine if we want to do a compliance order or a penalty. D. Thanks. So let's talk a little bit about that Section 308 authority that you just described. Who is required under the Clean Water Act to respond to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 56 What kind of duties do operators point sources typically perform in your experience? A. In my experience, an operator basically runs the facility. They do operations and maintenance. That can include the collection system and the wastewater treatment facility itself. So working on lift stations, fixing pumps, making adding, you know, any chemicals that need to be added. It can also vary. Something as simple as if it's a lagoon system, it can be mowing the berms. So something simple for maintenance. Generally, treatment operators, unless it's contracted out to a lab, will also take samples. And they're usually present for inspections by the state or the federal government. Q. In your experience, have you ever seen goperators do biosolids work at the point source? A. Yes. Q. Or the wastewater treatment facility?

A. I have.

Q. Okay. And can there be more than one operator at a regulated point source?

14 (Pages 53 to 56)

BRIDGER COURT REPORTERS, INC. (406) 582-0668

23

24

25

Q. Okay. Let's break those down a little

A. A point source would be any kind of

bit. What is a point source?

23

24

25

	Page 57		Page 59
1	A. Yes. Yeah.	1	then also a vector attraction reduction was met
2	Q. Does an operator have to have some kind	2	through one of the first eight options within Part
3	of official certification in order to be an	3	503 regulations. They would also need to maintain
4	operator?	4	that.
5	A. No, they do not.	5	As a land applier, if vector attraction
б	Q. Can an operator be a contractor of a	6	reduction was achieved through a physical means
7	wastewater treatment facility?	7	such as incorporation or injection, that would be
8	A. Yes.	8	the responsibility of the land applier. They would
9	Q. Okay. And just to make one thing clear,	9	also need to maintain information such as site
10	we've used the terms "wastewater treatment	10	restrictions and management practices. Management
11	facility" and "publicly-owned treatment works." Do	11	practices would include something like an agronomic
12	you consider those terms to be synonymous?	12	rate calculation.
13	A. Yes.	13	Q. Okay. How does one prepare sewage
14	Q. Okay. So you just testified that an	14	sludge?
15	operator can be a contractor. Have you ever seen	15	A. So preparation can be through physical
16	that happen in any of the enforcement cases that	16	means. It can be dewatering. It can be
17	you've worked on?	17	stabilization. It can be through composting.
18	A. Yes. Generally, it happens a lot more	18	There are a number of options. But basically,
19	in actually, it happens a lot in biosolids and	19	preparation is anything that would change the
20	construction stormwater. But for biosolids, I have	20 21	quality of the biosolids from its initial form.
21	had a case where a facility contracted out their	21	Q. Okay. What does it mean to dewater sewage sludge?
22 23	biosolids operation to a separate company. The company took it. They did some sampling. They	23	A. So dewatering is just what it sounds
23 24	further processed the biosolids. So they became a	24	like. It is taking excess water out of biosolids
24 25	preparer of the biosolids, and they ended up land	25	to reduce the total solids content.
23	preparer of the orosonids, and they ended up fand		
	Page 58		Page 60
1	Page 58 applying it over the concentration for metals. So	1	Page 60 Q. And how does sewage sludge typically get
1 2	applying it over the concentration for metals. So they did not have their sample results prior to	1 2	Q. And how does sewage sludge typically get applied when it's land applied?
	applying it over the concentration for metals. So they did not have their sample results prior to land application. So they had a metal ceiling	2 3	Q. And how does sewage sludge typically get applied when it's land applied?A. Depending on the solids content, it can
2 3 4	applying it over the concentration for metals. So they did not have their sample results prior to land application. So they had a metal ceiling concentration violation, and we did take a penalty	2 3 4	Q. And how does sewage sludge typically get applied when it's land applied?A. Depending on the solids content, it can be broadcast spread. It can be spread as compost.
2 3 4 5	applying it over the concentration for metals. So they did not have their sample results prior to land application. So they had a metal ceiling concentration violation, and we did take a penalty for that case.	2 3 4 5	Q. And how does sewage sludge typically get applied when it's land applied?A. Depending on the solids content, it can be broadcast spread. It can be spread as compost. However you want to get it onto the land, there's
2 3 4 5 6	applying it over the concentration for metals. So they did not have their sample results prior to land application. So they had a metal ceiling concentration violation, and we did take a penalty for that case. Q. Thanks.	2 3 4 5 6	Q. And how does sewage sludge typically get applied when it's land applied?A. Depending on the solids content, it can be broadcast spread. It can be spread as compost. However you want to get it onto the land, there's any number of options to get it on there.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 applying it over the concentration for metals. So they did not have their sample results prior to land application. So they had a metal ceiling concentration violation, and we did take a penalty for that case. Q. Thanks. In your experience as a compliance 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. And how does sewage sludge typically get applied when it's land applied? A. Depending on the solids content, it can be broadcast spread. It can be spread as compost. However you want to get it onto the land, there's any number of options to get it on there. Q. Okay. So what happens if the preparer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 applying it over the concentration for metals. So they did not have their sample results prior to land application. So they had a metal ceiling concentration violation, and we did take a penalty for that case. Q. Thanks. In your experience as a compliance officer, has EPA ever concluded that a contractor 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. And how does sewage sludge typically get applied when it's land applied? A. Depending on the solids content, it can be broadcast spread. It can be spread as compost. However you want to get it onto the land, there's any number of options to get it on there. Q. Okay. So what happens if the preparer or applier of sewage sludge does not provide
2 3 4 5 7 8 9	applying it over the concentration for metals. So they did not have their sample results prior to land application. So they had a metal ceiling concentration violation, and we did take a penalty for that case. Q. Thanks. In your experience as a compliance officer, has EPA ever concluded that a contractor that was performing operator duties was liable for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. And how does sewage sludge typically get applied when it's land applied? A. Depending on the solids content, it can be broadcast spread. It can be spread as compost. However you want to get it onto the land, there's any number of options to get it on there. Q. Okay. So what happens if the preparer or applier of sewage sludge does not provide records to others involved in the sludge removal
2 3 5 6 7 8 9	applying it over the concentration for metals. So they did not have their sample results prior to land application. So they had a metal ceiling concentration violation, and we did take a penalty for that case. Q. Thanks. In your experience as a compliance officer, has EPA ever concluded that a contractor that was performing operator duties was liable for Clean Water Act violations?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. And how does sewage sludge typically get applied when it's land applied? A. Depending on the solids content, it can be broadcast spread. It can be spread as compost. However you want to get it onto the land, there's any number of options to get it on there. Q. Okay. So what happens if the preparer or applier of sewage sludge does not provide records to others involved in the sludge removal project?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	applying it over the concentration for metals. So they did not have their sample results prior to land application. So they had a metal ceiling concentration violation, and we did take a penalty for that case. Q. Thanks. In your experience as a compliance officer, has EPA ever concluded that a contractor that was performing operator duties was liable for Clean Water Act violations? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. And how does sewage sludge typically get applied when it's land applied? A. Depending on the solids content, it can be broadcast spread. It can be spread as compost. However you want to get it onto the land, there's any number of options to get it on there. Q. Okay. So what happens if the preparer or applier of sewage sludge does not provide records to others involved in the sludge removal project? A. It would be difficult for them. Site
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 applying it over the concentration for metals. So they did not have their sample results prior to land application. So they had a metal ceiling concentration violation, and we did take a penalty for that case. Q. Thanks. In your experience as a compliance officer, has EPA ever concluded that a contractor that was performing operator duties was liable for Clean Water Act violations? A. Yes. Q. Have you ever seen a contractor doing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. And how does sewage sludge typically get applied when it's land applied? A. Depending on the solids content, it can be broadcast spread. It can be spread as compost. However you want to get it onto the land, there's any number of options to get it on there. Q. Okay. So what happens if the preparer or applier of sewage sludge does not provide records to others involved in the sludge removal project? A. It would be difficult for them. Site restrictions would be probably okay. Vector
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 applying it over the concentration for metals. So they did not have their sample results prior to land application. So they had a metal ceiling concentration violation, and we did take a penalty for that case. Q. Thanks. In your experience as a compliance officer, has EPA ever concluded that a contractor that was performing operator duties was liable for Clean Water Act violations? A. Yes. Q. Have you ever seen a contractor doing operator duties at a regulated facility that was 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. And how does sewage sludge typically get applied when it's land applied? A. Depending on the solids content, it can be broadcast spread. It can be spread as compost. However you want to get it onto the land, there's any number of options to get it on there. Q. Okay. So what happens if the preparer or applier of sewage sludge does not provide records to others involved in the sludge removal project? A. It would be difficult for them. Site restrictions would be probably okay. Vector attraction reduction, if they were to do a physical
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 applying it over the concentration for metals. So they did not have their sample results prior to land application. So they had a metal ceiling concentration violation, and we did take a penalty for that case. Q. Thanks. In your experience as a compliance officer, has EPA ever concluded that a contractor that was performing operator duties was liable for Clean Water Act violations? A. Yes. Q. Have you ever seen a contractor doing operator duties at a regulated facility that was not a certified operator? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. And how does sewage sludge typically get applied when it's land applied? A. Depending on the solids content, it can be broadcast spread. It can be spread as compost. However you want to get it onto the land, there's any number of options to get it on there. Q. Okay. So what happens if the preparer or applier of sewage sludge does not provide records to others involved in the sludge removal project? A. It would be difficult for them. Site restrictions would be probably okay. Vector attraction reduction, if they were to do a physical method, it would probably be okay. It's really
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 applying it over the concentration for metals. So they did not have their sample results prior to land application. So they had a metal ceiling concentration violation, and we did take a penalty for that case. Q. Thanks. In your experience as a compliance officer, has EPA ever concluded that a contractor that was performing operator duties was liable for Clean Water Act violations? A. Yes. Q. Have you ever seen a contractor doing operator duties at a regulated facility that was not a certified operator? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. And how does sewage sludge typically get applied when it's land applied? A. Depending on the solids content, it can be broadcast spread. It can be spread as compost. However you want to get it onto the land, there's any number of options to get it on there. Q. Okay. So what happens if the preparer or applier of sewage sludge does not provide records to others involved in the sludge removal project? A. It would be difficult for them. Site restrictions would be probably okay. Vector attraction reduction, if they were to do a physical
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 applying it over the concentration for metals. So they did not have their sample results prior to land application. So they had a metal ceiling concentration violation, and we did take a penalty for that case. Q. Thanks. In your experience as a compliance officer, has EPA ever concluded that a contractor that was performing operator duties was liable for Clean Water Act violations? A. Yes. Q. Have you ever seen a contractor doing operator duties at a regulated facility that was not a certified operator? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. And how does sewage sludge typically get applied when it's land applied? A. Depending on the solids content, it can be broadcast spread. It can be spread as compost. However you want to get it onto the land, there's any number of options to get it on there. Q. Okay. So what happens if the preparer or applier of sewage sludge does not provide records to others involved in the sludge removal project? A. It would be difficult for them. Site restrictions would be probably okay. Vector attraction reduction, if they were to do a physical method, it would probably be okay. It's really kind of an issue whenever it comes to management
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 applying it over the concentration for metals. So they did not have their sample results prior to land application. So they had a metal ceiling concentration violation, and we did take a penalty for that case. Q. Thanks. In your experience as a compliance officer, has EPA ever concluded that a contractor that was performing operator duties was liable for Clean Water Act violations? A. Yes. Q. Have you ever seen a contractor doing operator duties at a regulated facility that was not a certified operator? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So let's talk a little bit about 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. And how does sewage sludge typically get applied when it's land applied? A. Depending on the solids content, it can be broadcast spread. It can be spread as compost. However you want to get it onto the land, there's any number of options to get it on there. Q. Okay. So what happens if the preparer or applier of sewage sludge does not provide records to others involved in the sludge removal project? A. It would be difficult for them. Site restrictions would be probably okay. Vector attraction reduction, if they were to do a physical method, it would probably be okay. It's really kind of an issue whenever it comes to management practices. It is also the responsibility of a land applier to make sure prior, before the land
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 applying it over the concentration for metals. So they did not have their sample results prior to land application. So they had a metal ceiling concentration violation, and we did take a penalty for that case. Q. Thanks. In your experience as a compliance officer, has EPA ever concluded that a contractor that was performing operator duties was liable for Clean Water Act violations? A. Yes. Q. Have you ever seen a contractor doing operator duties at a regulated facility that was not a certified operator? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So let's talk a little bit about the recordkeeping requirements in the biosolids 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. And how does sewage sludge typically get applied when it's land applied? A. Depending on the solids content, it can be broadcast spread. It can be spread as compost. However you want to get it onto the land, there's any number of options to get it on there. Q. Okay. So what happens if the preparer or applier of sewage sludge does not provide records to others involved in the sludge removal project? A. It would be difficult for them. Site restrictions would be probably okay. Vector attraction reduction, if they were to do a physical method, it would probably be okay. It's really kind of an issue whenever it comes to management practices.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 applying it over the concentration for metals. So they did not have their sample results prior to land application. So they had a metal ceiling concentration violation, and we did take a penalty for that case. Q. Thanks. In your experience as a compliance officer, has EPA ever concluded that a contractor that was performing operator duties was liable for Clean Water Act violations? A. Yes. Q. Have you ever seen a contractor doing operator duties at a regulated facility that was not a certified operator? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So let's talk a little bit about the recordkeeping requirements in the biosolids regulations. Can you generally describe what those 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. And how does sewage sludge typically get applied when it's land applied? A. Depending on the solids content, it can be broadcast spread. It can be spread as compost. However you want to get it onto the land, there's any number of options to get it on there. Q. Okay. So what happens if the preparer or applier of sewage sludge does not provide records to others involved in the sludge removal project? A. It would be difficult for them. Site restrictions would be probably okay. Vector attraction reduction, if they were to do a physical method, it would probably be okay. It's really kind of an issue whenever it comes to management practices. It is also the responsibility of a land application, that they do meet Part 503 regulations. But in addition, it is land applier's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 applying it over the concentration for metals. So they did not have their sample results prior to land application. So they had a metal ceiling concentration violation, and we did take a penalty for that case. Q. Thanks. In your experience as a compliance officer, has EPA ever concluded that a contractor that was performing operator duties was liable for Clean Water Act violations? A. Yes. Q. Have you ever seen a contractor doing operator duties at a regulated facility that was not a certified operator? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So let's talk a little bit about the recordkeeping requirements in the biosolids regulations. Can you generally describe what those are? A. Yeah. So it's broken down into two different parts depending on the role in the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. And how does sewage sludge typically get applied when it's land applied? A. Depending on the solids content, it can be broadcast spread. It can be spread as compost. However you want to get it onto the land, there's any number of options to get it on there. Q. Okay. So what happens if the preparer or applier of sewage sludge does not provide records to others involved in the sludge removal project? A. It would be difficult for them. Site restrictions would be probably okay. Vector attraction reduction, if they were to do a physical method, it would probably be okay. It's really kind of an issue whenever it comes to management practices. It is also the responsibility of a land applier to make sure prior, before the land applier's responsibility to calculate an agronomic rate, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 applying it over the concentration for metals. So they did not have their sample results prior to land application. So they had a metal ceiling concentration violation, and we did take a penalty for that case. Q. Thanks. In your experience as a compliance officer, has EPA ever concluded that a contractor that was performing operator duties was liable for Clean Water Act violations? A. Yes. Q. Have you ever seen a contractor doing operator duties at a regulated facility that was not a certified operator? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So let's talk a little bit about the recordkeeping requirements in the biosolids regulations. Can you generally describe what those are? A. Yeah. So it's broken down into two different parts depending on the role in the biosolids land application. It's broken down into 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. And how does sewage sludge typically get applied when it's land applied? A. Depending on the solids content, it can be broadcast spread. It can be spread as compost. However you want to get it onto the land, there's any number of options to get it on there. Q. Okay. So what happens if the preparer or applier of sewage sludge does not provide records to others involved in the sludge removal project? A. It would be difficult for them. Site restrictions would be probably okay. Vector attraction reduction, if they were to do a physical method, it would probably be okay. It's really kind of an issue whenever it comes to management practices. It is also the responsibility of a land application, that they do meet Part 503 regulations. But in addition, it is land applier's responsibility to calculate an agronomic rate, and that information is usually found in the lab
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 applying it over the concentration for metals. So they did not have their sample results prior to land application. So they had a metal ceiling concentration violation, and we did take a penalty for that case. Q. Thanks. In your experience as a compliance officer, has EPA ever concluded that a contractor that was performing operator duties was liable for Clean Water Act violations? A. Yes. Q. Have you ever seen a contractor doing operator duties at a regulated facility that was not a certified operator? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So let's talk a little bit about the recordkeeping requirements in the biosolids regulations. Can you generally describe what those are? A. Yeah. So it's broken down into two different parts depending on the role in the biosolids land application. It's broken down into preparer and land applier. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 applying it over the concentration for metals. So they did not have their sample results prior to land application. So they had a metal ceiling concentration violation, and we did take a penalty for that case. Q. Thanks. In your experience as a compliance officer, has EPA ever concluded that a contractor that was performing operator duties was liable for Clean Water Act violations? A. Yes. Q. Have you ever seen a contractor doing operator duties at a regulated facility that was not a certified operator? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So let's talk a little bit about the recordkeeping requirements in the biosolids regulations. Can you generally describe what those are? A. Yeah. So it's broken down into two different parts depending on the role in the biosolids land application. It's broken down into into preparer and land applier. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<section-header><section-header></section-header></section-header>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 applying it over the concentration for metals. So they did not have their sample results prior to land application. So they had a metal ceiling concentration violation, and we did take a penalty for that case. Q. Thanks. In your experience as a compliance officer, has EPA ever concluded that a contractor that was performing operator duties was liable for Clean Water Act violations? A. Yes. Q. Have you ever seen a contractor doing operator duties at a regulated facility that was not a certified operator? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So let's talk a little bit about the recordkeeping requirements in the biosolids regulations. Can you generally describe what those are? A. Yeah. So it's broken down into two different parts depending on the role in the biosolids land application. It's broken down into preparer and land applier. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text>

15 (Pages 57 to 60)

	Page 61		Page 63
1	witness 40 CFR 503.12.	1	references were changed. And I was under the
2	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	2	impression it went from preparer to applier, so
3	Q. Ms. Kleffner, do you recognize this set	3	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Your Honor, I think
4	of regulations here?	4	throughout all of the all of our filings, EPA
5	A. Yes.	5	has argued that the respondents were both the
6	Q. And could you read what it says there	6	preparer and applier. We're prepared to go through
7	under 503.12(d)?	7	the regulations, the 503.12 regulations that apply
8	A. D?	8	to this case. It's part of the testimony that we
9	Q. Yes, please.	9	plan to have Ms. Kleffner testify to.
10	A. "The person who prepares bulk sewage	10	THE COURT: Well, I just you know, I don't
11	sludge that is applied to agricultural land,	11	want to go outside the bounds of the complaint of
12	forest, a public contact site, or a reclamation	12	the charging document because that's what that's
13	site shall provide the person who applies the bulk	13	what I'm confined to. And so for purposes of not
14	sewage sludge written notification of the	14	confusing the record either, I want to make sure
15	concentration of total nitrogen as nitrogen on a	15	that, one, my understanding is correct.
16	dry weight basis in the bulk sewage sludge."	16	So should we take a look at do you
17	Q. Thank you.	17	want to take a look at this second amended
18	Can you read 503.12(f), please?	18	complaint? Am I misremembering that the regs were
19	A. "When a person who prepares bulk sewage	19	changed to refer to appliers rather than preparers?
20	sludge provides the bulk sewage sludge to a person	20	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Your Honor, if we could
21	who applies the bulk sewage sludge to the land, the	21	take just about five minutes and take a look at
22	person who prepares the bulk sewage sludge shall	22	this
23	provide the person who applies the sewage sludge	23	THE COURT: Sure.
24	notice and necessary information to comply with the	24	MR. MUEHLBERGER: and return, I'd
25	requirements in this subpart."	25	appreciate that.
	Page 62		Page 64
1	Q. Thank you.	1	THE COURT: Yeah, absolutely. Do you want a
2	So how does a preparer or applier of	2	break, or do you want me to just stay on the
3	sewage sludge, how do they typically create records	3	record?
4	during the course of a land application project?	4	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yeah, let's take just a
5	MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I guess I have to	5	short break would be great. Thank you.
6	object to relevance. We're here for the	6	THE COURT: Okay. Why don't we take ten
7	application. And that's the assertion of the EPA,	7	minutes, and then I'll address the objection once
8	is that there was an issue with the land	8	you've had a chance to take a look. And I might
9	application. I don't understand why we're focusing	9	take a look myself.
10	on a preparer.	10	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thank you.
11	THE COURT: Okay. You can respond.	11	THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MUEHLBERGER: Your Honor, EPA is arguing 12 (Whereupon, a brief that respondents were both the preparer and applier 13 recess was taken.) of the sewage sludge in this case, and as such, 14 THE COURT: All right. Back on record after 15 were responsible for the recordkeeping requirements a brief break. I pulled up a little bit of for both sections of the regulations. 16 information, too, about the second amended MR. PIERCE: That's not how their filing 17 complaint so I can follow along. comes off, Your Honor. 18 So if you could, then, just if you THE COURT: Yeah. Let me actually confirm 19 would, Mr. Muehlberger, go ahead and just, I guess, some things with you. I'm going from memory 20 respond to the objection. And if you would, here, so I might be mistaken. But I thought when 21 explain a little bit about the preparer language as you -- when the complaint was amended, the 22 it relates to the claims in the second amended 23 regulatory references were changed. We had a complaint. 24 MR. MUEHLBERGER: Sure. And thank you for second amended complaint, and while the proposed penalty wasn't changed, I thought the regulatory 25 the break. You can look at a document a thousand

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

16 (Pages 61 to 64)

	Page 65		Page 67
1	times, but you sometimes need to reread it to	1	also references in the complaint under the
2	familiarize yourself.	2	generalized paragraphs with respect to preparers
3	So as for the complaint, EPA states in	3	and appliers, what I guess what how would any
4	paragraph 13 in the regulatory and statutory	4	obligations a preparer would have under 503, how
5	framework the definition of preparer. We allege in	5	would that relate to the specific Claim 1 that's
6	paragraphs 35, 46, and 47 that respondents were, in	6	before me? Is there a connection there that I need
7	fact, the preparers of sludge.	7	to be aware of? And I think that that's what's
8	And then as far as the counts are	8	going in Mr. Pierce's objection.
9	concerned, we allege that respondents failed to	9	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor. Under
10	create, produce, and submit records under Part	10	the applicable regulations under 503, a preparer of
11	503.17 of the biosolids regulations and don't limit	11	sewage sludge is required to create records,
12	it to preparers or appliers.	12	including doing sampling, and then they are
13	As to changing the regulatory citation	13	required to provide those results and other records
14	for the amended complaint, I'm going to have	14	to the applier of the sewage sludge. If they don't
15	Ms. Kleffner testify as to why the the necessity	15	provide those records, then the applier can't
16	to change that citation.	16	create, maintain, and produce records under the
17	THE COURT: Okay. So okay. Just so that	17	biosolids regulations. EPA is alleging that the
18	I'm following here, with regard to the first claim	18	respondents were both the preparers, that they
19	of the bear with me here just while I pull up a	19	failed to provide the necessary records to the land
20	few notes. So with regard to Claim 1, the failure	20	appliers, and did not submit to the agency the
21	to develop and maintain records, that regulatory	21	required records under the biosolids regulations
22	provision that applies to that claim is what?	22	and under Clean Water Act Section 308.
23	MR. MUEHLBERGER: It's 503.17, which applies	23	THE COURT: Okay. So
24	to both preparers and land appliers of sewage	24	MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, may I say something?
25	sludge.	25	THE COURT: You certainly may. Let me just
	Page 66		Page 68
1	THE COURT: Okay. Now the markup and I'm	1	finish one thought to make sure I'm at least I
2	not trying to argue with you here, but I want to	2	think I'm clear.
3	make sure that I'm clear right out of the gate or	3	MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am.
4	just to get all the	4	THE COURT: So if I'm understanding the
5	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Sure.	5	framework, then, is part of the and I think
		1	-

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

responsibilities?

- б THE COURT: The markup that I have provides 7 for a citation to 503.17(a)(4)(ii). And it says 8 that the following records shall be retained by the 9 person who applies bulk sewage sludge, and then 10 there's a list, A through E?
- 11 MR. MUEHLBERGER: That's right. 12 THE COURT: Okay. So it was my understanding 13 from this language that the actual records that are alleged to have not been developed and maintained 14 15 by respondents are records that relate to the 16 person who applies the bulk sewage sludge. 17 MR. MUEHLBERGER: That's right. And our 18 changing of the regulatory citation, we just wanted 19 to make sure that we had the correct recordkeeping 20 requirements based on the class of the sludge, the 21 pathogen -- the potential pathogens that would be 22 in that sludge, and then what an applier would be 23 required to create and maintain under the biosolids

THE COURT: Okay. Recognizing that there is

24

25

regulations.

respondents were preparers and land appliers of

you've already answered this. So is part of the

relevance, then, with regard to references to a

sludge, the preparer is in possession of some

response to a 308 request for information?

to the applier and be available to be provided in

preparer that regardless of who applies the sewage

information that would then presumably be provided

THE COURT: So that part of it, would that

MR. MUEHLBERGER: So the regulations are a

then feed into Claim 2 in this complaint in terms

of responding to the 308 request, the preparer

bit complicated. Under 503, they're required to

create and maintain records. Under Section 308,

they're required -- an owner/operator of a point

source is required to provide records to EPA if

violation. And in this case, we are arguing that

there's an allegation of a Clean Water Act

MR. MUEHLBERGER: That's correct, Your Honor.

BRIDGER COURT REPORTERS, INC. (406) 582-0668

17 (Pages 65 to 68)

	Page 69		Page 71
1	sewage sludge and that they performed operator	1	But yeah, I did see a lot of overlap,
2	duties, and combined, they are required to submit	2	but some distinctions as well between the records
3	those records to the agency.	3	that were sought as an applier versus a preparer.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Mr. Pierce thank	4	But I think on relevancy grounds, I'm
5	you.	5	going to overrule the objection because there are
6	Mr. Pierce, go ahead.	6	references in the second amended complaint to a
7	MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. It seems like	7	preparer. But I guess I would just caution that to
8	if the EPA is claiming that I am the preparer, and	8	the extent you can, just, I guess, be very clear
9	I had an obligation to give it the applier, and I	9	about, you know, the actual claims that are before
10	am also the applier, wouldn't I be giving it to	10	me that I'm ruling on. I've got the two claims in
11	myself? Which is a pretty obvious question.	11	the complaint, and those are what are charged.
12	But also, the other question that's sort	12	So, you know, just recognizing the
13	of glaring is the regulation cited is for land	13	distinctions between some of the general paragraphs
14	applier. When they asked and they sent the letter	14	in the complaint and the specifics that are alleged
15	to me, it was for records for a land applier. So	15	with regard to the particular records and which
16	if you're asking for records under a specific law	16	they apply to, and then the 308 request would be
17	and that is for a land applier, I believe that's	17	helpful so that when I get back to drafting this
18	the relevance here, and it should stick with land	18	thing, it's not confusing.
19	applier regardless of how they try to tailor it.	19	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yeah. Your Honor, given
20	THE COURT: Okay. Any response before I	20	the complexity of the regulations, we will try our
21	rule?	21	hardest to walk through each of the citations and
22	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes. Many of the	22	how they apply in this case.
23	requirements for preparers and appliers are the	23	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you so much. As
24	same. We'll be walking through both of the	24	I've said, I've overruled the objection on
25	citations throughout the course of the testimony,	25	relevancy grounds. And we'll just move forward at
	Page 70		Page 72
1	so you'll see that many of the recordkeeping	1	this point.
2	requirements are the same for both preparers and	2	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Okay. Thank you.
3	appliers.	3	DIRECT EXAMINATION - Continued
4	And then I'd just also like to add that	4	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:
5	the complaint unambiguously asserts that the	5	Q. Ms. Kleffner, are you ready to pick up
6	respondents were preparers and appliers and	6	where we left off?
7	responsible for submitting records under Section	7	A. Yes.
8	308. And the counts were not changed as a result	8	Q. Okay. In a biosolids case, can there be
9	of us changing the regulatory citation in the	9	more than one preparer?
10	complaint, so there's no prejudice against the	10	A. Yes, there can.
11	respondent here. This has all been clearly laid	11	Q. Can there be more than one land applier?
12	out in the complaint.	12	A. Absolutely, yes.
13	MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I don't believe that	13	Q. And would it be EPA's position that each
14		1 13	Q. And would it be EFA's position that each
	the documents required for a preparer are the same	14	preparer and applier could be responsible for the
15	the documents required for a preparer are the same for an applier or the information needed for a	14 15	
15 16	the documents required for a preparer are the same for an applier or the information needed for a preparer is the same as an applier. So I	14 15 16	preparer and applier could be responsible for the biosolids recordkeeping requirements? A. Yes.
15	the documents required for a preparer are the same for an applier or the information needed for a preparer is the same as an applier. So I don't I believe that's inaccurate.	14 15 16 17	preparer and applier could be responsible for the biosolids recordkeeping requirements?A. Yes.Q. Okay.
15 16 17 18	the documents required for a preparer are the same for an applier or the information needed for a preparer is the same as an applier. So I don't I believe that's inaccurate. MR. MUEHLBERGER: They're not exactly the	14 15 16 17 18	 preparer and applier could be responsible for the biosolids recordkeeping requirements? A. Yes. Q. Okay. THE COURT: I'm sorry. I just want to make
15 16 17 18 19	the documents required for a preparer are the same for an applier or the information needed for a preparer is the same as an applier. So I don't I believe that's inaccurate. MR. MUEHLBERGER: They're not exactly the same, but there are many overlaps. And you'll see	14 15 16 17 18 19	 preparer and applier could be responsible for the biosolids recordkeeping requirements? A. Yes. Q. Okay. THE COURT: I'm sorry. I just want to make sure you're getting picked up. I can hear you just
15 16 17 18 19 20	the documents required for a preparer are the same for an applier or the information needed for a preparer is the same as an applier. So I don't I believe that's inaccurate. MR. MUEHLBERGER: They're not exactly the same, but there are many overlaps. And you'll see this as we go through the regulations.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 preparer and applier could be responsible for the biosolids recordkeeping requirements? A. Yes. Q. Okay. THE COURT: I'm sorry. I just want to make sure you're getting picked up. I can hear you just fine, but I want to make sure the mic's picking up.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the documents required for a preparer are the same for an applier or the information needed for a preparer is the same as an applier. So I don't I believe that's inaccurate. MR. MUEHLBERGER: They're not exactly the same, but there are many overlaps. And you'll see this as we go through the regulations. THE COURT: Yeah. That I do remember because	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 preparer and applier could be responsible for the biosolids recordkeeping requirements? A. Yes. Q. Okay. THE COURT: I'm sorry. I just want to make sure you're getting picked up. I can hear you just fine, but I want to make sure the mic's picking up. Are we good?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the documents required for a preparer are the same for an applier or the information needed for a preparer is the same as an applier. So I don't I believe that's inaccurate. MR. MUEHLBERGER: They're not exactly the same, but there are many overlaps. And you'll see this as we go through the regulations. THE COURT: Yeah. That I do remember because I it's been a bit, you know, because we	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 preparer and applier could be responsible for the biosolids recordkeeping requirements? A. Yes. Q. Okay. THE COURT: I'm sorry. I just want to make sure you're getting picked up. I can hear you just fine, but I want to make sure the mic's picking up. Are we good? THE REPORTER: Yes, thank you.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the documents required for a preparer are the same for an applier or the information needed for a preparer is the same as an applier. So I don't I believe that's inaccurate. MR. MUEHLBERGER: They're not exactly the same, but there are many overlaps. And you'll see this as we go through the regulations. THE COURT: Yeah. That I do remember because I it's been a bit, you know, because we unfortunately, we had to postpone the hearing a few	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 preparer and applier could be responsible for the biosolids recordkeeping requirements? A. Yes. Q. Okay. THE COURT: I'm sorry. I just want to make sure you're getting picked up. I can hear you just fine, but I want to make sure the mic's picking up. Are we good? THE REPORTER: Yes, thank you. THE COURT: Okay. Perfect. Go right ahead.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the documents required for a preparer are the same for an applier or the information needed for a preparer is the same as an applier. So I don't I believe that's inaccurate. MR. MUEHLBERGER: They're not exactly the same, but there are many overlaps. And you'll see this as we go through the regulations. THE COURT: Yeah. That I do remember because I it's been a bit, you know, because we unfortunately, we had to postpone the hearing a few times, one of which was attributable to me. So	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 preparer and applier could be responsible for the biosolids recordkeeping requirements? A. Yes. Q. Okay. THE COURT: I'm sorry. I just want to make sure you're getting picked up. I can hear you just fine, but I want to make sure the mic's picking up. Are we good? THE REPORTER: Yes, thank you. THE COURT: Okay. Perfect. Go right ahead. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the documents required for a preparer are the same for an applier or the information needed for a preparer is the same as an applier. So I don't I believe that's inaccurate. MR. MUEHLBERGER: They're not exactly the same, but there are many overlaps. And you'll see this as we go through the regulations. THE COURT: Yeah. That I do remember because I it's been a bit, you know, because we unfortunately, we had to postpone the hearing a few	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 preparer and applier could be responsible for the biosolids recordkeeping requirements? A. Yes. Q. Okay. THE COURT: I'm sorry. I just want to make sure you're getting picked up. I can hear you just fine, but I want to make sure the mic's picking up. Are we good? THE REPORTER: Yes, thank you. THE COURT: Okay. Perfect. Go right ahead.

18 (Pages 69 to 72)

	Page 73		Page 75
1	requirements change based on the content of the	1	308?
2	sewage sludge?	2	A. So biosolids in its entirety is a
3	A. Yes, they do.	3	self-implementing regulation, so we rely heavily on
4	Q. Okay. And can you describe how they may	4	being able to collect information from a preparer
5	differ depending on the content?	5	or a land applier or as part of the annual
6	A. Sure. So biosolids are broken up into	6	reporting requirement for some facilities. Without
7	two different classes based on the amount of	7	being able to collect those records, we would have
8	pathogens that are present. There's Class A	8	no way to assess whether a facility is in
9	biosolids and Class B biosolids. Class A biosolids	9	compliance with Part 503 or not.
10	have a lower pathogen content that's allowable, and	10	Q. Okay. And how does that speak to the
11	because of that the management practices and site	11	potential harm that may occur from misapplication
12	restrictions for Class A are less than Class B. So	12	of sewage sludge, for example?
13	Class A, you don't have to have you don't you	13	A. So without having those records,
14	still need to maintain records, but management	14	something like management practices where you're
15	practices and site restrictions are nixed in that	15	doing an agronomic rate calculation, we would not
16	recordkeeping requirement.	16	be able to verify that it wasn't applied too close
17	For Class B, you still need to have	17	to a water body or that there you know, there
18	those records maintained.	18	wasn't public contact with it. All of that, we
19	Q. Do the recordkeeping requirements change	19	would be unable to discern.
20	based on where the sewage sludge is applied?	20	Q. Okay. Let's turn to this case
21	A. Yes, they do.	21	specifically.
22	Q. Okay. And what types of lands might	22	How did EPA Region 7 first get involved
23	change the requirements for biosolids	23	with the case involving Nathan Pierce and Adamas
24	recordkeeping?	24	Construction and Development Services?
25	A. So the recordkeeping requirements would	25	A. So this was a referral complaint from
	Page 74		Page 76
-			_
1	change based on whether it's a public contact site	1 2	Region 8. MR. MUEHLBERGER: And I want to make sure I'm
2	or a non-public contact site. So in this instance, this was applied to an agricultural field, so you	3	pronouncing it correctly. Is it Adamas or Adamas?
4	would still need to maintain some of the site	4	MR. PIERCE: Adamas.
5	restrictions and management practices. If it was	5	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Okay. I apologize.
6	on a public contact site, you're assuming that	6	Adamas.
7	it's also a Class A so that it would be less	7	I'd like to show the witness
8	recordkeeping.	8	Complainant's Exhibit 9, et. page 1.
9	Q. Okay. And do biosolids need to be	9	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:
10	applied close to water in order for the	10	Q. Ms. Kleffner, do you recognize this
11	recordkeeping requirements to kick in?	11	document?
12	A. No. It's just wherever they're applied.	12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Okay. Thanks.	13	Q. And can you please read the highlighted
14	Are preparers and appliers of sewage	14	portion on page 1?
15	sludge required to turn over biosolids records to	15	A. "A property owner in Lame Deer,
16	EPA even if they're not an owner or operator of a	16	Tommy Robinson" followed by a phone
17	point source?	17	number "contacted the IHS on 8/27/2018 to
18	A. Yes.	18	express dissatisfaction with the sludge application
19	Q. Has EPA ever required preparers or	19	on his property from the Lame Deer lagoon
20	appliers of sewage sludge who were not operators to	20	desludging operation."
21	turn over biosolids records?	21	Q. And when you say IHS, what is IHS?
22	A. We have.	22	A. That would be Indian Health Services.
23	Q. Okay. What happens if a preparer or	23	MR. MUEHLBERGER: I would like to show the
24	land applier fails to provide records under the	24	witness Complainant's Exhibit 9, page 4.
25	biosolids regulations or Clean Water Act Section	25	///
			19 (Pages 73 to 76)

	Page 77		Page 79
1	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	1	of information that we ask for. It includes metals
2	Q. Okay. Ms. Kleffner, to your	2	concentration; pathogen concentration; vector
3	recollection, is this a continuation of that same	3	attraction reduction, what kind was used;
4	inspection report that you received from IHS?	4	management practices, including agronomic rate
5	A. Yes.	5	calculations; and site restrictions. We also do
6	Q. Okay. Can you please read the	6	include in there if anything doesn't meet Part 503
7	highlighted portion on this page?	7	requirements, such as metals or pathogens, we ask
8	A. "The subcontractor performing the sludge	8	either what happened to the biosolids, if it was
9	removal work, Nathan Pierce from Adamas	9	landfilled or if it was further processed.
10	Construction."	10	Q. So the information that you're looking
11	Q. And how did EPA get this report from	11	for in this 308 request, does that match the
12	IHS?	12	recordkeeping requirements that are under Part 503?
13	A. So Indian Health Services sent it to	13	A. Yes.
14	Region 8. Region 8 sent it to Region 7. It	14	Q. Would respondents have been required to
15	happened that way because Region 7 is the Biosolids	15	submit the biosolids records to EPA regardless of
16	Center of Excellence, so we take all enforcement	16	whether or not they were an owner/operator of a
17	and annual report review. We basically run the	17	point source?
18	program for biosolids nationwide.	18	A. Yes.
19	Q. And from your knowledge of this case,	19	Q. Okay. Thanks.
20	can you describe the relationship between Nathan	20	Okay. Ms. Kleffner, this is page 6 of
21	Pierce and Adamas Construction?	21	Complainant's Exhibit 11. In looking at this, is
22	A. He is the owner of Adamas Construction.	22	there anything that we missed here as far as
23	Q. So if we're referring to either Pierce	23	information that you were requesting from Nathan
24	or Adamas in this case, we're referring to the	24	Pierce or Adamas Construction?
25	same?	25	A. I don't think so.
	Dama 70		
-	Page 78		Page 80
1	A. Yes.	1	Q. Okay. All right. Moving on here.
2	Q. Okay.	2	MR. MUEHLBERGER: So I'd like to pull up 40 CFR 503.17, please. I think it's the next one
3	MR. MUEHLBERGER: So I'd like to show the	3	
4	witness Complainant's Exhibit 11, et. page 1. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	5	over. There you go. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:
5 6		6	
7	Q. So after you got this report from IHS, what did EPA do next?	7	Q. Ms. Kleffner, do you recognize these regulations?
8	A. So we sent an information request to	8	A. I do.
9	Mr. Pierce looking for records, basically, for this	9	Q. Okay. And can you describe what these
10	land application project.	10	regulations are?
11	Q. Okay. And why did the agency decide to	11	A. So this is the Part 503 biosolids
12	send this 308 request to Nathan Pierce and Adamas	12	regulations for recordkeeping.
13	Construction?	13	Q. Okay. And I'd like you to go through
14	A. He was the person listed in the	14	what the record keeping requirements would be for an
15	complaint.	15	applier of sewage sludge.
16	Q. Okay. Does the	16	A. Okay.
17	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Actually, I'd like to show	17	Q. Go ahead.
18	the witness Complainant's Exhibit 11, et. page 6.	18	A. Oh, go ahead.
19	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	19	Q. Under what subpart?
20	Q. While we're looking for that document,	20	A. So it would be $(a)(4)$.
	Ms. Kleffner, can you tell us what kinds of	21	Q. Okay. Could you please read this
21	-	22	regulatory citation?
	information were you looking for from respondents		
21	information were you looking for from respondents when you sent them the 308 request?	23	A. "If the pollutant concentrations in Part
21 22	when you sent them the 308 request?	23 24	 A. "If the pollutant concentrations in Part 503.13(b)(3) and the Class B pathogen requirements
21 22 23			A. "If the pollutant concentrations in Part 503.13(b)(3) and the Class B pathogen requirements in 503.32(b) are met when bulk sewage sludge is

	Page 81		Page 83
1	applied to agricultural land, forest, a public	1	respondents after you got the complaint from IHS;
2	contact site, or a reclamation site:	2	is that correct?
3	"The person who prepares the bulk sewage	3	A. Yes.
4	sludge shall develop the following information and	4	Q. Okay. So looking at Complainant's
5	shall retain the information for five years:	5	Exhibit 12, et. page 1, can you describe what
6	"The concentration of each pollutant	6	happened after that?
7	listed in 503" or "in Table 3 of 503.13 in the	7	A. So we received a letter from a law firm.
8	bulk sewage sludge.	8	The date at the top is October 17th, 2018. And it
9	"The following certifications	9	was basically just asking for a 60-day extension to
10	statement."	10	respond to the information request.
11	Q. Go ahead and read the C and D, please.	11	Q. And this is an attorney that represented
12	A. Okay.	12	the respondents in this case, correct?
13	"A description of how the Class B	13	A. Yes.
14	pathogen requirements in Part 503.32(b) are met.	14	Q. Okay.
15	"When one of the vector attraction	15	MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the
16	reduction requirements in 503.33(b)(1) through	16	witness Complainant's Exhibit 13, et. page 1.
17	(b)(8) is met, a description of how the vector	17	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:
18	attraction reduction requirement is met."	18	Q. Can you please describe this document?
19	Q. Thank you.	19	A. This is an e-mail from Dan Breedlove of
20	MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the	20	Region 7. And it's just responding to the attorney
21	witness Complainant's Exhibit 12, et. 1.	21	assigned saying that we would extend for 30 days.
22	THE COURT: Which exhibit, Mr. Muehlberger?	22	Q. Did EPA receive the information that
23	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Complainant's Exhibit 12,	23	they requested from respondents within that 30-day
24	et. page 1.	24	period?
25	THE COURT: CX12. Okay.	25	A. We did not.
	Page 82		Page 84

1	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Your Honor, I apologize for	1	Q. Okay.
2	asking this, but could we take a quick five-minute	2	MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the
3	break to get some technological issues straightened	3	witness Complainant's Exhibit 14, et. 1.
4	out here as far as the exhibits are concerned?	4	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:
5	THE COURT: Sure.	5	Q. Ms. Kleffner, do you recognize this
6	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thank you.	6	document?
7	THE COURT: Yeah. No problem.	7	A. I do.
8	(Whereupon, a brief	8	Q. And can you describe it, please?
9	recess was taken.)	9	A. This is a pre-filing letter. It was
10	THE COURT: Okay. Brief break. Back on the	10	sent on March 4th, 2019, to Mr. Pierce.
11	record. Please go ahead.	11	Q. Okay. Can you describe what is a
12	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thank you, Your Honor. We	12	pre-filing letter?
13	apologize for the technical difficulties here.	13	A. So a pre-filing letter from EPA is just
14	We're going to be switching over from using the	14	an offer, basically, to provide information and to
15	laptop to show exhibits to the projector up here.	15	go over any of the potential violations that we
16	THE COURT: Okay.	16	have discussed, and then discuss any settlement
17	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thank you.	17	that we would want to do between the two parties.
18	I'd like to show the witness	18	Q. Through a pre-file letter, what is EPA
19	Complainant's Exhibit 12, page 1. Are you able to	19	seeking in this case?
20	see that okay, Your Honor?	20	A. We were seeking a penalty.
21	THE COURT: Yes.	21	Q. Okay. Could you please read the
22	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thank you.	22	highlighted portion on that pre-file letter?
23	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	23	A. "Under Section 309 of the Clean Water
24	Q. So, Ms. Kleffner, earlier you testified	24	Act, the EPA is authorized to seek penalties and
25	that you issued an information request to the	25	mitigation for violations of Sections 308 and 405

Page 85

L

1of the Clean Water Act. To determine the1collection systems operated by NCUC, and2appropriate penalty amount, the EPA considers2planned projects within the Lame Deer coll3various factors, such as the nature, extent, and3system and at the lagoon.4gravity of the violation; any prior history of4"Based on our discussion with5violations; the economic benefit gained; and the5Mr. Pierce, all manholes within the Lame I6degree of culpability. Based on these factors, the6collection system were inspected for infiltr7EPA has determined a civil penalty is appropriate7annually and collection system vacuuming8in this case. Before assessing a penalty, however,8jetting was performed on an as-needed bass9we request a meeting to discuss any measures your9primarily to address blockages."	ection eer tion
3various factors, such as the nature, extent, and gravity of the violation; any prior history of3system and at the lagoon.4gravity of the violation; any prior history of4"Based on our discussion with5violations; the economic benefit gained; and the5Mr. Pierce, all manholes within the Lame I6degree of culpability. Based on these factors, the6collection system were inspected for infiltr7EPA has determined a civil penalty is appropriate7annually and collection system vacuuming8in this case. Before assessing a penalty, however,8jetting was performed on an as-needed base	eer tion
3various factors, such as the nature, extent, and gravity of the violation; any prior history of3system and at the lagoon.4gravity of the violation; any prior history of4"Based on our discussion with5violations; the economic benefit gained; and the5Mr. Pierce, all manholes within the Lame I6degree of culpability. Based on these factors, the6collection system were inspected for infiltr7EPA has determined a civil penalty is appropriate7annually and collection system vacuuming8in this case. Before assessing a penalty, however,8jetting was performed on an as-needed base	tion
5violations; the economic benefit gained; and the degree of culpability. Based on these factors, the 75Mr. Pierce, all manholes within the Lame I 66degree of culpability. Based on these factors, the 	tion
6degree of culpability. Based on these factors, the6collection system were inspected for infiltr7EPA has determined a civil penalty is appropriate7annually and collection system vacuuming8in this case. Before assessing a penalty, however,8jetting was performed on an as-needed base	tion
6degree of culpability. Based on these factors, the6collection system were inspected for infiltr7EPA has determined a civil penalty is appropriate7annually and collection system vacuuming8in this case. Before assessing a penalty, however,8jetting was performed on an as-needed base	tion
7EPA has determined a civil penalty is appropriate7annually and collection system vacuuming8in this case. Before assessing a penalty, however,8jetting was performed on an as-needed base	1
8 in this case. Before assessing a penalty, however, 8 jetting was performed on an as-needed base	ind
- · · · · ·	Ι,
9 we request a meeting to discuss any measures your 9 primarily to address blockages."	
10 company has taken to comply with the Clean Water 10 MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to sho	v the
11 Act and for you to provide any information that may 11 witness Complainant's Exhibit 5, et. page 6	
12 warrant a reduction in penalty." 12 BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	
13Q. Thank you.13Q. Is this a continuation of the inspective	tion
14 MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the 14 report?	
15 witness Complainant's Exhibit 5, et. page 1. 15 A. Yes.	
16 BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: 16 Q. Could you also read the highligh	ed
17 Q. Ms. Kleffner, do you recognize this 17 portions on this page?	
18 document? 18 A. "Mr. Pierce indicated the grinder h	d
19A. I do.19not been sufficiently reducing the size of so	ids
20 Q. And what is this? 20 to prevent interference with the lift station	
21 A. This is an inspection report. It's 21 pumps."	
22 dated July 20th of 2018. It was an inspection 22 MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to sho	v the
23 conducted by EPA Region 8 at Northern Cheyenne 23 witness Complainant's Exhibit 5, et. page 7	
24 Utilities Commission facilities. 24 BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	
25Q. The date here says July 20th, 2018. But25Q. Also part of the same inspection	eport?
Page 86 F	age 88
1do you recall when you received this inspection1A. That's correct.	
2 report? 2 Q. Okay. Could you please read the	
3A. We received this in April 2019.3highlighted portions?	
4 Q. Did you receive this inspection report 4 A. "During the inspection, Adamas	
5after you issued the pre-file letter to the5Construction was preparing Cell 2 for slud	е
б respondents? 6 removal.	
7 A. We did. 7 "Mr. Pierce stated all gate valves at	
8 Q. Okay. 8 transfer stations between cells were broken	
9 MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the 9 meaning there was no way to control or ret	in flow
10 witness Complainant's Exhibit 5, et. page 1. I'm 10 within the lagoon system."	
11 sorry, page 5. 11 "As such, we agreed to request record	
12 BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: 12 via e-mail after the inspection. A records r	-
13Q. Is this a continuation of the inspection13was e-mailed to Ms. Bement on June 21, 20	
14report that you just referenced?14this report, no records have been received in	l
15A. Yes.15response to this request."	
16Q. Could you please read the highlighted16Q. Ms. Kleffner, what does this insp	
17portions on this page?17report tell you about Mr. Pierce's role at	the Lame
17portions on this page?17report tell you about Mr. Pierce's role at18A. "However, Adamas Construction and18Deer POTW?	the Lame
17portions on this page?17report tell you about Mr. Pierce's role at18A. "However, Adamas Construction and18Deer POTW?19Development Services, PPLC, Adamas Construction,19A. He fulfilled operator duties at the	the Lame
17portions on this page?17report tell you about Mr. Pierce's role at18A. "However, Adamas Construction and18Deer POTW?19Development Services, PPLC, Adamas Construction,19A. He fulfilled operator duties at the20representative Mr. Nathan Pierce, NCUC contractor,20lagoon.	
17portions on this page?17report tell you about Mr. Pierce's role at18A. "However, Adamas Construction and18Deer POTW?19Development Services, PPLC, Adamas Construction,19A. He fulfilled operator duties at the20representative Mr. Nathan Pierce, NCUC contractor,20lagoon.21was present and indicated he would be able to21Q. Were the duties that he was perfected	rming
17portions on this page?17report tell you about Mr. Pierce's role at18A. "However, Adamas Construction and18Deer POTW?19Development Services, PPLC, Adamas Construction,19A. He fulfilled operator duties at the20representative Mr. Nathan Pierce, NCUC contractor,20lagoon.21was present and indicated he would be able to21Q. Were the duties that he was perfection questions.22address many of our inspection questions.22similar to operator duties that you've explanation.	rming
17portions on this page?17report tell you about Mr. Pierce's role at18A. "However, Adamas Construction and18Deer POTW?19Development Services, PPLC, Adamas Construction,19A. He fulfilled operator duties at the20representative Mr. Nathan Pierce, NCUC contractor,20lagoon.21was present and indicated he would be able to21Q. Were the duties that he was perfect22address many of our inspection questions.22similar to operator duties that you've explicit in other EPA enforcement cases?	rming
17portions on this page?17report tell you about Mr. Pierce's role at18A. "However, Adamas Construction and18Deer POTW?19Development Services, PPLC, Adamas Construction,19A. He fulfilled operator duties at the20representative Mr. Nathan Pierce, NCUC contractor,20lagoon.21was present and indicated he would be able to21Q. Were the duties that he was perfection questions.22address many of our inspection questions.22similar to operator duties that you've explanation.	rming erienced

22 (Pages 85 to 88)

	Page 89		Page 91
1	Lame Deer POTW. What did you learn about the POTW	1	A. June 14th, 2019.
2	itself from this inspection?	2	Q. And could you read the highlighted
3	A. So reviewing this inspection report,	3	portion here?
4	it's a three cell lagoon that operates in sequence.	4	A. "It is the position of Adamas that the
5	So as the water goes in, it has detention time in	5	size of the entity involved excluded 503
6	each lagoon. It continues through each of the	6	regulation, as is being asserted."
7	cells before discharging through a point source	7	MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the
8	into Lame Deer Creek.	8	witness Complainant's Exhibit 17, et. page 2.
9	Q. Earlier, you testified about NPDES	9	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:
10	permits. Does this facility have an NPDES permit?	10	Q. Is this a continuation of that same
11	A. It does.	11	communication from respondent's attorney?
12	Q. Okay. And why does it need one?	12	A. Yes.
13	A. So as a facility that discharges	13	Q. Could you please read the highlighted
14	pollutants from a point source into a water of the	14	portion starting with "According to"?
15	United States, that would fall under the NPDES	15	A. "According to the 'EPA Land Application
16	program and require it to have a permit.	16	of Sewage Sludge' - a Guide for Land Appliers on
17	Q. Okay.	17	the Requirements of the Federal Standards for the
18	MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to toggle over to	18	Use or Disposal of Sewage Sludge, 40 CFR Part 503,'
19	the laptop here and show the witness 40 CFR 122.1.	19	'EQ sludge is considered comparable to standard
20	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	20	fertilizer products. Therefore, land appliers of
21	Q. Do you recognize this part of the	21	EQ sewage sludge have no requirements to meet under
22	regulations, Ms. Kleffner?	22	the Rule.'
23	A. I do.	23	"According to 2.8 Reporting, 40 CFR
24	Q. And can I have you read 122.1 at (b)(1)?	24	503.18, the reporting requirements under Part 503
25	A. "The NPDES program requires permits for	25	apply to major municipal NPDES permittees and Class
	Page 90		Page 92
1	the discharge of pollutants from any point source	1	1 Sludge Management Facilities. Major
2	into waters of the United States. The terms	2	municipal"
3	'pollutant,' 'point source,' and 'waters of the	3	MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the
4	United States' are defined at 122.2."	4	witness Complainant's Exhibit 17, et. page 3.
5	Q. So, Ms. Kleffner, is it presumed that a	5	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:
б	facility that has an NPDES permit, that that	6	Q. Can you keep reading there, please,
7	facility will discharge into a water of the United	7	Ms. Kleffner?
8	States?	8	A. Sure.
9	A. Yes.	9	"NPDES permittees are publically
10	Q. Thank you.	10	owned treatment works, POTWs, with a design flow
11	Okay. Let's get back to the projector	11	rate equal to or greater than 1 million gallons per
12	here. I'd like to get back to your interaction	12	day and POTWs with a service population of 10,000
13	with respondents after you sent the pre-file	13	people or more.
14	letter.	14	"Neither my client nor the Lame Deer
15	MR. MUEHLBERGER: And I'd like to show the	15	Lagoons qualify as a POTW and are therefore exempt
16	witness Complainant's Exhibit 17, et. page 1.	16	from reporting under the rule."
17	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	17	Q. Ms. Kleffner, does do respondents
		1	

- 17 Q. Ms. Kleffner, does -- do respondents 18 Q. Do you recognize this document? meet the exemptions of Clean Water Act reporting 19 requirements under the biosolids regulations as 20 outlined in this response? A. This is an e-mail from Mr. Gallus, who 21 A. They do not. 22 Q. And why don't they? 23 A. So what Mr. Gallus is describing in his Q. Okay. And could you read the 24 e-mail is talking about annual reporting highlighted portion -- I'm sorry. What's the date
 - 25 requirements, and that is the requirement to report

23 (Pages 89 to 92)

BRIDGER COURT REPORTERS, INC. (406) 582-0668

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Yes.

on this document?

Q. And what is this?

was representing Mr. Pierce.

Pag	е	93

	Page 93		Page 95
1	annually to the EPA for the previous year. That	1	provided to EPA. EPA is unable to prove the
2	does not exempt a facility, even if they don't meet	2	veracity of the claims you are making regarding the
3	those requirements, from having to report records	3	waste without appropriate documentation.
4	as requested.	4	"The reporting requirements under 40 CFR
5	Q. Okay. So is it EPA's position that	5	Part 503 apply to any person who prepares sewage
6	respondents were still required to produce	6	sludge that is applied to the land, to any person
7	biosolids records under the regulations?	7	who applies sewage sludge to the land, to sewage
8	A. Yes.	8	sludge applied to the land, and to the land on
9	Q. In this response, did EPA receive any of	9	which sewage sludge is applied.
10	the information that they requested in the	10	"EPA requests that compliance with the
11	September 25th, 2018 information request?	11	Clean Water Act Section 308 letter within 10 days."
12	A. We did not.	12	Q. And did EPA in fact get the records that
13	Q. Okay.	13	they requested within that 10-day period?
14	MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the	14	A. We received some documents.
15	witness Complainant's Exhibit 18, et. page 1.	15	Q. I think it would help if I move on to
16	THE COURT: Let me just interject real quick.	16	the next exhibit.
17	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Certainly.	17	A. Yeah, I think so.
18	THE COURT: Because I just for clarity for	18	MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the
10 19	the record. I believe you had inquired before	19	witness Complainant's Exhibit 19, et. page 1.
20	hearing if it was okay to highlight certain	20	THE WITNESS: Okay.
20 21	sections just to kind of streamline the testimony,	20	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:
21	and I said that that was fine. I think Ms. Almase	22	
22	communicated that to me it was raised prehearing.	23	Q. Do you recognize this document? A. I do.
23 24	So just for clarity, what the final	24	Q. Okay. And can you describe it, please?
24 25	exhibits that you submitted to the court reporter	24	A. This is an e-mail from Adamas
20	exhibits that you submitted to the court reporter	25	A. This is an e-mail from Adamas
	Page 94		Page 96
1		1	-
1 2	that will be in the official record don't contain	1	Construction and Development Services to Sara
		2	Construction and Development Services to Sara Hertz Wu. The date is July 2nd, 2019.
2 3	that will be in the official record don't contain this highlighting, right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: That is correct.	2 3	Construction and Development Services to Sara Hertz Wu. The date is July 2nd, 2019. Q. Can you read the highlighted portion,
2 3 4	that will be in the official record don't contain this highlighting, right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: That is correct. THE COURT: Okay. So that's just, really, a	2 3 4	Construction and Development Services to Sara Hertz Wu. The date is July 2nd, 2019. Q. Can you read the highlighted portion, please?
2 3 4 5	that will be in the official record don't contain this highlighting, right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: That is correct. THE COURT: Okay. So that's just, really, a demonstrative aid when you refer to the	2 3 4 5	Construction and Development Services to Sara Hertz Wu. The date is July 2nd, 2019. Q. Can you read the highlighted portion, please? A. "Our company was as given the directive
2 3 4 5 6	that will be in the official record don't contain this highlighting, right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: That is correct. THE COURT: Okay. So that's just, really, a demonstrative aid when you refer to the highlighting portions on the transcript when it	2 3 4 5 6	Construction and Development Services to Sara Hertz Wu. The date is July 2nd, 2019. Q. Can you read the highlighted portion, please? A. "Our company was as given the directive from NCUC GM Sheri Bement and Northern Cheyenne
2 3 4 5	that will be in the official record don't contain this highlighting, right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: That is correct. THE COURT: Okay. So that's just, really, a demonstrative aid when you refer to the highlighting portions on the transcript when it comes out. I just want to make sure that it won't	2 3 4 5 6 7	Construction and Development Services to Sara Hertz Wu. The date is July 2nd, 2019. Q. Can you read the highlighted portion, please? A. "Our company was as given the directive from NCUC GM Sheri Bement and Northern Cheyenne tribal president Jace Killsback to proceed with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that will be in the official record don't contain this highlighting, right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: That is correct. THE COURT: Okay. So that's just, really, a demonstrative aid when you refer to the highlighting portions on the transcript when it comes out. I just want to make sure that it won't appear as highlighting in the final exhibits.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Construction and Development Services to Sara Hertz Wu. The date is July 2nd, 2019. Q. Can you read the highlighted portion, please? A. "Our company was as given the directive from NCUC GM Sheri Bement and Northern Cheyenne tribal president Jace Killsback to proceed with sludge removal and application, and, a, NCUC would
2 3 4 5 6 7	that will be in the official record don't contain this highlighting, right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: That is correct. THE COURT: Okay. So that's just, really, a demonstrative aid when you refer to the highlighting portions on the transcript when it comes out. I just want to make sure that it won't appear as highlighting in the final exhibits. MR. MUEHLBERGER: That's correct, Your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Construction and Development Services to Sara Hertz Wu. The date is July 2nd, 2019. Q. Can you read the highlighted portion, please? A. "Our company was as given the directive from NCUC GM Sheri Bement and Northern Cheyenne tribal president Jace Killsback to proceed with sludge removal and application, and, a, NCUC would take the sludge samples or would be responsible for
2 3 5 6 7 8 9	that will be in the official record don't contain this highlighting, right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: That is correct. THE COURT: Okay. So that's just, really, a demonstrative aid when you refer to the highlighting portions on the transcript when it comes out. I just want to make sure that it won't appear as highlighting in the final exhibits. MR. MUEHLBERGER: That's correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. I just wanted	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Construction and Development Services to Sara Hertz Wu. The date is July 2nd, 2019. Q. Can you read the highlighted portion, please? A. "Our company was as given the directive from NCUC GM Sheri Bement and Northern Cheyenne tribal president Jace Killsback to proceed with sludge removal and application, and, a, NCUC would take the sludge samples or would be responsible for sludge samples.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that will be in the official record don't contain this highlighting, right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: That is correct. THE COURT: Okay. So that's just, really, a demonstrative aid when you refer to the highlighting portions on the transcript when it comes out. I just want to make sure that it won't appear as highlighting in the final exhibits. MR. MUEHLBERGER: That's correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. I just wanted that clarification now for the transcript and for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Construction and Development Services to Sara Hertz Wu. The date is July 2nd, 2019. Q. Can you read the highlighted portion, please? A. "Our company was as given the directive from NCUC GM Sheri Bement and Northern Cheyenne tribal president Jace Killsback to proceed with sludge removal and application, and, a, NCUC would take the sludge samples or would be responsible for sludge samples. "The attached pre-construction meeting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that will be in the official record don't contain this highlighting, right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: That is correct. THE COURT: Okay. So that's just, really, a demonstrative aid when you refer to the highlighting portions on the transcript when it comes out. I just want to make sure that it won't appear as highlighting in the final exhibits. MR. MUEHLBERGER: That's correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. I just wanted that clarification now for the transcript and for anyone reviewing. Go ahead.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Construction and Development Services to Sara Hertz Wu. The date is July 2nd, 2019. Q. Can you read the highlighted portion, please? A. "Our company was as given the directive from NCUC GM Sheri Bement and Northern Cheyenne tribal president Jace Killsback to proceed with sludge removal and application, and, a, NCUC would take the sludge samples or would be responsible for sludge samples. "The attached pre-construction meeting minutes show clearly that Indian Health Services
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that will be in the official record don't contain this highlighting, right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: That is correct. THE COURT: Okay. So that's just, really, a demonstrative aid when you refer to the highlighting portions on the transcript when it comes out. I just want to make sure that it won't appear as highlighting in the final exhibits. MR. MUEHLBERGER: That's correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. I just wanted that clarification now for the transcript and for anyone reviewing. Go ahead. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thanks. I'm showing the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Construction and Development Services to Sara Hertz Wu. The date is July 2nd, 2019. Q. Can you read the highlighted portion, please? A. "Our company was as given the directive from NCUC GM Sheri Bement and Northern Cheyenne tribal president Jace Killsback to proceed with sludge removal and application, and, a, NCUC would take the sludge samples or would be responsible for sludge samples. "The attached pre-construction meeting minutes show clearly that Indian Health Services informed NCUC they were responsible for all work,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that will be in the official record don't contain this highlighting, right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: That is correct. THE COURT: Okay. So that's just, really, a demonstrative aid when you refer to the highlighting portions on the transcript when it comes out. I just want to make sure that it won't appear as highlighting in the final exhibits. MR. MUEHLBERGER: That's correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. I just wanted that clarification now for the transcript and for anyone reviewing. Go ahead.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Construction and Development Services to Sara Hertz Wu. The date is July 2nd, 2019. Q. Can you read the highlighted portion, please? A. "Our company was as given the directive from NCUC GM Sheri Bement and Northern Cheyenne tribal president Jace Killsback to proceed with sludge removal and application, and, a, NCUC would take the sludge samples or would be responsible for sludge samples. "The attached pre-construction meeting minutes show clearly that Indian Health Services informed NCUC they were responsible for all work, and they specifically state at bullet point number
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that will be in the official record don't contain this highlighting, right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: That is correct. THE COURT: Okay. So that's just, really, a demonstrative aid when you refer to the highlighting portions on the transcript when it comes out. I just want to make sure that it won't appear as highlighting in the final exhibits. MR. MUEHLBERGER: That's correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. I just wanted that clarification now for the transcript and for anyone reviewing. Go ahead. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thanks. I'm showing the witness Complainant's Exhibit 18, et. page 1. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Construction and Development Services to Sara Hertz Wu. The date is July 2nd, 2019. Q. Can you read the highlighted portion, please? A. "Our company was as given the directive from NCUC GM Sheri Bement and Northern Cheyenne tribal president Jace Killsback to proceed with sludge removal and application, and, a, NCUC would take the sludge samples or would be responsible for sludge samples. "The attached pre-construction meeting minutes show clearly that Indian Health Services informed NCUC they were responsible for all work, and they specifically state at bullet point number 4, 'NCUC is ultimately responsible for following
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that will be in the official record don't contain this highlighting, right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: That is correct. THE COURT: Okay. So that's just, really, a demonstrative aid when you refer to the highlighting portions on the transcript when it comes out. I just want to make sure that it won't appear as highlighting in the final exhibits. MR. MUEHLBERGER: That's correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. I just wanted that clarification now for the transcript and for anyone reviewing. Go ahead. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thanks. I'm showing the witness Complainant's Exhibit 18, et. page 1. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Construction and Development Services to Sara Hertz Wu. The date is July 2nd, 2019. Q. Can you read the highlighted portion, please? A. "Our company was as given the directive from NCUC GM Sheri Bement and Northern Cheyenne tribal president Jace Killsback to proceed with sludge removal and application, and, a, NCUC would take the sludge samples or would be responsible for sludge samples. "The attached pre-construction meeting minutes show clearly that Indian Health Services informed NCUC they were responsible for all work, and they specifically state at bullet point number 4, 'NCUC is ultimately responsible for following 503 regulation or requirements.'"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 that will be in the official record don't contain this highlighting, right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: That is correct. THE COURT: Okay. So that's just, really, a demonstrative aid when you refer to the highlighting portions on the transcript when it comes out. I just want to make sure that it won't appear as highlighting in the final exhibits. MR. MUEHLBERGER: That's correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. I just wanted that clarification now for the transcript and for anyone reviewing. Go ahead. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thanks. I'm showing the witness Complainant's Exhibit 18, et. page 1. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: A I do. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Construction and Development Services to Sara Hertz Wu. The date is July 2nd, 2019. Q. Can you read the highlighted portion, please? A. "Our company was as given the directive from NCUC GM Sheri Bement and Northern Cheyenne tribal president Jace Killsback to proceed with sludge removal and application, and, a, NCUC would take the sludge samples or would be responsible for sludge samples. "The attached pre-construction meeting minutes show clearly that Indian Health Services informed NCUC they were responsible for all work, and they specifically state at bullet point number 4, 'NCUC is ultimately responsible for following 503 regulation or requirements." Q. Okay. To your knowledge, did NCUC ever
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that will be in the official record don't contain this highlighting, right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: That is correct. THE COURT: Okay. So that's just, really, a demonstrative aid when you refer to the highlighting portions on the transcript when it comes out. I just want to make sure that it won't appear as highlighting in the final exhibits. MR. MUEHLBERGER: That's correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. I just wanted that clarification now for the transcript and for anyone reviewing. Go ahead. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thanks. I'm showing the witness Complainant's Exhibit 18, et. page 1. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Construction and Development Services to Sara Hertz Wu. The date is July 2nd, 2019. Q. Can you read the highlighted portion, please? A. "Our company was as given the directive from NCUC GM Sheri Bement and Northern Cheyenne tribal president Jace Killsback to proceed with sludge removal and application, and, a, NCUC would take the sludge samples or would be responsible for sludge samples. "The attached pre-construction meeting minutes show clearly that Indian Health Services informed NCUC they were responsible for all work, and they specifically state at bullet point number 4, 'NCUC is ultimately responsible for following 503 regulation or requirements.'"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 that will be in the official record don't contain this highlighting, right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: That is correct. THE COURT: Okay. So that's just, really, a demonstrative aid when you refer to the highlighting portions on the transcript when it comes out. I just want to make sure that it won't appear as highlighting in the final exhibits. MR. MUEHLBERGER: That's correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. I just wanted that clarification now for the transcript and for anyone reviewing. Go ahead. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thanks. I'm showing the witness Complainant's Exhibit 18, et. page 1. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Do you recognize this document? A. I do. Q. And what is this? A. This is an e-mail from Sara Hertz Wu of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Construction and Development Services to Sara Hertz Wu. The date is July 2nd, 2019. O. Can you read the highlighted portion, please? A. "Our company was as given the directive from NCUC GM Sheri Bement and Northern Cheyenne tribal president Jace Killsback to proceed with sludge removal and application, and, a, NCUC would take the sludge samples or would be responsible for sludge samples. "The attached pre-construction meeting minutes show clearly that Indian Health Services informed NCUC they were responsible for all work, and they specifically state at bullet point number 4, 'NCUC is ultimately responsible for following 503 regulation or requirements.''' O. Okay. To your knowledge, did NCUC ever perform any sampling of the sludge in this case? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 that will be in the official record don't contain this highlighting, right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: That is correct. THE COURT: Okay. So that's just, really, a demonstrative aid when you refer to the highlighting portions on the transcript when it comes out. I just want to make sure that it won't appear as highlighting in the final exhibits. MR. MUEHLBERGER: That's correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. I just wanted that clarification now for the transcript and for anyone reviewing. Go ahead. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thanks. I'm showing the witness Complainant's Exhibit 18, et. page 1. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Do you recognize this document? A. I do. Q. And what is this? A. This is an e-mail from Sara Hertz Wu of EPA Region 7 to Mr. Gallus. And the date is June 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Construction and Development Services to Sara Hertz Wu. The date is July 2nd, 2019. Q. Can you read the highlighted portion, please? A. "Our company was as given the directive from NCUC GM Sheri Bement and Northern Cheyenne tribal president Jace Killsback to proceed with sludge removal and application, and, a, NCUC would take the sludge samples or would be responsible for sludge samples. "The attached pre-construction meeting minutes show clearly that Indian Health Services informed NCUC they were responsible for all work, and they specifically state at bullet point number 4, 'NCUC is ultimately responsible for following 503 regulation or requirements." Q. Okay. To your knowledge, did NCUC ever perform any sampling of the sludge in this case? A. No. Q. Who performed the sampling of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 that will be in the official record don't contain this highlighting, right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: That is correct. THE COURT: Okay. So that's just, really, a demonstrative aid when you refer to the highlighting portions on the transcript when it comes out. I just want to make sure that it won't appear as highlighting in the final exhibits. MR. MUEHLBERGER: That's correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. I just wanted that clarification now for the transcript and for anyone reviewing. Go ahead. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thanks. I'm showing the witness Complainant's Exhibit 18, et. page 1. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Do you recognize this document? A. I do. Q. And what is this? A. This is an e-mail from Sara Hertz Wu of EPA Region 7 to Mr. Gallus. And the date is June 21st, 2019. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Construction and Development Services to Sara Hertz Wu. The date is July 2nd, 2019. Q. Can you read the highlighted portion, please? A. "Our company was as given the directive from NCUC GM Sheri Bement and Northern Cheyenne tribal president Jace Killsback to proceed with sludge removal and application, and, a, NCUC would take the sludge samples or would be responsible for sludge samples. "The attached pre-construction meeting minutes show clearly that Indian Health Services informed NCUC they were responsible for all work, and they specifically state at bullet point number 4, 'NCUC is ultimately responsible for following 503 regulation or requirements." Q. Okay. To your knowledge, did NCUC ever perform any sampling of the sludge in this case? A. No. Q. Who performed the sampling of the sludge?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 that will be in the official record don't contain this highlighting, right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: That is correct. THE COURT: Okay. So that's just, really, a demonstrative aid when you refer to the highlighting portions on the transcript when it comes out. I just want to make sure that it won't appear as highlighting in the final exhibits. MR. MUEHLBERGER: That's correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. I just wanted that clarification now for the transcript and for anyone reviewing. Go ahead. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thanks. I'm showing the witness Complainant's Exhibit 18, et. page 1. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Do you recognize this document? A. I do. Q. And what is this? A. This is an e-mail from Sara Hertz Wu of EPA Region 7 to Mr. Gallus. And the date is June 21st, 2019. Q. Can you read the highlighted portions 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Construction and Development Services to Sara Hertz Wu. The date is July 2nd, 2019. Q. Can you read the highlighted portion, please? A. "Our company was as given the directive from NCUC GM Sheri Bement and Northern Cheyenne tribal president Jace Killsback to proceed with sludge removal and application, and, a, NCUC would take the sludge samples or would be responsible for sludge samples. The attached pre-construction meeting minutes show clearly that Indian Health Services informed NCUC they were responsible for all work, and they specifically state at bullet point number 4, 'NCUC is ultimately responsible for following 503 regulation or requirements." Q. Okay. To your knowledge, did NCUC ever perform any sampling of the sludge in this case? A. No. Q. Who performed the sampling of the sludge? A. Mr. Pierce.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 that will be in the official record don't contain this highlighting, right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: That is correct. THE COURT: Okay. So that's just, really, a demonstrative aid when you refer to the highlighting portions on the transcript when it comes out. I just want to make sure that it won't appear as highlighting in the final exhibits. MR. MUEHLBERGER: That's correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. I just wanted that clarification now for the transcript and for anyone reviewing. Go ahead. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thanks. I'm showing the witness Complainant's Exhibit 18, et. page 1. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: A. I do. Q. And what is this? A. This is an e-mail from Sara Hertz Wu of EPA Region 7 to Mr. Gallus. And the date is June 21st, 2019. Q. Can you read the highlighted portions here, please? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Construction and Development Services to Sara Hertz Wu. The date is July 2nd, 2019. Q. Can you read the highlighted portion, <i>please?</i> A. "Our company was as given the directive from NCUC GM Sheri Bement and Northern Cheyenne tribal president Jace Killsback to proceed with sludge removal and application, and, a, NCUC would take the sludge samples or would be responsible for sludge samples. "The attached pre-construction meeting minutes show clearly that Indian Health Services informed NCUC they were responsible for all work, and they specifically state at bullet point number 4, 'NCUC is ultimately responsible for following 503 regulation or requirements." Q. Okay. To your knowledge, did NCUC ever perform any sampling of the sludge in this case? A. No. Q. Who performed the sampling of the sludge? A. Mr. Pierce. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Okay. I'd like to show the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 that will be in the official record don't contain this highlighting, right? MR. MUEHLBERGER: That is correct. THE COURT: Okay. So that's just, really, a demonstrative aid when you refer to the highlighting portions on the transcript when it comes out. I just want to make sure that it won't appear as highlighting in the final exhibits. MR. MUEHLBERGER: That's correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. I just wanted that clarification now for the transcript and for anyone reviewing. Go ahead. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thanks. I'm showing the witness Complainant's Exhibit 18, et. page 1. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Do you recognize this document? A. I do. Q. And what is this? A. This is an e-mail from Sara Hertz Wu of EPA Region 7 to Mr. Gallus. And the date is June 21st, 2019. Q. Can you read the highlighted portions 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Construction and Development Services to Sara Hertz Wu. The date is July 2nd, 2019. Q. Can you read the highlighted portion, <i>please?</i> A. "Our company was as given the directive from NCUC GM Sheri Bement and Northern Cheyenne tribal president Jace Killsback to proceed with sludge removal and application, and, a, NCUC would take the sludge samples or would be responsible for sludge samples. "The attached pre-construction meeting minutes show clearly that Indian Health Services informed NCUC they were responsible for all work, and they specifically state at bullet point number 4, 'NCUC is ultimately responsible for following 503 regulation or requirements." Q. Okay. To your knowledge, did NCUC ever <i>perform any sampling of the sludge in this case?</i> A. No. Q. Who performed the sampling of the <i>sludge?</i> A. Mr. Pierce.

24 (Pages 93 to 96)

	Page 97		Page 99
1	Thank you.	1	question. But did EPA receive any other sample
2	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	2	results from the sludge application project?
3	Q. Do you recognize this document?	3	A. We did not.
4	A. I do.	4	Q. Okay. Were the lab results that you
5	Q. Okay. And can you describe it, please?	5	received from Mr. Pierce sufficient to answer EPA's
б	A. This is titled, "Lame Deer Lagoon Sludge	6	questions under the information requests that they
7	Removal." It's a pre-construction meeting agenda.	7	sent back in September of 2018?
8	And the date is May 17th, 2018.	8	A. In part. So the lab results had
9	Q. And was this document submitted by	9	nitrogen content, pathogen, and metal sampling.
10	Nathan Pierce to the EPA?	10	But it did not include the final agronomic rate
11	A. Yes.	11	calculations, site restrictions, or other
12	Q. Okay. And can you please read the	12	management practices, or vector attraction
13	highlighted portion?	13	reduction.
14	A. "Subcontractor: Nathan Pierce, Adamas	14	MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the
15	PLLC."	15	witness Complainant's Exhibit 19, et. page 31.
16	MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the	16	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:
17	witness Complainant's Exhibit 19, et. page 12.	17	Q. Was this also part of the response that
18	Could we look at the top of the document here?	18	you received from Nathan Pierce to EPA?
19	Thank you.	19	A. Yes.
20	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	20	Q. And can you describe this document,
21	Q. Do you recognize this document?	21	please?
22	A. I do.	22	A. This is an e-mail. It's titled, "Update
23	Q. Also submitted by Nathan Pierce to EPA?	23	LD lagoon project." It's from Adamas Construction
24	A. Yes.	24	to James Courtney of Indian Health Services. The
25	Q. Okay. And what is this document?	25	date is July 13th, 2018.
	Page 98		Page 100
1	A. This is lab results from samples taken.	1	Q. Okay. And can you read the highlighted
2	And it's dated August 2nd, 2018. The header has	2	portions, please?

-	The This is had results from sumples taken.		Q. Ohuj. And can you read the inginghted
2	And it's dated August 2nd, 2018. The header has	2	portions, please?
3	Adamas Construction.	3	A. "We pumped and dewatered a significant
4	Q. Okay.	4	amount of sludge on Wednesday.
5	MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the	5	"We will be on-site today pumping
б	witness Complainant's Exhibit 19, et. page 26.	6	sludge. We should be ready to begin hauling and
7	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	7	application next week."
8	Q. Can you describe this document?	8	Q. Ms. Kleffner, what do these highlighted
9	A. This is a chain of custody for the lab	9	references say to you about Mr. Pierce's
10	results.	10	involvement with the sludge project?
11	Q. Okay. And who's listed as the point of	11	A. So it mentions dewatering, so that
12	contact on this chain of custody?	12	indicates that he would have been a preparer. And
13	A. Adamas Construction and Nathan Pierce.	13	then it also talks about hauling an application
14	Q. Okay. Are there other lab results in	14	next week, so that would make him a land applier as
15	the record that also have Adamas Construction	15	well.
16	listed as the point of contact in the submittal	16	MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the
17	that you received from Mr. Pierce?	17	witness 40 CFR 503.17. So if we could toggle over
18	A. No. This is the only submittal we	18	to the laptop, please.
19	received.	19	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:
20	Q. Okay. And what does this tell you about	20	Q. Ms. Kleffner, you testified earlier that
21	Mr. Pierce's involvement with the sludge	21	both preparers and land appliers of sewage sludge
22	application project?	22	are responsible for recordkeeping requirements
23	A. So he took both soil and sludge samples	23	under Part 503; is that correct?
24	for it.	24	A. That is correct.
25	Q. Okay. And I think you answered this	25	Q. Can you locate the relevant regulations

25 (Pages 97 to 100)

		1	
	Page 101		Page 103
1	in 503.17 that apply to preparers?	1	that.
2	A. So it would be $503.17(a)(4)(i)$ would be	2	Q. Let's talk about vector attraction.
3	for preparers.	3	What is that and why is it important for EPA to
4	Q. And looking at this information or this	4	receive that information?
5	citation here, what kind of information are	5	A. Vector attraction reduction is what is
6	preparers of sewage sludge required to keep and	6	necessary to reduce the attractiveness of biosolids
7	maintain?	7	to wildlife and other potentially disease-carrying
8	A. So they are required to keep and	8	organisms. So that would mean that you're keeping
9	maintain pollutant concentrations. If you scroll	9	away any type of bugs or wild animals. If you have
10	down a little bit further, it will say there's a	10	an instance where it's the biosolids are really
11	certification for vector attraction reduction,	11	attractive to wildlife, they will get into the
12	depending, of course, on what option is chosen for	12	biosolids, and then it has the potential to make
13	that. And then Class B, pathogen requirements.	13	its way off site and potentially have contact with
14	Q. Thank you.	14	other animals or humans.
15	Ms. Kleffner, in any of the responses	15	Q. You testified that management practices
16	that you received from Nathan Pierce or Adamas	16	helps to EPA to discern whether or not sewage
17	Construction or the attorneys, did you get the	17	sludge was overapplied. Is that correct?
18	information that you requested in the September	18	A. Yes.
19	25th, 2018 request for information?	19	Q. What else is important about management
20	A. We only received part of it. We would	20	practices for purposes of the biosolids
21	have gotten what would have been necessary for a	21	regulations?
22	preparer, but we were missing a significant amount	22	A. Purposes of management practices is to
23	of information for a land applier.	23	make sure that the biosolids stay on the site that
24	Q. Can you walk us through what information	24	they were intended to be land applied on. So
25	was missing that you requested?	25	management practices include making sure that you
	and massing that you requested?		
	Page 102		Page 104
1	Page 102	1	Page 104
1	A. Sure. So we as a land applier, we	1	apply biosolids a certain amount of distance away
2	A. Sure. So we as a land applier, we were missing site restrictions, verification that	2	apply biosolids a certain amount of distance away from a water body, that they're not overapplied,
2 3	A. Sure. So we as a land applier, we were missing site restrictions, verification that vector attraction reduction was achieved through a	2 3	apply biosolids a certain amount of distance away from a water body, that they're not overapplied, that they're not applied to land that's flooded or
2 3 4	A. Sure. So we as a land applier, we were missing site restrictions, verification that vector attraction reduction was achieved through a physical method, and then management practices.	2 3 4	apply biosolids a certain amount of distance away from a water body, that they're not overapplied, that they're not applied to land that's flooded or frozen. So it's just ensuring that biosolids stay
2 3 4 5	A. Sure. So we as a land applier, we were missing site restrictions, verification that vector attraction reduction was achieved through a physical method, and then management practices. The most significant thing for management practices	2 3 4 5	apply biosolids a certain amount of distance away from a water body, that they're not overapplied, that they're not applied to land that's flooded or frozen. So it's just ensuring that biosolids stay where they are supposed to be on-site.
2 3 4 5 6	A. Sure. So we as a land applier, we were missing site restrictions, verification that vector attraction reduction was achieved through a physical method, and then management practices. The most significant thing for management practices were the agronomic rate calculations, since that	2 3 4 5 6	apply biosolids a certain amount of distance away from a water body, that they're not overapplied, that they're not applied to land that's flooded or frozen. So it's just ensuring that biosolids stay where they are supposed to be on-site. Q. How does a failure to submit the
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Sure. So we as a land applier, we were missing site restrictions, verification that vector attraction reduction was achieved through a physical method, and then management practices. The most significant thing for management practices were the agronomic rate calculations, since that was the initial complaint from Region 8 was that	2 3 4 5 6 7	apply biosolids a certain amount of distance away from a water body, that they're not overapplied, that they're not applied to land that's flooded or frozen. So it's just ensuring that biosolids stay where they are supposed to be on-site. Q. How does a failure to submit the biosolids recordkeeping information impact EPA's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Sure. So we as a land applier, we were missing site restrictions, verification that vector attraction reduction was achieved through a physical method, and then management practices. The most significant thing for management practices were the agronomic rate calculations, since that was the initial complaint from Region 8 was that the biosolids were overapplied.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	apply biosolids a certain amount of distance away from a water body, that they're not overapplied, that they're not applied to land that's flooded or frozen. So it's just ensuring that biosolids stay where they are supposed to be on-site. Q. How does a failure to submit the biosolids recordkeeping information impact EPA's ability to do its job?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Sure. So we as a land applier, we were missing site restrictions, verification that vector attraction reduction was achieved through a physical method, and then management practices. The most significant thing for management practices were the agronomic rate calculations, since that was the initial complaint from Region 8 was that the biosolids were overapplied. Q. Okay. Let's break down each of these 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 apply biosolids a certain amount of distance away from a water body, that they're not overapplied, that they're not applied to land that's flooded or frozen. So it's just ensuring that biosolids stay where they are supposed to be on-site. Q. How does a failure to submit the biosolids recordkeeping information impact EPA's ability to do its job? A. So if we don't have the information, it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Sure. So we as a land applier, we were missing site restrictions, verification that vector attraction reduction was achieved through a physical method, and then management practices. The most significant thing for management practices were the agronomic rate calculations, since that was the initial complaint from Region 8 was that the biosolids were overapplied. Q. Okay. Let's break down each of these here. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 apply biosolids a certain amount of distance away from a water body, that they're not overapplied, that they're not applied to land that's flooded or frozen. So it's just ensuring that biosolids stay where they are supposed to be on-site. Q. How does a failure to submit the biosolids recordkeeping information impact EPA's ability to do its job? A. So if we don't have the information, it is pretty much impossible for us to determine if a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Sure. So we as a land applier, we were missing site restrictions, verification that vector attraction reduction was achieved through a physical method, and then management practices. The most significant thing for management practices were the agronomic rate calculations, since that was the initial complaint from Region 8 was that the biosolids were overapplied. Q. Okay. Let's break down each of these here. Why is it important that EPA receive 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 apply biosolids a certain amount of distance away from a water body, that they're not overapplied, that they're not applied to land that's flooded or frozen. So it's just ensuring that biosolids stay where they are supposed to be on-site. Q. How does a failure to submit the biosolids recordkeeping information impact EPA's ability to do its job? A. So if we don't have the information, it is pretty much impossible for us to determine if a violation is present or if Part 503 has been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Sure. So we as a land applier, we were missing site restrictions, verification that vector attraction reduction was achieved through a physical method, and then management practices. The most significant thing for management practices were the agronomic rate calculations, since that was the initial complaint from Region 8 was that the biosolids were overapplied. Q. Okay. Let's break down each of these here. Why is it important that EPA receive site restriction information from biosolids 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 apply biosolids a certain amount of distance away from a water body, that they're not overapplied, that they're not applied to land that's flooded or frozen. So it's just ensuring that biosolids stay where they are supposed to be on-site. Q. How does a failure to submit the biosolids recordkeeping information impact EPA's ability to do its job? A. So if we don't have the information, it is pretty much impossible for us to determine if a violation is present or if Part 503 has been followed.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Sure. So we as a land applier, we were missing site restrictions, verification that vector attraction reduction was achieved through a physical method, and then management practices. The most significant thing for management practices were the agronomic rate calculations, since that was the initial complaint from Region 8 was that the biosolids were overapplied. Q. Okay. Let's break down each of these here. Why is it important that EPA receive site restriction information from biosolids preparers or appliers? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 apply biosolids a certain amount of distance away from a water body, that they're not overapplied, that they're not applied to land that's flooded or frozen. So it's just ensuring that biosolids stay where they are supposed to be on-site. Q. How does a failure to submit the biosolids recordkeeping information impact EPA's ability to do its job? A. So if we don't have the information, it is pretty much impossible for us to determine if a violation is present or if Part 503 has been followed. Q. How could the agency's inability to do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Sure. So we as a land applier, we were missing site restrictions, verification that vector attraction reduction was achieved through a physical method, and then management practices. The most significant thing for management practices were the agronomic rate calculations, since that was the initial complaint from Region 8 was that the biosolids were overapplied. Q. Okay. Let's break down each of these here. Why is it important that EPA receive site restriction information from biosolids preparers or appliers? A. Site restrictions are based on 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 apply biosolids a certain amount of distance away from a water body, that they're not overapplied, that they're not applied to land that's flooded or frozen. So it's just ensuring that biosolids stay where they are supposed to be on-site. Q. How does a failure to submit the biosolids recordkeeping information impact EPA's ability to do its job? A. So if we don't have the information, it is pretty much impossible for us to determine if a violation is present or if Part 503 has been followed. Q. How could the agency's inability to do its job in that respect have impacts on human
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Sure. So we as a land applier, we were missing site restrictions, verification that vector attraction reduction was achieved through a physical method, and then management practices. The most significant thing for management practices were the agronomic rate calculations, since that was the initial complaint from Region 8 was that the biosolids were overapplied. Q. Okay. Let's break down each of these here. Why is it important that EPA receive site restriction information from biosolids preparers or appliers? A. Site restrictions are based on public not public contact, but contact with the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 apply biosolids a certain amount of distance away from a water body, that they're not overapplied, that they're not applied to land that's flooded or frozen. So it's just ensuring that biosolids stay where they are supposed to be on-site. Q. How does a failure to submit the biosolids recordkeeping information impact EPA's ability to do its job? A. So if we don't have the information, it is pretty much impossible for us to determine if a violation is present or if Part 503 has been followed. Q. How could the agency's inability to do its job in that respect have impacts on human health or the environment?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Sure. So we as a land applier, we were missing site restrictions, verification that vector attraction reduction was achieved through a physical method, and then management practices. The most significant thing for management practices were the agronomic rate calculations, since that was the initial complaint from Region 8 was that the biosolids were overapplied. Q. Okay. Let's break down each of these here. Why is it important that EPA receive site restriction information from biosolids preparers or appliers? A. Site restrictions are based on public not public contact, but contact with the site in general. So site restrictions have a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 apply biosolids a certain amount of distance away from a water body, that they're not overapplied, that they're not applied to land that's flooded or frozen. So it's just ensuring that biosolids stay where they are supposed to be on-site. Q. How does a failure to submit the biosolids recordkeeping information impact EPA's ability to do its job? A. So if we don't have the information, it is pretty much impossible for us to determine if a violation is present or if Part 503 has been followed. Q. How could the agency's inability to do its job in that respect have impacts on human health or the environment? A. So there's no way there would be no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Sure. So we as a land applier, we were missing site restrictions, verification that vector attraction reduction was achieved through a physical method, and then management practices. The most significant thing for management practices were the agronomic rate calculations, since that was the initial complaint from Region 8 was that the biosolids were overapplied. Q. Okay. Let's break down each of these here. Why is it important that EPA receive site restriction information from biosolids preparers or appliers? A. Site restrictions are based on public not public contact, but contact with the site in general. So site restrictions have a couple different requirements in it. Like if you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 apply biosolids a certain amount of distance away from a water body, that they're not overapplied, that they're not applied to land that's flooded or frozen. So it's just ensuring that biosolids stay where they are supposed to be on-site. Q. How does a failure to submit the biosolids recordkeeping information impact EPA's ability to do its job? A. So if we don't have the information, it is pretty much impossible for us to determine if a violation is present or if Part 503 has been followed. Q. How could the agency's inability to do its job in that respect have impacts on human health or the environment? A. So there's no way there would be no way for us to determine if management practices
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Sure. So we as a land applier, we were missing site restrictions, verification that vector attraction reduction was achieved through a physical method, and then management practices. The most significant thing for management practices were the agronomic rate calculations, since that was the initial complaint from Region 8 was that the biosolids were overapplied. Q. Okay. Let's break down each of these here. Why is it important that EPA receive site restriction information from biosolids preparers or appliers? A. Site restrictions are based on public not public contact, but contact with the site in general. So site restrictions have a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 apply biosolids a certain amount of distance away from a water body, that they're not overapplied, that they're not applied to land that's flooded or frozen. So it's just ensuring that biosolids stay where they are supposed to be on-site. Q. How does a failure to submit the biosolids recordkeeping information impact EPA's ability to do its job? A. So if we don't have the information, it is pretty much impossible for us to determine if a violation is present or if Part 503 has been followed. Q. How could the agency's inability to do its job in that respect have impacts on human health or the environment? A. So there's no way there would be no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Sure. So we as a land applier, we were missing site restrictions, verification that vector attraction reduction was achieved through a physical method, and then management practices. The most significant thing for management practices were the agronomic rate calculations, since that was the initial complaint from Region 8 was that the biosolids were overapplied. Q. Okay. Let's break down each of these here. Why is it important that EPA receive site restriction information from biosolids preparers or appliers? A. Site restrictions are based on public not public contact, but contact with the site in general. So site restrictions have a couple different requirements in it. Like if you are going to graze cattle on a site, you need to make sure that it's within a certain amount of time 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 apply biosolids a certain amount of distance away from a water body, that they're not overapplied, that they're not applied to land that's flooded or frozen. So it's just ensuring that biosolids stay where they are supposed to be on-site. Q. How does a failure to submit the biosolids recordkeeping information impact EPA's ability to do its job? A. So if we don't have the information, it is pretty much impossible for us to determine if a violation is present or if Part 503 has been followed. Q. How could the agency's inability to do its job in that respect have impacts on human health or the environment? A. So there's no way there would be no way for us to determine if management practices were followed. We would be unsure if humans have come in contact with it or if the biosolids have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Sure. So we as a land applier, we were missing site restrictions, verification that vector attraction reduction was achieved through a physical method, and then management practices. The most significant thing for management practices were the agronomic rate calculations, since that was the initial complaint from Region 8 was that the biosolids were overapplied. Q. Okay. Let's break down each of these here. Why is it important that EPA receive site restriction information from biosolids preparers or appliers? A. Site restrictions are based on public not public contact, but contact with the site in general. So site restrictions have a couple different requirements in it. Like if you are going to graze cattle on a site, you need to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 apply biosolids a certain amount of distance away from a water body, that they're not overapplied, that they're not applied to land that's flooded or frozen. So it's just ensuring that biosolids stay where they are supposed to be on-site. Q. How does a failure to submit the biosolids recordkeeping information impact EPA's ability to do its job? A. So if we don't have the information, it is pretty much impossible for us to determine if a violation is present or if Part 503 has been followed. Q. How could the agency's inability to do its job in that respect have impacts on human health or the environment? A. So there's no way there would be no way for us to determine if management practices were followed. We would be unsure if humans have come in contact with it or if the biosolids have washed off into a water body. There's just no way
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Sure. So we as a land applier, we were missing site restrictions, verification that vector attraction reduction was achieved through a physical method, and then management practices. The most significant thing for management practices were the agronomic rate calculations, since that was the initial complaint from Region 8 was that the biosolids were overapplied. Q. Okay. Let's break down each of these here. Why is it important that EPA receive site restriction information from biosolids preparers or appliers? A. Site restrictions are based on public not public contact, but contact with the site in general. So site restrictions have a couple different requirements in it. Like if you are going to graze cattle on a site, you need to make sure that it's within a certain amount of time after the land application. If you're growing 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 apply biosolids a certain amount of distance away from a water body, that they're not overapplied, that they're not applied to land that's flooded or frozen. So it's just ensuring that biosolids stay where they are supposed to be on-site. Q. How does a failure to submit the biosolids recordkeeping information impact EPA's ability to do its job? A. So if we don't have the information, it is pretty much impossible for us to determine if a violation is present or if Part 503 has been followed. Q. How could the agency's inability to do its job in that respect have impacts on human health or the environment? A. So there's no way there would be no way for us to determine if management practices were followed. We would be unsure if humans have come in contact with it or if the biosolids have washed off into a water body. There's just no way to determine if the biosolids are doing their job
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Sure. So we as a land applier, we were missing site restrictions, verification that vector attraction reduction was achieved through a physical method, and then management practices. The most significant thing for management practices were the agronomic rate calculations, since that was the initial complaint from Region 8 was that the biosolids were overapplied. Q. Okay. Let's break down each of these here. Why is it important that EPA receives site restriction information from biosolids preparers or appliers? A. Site restrictions are based on public not public contact, but contact with the site in general. So site restrictions have a couple different requirements in it. Like if you are going to graze cattle on a site, you need to make sure that it's within a certain amount of time after the land application. If you're growing certain foods or turf grasses, that also needs to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 apply biosolids a certain amount of distance away from a water body, that they're not overapplied, that they're not applied to land that's flooded or frozen. So it's just ensuring that biosolids stay where they are supposed to be on-site. Q. How does a failure to submit the biosolids recordkeeping information impact EPA's ability to do its job? A. So if we don't have the information, it is pretty much impossible for us to determine if a violation is present or if Part 503 has been followed. Q. How could the agency's inability to do its job in that respect have impacts on human health or the environment? A. So there's no way there would be no way for us to determine if management practices were followed. We would be unsure if humans have come in contact with it or if the biosolids have washed off into a water body. There's just no way
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Sure. So we as a land applier, we were missing site restrictions, verification that vector attraction reduction was achieved through a physical method, and then management practices. The most significant thing for management practices were the agronomic rate calculations, since that was the initial complaint from Region 8 was that the biosolids were overapplied. Q. Okay. Let's break down each of these here. My is it important that EPA receives site restriction information from biosolids preparers or appliers? A. Site restrictions are based on public not public contact, but contact with the site in general. So site restrictions have a couple different requirements in it. Like if you are going to graze cattle on a site, you need to make sure that it's within a certain amount of time after the land application. If you're growing certain foods or turf grasses, that also needs to be within a certain amount of time after land 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 apply biosolids a certain amount of distance away from a water body, that they're not overapplied, that they're not applied to land that's flooded or frozen. So it's just ensuring that biosolids stay where they are supposed to be on-site. Q. How does a failure to submit the biosolids recordkeeping information impact EPA's ability to do its job? A. So if we don't have the information, it is pretty much impossible for us to determine if a violation is present or if Part 503 has been followed. Q. How could the agency's inability to do its job in that respect have impacts on human health or the environment? A. So there's no way there would be no way for us to determine if management practices were followed. We would be unsure if humans have come in contact with it or if the biosolids have washed off into a water body. There's just no way to determine if the biosolids are doing their job in the way that this regulation was designed to do.

- 24 people and animals away from biosolids for a period
- of time to ensure that there's no effects from 25

26 (Pages 101 to 104)

BRIDGER COURT REPORTERS, INC. (406) 582-0668

25

///

	Page 105		Page 107
1	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	1	A. No.
2	Q. Ms. Kleffner, do you recognize this	2	Q. So did Pierce ever submit the required
3	document?	3	information under that September 2018 information
4	A. I do.	4	request?
5	Q. And can you describe it, please?	5	A. No.
6	A. This is an e-mail from Sara Hertz Wu of	6	MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the
7	Region 7 to Christopher Gallus and myself. I'm	7	witness Complainant's Exhibit 26.
8	sorry. It's CCed to Christopher Gallus and myself.	8	MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, can I get the number
9	It was originally sent to Adamas Construction. The	9	of that last exhibit? Sorry.
10	date is Thursday, July 18th, 2019.	10	THE COURT: Sure. I think it was was it
11	Q. And just as a reminder of these parties,	11	CX21, et. Page 1?
12	who is Sara Hertz Wu?	12	MR. MUEHLBERGER: You are correct. Thank
13	A. She was originally the attorney assigned	13	you.
14	from EPA Region 7 for this.	14	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:
15	Q. And Christopher Gallus?	15	Q. Do you recognize this document,
16	A. That's the attorney for Mr. Pierce.	16	Ms. Kleffner?
17	Q. And you are Erin Kleffner?	17	A. Yes.
18	A. Yes.	18	Q. Okay. And what is this?
19	Q. Could you please read the highlighted	19	A. This is the public notice for a
20	portion starting with "Nathan"?	20	complaint that was filed.
21	A. "Nathan: We have reviewed the response	21	Q. Okay. So did EPA file an administrative
22	you provided on July 2nd, 2019. Based on what you	22	complaint in this case?
23	submitted, EPA still does not have a response to	23	A. We did.
24	Question 7 of the" I'm assuming that's supposed	24	Q. What violations were alleged in this
25	to say 308, but it says 208. "Question 7 states as	25	complaint?
	Page 106		Page 108
1	follows:	1	A. Failure to maintain records and failure
2	"For each land application of biosolids	2	to respond.
3	by Adamas Construction and Development Services,	3	Q. Okay. Did the complaint seek any other
4	since January 1, 2018, provide the information	4	relief?
5	listed below:	5	A. There was a penalty associated with
6	"a, The street address or legal	6	this.
7	description of the location;	7	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Okay. I'd like to show the
8	"b, The dates upon which the location	8	witness Complainant's Exhibit 30, et. page 1.
9	was used for the land application of biosolids;	9	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:
10	"c, The number of acres upon which	10	Q. Ms. Kleffner, did EPA issue any more
11	biosolids were land applied;	11	information requests in this case?
12	"d, The number of loads applied;	12	A. We did. We issued an additional request
13	"e, A description of how the site	13	to Mr. Robinson, the landowner, Mr. Sprague, the
14	restrictions of 40 CFR 503.32(b)(5) were met; and	14	hauler, and NCUC.
15	"The annual application rate of	15	Q. Okay. And again, what is NCUC?
16	biosolids as calculated.	16	A. Northern Cheyenne Utilities Commission.
17	"We request that you provide documents	17	Q. Okay. And what's the relationship
18	responsive to this request or let us know that you	18	between NCUC and Mr. Pierce?
19	do not have these documents by July 23, 2019. As a	19	A. So NCUC is the owner of the Lame Deer
20	land applier, you are not exempt from Section 503	20	Lagoon.
21	of the regulations and are required to keep this	21	Q. Okay. Looking at CX30 here, do you
22	type of documentation."	22	recognize this document?
23	Q. Was this document successful or was this	23	A. I do.
24	e-mail successful in getting the answers to the	24	Q. Okay. And what is this?
25	questions you were seeking?	25	A. This was the 308 information request
L			27 (Pages 105 to 108)

	Page 109		Page 111
1	that was sent to Mr. Robinson.	1	invoice for payment."
2	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Okay. I'd like to show the	2	Q. Okay. And who is this signed by at the
3	witness Complainant's Exhibit 31, et. 1.	3	bottom?
4	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	4	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Can you scroll down there,
5	Q. Can you describe this document?	5	please? Thanks.
6	A. This was the information request that	6	THE WITNESS: This is signed by Sheri Bement.
7	was sent to Mr. Sprague.	7	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:
8	MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the	8	O. And what was her role?
9	witness Complainant's Exhibit 32, et. 1.	9	A. She was the general manager for NCUC.
10	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	10	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Okay. I'd like to show the
11	Q. Can you describe this document, please?	11	witness Complainant's Exhibit 43, et. page 28.
12	A. This was the information request that	12	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:
13	was sent to Mr. Adam Spang of NCUC.	13	
14	MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the	1	Q. Is this also part of the response that you received from NCUC?
15	witness Complainant's Exhibit 43, et. page 1.	14	A. Yes.
16	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	15	
17		16	Q. Okay. And can you describe this
18	Q. Can you please describe this document?A. This is an e-mail from Mr. Spang to Sara	17	document, please?
	Hertz Wu. And it was sent on December 13th of	18	A. It's an invoice dated $6/21/2018$. The
19		19	invoice number is 02-cc-2018.
20		20	Q. Okay. And who is this from?
21	Q. Okay. And to your recollection, who is	21	A. This is billed or it's from Adamas
22	Adam Spang?	22	Construction, and it's billed to NCUC, Sheri
23	A. He was, I think, the general manager of NCUC.	23	Bement.
24		24	Q. Can you please read the first two
25	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Okay. I'd like to show the	25	highlighted lines on the document?
	Page 110		Page 112
1	witness Complainant's Exhibit 43, et. page 2.	1	A. It says, "Supervision (sludge pumping)
2	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	2	pay plus benefits. Supervision (sludge
3	Q. Does this verify your conclusion that	3	application) pay plus benefits."
4	Mr. Spang was the general manager?	4	Q. Ms. Kleffner, is sewage pumping a part
5	A. Yes.	5	of preparation of sewage sludge in your experience?
6	Q. Okay. And was this the response that	6	A. Yes.
7	EPA received from its information request to NCUC?	7	Q. Okay. Does sludge application on this
8	A. Yes.	8	document refer to the land application of sludge?
9	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Okay. I'd like to show the	9	A. Yes.
10	witness Complainant's Exhibit 43, et. page 27.	10	Q. Okay. Can you read the other two
11	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	11	highlighted lines, please?
12	Q. Do you recognize this document?	12	A. It says two employees at \$34 per hour
13	A. Yes.	13	pay plus benefits for sludge application. Three
14			
	Q. Can you please read the highlighted	14	employees at \$34 per hour pay plus benefits for
15	Q. Can you please read the highlighted portion at the top?	14 15	employees at \$34 per hour pay plus benefits for sludge pumping.
16	Q. Can you please read the highlightedportion at the top?A. It says, "Request for payment, Invoice	14 15 16	employees at \$34 per hour pay plus benefits for sludge pumping. Q. Ms. Kleffner, what does this invoice
16 17	Q. Can you please read the highlighted portion at the top?A. It says, "Request for payment, Invoice Number 02-cc-2018."	14 15 16 17	employees at \$34 per hour pay plus benefits for sludge pumping. Q. Ms. Kleffner, what does this invoice tell you about respondent's involvement in the
16 17 18	 Q. Can you please read the highlighted portion at the top? A. It says, "Request for payment, Invoice Number 02-cc-2018." Q. Can you please read the highlighted 	14 15 16 17 18	employees at \$34 per hour pay plus benefits for sludge pumping. Q. Ms. Kleffner, what does this invoice tell you about respondent's involvement in the sludge removal and application project?
16 17 18 19	 Q. Can you please read the highlighted portion at the top? A. It says, "Request for payment, Invoice Number 02-cc-2018." Q. Can you please read the highlighted portion? 	14 15 16 17 18 19	 employees at \$34 per hour pay plus benefits for sludge pumping. Q. Ms. Kleffner, what does this invoice tell you about respondent's involvement in the sludge removal and application project? A. So he directed the preparation and the
16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Can you please read the highlighted portion at the top? A. It says, "Request for payment, Invoice Number 02-cc-2018." Q. Can you please read the highlighted portion? A. "Pursuant to the authorities outlined in 	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 employees at \$34 per hour pay plus benefits for sludge pumping. Q. Ms. Kleffner, what does this invoice tell you about respondent's involvement in the sludge removal and application project? A. So he directed the preparation and the application.
16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Can you please read the highlighted portion at the top? A. It says, "Request for payment, Invoice Number 02-cc-2018." Q. Can you please read the highlighted portion? A. "Pursuant to the authorities outlined in the General Provisions of the Northern Cheyenne 	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 employees at \$34 per hour pay plus benefits for sludge pumping. Q. Ms. Kleffner, what does this invoice tell you about respondent's involvement in the sludge removal and application project? A. So he directed the preparation and the application. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the
16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Can you please read the highlighted portion at the top? A. It says, "Request for payment, Invoice Number 02-cc-2018." Q. Can you please read the highlighted portion? A. "Pursuant to the authorities outlined in 	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 employees at \$34 per hour pay plus benefits for sludge pumping. Q. Ms. Kleffner, what does this invoice tell you about respondent's involvement in the sludge removal and application project? A. So he directed the preparation and the application.

- 23 BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:
 - Q. Can you describe this document, please?

28 (Pages 109 to 112)

A. This is another invoice from Adamas

BRIDGER COURT REPORTERS, INC. (406) 582-0668

24

25

23

24

25

Facilities, Indian Health Service Agreement for the

Construction has submitted the aforementioned

Lame Deer Lagoon Sludge Removal Project, Adamas

	Page 113		Page 115
1	Construction billed to NCUC. The received date on	1	A. This is an NCUC general fund payment
2	it is December 7th of 2018.	2	voucher payable to Adamas Construction.
3	Q. And can you read the highlighted	3	MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I object to this
4	portions, please?	4	document. It's dated 2017, before the incident
5	A. It details sludge pumping twice and then	5	that is in question here.
6	sludge application to Robinson Field.	6	THE COURT: Okay. It's already in evidence.
7	Q. Were respondents seeking payment for	7	Why? What is the can you respond to the date
8	their involvement with the sludge removal and	8	issue?
9	application project?	9	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Certainly. Your Honor,
10	A. Yes.	10	this document speaks to Mr. Pierce's involvement as
11	MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the	11	an operator at the Lame Deer POTW, which would make
12	witness Complainant's Exhibit 43, et. page 6.	12	him subject to Clean Water Act Section 308
13	THE COURT: Just quickly before you move on	13	information requests.
14	to that, can you quickly just identify the exhibit	14	MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, the document simply
15	numbers again for the last two invoices you just	15	shows a payment made. It doesn't give any
16	covered?	16	indication as to an operator status.
17	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Certainly.	17	THE COURT: Can you respond, Mr. Muehlberger?
18	THE COURT: I'm trying to keep up, but	18	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Certainly. May I respond
19	MR. MUEHLBERGER: And I can slow down, too.	19	by asking the witness a question about operators?
20	THE COURT: It's okay. I don't want to	20	THE COURT: Sure.
21	interrupt and as long as Mr. Pierce is following	21	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Is the operation of a
22	along. But I just wanted to make a quick note of	22	muffin monster a typical duty of a POTW operator?
23	it.	23	THE WITNESS: Yes. It's part of the
24	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Sure. The first exhibit is	24	operation and maintenance of the system.
25	Complainant's Exhibit 43, et. page 28. The second	25	MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I think a more valid
	Page 114		Page 116
1	is CX43, et. page 7.	1	question: Can a contractor work on a muffin
2	THE COURT: Thank you.	2	monster without being an operator?
3	MR. MUEHLBERGER: You're welcome.	3	THE COURT: Okay. Hold on just a second. I
4	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	4	was about to say that since the exhibit is already
5	Q. Okay. Looking at CX43, et. page 6. Can	5	admitted into evidence, I think the question is
6	you please describe this document?	6	certainly an appropriate one just for cross. So
7	A. This is an e-mail from Adamas	7	make a note of it, and then just cover it when you
8	Construction and Development to Sheri Bement. The	8	cross-examine, and you can get into the date
9	date on it is Friday, November 24th, 2017.	9	relative to the alleged violations. Certainly, I
10	Q. Can you please read the highlighted	10	understand the reason for bringing it up. But the
11	portion?	11	document is already in evidence, so I think the
12	A. It says, "Please find the attached	12	best course of action is for you to question about
13	invoice for the muffin monster and east-side	13	it and bring those points out through testimony.
14	project."	14	MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am.
15	Q. Ms. Kleffner, can you please define what	15	THE COURT: Okay. So please go ahead,
16	is a muffin monster?	16	Mr. Muehlberger.
17	A. So a muffin monster is typically used in	17	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thank you.
18	treatment facilities. It's essentially a garbage	18	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:
19	disposal in some sense. It's a grinder to grind up	19	Q. Can you please read the two highlighted
20	solids before it goes into the treatment system.	20	lines on this document?
21	Q. Thank you.	21 22	A. It says, "East side restoration, muffin monster." And then it says life station but I'm
22	MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the	22	monster." And then it says life station, but I'm assuming it says, "Lift station, Invoice Number
23 24	witness Complainant's Exhibit 43, et. page 65. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	23	NCUC-05-2017."
24 25	Q. Can you describe this document, please?	25	Q. And can you tell us the amount that
	2. Can you account and aveamond presser		
			29 (Pages 113 to 116)

	Page 117		Page 119
1	Adamas was seeking through this invoice?	1	MR. SPRAGUE: Can I
2	A. \$1,176.56.	2	THE COURT: Oh, yeah. No, no, no. I can't
3	MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the	3	have you chime in.
4	witness CX43, et. 66.	4	MR. SPRAGUE: Okay.
5	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	5	THE COURT: Yeah. But yeah. No. You're
6	Q. And again, Ms. Kleffner, is this all	6	an observer. Sorry. You haven't been sworn, and
7	part of the response that you received from NCUC	7	it's really inappropriate. You're just here to
8	for your information request?	8	observe.
9	A. Yes.	9	So you're drawing from experience in
10	Q. Okay. Can you describe this document,	10	past cases that you've looked at?
11	please?	11	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
12	A. This is a check from NCUC's general fund	12	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, I will
13	made payable to Adamas Construction Services, PLLC,	13	overrule the objection. But I think it's worth you
14	in the amount of \$1,176.56.	14	delving into a little bit on cross, Mr. Pierce, to
15	Q. Does that amount match the invoice that	15	kind of further pull out what you might want to
16	was submitted by Adamas Construction?	16	get.
17	A. Yes.	17	MR. PIERCE: That's your purview, Your Honor.
18	Q. Did Adamas Construction receive payment	18	THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
19	from NCUC for operator duties?	19	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thank you. I'd like to
20	A. Yes.	20	show the witness Complainant's Exhibit 43, et.
21	MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the	21	page 9.
22	witness Complainant's	22	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:
23	MR. PIERCE: Objection, Your Honor.	23	Q. Can you describe this document, please?
24	THE COURT: Uh-huh.	24	A. This is an e-mail from Dion Killsback to
25	MR. PIERCE: He asked if that was payment for	25	Adamas Construction and Development. And it's
	Page 118		Page 120
1	operator duties. She doesn't know whether that	1	dated Friday, August 24th of 2018.
2	payment was for operator duties or what the payment	2	Q. And again, was this part of the
3	was for.	3	submittal that NCUC sent to EPA based on its
4	THE COURT: Can you respond to that?	4	information request?

THE WITNESS: I -- based on the invoice that 5 s listed on there, I would say that the duties, 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. It is.

highlighted line here?

Killsback?

subcontractor."

application project?

part of that project.

remaining stored sludge."

please?

Q. And to your knowledge, who is Dion

A. He was the attorney for NCUC, I think.

A. "I have informed you that the NCUC is

Q. Does this change -- did this change your

mind as far as your determination that Mr. Pierce

A. No. I mean, it confirmed it that he was

Q. Can you read the next highlighted line,

Construction would complete the application of the

Q. Can you please read the first

responsible for the performance of the sludge

was responsible for the sludge removal and

A. "It was understood that Adamas

removal and Adamas Construction, as a

6 was listed on there, I would say that the duties,
7 lift station recovery, muffin monster, those I
8 would consider operator duties in my professional
9 judgment.

- THE COURT: And what do you base that on I
 guess when you say your "professional judgment"?
 Is that -- what experience are you drawing from in
 forming that conclusion?
 THE WITNESS: So, generally, I work
- with -- and this happens more often whenever we
 have a compliance order that goes out to a
 facility. As part of those compliance orders, we
 will put in, I guess, requirements for a facility
 for upgrades that they need to make as part of
 their duties. It's up to them if they want to hire
 out that or not. But generally, in a smaller

22 system like this, upgrades and updates are made by 23 an operator of a facility. 24 MR. SPRAGUE: Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

5

30 (Pages 117 to 120)

	Page 121		Page 123
1	Q. And the next line, please?	1	THE COURT: Okay. That, in many cases, are
2	A. "In order to process your payment, there	2	authored by other people?
3	are several things that must occur. Number 1,	3	THE WITNESS: Yes.
4	application of sludge should be completed; Number	4	THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
5	2, the documentation to support your work should	5	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thank you. Showing the
6	also be completed."	6	witness CX43, et. page 12.
7	Q. What does this document tell you about	7	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:
8	Mr. Pierce's involvement with the sludge project?	8	Q. Is this a continuation of that same
9	A. That he directed and did the land	9	letter that you were just describing?
10	application project.	10	A. Yes.
11	MR. MUEHLBERGER: I would like to show the	11	Q. And can you read the highlighted
12	witness CX43, et. 11, please.	12	portion, please?
13	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	13	A. "Montana DEQ wastewater Class 1C and 2E
14	Q. Was this also part of the submittal from	14	operator."
15	NCUC?	15	MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the
16	A. It was.	16	witness CX43, page 13.
17	Q. And can you describe this document,	17	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:
18	please?	18	Q. Can you read the highlighted portions,
19	A. This is a letter from Mr. Pierce about	19	please?
20	the NCUC GM vacancy. And it's dated December 4th	20	A. It's titled, "Bio/Resume for Nathan
21	of 2018.	21	Pierce."
22	Q. Can you read the highlighted portion,	22	"I have been able to develop the skill
23	please?	23	necessary to help foster better water and sewer
24	A. "I am respectfully submitting my name	24	systems." And then it goes on to list "Montana
25	for consideration to the open position, as the	25	'application' wastewater operator Class 1C and 2E,
	Page 122		Page 124
	10.90 111		10.90 101
1	general manager for the Northern Chevenne Utility	1	Operator I.D. Number 8733 "
1 2	general manager for the Northern Cheyenne Utility	1	Operator I.D. Number 8733." O Is Mr. Pierce holding himself out to be
2	Commission.	2	Q. Is Mr. Pierce holding himself out to be
2 3	Commission. The company owned by my wife and myself	2 3	Q. Is Mr. Pierce holding himself out to be an operator in this document, Ms. Kleffner?
2 3 4	Commission. The company owned by my wife and myself has been operating for the betterment of the NCUC	2	Q. Is Mr. Pierce holding himself out to be an operator in this document, Ms. Kleffner? A. Yes.
2 3	Commission. The company owned by my wife and myself has been operating for the betterment of the NCUC since the Boys and Girls Club break and assisted in	2 3 4	Q. Is Mr. Pierce holding himself out to be an operator in this document, Ms. Kleffner? A. Yes. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the
2 3 4 5	Commission. The company owned by my wife and myself has been operating for the betterment of the NCUC since the Boys and Girls Club break and assisted in most of the other water and sewer breaks on the	2 3 4 5	Q. Is Mr. Pierce holding himself out to be an operator in this document, Ms. Kleffner? A. Yes. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the witness
2 3 4 5 6	Commission. The company owned by my wife and myself has been operating for the betterment of the NCUC since the Boys and Girls Club break and assisted in	2 3 4 5 6	Q. Is Mr. Pierce holding himself out to be an operator in this document, Ms. Kleffner? A. Yes. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the witness MR. PIERCE: Objection, Your Honor. The
2 3 4 5 6 7	Commission. The company owned by my wife and myself has been operating for the betterment of the NCUC since the Boys and Girls Club break and assisted in most of the other water and sewer breaks on the Northern Cheyenne reservation since that time." Q. Did this document indicate to you that	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Is Mr. Pierce holding himself out to be an operator in this document, Ms. Kleffner? A. Yes. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the witness
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Commission. The company owned by my wife and myself has been operating for the betterment of the NCUC since the Boys and Girls Club break and assisted in most of the other water and sewer breaks on the Northern Cheyenne reservation since that time."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Is Mr. Pierce holding himself out to be an operator in this document, Ms. Kleffner? A. Yes. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the witness MR. PIERCE: Objection, Your Honor. The document clearly states application. THE COURT: Um, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Commission. The company owned by my wife and myself has been operating for the betterment of the NCUC since the Boys and Girls Club break and assisted in most of the other water and sewer breaks on the Northern Cheyenne reservation since that time." Q. Did this document indicate to you that Mr. Pierce performed operator duties for NCUC?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Is Mr. Pierce holding himself out to be an operator in this document, Ms. Kleffner? A. Yes. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the witness MR. PIERCE: Objection, Your Honor. The document clearly states application.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Commission. The company owned by my wife and myself has been operating for the betterment of the NCUC since the Boys and Girls Club break and assisted in most of the other water and sewer breaks on the Northern Cheyenne reservation since that time." Q. Did this document indicate to you that Mr. Pierce performed operator duties for NCUC? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Is Mr. Pierce holding himself out to be an operator in this document, Ms. Kleffner? A. Yes. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the witness MR. PIERCE: Objection, Your Honor. The document clearly states application. THE COURT: Um, I MR. PIERCE: Seems like we're leading the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Commission. The company owned by my wife and myself has been operating for the betterment of the NCUC since the Boys and Girls Club break and assisted in most of the other water and sewer breaks on the Northern Cheyenne reservation since that time." Q. Did this document indicate to you that Mr. Pierce performed operator duties for NCUC? A. Yes. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. Is Mr. Pierce holding himself out to be an operator in this document, Ms. Kleffner? A. Yes. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the witness MR. PIERCE: Objection, Your Honor. The document clearly states application. THE COURT: Um, I MR. PIERCE: Seems like we're leading the witnesses to draw conclusions as well.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Commission. The company owned by my wife and myself has been operating for the betterment of the NCUC since the Boys and Girls Club break and assisted in most of the other water and sewer breaks on the Northern Cheyenne reservation since that time." Q. Did this document indicate to you that Mr. Pierce performed operator duties for NCUC? A. Yes. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the witness CX43, page 12.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. Is Mr. Pierce holding himself out to be an operator in this document, Ms. Kleffner? A. Yes. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the witness MR. PIERCE: Objection, Your Honor. The document clearly states application. THE COURT: Um, I MR. PIERCE: Seems like we're leading the witnesses to draw conclusions as well. THE COURT: Yeah. I understand. And that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Commission. The company owned by my wife and myself has been operating for the betterment of the NCUC since the Boys and Girls Club break and assisted in most of the other water and sewer breaks on the Northern Cheyenne reservation since that time." Q. Did this document indicate to you that Mr. Pierce performed operator duties for NCUC? A. Yes. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the witness CX43, page 12. THE COURT: Real quickly, did you ever speak	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Is Mr. Pierce holding himself out to be an operator in this document, Ms. Kleffner? A. Yes. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the witness MR. PIERCE: Objection, Your Honor. The document clearly states application. THE COURT: Um, I MR. PIERCE: Seems like we're leading the witnesses to draw conclusions as well. THE COURT: Yeah. I understand. And that's part of you know, there was some clarification I just did in a couple of the questions I had. So I think, A, some of this is appropriate for you to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Commission. The company owned by my wife and myself has been operating for the betterment of the NCUC since the Boys and Girls Club break and assisted in most of the other water and sewer breaks on the Northern Cheyenne reservation since that time." Q. Did this document indicate to you that Mr. Pierce performed operator duties for NCUC? A. Yes. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the witness CX43, page 12. THE COURT: Real quickly, did you ever speak with Mr. Pierce personally about any of this, or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Is Mr. Pierce holding himself out to be an operator in this document, Ms. Kleffner? A. Yes. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the witness MR. PIERCE: Objection, Your Honor. The document clearly states application. THE COURT: Um, I MR. PIERCE: Seems like we're leading the witnesses to draw conclusions as well. THE COURT: Yeah. I understand. And that's part of you know, there was some clarification I just did in a couple of the questions I had. So I think, A, some of this is appropriate for you to delve into when you cross, and, B, you know, just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Commission. The company owned by my wife and myself has been operating for the betterment of the NCUC since the Boys and Girls Club break and assisted in most of the other water and sewer breaks on the Northern Cheyenne reservation since that time." Q. Did this document indicate to you that Mr. Pierce performed operator duties for NCUC? A. Yes. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the witness CX43, page 12. THE COURT: Real quickly, did you ever speak with Mr. Pierce personally about any of this, or are you drawing these conclusions just from your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Is Mr. Pierce holding himself out to be an operator in this document, Ms. Kleffner? A. Yes. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the witness MR. PIERCE: Objection, Your Honor. The document clearly states application. THE COURT: Um, I MR. PIERCE: Seems like we're leading the witnesses to draw conclusions as well. THE COURT: Yeah. I understand. And that's part of you know, there was some clarification I just did in a couple of the questions I had. So I think, A, some of this is appropriate for you to delve into when you cross, and, B, you know, just remembering what I pointed out earlier, just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Commission. The company owned by my wife and myself has been operating for the betterment of the NCUC since the Boys and Girls Club break and assisted in most of the other water and sewer breaks on the Northern Cheyenne reservation since that time." Q. Did this document indicate to you that Mr. Pierce performed operator duties for NCUC? A. Yes. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the witness CX43, page 12. THE COURT: Real quickly, did you ever speak with Mr. Pierce personally about any of this, or are you drawing these conclusions just from your reading of the records? THE WITNESS: Just from my reading of these records. The only time that Mr. Pierce I talked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Is Mr. Pierce holding himself out to be an operator in this document, Ms. Kleffner? A. Yes. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the witness MR. PIERCE: Objection, Your Honor. The document clearly states application. THE COURT: Um, I MR. PIERCE: Seems like we're leading the witnesses to draw conclusions as well. THE COURT: Yeah. I understand. And that's part of you know, there was some clarification I just did in a couple of the questions I had. So I think, A, some of this is appropriate for you to delve into when you cross, and, B, you know, just remembering what I pointed out earlier, just because there's evidence in the record is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Commission. The company owned by my wife and myself has been operating for the betterment of the NCUC since the Boys and Girls Club break and assisted in most of the other water and sewer breaks on the Northern Cheyenne reservation since that time." Q. Did this document indicate to you that Mr. Pierce performed operator duties for NCUC? A. Yes. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the witness CX43, page 12. THE COURT: Real quickly, did you ever speak with Mr. Pierce personally about any of this, or are you drawing these conclusions just from your reading of the records? THE WITNESS: Just from my reading of these records. The only time that Mr. Pierce I talked to Mr. Pierce was we had a pre-filing negotiation	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Is Mr. Pierce holding himself out to be an operator in this document, Ms. Kleffner? A. Yes. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the witness MR. PIERCE: Objection, Your Honor. The document clearly states application. THE COURT: Um, I MR. PIERCE: Seems like we're leading the witnesses to draw conclusions as well. THE COURT: Yeah. I understand. And that's part of you know, there was some clarification I just did in a couple of the questions I had. So I think, A, some of this is appropriate for you to delve into when you cross, and, B, you know, just remembering what I pointed out earlier, just because there's evidence in the record is independent of how much weight I afford it based
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Commission. The company owned by my wife and myself has been operating for the betterment of the NCUC since the Boys and Girls Club break and assisted in most of the other water and sewer breaks on the Northern Cheyenne reservation since that time." Q. Did this document indicate to you that Mr. Pierce performed operator duties for NCUC? A. Yes. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the witness CX43, page 12. THE COURT: Real quickly, did you ever speak with Mr. Pierce personally about any of this, or are you drawing these conclusions just from your reading of the records? THE WITNESS: Just from my reading of these records. The only time that Mr. Pierce I talked to Mr. Pierce was we had a pre-filing negotiation call. Attorneys were present. But usually, after	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Is Mr. Pierce holding himself out to be an operator in this document, Ms. Kleffner? A. Yes. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the witness MR. PIERCE: Objection, Your Honor. The document clearly states application. THE COURT: Um, I MR. PIERCE: Seems like we're leading the witnesses to draw conclusions as well. THE COURT: Yeah. I understand. And that's part of you know, there was some clarification I just did in a couple of the questions I had. So I think, A, some of this is appropriate for you to delve into when you cross, and, B, you know, just remembering what I pointed out earlier, just because there's evidence in the record is independent of how much weight I afford it based on, for example, certain arguments you might be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Commission. The company owned by my wife and myself has been operating for the betterment of the NCUC since the Boys and Girls Club break and assisted in most of the other water and sewer breaks on the Northern Cheyenne reservation since that time." Q. Did this document indicate to you that Mr. Pierce performed operator duties for NCUC? A. Yes. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the witness CX43, page 12. THE COURT: Real quickly, did you ever speak with Mr. Pierce personally about any of this, or are you drawing these conclusions just from your reading of the records? THE WITNESS: Just from my reading of these records. The only time that Mr. Pierce I talked to Mr. Pierce was we had a pre-filing negotiation call. Attorneys were present. But usually, after somebody is represented by an attorney, I don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Is Mr. Pierce holding himself out to be an operator in this document, Ms. Kleffner? A. Yes. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the witness MR. PIERCE: Objection, Your Honor. The document clearly states application. THE COURT: Um, I MR. PIERCE: Seems like we're leading the witnesses to draw conclusions as well. THE COURT: Yeah. I understand. And that's part of you know, there was some clarification I just did in a couple of the questions I had. So I think, A, some of this is appropriate for you to delve into when you cross, and, B, you know, just remembering what I pointed out earlier, just because there's evidence in the record is independent of how much weight I afford it based on, for example, certain arguments you might be making and pointing out here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Commission. The company owned by my wife and myself has been operating for the betterment of the NCUC since the Boys and Girls Club break and assisted in most of the other water and sewer breaks on the Northern Cheyenne reservation since that time." Q. Did this document indicate to you that Mr. Pierce performed operator duties for NCUC? A. Yes. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the witness CX43, page 12. THE COURT: Real quickly, did you ever speak with Mr. Pierce personally about any of this, or are you drawing these conclusions just from your reading of the records? THE WITNESS: Just from my reading of these records. The only time that Mr. Pierce I talked to Mr. Pierce was we had a pre-filing negotiation call. Attorneys were present. But usually, after somebody is represented by an attorney, I don't contact respondents.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Is Mr. Pierce holding himself out to be an operator in this document, Ms. Kleffner? A. Yes. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the witness MR. PIERCE: Objection, Your Honor. The document clearly states application. THE COURT: Um, I MR. PIERCE: Seems like we're leading the witnesses to draw conclusions as well. THE COURT: Yeah. I understand. And that's part of you know, there was some clarification I just did in a couple of the questions I had. So I think, A, some of this is appropriate for you to delve into when you cross, and, B, you know, just remembering what I pointed out earlier, just because there's evidence in the record is independent of how much weight I afford it based on, for example, certain arguments you might be making and pointing out here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Commission. The company owned by my wife and myself has been operating for the betterment of the NCUC since the Boys and Girls Club break and assisted in most of the other water and sewer breaks on the Northern Cheyenne reservation since that time." Q. Did this document indicate to you that Mr. Pierce performed operator duties for NCUC? A. Yes. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the witness CX43, page 12. THE COURT: Real quickly, did you ever speak with Mr. Pierce personally about any of this, or are you drawing these conclusions just from your reading of the records? THE WITNESS: Just from my reading of these records. The only time that Mr. Pierce I talked to Mr. Pierce was we had a pre-filing negotiation call. Attorneys were present. But usually, after somebody is represented by an attorney, I don't contact respondents. THE COURT: Okay. So you're drawing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Is Mr. Pierce holding himself out to be an operator in this document, Ms. Kleffner? A. Yes. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the witness MR. PIERCE: Objection, Your Honor. The document clearly states application. THE COURT: Um, I MR. PIERCE: Seems like we're leading the witnesses to draw conclusions as well. THE COURT: Yeah. I understand. And that's part of you know, there was some clarification I just did in a couple of the questions I had. So I think, A, some of this is appropriate for you to delve into when you cross, and, B, you know, just remembering what I pointed out earlier, just because there's evidence in the record is independent of how much weight I afford it based on, for example, certain arguments you might be making and pointing out here. So it's you know, it's not to say that I'm not taking note of what your objections
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Commission. The company owned by my wife and myself has been operating for the betterment of the NCUC since the Boys and Girls Club break and assisted in most of the other water and sewer breaks on the Northern Cheyenne reservation since that time." Q. Did this document indicate to you that Mr. Pierce performed operator duties for NCUC? A. Yes. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the witness CX43, page 12. THE COURT: Real quickly, did you ever speak with Mr. Pierce personally about any of this, or are you drawing these conclusions just from your reading of the records? THE WITNESS: Just from my reading of these records. The only time that Mr. Pierce I talked to Mr. Pierce was we had a pre-filing negotiation call. Attorneys were present. But usually, after somebody is represented by an attorney, I don't contact respondents. THE COURT: Okay. So you're drawing conclusions based on your reading of a document?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Is Mr. Pierce holding himself out to be an operator in this document, Ms. Kleffner? A. Yes. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the witness MR. PIERCE: Objection, Your Honor. The document clearly states application. THE COURT: Um, I MR. PIERCE: Seems like we're leading the witnesses to draw conclusions as well. THE COURT: Yeah. I understand. And that's part of you know, there was some clarification I just did in a couple of the questions I had. So I think, A, some of this is appropriate for you to delve into when you cross, and, B, you know, just remembering what I pointed out earlier, just because there's evidence in the record is independent of how much weight I afford it based on, for example, certain arguments you might be making and pointing out here. So it's you know, it's not to say that I'm not taking note of wher your objections are. It's just a question of where best to address
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Commission. The company owned by my wife and myself has been operating for the betterment of the NCUC since the Boys and Girls Club break and assisted in most of the other water and sewer breaks on the Northern Cheyenne reservation since that time." Q. Did this document indicate to you that Mr. Pierce performed operator duties for NCUC? A. Yes. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the witness CX43, page 12. THE COURT: Real quickly, did you ever speak with Mr. Pierce personally about any of this, or are you drawing these conclusions just from your reading of the records? THE WITNESS: Just from my reading of these records. The only time that Mr. Pierce I talked to Mr. Pierce was we had a pre-filing negotiation call. Attorneys were present. But usually, after somebody is represented by an attorney, I don't contact respondents. THE COURT: Okay. So you're drawing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Is Mr. Pierce holding himself out to be an operator in this document, Ms. Kleffner? A. Yes. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the witness MR. PIERCE: Objection, Your Honor. The document clearly states application. THE COURT: Um, I MR. PIERCE: Seems like we're leading the witnesses to draw conclusions as well. THE COURT: Yeah. I understand. And that's part of you know, there was some clarification I just did in a couple of the questions I had. So I think, A, some of this is appropriate for you to delve into when you cross, and, B, you know, just remembering what I pointed out earlier, just because there's evidence in the record is independent of how much weight I afford it based on, for example, certain arguments you might be making and pointing out here. So it's you know, it's not to say that I'm not taking note of what your objections

BRIDGER COURT REPORTERS, INC. (406) 582-0668

31 (Pages 121 to 124)

	Page 125		Page 127
1	in. But certainly, delve into some of these points	1	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:
2	on cross and make any arguments you wish about how	2	Q. Can you describe this document, please?
3	much weight I should afford certain pieces of	3	A. This is a check from NCUC Contract
4	evidence based on what I have before me and who's	4	Services to Adamas Construction Company. The date
5	testified about them.	5	is June 8th, 2018. It is in the amount of \$22,950.
6	MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am.	6	And it says at the bottom, "Adamas Construction,
7	THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Muehlberger.	7	Mobilization Payment Number 1."
8	MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to go back to	8	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Let's look at CX43, page
9	CX43, page 12, please.	9	39.
10	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	10	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:
11	Q. Ms. Kleffner, can you read starting	11	Q. Can you describe generally what this
12	with, "Currently I have"?	12	document is?
13	A. It states, "Currently I have	13	A. This is an invoice from Adamas
14	certification or licenses that include but are not	14	Construction to NCUC.
15	limited to the following: General contractor,	15	Q. Can you read what it says under "labor"
16	independent contractor, real estate broker,	16	there, please?
17	asbestos removal and handling, contract law,	17	A. "Technical consulting on various IHS, NC
18	Harvard" and then it goes on to state the DEQ	18	Tribal Government, and NCUC meetings. Various
19	operator "project management, construction site	19	project coordinations to include coordination with
20	safety coordination, confined space operations, NC	20	PACE to remove two separate sewer line
21	TERO" and it lists the number "design and	21	obstructions."
22	installation of water and wastewater systems."	22	Q. And the next line under "labor," please?
23	Q. Does this paragraph indicate that	23	A. "Post office main line break. Three
24	Mr. Pierce holds a certification as a Montana DEQ	24	Adamas Construction employees onsite."
25	wastewater Class 1C and 2E operator?	25	MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the
	Page 126		Page 128
1	A. Yes.	1	witness CX43, et. page 43.
2	Q. Does it say anywhere in this paragraph	2	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:
3	that this is an application only?	3	Q. Can you describe this document, please?
4	A. No.	4	A. This is another check from NCUC general
5	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Okay. I'd like to move on	5	fund made to Adamas Construction Services in the
6	to Complainant's Exhibit 43, et. page 3.	6	amount of \$1,419.55.
7	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	7	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Let's look at CX43, et.
8	Q. Can you please describe this document?	8	page 44.
9	A. This is a check from the NCUC general	9	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:
10	fund paid to Adamas Construction Services. The	10	Q. Can you please describe this document?
11	date is 2/7/2018, and it is for \$4,655.	11	A. This is another invoice from Adamas
12	MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show CX43, et.	12	Construction and Development Services billed to
10	page 4.	13	NCUC.
13	DV MD MUEHI DEDCED	1 /	
14	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	14	Q. Okay. And can you read the highlighted
14 15	Q. Can you describe this document, please?	15	portion, please?
14 15 16	Q. Can you describe this document, please?A. This is another check from NCUC Contract	15 16	portion, please? A. "One-third portion of the shared cost of
14 15 16 17	Q. Can you describe this document, please?A. This is another check from NCUC ContractServices to Adamas Construction Services. The date	15 16 17	portion, please? A. "One-third portion of the shared cost of the Structural Engineering Assessment and Report
14 15 16 17 18	Q. Can you describe this document, please? A. This is another check from NCUC Contract Services to Adamas Construction Services. The date is 12/12/2018, and it is in the amount of	15 16 17 18	portion, please? A. "One-third portion of the shared cost of the Structural Engineering Assessment and Report for the Boys and Girls Club, Northern Cheyenne
14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. Can you describe this document, please? A. This is another check from NCUC Contract Services to Adamas Construction Services. The date is 12/12/2018, and it is in the amount of \$6,620.86.	15 16 17 18 19	portion, please? A. "One-third portion of the shared cost of the Structural Engineering Assessment and Report for the Boys and Girls Club, Northern Cheyenne Nation." And the total is \$1,419.55.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Can you describe this document, please? A. This is another check from NCUC Contract Services to Adamas Construction Services. The date is 12/12/2018, and it is in the amount of \$6,620.86. Q. And can you read the highlighted portion 	15 16 17 18 19 20	 portion, please? A. "One-third portion of the shared cost of the Structural Engineering Assessment and Report for the Boys and Girls Club, Northern Cheyenne Nation." And the total is \$1,419.55. Q. And does that amount match the check
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Can you describe this document, please? A. This is another check from NCUC Contract Services to Adamas Construction Services. The date is 12/12/2018, and it is in the amount of \$6,620.86. Q. And can you read the highlighted portion underneath that? 	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 portion, please? A. "One-third portion of the shared cost of the Structural Engineering Assessment and Report for the Boys and Girls Club, Northern Cheyenne Nation." And the total is \$1,419.55. Q. And does that amount match the check that you just looked at on the previous page?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Can you describe this document, please? A. This is another check from NCUC Contract Services to Adamas Construction Services. The date is 12/12/2018, and it is in the amount of \$6,620.86. Q. And can you read the highlighted portion underneath that? A. It says, "Lagoon Project." 	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 portion, please? A. "One-third portion of the shared cost of the Structural Engineering Assessment and Report for the Boys and Girls Club, Northern Cheyenne Nation." And the total is \$1,419.55. Q. And does that amount match the check that you just looked at on the previous page? A. Yes.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Can you describe this document, please? A. This is another check from NCUC Contract Services to Adamas Construction Services. The date is 12/12/2018, and it is in the amount of \$6,620.86. Q. And can you read the highlighted portion underneath that? A. It says, "Lagoon Project." MR. MUEHLBERGER: Okay. I'd like to look at 	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 portion, please? A. "One-third portion of the shared cost of the Structural Engineering Assessment and Report for the Boys and Girls Club, Northern Cheyenne Nation." And the total is \$1,419.55. Q. And does that amount match the check that you just looked at on the previous page? A. Yes. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Looking at Complainant's
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Can you describe this document, please? A. This is another check from NCUC Contract Services to Adamas Construction Services. The date is 12/12/2018, and it is in the amount of \$6,620.86. Q. And can you read the highlighted portion underneath that? A. It says, "Lagoon Project." 	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 portion, please? A. "One-third portion of the shared cost of the Structural Engineering Assessment and Report for the Boys and Girls Club, Northern Cheyenne Nation." And the total is \$1,419.55. Q. And does that amount match the check that you just looked at on the previous page? A. Yes.

32 (Pages 125 to 128)

	Page 129		Page 131
1	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	1	A. This is from James Courtney. The date
2	Q. Can you describe this one, please?	2	on it is August 27th, 2018. And it is a
3	A. This is an invoice from Adamas	3	pre-construction meeting minutes.
4	Construction and Development Services billed to	4	Q. Okay. Can you read the highlighted
5	NCUC.	5	portion, please?
6	Q. And please read the highlighted portion.	6	A. "I reiterated that NCUC is responsible
7	A. Parts to include 5-inch AC to 4-inch PVC	7	for the sludge removal work and that Indian Health
8	couplers, 4-inch gate valve, 4-inch PVC pipe,	8	Services' relationship isn't with Adamas PLLC for
9	4-inch PVC, 2- to 4-inch gland packs, 2 to 4-inch	9	this project."
10	PVC	10	
11	THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. Can you slow down	11	Q. Does this change your assessment about
12		12	respondent's acting as an operator or a preparer or
13	just a little.	13	an applier of sewage sludge for this project?
14	THE WITNESS: Oh, sorry. I'll slow down. I'll start over for that.	-	A. It could I would say so NCUC
		14	ultimately for as the owner of the facility
15	Parts to include 5 AC to 4 PVC couplers,	15	would have some requirements under Part 503. But
16	4 gate valve, 4 PVC pipe, 4 PVC, 2 to 4 gland	16	if he takes the sludge, changes the quality, that
17	packs, 2 to 4 PVC threaded gland pack plug. And	17	still makes him a preparer and a land applier under
18	then underneath that, it says, "Labor, fuel, and	18	Part 503.
19	equipment."	19	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Taking a look at CX43, page
20	MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the	20	132.
21	witness CX43, et. page 50.	21	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:
22	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	22	Q. Is this also part of the information
23	Q. Does this also look like an invoice	23	that you received from NCUC pursuant to your
24	submitted by Adamas Construction?	24	information request?
25	A. Yes.	25	A. Yes.
	Page 130		Page 132
1	Page 130 Q. ToNCUC?	1	Page 132 Q. Okay. Could you please describe this
1 2		1 2	2
	Q. To NCUC?		Q. Okay. Could you please describe this
2	Q. To NCUC? A. Yes.	2	Q. Okay. Could you please describe this document?
2 3	Q. To NCUC?A. Yes.Q. And can you read the highlighted	2 3	Q. Okay. Could you please describe this document?A. It is a settlement agreement.
2 3 4	Q. To NCUC?A. Yes.Q. And can you read the highlighted portions, please?	2 3 4	 Q. Okay. Could you please describe this document? A. It is a settlement agreement. Q. And the settlement agreement is between
2 3 4 5	 Q. To NCUC? A. Yes. Q. And can you read the highlighted portions, please? A. The date is 7/27/2017. 	2 3 4 5	 Q. Okay. Could you please describe this document? A. It is a settlement agreement. Q. And the settlement agreement is between what parties?
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. To NCUC? A. Yes. Q. And can you read the highlighted portions, please? A. The date is 7/27/2017. Q. Thank you. 	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Okay. Could you please describe this document? A. It is a settlement agreement. Q. And the settlement agreement is between what parties? A. NCUC, and it lists Michelle and Nathan
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. To NCUC? A. Yes. Q. And can you read the highlighted portions, please? A. The date is 7/27/2017. Q. Thank you. A. And it says, "Tech assistance to Lame 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Okay. Could you please describe this document? A. It is a settlement agreement. Q. And the settlement agreement is between what parties? A. NCUC, and it lists Michelle and Nathan Pierce of Adamas Construction.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. To NCUC? A. Yes. Q. And can you read the highlighted portions, please? A. The date is 7/27/2017. Q. Thank you. A. And it says, "Tech assistance to Lame Deer lift station repair to include electrical 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Okay. Could you please describe this document? A. It is a settlement agreement. Q. And the settlement agreement is between what parties? A. NCUC, and it lists Michelle and Nathan Pierce of Adamas Construction. Q. And what's the date?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. To NCUC? A. Yes. Q. And can you read the highlighted portions, please? A. The date is 7/27/2017. Q. Thank you. A. And it says, "Tech assistance to Lame Deer lift station repair to include electrical conduit and wire repair with 2 5hp pump clean out. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Okay. Could you please describe this document? A. It is a settlement agreement. Q. And the settlement agreement is between what parties? A. NCUC, and it lists Michelle and Nathan Pierce of Adamas Construction. Q. And what's the date? A. September 11th I don't see
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. To NCUC? A. Yes. Q. And can you read the highlighted portions, please? A. The date is 7/27/2017. Q. Thank you. A. And it says, "Tech assistance to Lame Deer lift station repair to include electrical conduit and wire repair with 2 5hp pump clean out. Mowing of Lame Deer lagoons to include cutting 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Okay. Could you please describe this document? A. It is a settlement agreement. Q. And the settlement agreement is between what parties? A. NCUC, and it lists Michelle and Nathan Pierce of Adamas Construction. Q. And what's the date? A. September 11th I don't see Q. And it doesn't indicate a year, does it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. To NCUC? A. Yes. Q. And can you read the highlighted portions, please? A. The date is 7/27/2017. Q. Thank you. A. And it says, "Tech assistance to Lame Deer lift station repair to include electrical conduit and wire repair with 2 5hp pump clean out. Mowing of Lame Deer lagoons to include cutting cattails." 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Okay. Could you please describe this document? A. It is a settlement agreement. Q. And the settlement agreement is between what parties? A. NCUC, and it lists Michelle and Nathan Pierce of Adamas Construction. Q. And what's the date? A. September 11th I don't see Q. And it doesn't indicate a year, does it? A. No, it doesn't have a year on it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. To NCUC? A. Yes. Q. And can you read the highlighted portions, please? A. The date is 7/27/2017. Q. Thank you. A. And it says, "Tech assistance to Lame Deer lift station repair to include electrical conduit and wire repair with 2 5hp pump clean out. Mowing of Lame Deer lagoons to include cutting cattails." Q. Ms. Kleffner, what do all of these invoices and checks that were submitted to Adamas 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Okay. Could you please describe this document? A. It is a settlement agreement. Q. And the settlement agreement is between what parties? A. NCUC, and it lists Michelle and Nathan Pierce of Adamas Construction. Q. And what's the date? A. September 11th I don't see Q. And it doesn't indicate a year, does it? A. No, it doesn't have a year on it. Q. Could you please read the highlighted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. To NCUC? A. Yes. Q. And can you read the highlighted portions, please? A. The date is 7/27/2017. Q. Thank you. A. And it says, "Tech assistance to Lame Deer lift station repair to include electrical conduit and wire repair with 2 5hp pump clean out. Mowing of Lame Deer lagoons to include cutting cattails." Q. Ms. Kleffner, what do all of these 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Okay. Could you please describe this document? A. It is a settlement agreement. Q. And the settlement agreement is between what parties? A. NCUC, and it lists Michelle and Nathan Pierce of Adamas Construction. Q. And what's the date? A. September 11th I don't see Q. And it doesn't indicate a year, does it? A. No, it doesn't have a year on it. Q. Could you please read the highlighted portions here? A. "Michelle and Nathan Pierce of Adamas
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. To NCUC? A. Yes. Q. And can you read the highlighted portions, please? A. The date is 7/27/2017. Q. Thank you. A. And it says, "Tech assistance to Lame Deer lift station repair to include electrical conduit and wire repair with 2 5hp pump clean out. Mowing of Lame Deer lagoons to include cutting cattails." Q. Ms. Kleffner, what do all of these invoices and checks that were submitted to Adamas Construction tell you about the respondent's involvement at the Lame Deer POTW? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Okay. Could you please describe this document? A. It is a settlement agreement. Q. And the settlement agreement is between what parties? A. NCUC, and it lists Michelle and Nathan Pierce of Adamas Construction. Q. And what's the date? A. September 11th I don't see Q. And it doesn't indicate a year, does it? A. No, it doesn't have a year on it. Q. Could you please read the highlighted portions here? A. "Michelle and Nathan Pierce of Adamas Construction, a professional limited liability
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. To NCUC? A. Yes. Q. And can you read the highlighted portions, please? A. The date is 7/27/2017. Q. Thank you. A. And it says, "Tech assistance to Lame Deer lift station repair to include electrical conduit and wire repair with 2 5hp pump clean out. Mowing of Lame Deer lagoons to include cutting cattails." Q. Ms. Kleffner, what do all of these invoices and checks that were submitted to Adamas Construction tell you about the respondent's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Okay. Could you please describe this document? A. It is a settlement agreement. Q. And the settlement agreement is between what parties? A. NCUC, and it lists Michelle and Nathan Pierce of Adamas Construction. Q. And what's the date? A. September 11th I don't see Q. And it doesn't indicate a year, does it? A. No, it doesn't have a year on it. Q. Could you please read the highlighted portions here? A. "Michelle and Nathan Pierce of Adamas Construction, a professional limited liability company.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. To NCUC? A. Yes. Q. And can you read the highlighted portions, please? A. The date is 7/27/2017. Q. Thank you. A. And it says, "Tech assistance to Lame Deer lift station repair to include electrical conduit and wire repair with 2 5hp pump clean out. Mowing of Lame Deer lagoons to include cutting cattails." Q. Ms. Kleffner, what do all of these invoices and checks that were submitted to Adamas Construction tell you about the respondent's involvement at the Lame Deer POTW? A. That he was a contract operator. Q. Okay. And the activities that are 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Okay. Could you please describe this document? A. It is a settlement agreement. Q. And the settlement agreement is between what parties? A. NCUC, and it lists Michelle and Nathan Pierce of Adamas Construction. Q. And what's the date? A. September 11th I don't see Q. And it doesn't indicate a year, does it? A. No, it doesn't have a year on it. Q. Could you please read the highlighted portions here? A. "Michelle and Nathan Pierce of Adamas Construction, a professional limited liability
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. To NCUC? A. Yes. Q. And can you read the highlighted portions, please? A. The date is 7/27/2017. Q. Thank you. A. And it says, "Tech assistance to Lame Deer lift station repair to include electrical conduit and wire repair with 2 5hp pump clean out. Mowing of Lame Deer lagoons to include cutting cattails." Q. Ms. Kleffner, what do all of these invoices and checks that were submitted to Adamas Construction tell you about the respondent's involvement at the Lame Deer POTW? A. That he was a contract operator. Q. Okay. And the activities that are described in these invoices, are these typical of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Okay. Could you please describe this document? A. It is a settlement agreement. Q. And the settlement agreement is between what parties? A. NCUC, and it lists Michelle and Nathan Pierce of Adamas Construction. Q. And what's the date? A. September 11th I don't see Q. And it doesn't indicate a year, does it? A. No, it doesn't have a year on it. Q. Could you please read the highlighted portions here? A. "Michelle and Nathan Pierce of Adamas Construction, a professional limited liability company. "The company alleges it is owed money for sludge removal from the Lame Deer Wastewater
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. To NCUC? A. Yes. Q. And can you read the highlighted portions, please? A. The date is 7/27/2017. Q. Thank you. A. And it says, "Tech assistance to Lame Deer lift station repair to include electrical conduit and wire repair with 2 5hp pump clean out. Mowing of Lame Deer lagoons to include cutting cattails." Q. Ms. Kleffner, what do all of these invoices and checks that were submitted to Adamas Construction tell you about the respondent's involvement at the Lame Deer POTW? A. That he was a contract operator. Q. Okay. And the activities that are described in these invoices, are these typical of activities of POTW operators in your experience as 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Okay. Could you please describe this document? A. It is a settlement agreement. Q. And the settlement agreement is between what parties? A. NCUC, and it lists Michelle and Nathan Pierce of Adamas Construction. Q. And what's the date? A. September 11th I don't see Q. And it doesn't indicate a year, does it? A. No, it doesn't have a year on it. Q. Could you please read the highlighted portions here? A. "Michelle and Nathan Pierce of Adamas Construction, a professional limited liability company. "The company alleges it is owed money for sludge removal from the Lame Deer Wastewater Treatment Facility and for disposing of the sludge.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. To NCUC? A. Yes. Q. And can you read the highlighted portions, please? A. The date is 7/27/2017. Q. Thank you. A. And it says, "Tech assistance to Lame Deer lift station repair to include electrical conduit and wire repair with 2 5hp pump clean out. Mowing of Lame Deer lagoons to include cutting cattails." Q. Ms. Kleffner, what do all of these invoices and checks that were submitted to Adamas Construction tell you about the respondent's involvement at the Lame Deer POTW? A. That he was a contract operator. Q. Okay. And the activities that are described in these invoices, are these typical of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Okay. Could you please describe this document? A. It is a settlement agreement. Q. And the settlement agreement is between what parties? A. NCUC, and it lists Michelle and Nathan Pierce of Adamas Construction. Q. And what's the date? A. September 11th I don't see Q. And it doesn't indicate a year, does it? A. No, it doesn't have a year on it. Q. Could you please read the highlighted portions here? A. "Michelle and Nathan Pierce of Adamas Construction, a professional limited liability company. The company alleges it is owed money for sludge removal from the Lame Deer Wastewater Treatment Facility and for disposing of the sludge.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. To NCUC? A. Yes. Q. And can you read the highlighted portions, please? A. The date is 7/27/2017. Q. Thank you. A. And it says, "Tech assistance to Lame Deer lift station repair to include electrical conduit and wire repair with 2 5hp pump clean out. Mowing of Lame Deer lagoons to include cutting cattails." Q. Ms. Kleffner, what do all of these invoices and checks that were submitted to Adamas Construction tell you about the respondent's involvement at the Lame Deer POTW? A. That he was a contract operator. Q. Okay. And the activities that are described in these invoices, are these typical of activities of POTW operators in your experience as a compliance officer for EPA? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Okay. Could you please describe this document? A. It is a settlement agreement. Q. And the settlement agreement is between what parties? A. NCUC, and it lists Michelle and Nathan Pierce of Adamas Construction. Q. And what's the date? A. September 11th I don't see Q. And it doesn't indicate a year, does it? A. No, it doesn't have a year on it. Q. Could you please read the highlighted portions here? A. "Michelle and Nathan Pierce of Adamas Construction, a professional limited liability company. "The company alleges it is owed money for sludge removal from the Lame Deer Wastewater Treatment Facility and for disposing of the sludge. "The company alleges it is owed \$8,406.60 for work performed on the Lame Deer sewer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. To NCUC? A. Yes. Q. And can you read the highlighted portions, please? A. The date is 7/27/2017. Q. Thank you. A. And it says, "Tech assistance to Lame Deer lift station repair to include electrical conduit and wire repair with 2 5hp pump clean out. Mowing of Lame Deer lagoons to include cutting cattails." Q. Ms. Kleffner, what do all of these invoices and checks that were submitted to Adamas Construction tell you about the respondent's involvement at the Lame Deer POTW? A. That he was a contract operator. Q. Okay. And the activities that are described in these invoices, are these typical of activities of POTW operators in your experience as a compliance officer for EPA? A. Yes. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Okay. Could you please describe this document? A. It is a settlement agreement. Q. And the settlement agreement is between what parties? A. NCUC, and it lists Michelle and Nathan Pierce of Adamas Construction. Q. And what's the date? A. September 11th I don't see Q. And it doesn't indicate a year, does it? A. No, it doesn't have a year on it. Q. Could you please read the highlighted portions here? A. "Michelle and Nathan Pierce of Adamas Construction, a professional limited liability company. "The company alleges it is owed money for sludge removal from the Lame Deer Wastewater Treatment Facility and for disposing of the sludge. "The company alleges it is owed \$8,406.60 for work performed on the Lame Deer sewer main camera and cleaning project.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. To NCUC? A. Yes. Q. And can you read the highlighted portions, please? A. The date is 7/27/2017. Q. Thank you. A. And it says, "Tech assistance to Lame Deer lift station repair to include electrical conduit and wire repair with 2 5hp pump clean out. Mowing of Lame Deer lagoons to include cutting cattails." Q. Ms. Kleffner, what do all of these invoices and checks that were submitted to Adamas Construction tell you about the respondent's involvement at the Lame Deer POTW? A. That he was a contract operator. Q. Okay. And the activities that are described in these invoices, are these typical of activities of POTW operators in your experience as a compliance officer for EPA? A. Yes. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the witness CX43, et. page 113. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Okay. Could you please describe this document? A. It is a settlement agreement. Q. And the settlement agreement is between what parties? A. NCUC, and it lists Michelle and Nathan Pierce of Adamas Construction. Q. And what's the date? A. September 11th I don't see Q. And it doesn't indicate a year, does it? A. No, it doesn't have a year on it. Q. Could you please read the highlighted portions here? A. "Michelle and Nathan Pierce of Adamas Construction, a professional limited liability company. "The company alleges it is owed money for sludge removal from the Lame Deer Wastewater Treatment Facility and for disposing of the sludge. "The company alleges it is owed \$\$4,000
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. To NCUC? A. Yes. Q. And can you read the highlighted portions, please? A. The date is 7/27/2017. Q. Thank you. A. And it says, "Tech assistance to Lame Deer lift station repair to include electrical conduit and wire repair with 2 5hp pump clean out. Mowing of Lame Deer lagoons to include cutting cattails." Q. Ms. Kleffner, what do all of these invoices and checks that were submitted to Adamas Construction tell you about the respondent's involvement at the Lame Deer POTW? A. That he was a contract operator. Q. Okay. And the activities that are described in these invoices, are these typical of activities of POTW operators in your experience as a compliance officer for EPA? A. Yes. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Okay. Could you please describe this document? A. It is a settlement agreement. Q. And the settlement agreement is between what parties? A. NCUC, and it lists Michelle and Nathan Pierce of Adamas Construction. Q. And what's the date? A. September 11th I don't see Q. And it doesn't indicate a year, does it? A. No, it doesn't have a year on it. Q. Could you please read the highlighted portions here? A. "Michelle and Nathan Pierce of Adamas Construction, a professional limited liability company. "The company alleges it is owed money for sludge removal from the Lame Deer Wastewater Treatment Facility and for disposing of the sludge. "The company alleges it is owed \$8,406.60 for work performed on the Lame Deer sewer main camera and cleaning project.

33 (Pages 129 to 132)
		-	
	Page 133		Page 135
1	"The company alleges it is owed a blue	1	MR. PIERCE: Objection, Your Honor. Like,
2	1999 Ford F-650 pump truck as a result of an	2	she's not a firsthand witness to that. She has no
3	agreed-upon exchange for work performed on the Lame	3	firsthand knowledge.
4	Deer Eastside broken water main."	4	THE COURT: Sustained.
5	Q. What does this document tell you about	5	I mean, you can read what's on the
6	Mr. Pierce's involvement with the Lame Deer POTW	6	document, but it's I mean, I can do that too.
7	with respect to operator duties?	7	But I understand you're trying to connect some
8	A. He's a contract operator.	8	dots. And, you know, apart from direct evidence,
9	Q. What does the document tell you with	9	you're able to furnish circumstantial evidence as
10	respect to the sludge removal and application	10	well. So I understand the exercise. But it's a
11	project?	11	sustainable objection in that you don't have
12	A. That he completed the project for NCUC.	12	personal knowledge of it. But if you want to read
13	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness	13	what's on the document, you can certainly do that.
14	Complainant's Exhibit 43, et. page 128.	14	That's what you've been doing with several of
15	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	15	these, so
16	Q. Is this also part of the NCUC response	16	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:
17	to EPA's information request?	17	Q. Ms. Kleffner, from your reading of the
18	A. Yes.	18	documents, did Adamas Construction seek payment for
19	Q. Can you please describe this document?	19	their involvement in the sludge removal and
20	A. It's a letter from NCUC dated July 31st,	20	application project?
21	2019. And it's from Ziontz Chestnut Law Offices	21	A. Yes.
22	about Adamas Construction.	22	MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to move on to CX41
23	Q. Can you read the highlighted portion,	23	et. page 1, please.
24	please?	24	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:
25	A. "This check constitutes the final	25	Q. Ms. Kleffner, do you recognize this
	Page 134		Page 136
1	payment for the sludge removal project from Indian	1	document?
2	Health Service on behalf of Adamas Construction and	2	A. I do.
3	the Northern Cheyenne Utilities Commission."	3	Q. Okay. And what is this?
4	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Looking at CX 43, et. page	4	A. This is the information request response
5	129.	5	from Mr. Robinson.
6	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	6	Q. And could you please read Number 1 on
7	Q. Can you describe this document, please?	7	this document?
8	A. This is a check. The remitter is	8	A. "Identify the person or persons who
9	Northern Cheyenne Utility Commissions. It's dated	9	applied sludge-related materials from the Lame Deer
10	July 29th, 2019, pay to the order of Ziontz and	10	Lagoon to your land." And it lists Mr. Pierce.
11	Chestnut Law Firm.	11	Q. And can you please read Number 4?
12	Q. Okay. And to your recollection, who did	12	A. "Were you given any information about
13	Ziontz and Chestnut Law Firm represent?	13	the agronomic rate of land application or the
14	A. NCUC. Or actually, can I see the	14	pollutants contained in the sludge that was land
15	previous?	15	applied on or about August 22, 2018?"
16	Q. Certainly.	16	"No" is circled.
17	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Let's go back to 43, et.	17	Q. Would Mr. Robinson need this
18	page 128.	18	information, this agronomic rate of land
19	THE WITNESS: Can I see the signature at the	19	application, to comply with biosolids regulations
20	hattam?	20	

BRIDGER COURT REPORTERS, INC. (406) 582-0668

20

21

22

23

24

25

20

21

22

23

24

25

bottom?

Yes.

BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:

Q. So who was this check for?

Q. For payment for what?

A. It would be for Adamas from NCUC.

34 (Pages 133 to 136)

MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness

Q. Do you recognize this document?

recordkeeping requirements?

Complainant's Exhibit 7, et. 1.

BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:

A. Yes.

	Page 137		Page 139
1	A. Yes.	1	Q. Okay. And can you please read the
2	Q. And was this part of the submittal that	2	highlighted portion on page 2?
3	you got from Tom Robinson pursuant to EPA's	3	A. "I met Nathan with Adamas Construction.
4	information request?	4	He showed me five frack tanks he wanted off
5	A. Yes.	5	loaded."
6	Q. And just to be clear, the previous page,	6	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing witness
7	was that a submittal pursuant to EPA's information	7	Complainant's Exhibit 42, et. page 3.
8	request to Tom Robinson?	8	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:
9	A. Yes.	9	Q. Can you read the highlighted portion
10	Q. Thanks.	10	here?
11	And can you please describe this	11	A. "I did not have any direct contact with
12	document?	12	any tribe workers after that only Tom and Nathan.
13	A. This is a subcontractor agreement	13	Nathan and his team were on both ends of
14	between Adamas Construction and Development	14	the job. I saw them taking samples and moving
15	Services and Mr. Robinson.	15	pipe, etc."
16	Q. And can you please read the highlighted	16	Q. What does this response from Mr. Sprague
17	portions?	17	tell you about Nathan Pierce's involvement in the
18	A. Receive and apply bio sludge from the	18	sludge application portion part excuse
19	frack tanks located at the Lame Deer Lagoons in	19	me of the project?
20	Lame Deer, Montana at an agronomic rate and haul it	20	A. That he directed the project.
21	to the barley field with pivot line owned or leased	21	Q. Thank you.
22	by Tom Robinson in compliance with U.S. 40 EPA 503	22	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX42,
23	regulations.	23	et. page 4.
24	Q. And the term "barley field" here, what	24	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:
25	does this tell you about the type of land on which	25	Q. Do you recognize this?
	Page 138		Page 140
1	Page 138 this sludge was applied?	1	Page 140 A. Yes.
1 2	5	1 2	
	this sludge was applied?		A. Yes.
2	this sludge was applied? A. This would be an agricultural field.	2	A. Yes.Q. Can you describe it, please?
2 3	this sludge was applied?A. This would be an agricultural field.Q. Okay. And in this subcontractor	2 3	A. Yes.Q. Can you describe it, please?A. This is a subcontractor agreement
2 3 4	 this sludge was applied? A. This would be an agricultural field. Q. Okay. And in this subcontractor agreement, who's listed as the contractor? 	2 3 4	A. Yes.Q. Can you describe it, please?A. This is a subcontractor agreementbetween Adamas Construction and D&R Disposal, Ernie
2 3 4 5	 this sludge was applied? A. This would be an agricultural field. Q. Okay. And in this subcontractor agreement, who's listed as the contractor? A. Adamas Construction. 	2 3 4 5	 A. Yes. Q. Can you describe it, please? A. This is a subcontractor agreement between Adamas Construction and D&R Disposal, Ernie Sprague.
2 3 4 5 6	 this sludge was applied? A. This would be an agricultural field. Q. Okay. And in this subcontractor agreement, who's listed as the contractor? A. Adamas Construction. Q. And the subcontractor? 	2 3 4 5 6	 A. Yes. Q. Can you describe it, please? A. This is a subcontractor agreement between Adamas Construction and D&R Disposal, Ernie Sprague. Q. And who's listed as the contractor in
2 3 4 5 6 7	 this sludge was applied? A. This would be an agricultural field. Q. Okay. And in this subcontractor agreement, who's listed as the contractor? A. Adamas Construction. Q. And the subcontractor? A. Would be Mr. Robinson. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Yes. Q. Can you describe it, please? A. This is a subcontractor agreement between Adamas Construction and D&R Disposal, Ernie Sprague. Q. And who's listed as the contractor in this document?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 this sludge was applied? A. This would be an agricultural field. Q. Okay. And in this subcontractor agreement, who's listed as the contractor? A. Adamas Construction. Q. And the subcontractor? A. Would be Mr. Robinson. Q. In your estimation, then, who is in 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Yes. Q. Can you describe it, please? A. This is a subcontractor agreement between Adamas Construction and D&R Disposal, Ernie Sprague. Q. And who's listed as the contractor in this document? A. Adamas Construction and Development.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 this sludge was applied? A. This would be an agricultural field. Q. Okay. And in this subcontractor agreement, who's listed as the contractor? A. Adamas Construction. Q. And the subcontractor? A. Would be Mr. Robinson. Q. In your estimation, then, who is in charge of this of the project with respect to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yes. Q. Can you describe it, please? A. This is a subcontractor agreement between Adamas Construction and D&R Disposal, Ernie Sprague. Q. And who's listed as the contractor in this document? A. Adamas Construction and Development. Q. And who's listed as the subcontractor?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 this sludge was applied? A. This would be an agricultural field. Q. Okay. And in this subcontractor agreement, who's listed as the contractor? A. Adamas Construction. Q. And the subcontractor? A. Would be Mr. Robinson. Q. In your estimation, then, who is in charge of this of the project with respect to this contract? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Yes. Q. Can you describe it, please? A. This is a subcontractor agreement between Adamas Construction and D&R Disposal, Ernie Sprague. Q. And who's listed as the contractor in this document? A. Adamas Construction and Development. Q. And who's listed as the subcontractor? A. D&R Disposal.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 this sludge was applied? A. This would be an agricultural field. Q. Okay. And in this subcontractor agreement, who's listed as the contractor? A. Adamas Construction. Q. And the subcontractor? A. Would be Mr. Robinson. Q. In your estimation, then, who is in charge of this of the project with respect to this contract? A. Adamas. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Yes. Q. Can you describe it, please? A. This is a subcontractor agreement between Adamas Construction and D&R Disposal, Ernie Sprague. Q. And who's listed as the contractor in this document? A. Adamas Construction and Development. Q. And who's listed as the subcontractor? A. D&R Disposal. Q. And what does that indicate to you about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 this sludge was applied? A. This would be an agricultural field. Q. Okay. And in this subcontractor agreement, who's listed as the contractor? A. Adamas Construction. Q. And the subcontractor? A. Would be Mr. Robinson. Q. In your estimation, then, who is in charge of this of the project with respect to this contract? A. Adamas. Q. Thank you. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Yes. Q. Can you describe it, please? A. This is a subcontractor agreement between Adamas Construction and D&R Disposal, Ernie Sprague. Q. And who's listed as the contractor in this document? A. Adamas Construction and Development. Q. And who's listed as the subcontractor? A. D&R Disposal. Q. And what does that indicate to you about Adamas Construction's role in the sludge
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 this sludge was applied? A. This would be an agricultural field. Q. Okay. And in this subcontractor agreement, who's listed as the contractor? A. Adamas Construction. Q. And the subcontractor? A. Would be Mr. Robinson. Q. In your estimation, then, who is in charge of this of the project with respect to this contract? A. Adamas. Q. Thank you. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX 42, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Yes. Q. Can you describe it, please? A. This is a subcontractor agreement between Adamas Construction and D&R Disposal, Ernie Sprague. Q. And who's listed as the contractor in this document? A. Adamas Construction and Development. Q. And who's listed as the subcontractor? A. D&R Disposal. Q. And what does that indicate to you about Adamas Construction's role in the sludge application? A. That he was the director of it. Q. Can you please read the highlighted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 this sludge was applied? A. This would be an agricultural field. Q. Okay. And in this subcontractor agreement, who's listed as the contractor? A. Adamas Construction. Q. And the subcontractor? A. Would be Mr. Robinson. Q. In your estimation, then, who is in charge of this of the project with respect to this contract? A. Adamas. Q. Thank you. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX 42, et. 2. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Yes. Q. Can you describe it, please? A. This is a subcontractor agreement between Adamas Construction and D&R Disposal, Ernie Sprague. Q. And who's listed as the contractor in this document? A. Adamas Construction and Development. Q. And who's listed as the subcontractor? A. D&R Disposal. Q. And what does that indicate to you about Adamas Construction's role in the sludge application? A. That he was the director of it. Q. Can you please read the highlighted portion on the document?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 this sludge was applied? A. This would be an agricultural field. Q. Okay. And in this subcontractor agreement, who's listed as the contractor? A. Adamas Construction. Q. And the subcontractor? A. Would be Mr. Robinson. Q. In your estimation, then, who is in charge of this of the project with respect to this contract? A. Adamas. Q. Thank you. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX 42, et. 2. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Yes. Q. Can you describe it, please? A. This is a subcontractor agreement between Adamas Construction and D&R Disposal, Ernie Sprague. Q. And who's listed as the contractor in this document? A. Adamas Construction and Development. Q. And who's listed as the subcontractor? A. D&R Disposal. Q. And what does that indicate to you about Adamas Construction's role in the sludge application? A. That he was the director of it. Q. Can you please read the highlighted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 this sludge was applied? A. This would be an agricultural field. Q. Okay. And in this subcontractor agreement, who's listed as the contractor? a. Adamas Construction. Q. And the subcontractor? A. Would be Mr. Robinson. Q. In your estimation, then, who is in charge of this of the project with respect to this contract? A. Adamas. Q. Thank you. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX 42, et. 2. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Do you recognize this document, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Yes. Q. Can you describe it, please? A. This is a subcontractor agreement between Adamas Construction and D&R Disposal, Ernie Sprague. Q. And who's listed as the contractor in this document? A. Adamas Construction and Development. Q. And who's listed as the subcontractor? A. D&R Disposal. Q. And what does that indicate to you about Adamas Construction's role in the sludge application? A. That he was the director of it. Q. Can you please read the highlighted portion on the document?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 this sludge was applied? A. This would be an agricultural field. Q. Okay. And in this subcontractor agreement, who's listed as the contractor? A. Adamas Construction. Q. And the subcontractor? A. Would be Mr. Robinson. Q. In your estimation, then, who is in charge of this of the project with respect to this contract? A. Adamas. Q. Thank you. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX 42, et. 2. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Do you recognize this document, Ms. Kleffner? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Yes. Q. Can you describe it, please? A. This is a subcontractor agreement between Adamas Construction and D&R Disposal, Ernie Sprague. Q. And who's listed as the contractor in this document? A. Adamas Construction and Development. Q. And who's listed as the subcontractor? A. D&R Disposal. Q. And what does that indicate to you about Adamas Construction's role in the sludge application? A. That he was the director of it. Q. Can you please read the highlighted portion on the document? A. "The said contractor agrees that he will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 this sludge was applied? A. This would be an agricultural field. Q. Okay. And in this subcontractor agreement, who's listed as the contractor? A. Adamas Construction. Q. And the subcontractor? A. Would be Mr. Robinson. Q. In your estimation, then, who is in charge of this of the project with respect to this contract? A. Adamas. Q. Thank you. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX 42, et. 2. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Do you recognize this document, Ms. Kleffner? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yes. Q. Can you describe it, please? A. This is a subcontractor agreement between Adamas Construction and D&R Disposal, Ernie Sprague. Q. And who's listed as the contractor in this document? A. Adamas Construction and Development. Q. And who's listed as the subcontractor? A. D&R Disposal. Q. And what does that indicate to you about Adamas Construction's role in the sludge application? A. That he was the director of it. Q. Can you please read the highlighted portion on the document? A. "The said contractor agrees that he will pay to the said subcontractor the sum of \$320 for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 this sludge was applied? A. This would be an agricultural field. Q. Okay. And in this subcontractor agreement, who's listed as the contractor? A. Adamas Construction. Q. And the subcontractor? A. Would be Mr. Robinson. Q. In your estimation, then, who is in charge of this of the project with respect to this contract? A. Adamas. Q. Thank you. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX 42, et. 2. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Do you recognize this document, Ms. Kleffner? A. Yes. Q. And what is this? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Yes. Q. Can you describe it, please? A. This is a subcontractor agreement between Adamas Construction and D&R Disposal, Ernie Sprague. Q. And who's listed as the contractor in this document? A. Adamas Construction and Development. Q. And who's listed as the subcontractor? A. D&R Disposal. Q. And what does that indicate to you about Adamas Construction's role in the sludge application? A. That he was the director of it. Q. Can you please read the highlighted portion on the document? A. "The said contractor agrees that he will pay to the said subcontractor the sum of \$320 for each 2500 gallon load of sludge removed from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 this sludge was applied? A. This would be an agricultural field. Q. Okay. And in this subcontractor agreement, who's listed as the contractor? A. Adamas Construction. Q. And the subcontractor? A. Would be Mr. Robinson. Q. In your estimation, then, who is in charge of this of the project with respect to this contract? A. Adamas. Q. Thank you. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX 42, et. 2. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Do you recognize this document, Ms. Kleffner? A. Yes. Q. And what is this? A. This is the information response from 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Yes. Q. Can you describe it, please? A. This is a subcontractor agreement between Adamas Construction and D&R Disposal, Ernie Sprague. Q. And who's listed as the contractor in this document? A. Adamas Construction and Development. Q. And who's listed as the subcontractor? A. D&R Disposal. Q. And what does that indicate to you about Adamas Construction's role in the sludge application? A. That he was the director of it. Q. Can you please read the highlighted portion on the document? A. "The said contractor agrees that he will pay to the said subcontractor the sum of \$320 for each 2500 gallon load of sludge removed from the Lame Deer Lagoon and hauled to Tom Robinson's field
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 this sludge was applied? A. This would be an agricultural field. Q. Okay. And in this subcontractor agreement, who's listed as the contractor? A. Adamas Construction. Q. And the subcontractor? A. Would be Mr. Robinson. Q. In your estimation, then, who is in charge of this of the project with respect to this contract? A. Adamas. Q. Thank you. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX 42, et. 2. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Do you recognize this document, Ms. Kleffner? A. Yes. Q. And what is this? A. This is the information response from Mr. Sprague. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Yes. Q. Can you describe it, please? A. This is a subcontractor agreement between Adamas Construction and D&R Disposal, Ernie Sprague. Q. And who's listed as the contractor in this document? A. Adamas Construction and Development. Q. And who's listed as the subcontractor? A. D&R Disposal. Q. And what does that indicate to you about Adamas Construction's role in the sludge application? A. That he was the director of it. Q. Can you please read the highlighted portion on the document? A. "The said contractor agrees that he will pay to the said subcontractor the sum of \$320 for each 2500 gallon load of sludge removed from the Lame Deer Lagoon and hauled to Tom Robinson's field and apply at an even rate."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 this sludge was applied? A. This would be an agricultural field. Q. Okay. And in this subcontractor agreement, who's listed as the contractor? A. Adamas Construction. Q. And the subcontractor? A. Would be Mr. Robinson. Q. In your estimation, then, who is in charge of this of the project with respect to this contract? A. Adamas. Q. Thank you. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX 42, et. 2. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Do you recognize this document, Ms. Kleffner? A. Yes. Q. And what is this? A. This is the information response from Mr. Sprague. Q. Okay. And can you please read the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes. Q. Can you describe it, please? A. This is a subcontractor agreement between Adamas Construction and D&R Disposal, Ernie Sprague. Q. And who's listed as the contractor in this document? A. Adamas Construction and Development. Q. And who's listed as the subcontractor? A. D&R Disposal. Q. And what does that indicate to you about Adamas Construction's role in the sludge application? A. That he was the director of it. Q. Can you please read the highlighted portion on the document? A. "The said contractor agrees that he will pay to the said subcontractor the sum of \$320 for each 2500 gallon load of sludge removed from the Lame Deer Lagoon and hauled to Tom Robinson's field and apply at an even rate." Q. Again, what does this tell you about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 this sludge was applied? A. This would be an agricultural field. Q. Okay. And in this subcontractor agreement, who's listed as the contractor? A. Adamas Construction. Q. And the subcontractor? A. Would be Mr. Robinson. Q. In your estimation, then, who is in charge of this of the project with respect to this contract? A. Adamas. Q. Thank you. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX 42, et. 2. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Do you recognize this document, Ms. Kleffner? A. Yes. Q. And what is this? A. This is the information response from Mr. Sprague. Q. Okay. And can you please read the highlighted portion and was this information 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes. Q. Can you describe it, please? A. This is a subcontractor agreement between Adamas Construction and D&R Disposal, Ernie Sprague. Q. And who's listed as the contractor in this document? A. Adamas Construction and Development. Q. And who's listed as the subcontractor? A. D&R Disposal. Q. And what does that indicate to you about Adamas Construction's role in the sludge application? A. That he was the director of it. Q. Can you please read the highlighted portion on the document? A. "The said contractor agrees that he will pay to the said subcontractor the sum of \$320 for each 2500 gallon load of sludge removed from the Lame Deer Lagoon and hauled to Tom Robinson's field and apply at an even rate." Q. Again, what does this tell you about Adamas Construction's role in the sludge

35 (Pages 137 to 140)

	Page 141		Page 143
1	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness	1	standards.
2	Complainant's Exhibit 45, et. page 1.	2	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency,
3	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	3	EPA, including EPA Part 503."
4	Q. Ms. Kleffner, in preparing its	4	Q. Is Adamas Construction telling Indian
5	enforcement case, did EPA review documents from	5	Health Services here or NCUC that they will comply
б	anyone besides NCUC, Ernie Sprague, or Tom	6	with EPA regulations?
7	Robinson?	7	MR. PIERCE: Objection, Your Honor. He's
8	A. We received some additional documents	8	trying to have the witness testify for somebody not
9	from Indian Health Service.	9	the witness.
10	Q. Okay. Can you please describe the	10	THE COURT: Um, can you scroll up? This is
11	document you're looking at here?	11	from I was making a note, so I just want to make
12	A. This is an e-mail from James Courtney of	12	sure. This is from who to who?
13	Indian Health Services. It was sent Wednesday,	13	THE WITNESS: It's from Adamas Construction
14	November 20th, 2019, to myself and Liz Huston, who	14	to Sheri Bement, who is the general manager of
15	is an attorney for EPA Region 7.	15	NCUC.
16	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Okay. Turning to CX46, et.	16	THE COURT: Okay. So you're testifying about
17	page 1.	17	what you think Adamas is representing?
18	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	18	THE WITNESS: Yes.
19	Q. Is this also an e-mail from James	19	THE COURT: Okay. I think you can you
20	Courtney to EPA?	20	know, you can read the words on the page and draw
21	A. Yes.	21	certain conclusions, if you wish, just based on
22	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Okay. Turning to	22	your experience and compliance. But beyond that,
23	page CX49, et. page 1.	23	I you know, I guess I would say that your
24	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	24	testimony should be somewhat limited in scope to
25	Q. Is this also another e-mail from James	25	those parameters, or at least tell me why you're
	Page 142		Page 144

1		1	
1	Courtney of IHS to EPA?	1	drawing those conclusions. So I'll sustain with
2	A. Yes.	2	that in mind.
3	Q. And who is the "to" line on this	3	But you can go ahead. And did you want
4	document?	4	her to simply read the rest?
5	A. The "to" is to myself.	5	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Certainly.
6	Q. And so you received this directly?	6	THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
7	A. Yes.	7	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:
8	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Okay. CX 45, page 33,	8	Q. Could you read the next highlighted
9	please.	9	line, please?
10	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	10	A. Okay. "It is understood that for this
11	Q. Can you describe this document?	11	contract, the term 'Adamas Construction and
12	A. This is a letter from Adamas	12	Development Services' or 'Adamas' includes all of
13	Construction. It was sent Friday, April 20th,	13	our subcontractors, sub-consultants, engineers, and
14	2018, to Sheri Bement.	14	other team members."
15	Q. Was this part of information that IHS	15	Q. In your experience as a compliance
16	submitted to EPA?	16	officer for EPA, what does this line tell you about
17	A. Yes.	17	Adamas Construction's involvement with the sludge
18	Q. Okay. Could you please read the first	18	removal and application project?
19	highlighted line there?	19	A. Since it's including subcontractors,
20	A. "It is understood that Adamas and Nathan	20	consultants, anybody that's under Adamas's purview,
21	Pierce have been subcontracted by NCUC to be the	21	that would tell me that he's directing this
22	project manager and technical consultant for this	22	project.
23	project."	23	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Looking at CX45, et. page
24	Q. Can you please read the second line?	24	32.
25	A. "Work will be completed according to the	25	///

36 (Pages 141 to 144)

	Page 145		Page 147
1	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	1	but not limited to"
2	Q. Is this also part of information that	2	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness
3	EPA received from Indian Health Services?	3	Complainant's Exhibit 45, et. page 17.
4	A. Yes.	4	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:
5	Q. And can you describe this document,	5	Q. Is this also information submitted by
6	please?	6	Indian Health Services?
7	A. It's an e-mail from Adamas Construction	7	A. Yes.
8	dated April 21st, 2018. And it is sent to Sheri	8	Q. Can you describe this document, please?
9	Bement of NCUC.	9	A. This is a contractor agreement. Adamas
10	Q. Can you please read the highlighted	10	Construction is at the top. And it is dated May
11	portion?	11	15th, 2018. It's between Adamas and NCUC.
12	A. "Please find the attached letter	12	Q. And can you please read the highlighted
13	describing our compliance with all federal, tribal	13	portions?
14		14	•
14	and state laws during this project.	15	A. "This agreement made 15th day of May, 2018 by and between Adamas Construction and
16	"The lab that will be used to do testing	16	Development Services, PLLC, hereinafter called the
	is Energy Labs in Billings.	17	-
17	"The land application equipment will be		contractor, and Northern Cheyenne Utility
18	high flow liquid fertilizer wheel injector or other	18	Commission hereinafter called the owner/agent."
19	method allowed by EPA."	19	Q. Please continue to read.
20	Q. And earlier, you testified that Adamas	20	A. "The contractor shall furnish all of the
21	Construction was the point of contact for	21	materials and perform all of the work shown on the
22	submitting sample results for the lab to analyze;	22	drawings and/or described in the specifications
23	is that correct?	23	entitled Exhibit Aas it pertains to work to be
24	A. Yes, that's correct.	24	performed on property at Lame Deer Sewer Lagoons,
25	Q. To your knowledge, did any other parties	25	Lame Deer, Montana, on the Northern Cheyenne
	Page 146		Page 148
1	Page 146		Page 148
1	Page 146 submit samples for lab analysis in this sludge	1	Page 148 Reservation."
2	Page 146 submit samples for lab analysis in this sludge removal and application project?	1 2	Page 148 Reservation." MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX45,
2 3	Page 146 submit samples for lab analysis in this sludge removal and application project? A. No.	1 2 3	Page 148 Reservation." MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX45, et. page 18.
2 3 4	Page 146 submit samples for lab analysis in this sludge removal and application project? A. No. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Looking at CX45, et. page	1 2 3 4	Page 148 Reservation." MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX45, et. page 18. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:
2 3 4 5	Page 146 submit samples for lab analysis in this sludge removal and application project? A. No. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Looking at CX45, et. page 37.	1 2 3 4 5	Page 148 Reservation." MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX45, et. page 18. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Does this appear to be a continuation of
2 3 4 5 6	Page 146 submit samples for lab analysis in this sludge removal and application project? A. No. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Looking at CX45, et. page 37. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 148 Reservation." MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX45, et. page 18. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Does this appear to be a continuation of that contract you were reading?
2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 146 submit samples for lab analysis in this sludge removal and application project? A. No. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Looking at CX45, et. page 37. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Is this also part of the information	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 148 Reservation." MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX45, et. page 18. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Does this appear to be a continuation of that contract you were reading? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 146 submit samples for lab analysis in this sludge removal and application project? A. No. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Looking at CX45, et. page 37. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Is this also part of the information that Indian Health Services sent to EPA?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 148 Reservation." MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX45, et. page 18. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Does this appear to be a continuation of that contract you were reading? A. Yes. Q. Could you please read the highlighted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 146 submit samples for lab analysis in this sludge removal and application project? A. No. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Looking at CX45, et. page 37. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Is this also part of the information that Indian Health Services sent to EPA? A. Yes.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 148 Reservation." MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX45, et. page 18. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Does this appear to be a continuation of that contract you were reading? A. Yes. Q. Could you please read the highlighted portions, please?
2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 146 submit samples for lab analysis in this sludge removal and application project? A. No. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Looking at CX45, et. page 37. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Is this also part of the information that Indian Health Services sent to EPA? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe this document, please?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 148 Reservation." MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX45, et. page 18. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Does this appear to be a continuation of that contract you were reading? A. Yes. Q. Could you please read the highlighted portions, please? A. "Contractor may at its discretion engage
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 146 submit samples for lab analysis in this sludge removal and application project? A. No. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Looking at CX45, et. page 37. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Is this also part of the information that Indian Health Services sent to EPA? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe this document, please? A. This is an e-mail from Adamas	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 148 Reservation." MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX45, et. page 18. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Does this appear to be a continuation of that contract you were reading? A. Yes. Q. Could you please read the highlighted portions, please? A. "Contractor may at its discretion engage subcontractors to perform work here in under" or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 146 submit samples for lab analysis in this sludge removal and application project? A. No. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Looking at CX45, et. page 37. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Is this also part of the information that Indian Health Services sent to EPA? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe this document, please? A. This is an e-mail from Adamas Construction. It was sent Monday, April 30th,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 148 Reservation." MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX45, et. page 18. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Does this appear to be a continuation of that contract you were reading? A. Yes. Q. Could you please read the highlighted portions, please? A. "Contractor may at its discretion engage subcontractors to perform work here in under" or "hereunderin all instances remain responsible
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 146 submit samples for lab analysis in this sludge removal and application project? A. No. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Looking at CX45, et. page 37. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Is this also part of the information that Indian Health Services sent to EPA? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe this document, please? A. This is an e-mail from Adamas Construction. It was sent Monday, April 30th, 2018, to James Courtney of Indian Health Services.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 148 Reservation." MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX45, et. page 18. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Does this appear to be a continuation of that contract you were reading? A. Yes. Q. Could you please read the highlighted portions, please? A. "Contractor may at its discretion engage subcontractors to perform work here in under" or "hereunderin all instances remain responsible for the proper completion of this contract.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 146 submit samples for lab analysis in this sludge removal and application project? A. No. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Looking at CX45, et. page 37. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Is this also part of the information that Indian Health Services sent to EPA? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe this document, please? A. This is an e-mail from Adamas Construction. It was sent Monday, April 30th, 2018, to James Courtney of Indian Health Services. Sheri Bement of NCUC was also CCed. And it is	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 148 Reservation." MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX45, et. page 18. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Does this appear to be a continuation of that contract you were reading? A. Yes. Q. Could you please read the highlighted portions, please? A. "Contractor may at its discretion engage subcontractors to perform work here in under" or "hereunderin all instances remain responsible for the proper completion of this contract. "Contractor shall at its own expense
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 146 submit samples for lab analysis in this sludge removal and application project? A. No. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Looking at CX45, et. page 37. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Is this also part of the information that Indian Health Services sent to EPA? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe this document, please? A. This is an e-mail from Adamas Construction. It was sent Monday, April 30th, 2018, to James Courtney of Indian Health Services. Sheri Bement of NCUC was also CCed. And it is about map for lagoon project.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 148 Reservation." MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX45, et. page 18. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Does this appear to be a continuation of that contract you were reading? A. Yes. Q. Could you please read the highlighted portions, please? A. "Contractor may at its discretion engage subcontractors to perform work here in under" or "hereunderin all instances remain responsible for the proper completion of this contract. "Contractor shall at its own expense obtain all permits necessary for the work to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 146 submit samples for lab analysis in this sludge removal and application project? A. No. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Looking at CX45, et. page 37. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Is this also part of the information that Indian Health Services sent to EPA? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe this document, please? A. This is an e-mail from Adamas Construction. It was sent Monday, April 30th, 2018, to James Courtney of Indian Health Services. Sheri Bement of NCUC was also CCed. And it is about map for lagoon project. Q. Can you please read the highlighted	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 148 Reservation." MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX45, et. page 18. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Does this appear to be a continuation of that contract you were reading? A. Yes. Q. Could you please read the highlighted portions, please? A. "Contractor may at its discretion engage subcontractors to perform work here in under" or "hereunderin all instances remain responsible for the proper completion of this contract. "Contractor shall at its own expense obtain all permits necessary for the work to be performed."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 146 submit samples for lab analysis in this sludge removal and application project? A. No. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Looking at CX45, et. page 37. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Is this also part of the information that Indian Health Services sent to EPA? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe this document, please? A. This is an e-mail from Adamas Construction. It was sent Monday, April 30th, 2018, to James Courtney of Indian Health Services. Sheri Bement of NCUC was also CCed. And it is about map for lagoon project. Q. Can you please read the highlighted portions?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 148 Reservation." MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX45, et. page 18. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: O. Does this appear to be a continuation of that contract you were reading? A. Yes. O. Could you please read the highlighted portions, please? A. "Contractor may at its discretion engage subcontractors to perform work here in under" or "hereunderin all instances remain responsible for the proper completion of this contract. "Contractor shall at its own expense obtain all permits necessary for the work to be performed." O. Ms. Kleffner, what does this tell you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 146 submit samples for lab analysis in this sludge removal and application project? A. No. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Looking at CX45, et. page 37. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Is this also part of the information that Indian Health Services sent to EPA? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe this document, please? A. This is an e-mail from Adamas Construction. It was sent Monday, April 30th, 2018, to James Courtney of Indian Health Services. Sheri Bement of NCUC was also CCed. And it is about map for lagoon project. Q. Can you please read the highlighted portions? A. "Our current plan is to stick with the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 148 Reservation." MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX45, et. page 18. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: 0. Does this appear to be a continuation of that contract you were reading? A. Yes. 0. Could you please read the highlighted portions, please? A. "Contractor may at its discretion engage subcontractors to perform work here in under" or "hereunderin all instances remain responsible for the proper completion of this contract. "Contractor shall at its own expense obtain all permits necessary for the work to be performed." 0. Ms. Kleffner, what does this tell you about Adamas Construction involvement with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 146 submit samples for lab analysis in this sludge removal and application project? A. No. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Looking at CX45, et. page 37. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Is this also part of the information that Indian Health Services sent to EPA? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe this document, please? A. This is an e-mail from Adamas Construction. It was sent Monday, April 30th, 2018, to James Courtney of Indian Health Services. Sheri Bement of NCUC was also CCed. And it is about map for lagoon project. Q. Can you please read the highlighted portions? A. "Our current plan is to stick with the recommended liquid fertilizer wheel injector.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 148 Reservation." MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX45, et. page 18. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: 0. Does this appear to be a continuation of that contract you were reading? A. Yes. 0. Could you please read the highlighted portions, please? A. "Contractor may at its discretion engage subcontractors to perform work here in under" or "hereunderin all instances remain responsible for the proper completion of this contract. "Contractor shall at its own expense obtain all permits necessary for the work to be performed." 0. Ms. Kleffner, what does this tell you about Adamas Construction involvement with the sludge removal and application project?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 146 submit samples for lab analysis in this sludge removal and application project? A. No. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Looking at CX45, et. page 37. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Is this also part of the information that Indian Health Services sent to EPA? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe this document, please? A. This is an e-mail from Adamas Construction. It was sent Monday, April 30th, 2018, to James Courtney of Indian Health Services. Sheri Bement of NCUC was also CCed. And it is about map for lagoon project. Q. Can you please read the highlighted portions? A. "Our current plan is to stick with the recommended liquid fertilizer wheel injector. "Per Adamas Construction and	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 148 Reservation." MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX45, et. page 18. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: O. Does this appear to be a continuation of that contract you were reading? A. Yes. O. Could you please read the highlighted portions, please? A. "Contractor may at its discretion engage subcontractors to perform work here in under" or "hereunderin all instances remain responsible for the proper completion of this contract. "Contractor shall at its own expense obtain all permits necessary for the work to be performed." O. Ms. Kleffner, what does this tell you about Adamas Construction involvement with the sludge removal and application project? A. That he was taking responsibility for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 146 submit samples for lab analysis in this sludge removal and application project? A. No. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Looking at CX45, et. page 37. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Is this also part of the information that Indian Health Services sent to EPA? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe this document, please? A. This is an e-mail from Adamas Construction. It was sent Monday, April 30th, 2018, to James Courtney of Indian Health Services. Sheri Bement of NCUC was also CCed. And it is about map for lagoon project. Q. Can you please read the highlighted portions? A. "Our current plan is to stick with the recommended liquid fertilizer wheel injector.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 148 Reservation." MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX45, et. page 18. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: 0. Does this appear to be a continuation of that contract you were reading? A. Yes. 0. Could you please read the highlighted portions, please? A. "Contractor may at its discretion engage subcontractors to perform work here in under" or "hereunderin all instances remain responsible for the proper completion of this contract. "Contractor shall at its own expense obtain all permits necessary for the work to be performed." 0. Ms. Kleffner, what does this tell you about Adamas Construction involvement with the sludge removal and application project?

of U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA, Part 24 503...and will demonstrate compliance with BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: 25 applicable laws, rules and regulations to include

Q. Does this appear to be a continuation of

MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing CX45, et. page 19.

37 (Pages 145 to 148)

BRIDGER COURT REPORTERS, INC. (406) 582-0668

23

23

24

	Page 149		Page 151
1	that same contract?	1	et. page 35.
2	A. Yes.	2	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:
3	Q. And who are the signatories to this	3	Q. Could you please read the highlighted
4	contract?	4	portion there?
5	A. The first signature is Sheri Bement of	5	A. "Sludge will be removed from frack tanks
6	NCUC. And the second signature for contractor is	6	and land applied allowed by the EPA 503
7	Adamas Construction and Development Services.	7	regulations."
8	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX45,	8	Q. Is this part of the scope of work that
9	et. page 34.	9	you were reading from in the previous page?
10	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Earlier you testified that the contract	11	Q. Thank you.
12	said that the scope of work would be contained in	12	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Turning to Complainant's
13	Exhibit A. Does this document say "Exhibit A" at	13	Exhibit 49, et. 27.
14	the bottom of the page?	14	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:
15	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Can you please scroll down.	15	Q. Is this also information you received
16	THE WITNESS: Yes, it says "Exhibit A" at the	16	from Indian Health Services to EPA?
17	bottom.	17	A. Yes.
18	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	18	Q. Can you please describe this document?
19	Q. Okay. And does it say does this	19	A. This is an e-mail from Adamas
20	include the scope of work for the project?	20	Construction, and it's dated June 21st, 2018. And
21	A. At the top, yes.	21	it is sent to Sheri Bement of NCUC and James
22	Q. Okay. Could you please read the first	22	Courtney of Indian Health Services.
23	highlighted portion?	23	Q. And can you please read the highlighted
24	A. "Scope of work. Task 1 - Site Prep and	24	portion there?
25	Mobilization." First bullet point is, "Transport	25	A. "Adamas-Nathan Pierce will be the
	Page 150		Page 152
1	all personnel and heavy equipment to the job site."	1	project manager for the sludge removal project with
2	Second bullet point is, "Conduct all testing and	2	the understanding that no NCUC equipment and/or
3	survey work."	3	staff will be used for this project at the request
4	Q. Task 2?	4	of NCUC. Adamas will use their employees only and
5	A. "Bio-Solid Sludge Removal and	5	reserves the right to hire other labor if needed."
6	Dewatering." First point, "Cell Number 2 will be	6	Q. In your experience as a compliance
7	agitated." Next point says, "FLUMP dredge will be	7	officer, what does this tell you about Adamas
8	launched and begin dredging operations to remove	8	Construction's involvement with the sludge project?
9	bio-solid sludge from the bottom of Cell Number 2."	9	A. That he directed the sludge project.
10	And then the next point, "Cleaned water will be	10	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness
11	discharged from the tanks into Cell 1 of the lagoon	11	Complainant's Exhibit 45, et. 11.
12	system."	12	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:
13	Q. Ms. Kleffner, this Task 2, bio-solid	13	Q. Can you please describe this
14	sludge removal and dewatering, would this be part	14	e-mail or sorry this document?
15	of what a preparer of sewage sludge does?	15	A. This is an e-mail from Adamas
16	A. Yes. By definition, dewatering under	16	Construction and Development to Sheri Bement of
17	Part 503 is considered a treatment process.	17	NCUC.
18	Q. Can you please read Task Number 3?	18	Q. And can you read the highlighted
19	A. "Bio-Solid Sludge Transportation and	19	portion, please?
20	Land Application." The next point says,	20	A. "All tanks, pumps, hoses, dredge, pipe

38 (Pages 149 to 152)

and fitting are on-site and connected or ready to

MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX45,

be connected. We are ready to begin work on

pumping today, Tuesday, June 26th, 2018."

BRIDGER COURT REPORTERS, INC. (406) 582-0668

21

22

23

24

25

et. 9.

21

22

23

24

25

"Transportation tankers and trucks will be used to

be pumped into frack tanks allowing for storage and

MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX45,

haul sludge to the application receiving areas to

onsite demand for land application."

	Page 153			Page 155
1 BY MR. MUEHI	BERGER:	1	MR.	MUEHLBERGER: I'm looking right now.
2 Q. Can you	describe this document, please?	2	T	hat's because it's on the next page.
	other e-mail from Adamas	3	Could I s	show the witness Complainant's Exhibit 45,
4 Construction sent	Friday, July 13th, 2018, to James	4		ease? Apologies.
5 Courtney of India	n Health Services.	5	BY MR.	MUEHLBERGER:
6 Q. Is this al	so part of information that	6	Q. (Could you read where it says Number 6?
7 EPA received fr	om Indian Health Services?	7	A. "	You also requested a copy of the permit
8 A. Yes.		8	from EP.	A and required EPA reports. Our company
9 Q. Can you	please read the first	9	will alwa	sys comply with the rules and regulations
10 highlighted port	ion?	10	necessar	y to protect the environment and waterways
11 A. "We pum	ped and dewatered a significant	11	of the Ur	nited States."
12 amount of sludge	on Wednesday."	12	Q. 7	The reference to "our company," what is
13 Q. You testi	fied earlier that pumping and	13	the com	pany in that reference?
14 dewatering is pa	rt of sludge preparation under	14	A.]	That would be Adamas.
15 bio-solids regula	tions; is that correct?	15	Q. 4	And can you please read the next line?
16 A. Dewateri	ng is, yes.	16	A. "	Our company is dedicated to ensuring
17 Q. Can you	read the second line, please?	17	that this	job is complete and that we will make
18 A. "We also	took a nitrate sample from the	18	sure that	it is done within the rules and
19 application site an	nd delivered it to Energy Lab in	19	regulatio	ns that apply to the project."
20 Billings."		20	MR.	MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX46,
21 Q. Can you	please remind us what is the	21	et. page	10.
-	veen taking samples to lab with	22	BY MR.	MUEHLBERGER:
23 respect to the EI	A recordkeeping requirements for	23	Q. 1	ls this also information you received
24 biosolids?		24	from Inc	dian Health Services?
A. So those	would be part of the	25	A. Y	Yes.
	Page 154			Page 156
1 recordkeeping the	t would be required of a preparer	1	0. /	And can you please describe this
	ns, metals, and then additional	2	docume	
3 nitrogen content.	, ,	3	A. 7	This is an e-mail from Adamas
-	please read the next line?	4	Construc	tion to I'm assuming it says Dion, so
-	ld be ready to begin hauling and	5		ning it's Dion Killsback.
6 application next v		6		And who's that?
	es this e-mail from Adamas to IHS	7	A.]	That's the attorney for NCUC.
8 tell you about A	lamas Construction's involvement	8	Q. 1	Please read the highlighted portion.

1	recordkeeping that would be required of a preparer	1	Q. And can you please describe this
2	based on pathogens, metals, and then additional	2	document?
3	nitrogen content.	3	A. This is an e-mail from Adamas
4	Q. Can you please read the next line?	4	Construction to I'm assuming it says Dion, so
5	A. "We should be ready to begin hauling and	5	I'm assuming it's Dion Killsback.
6	application next week."	6	Q. And who's that?
7	Q. What does this e-mail from Adamas to IHS	7	A. That's the attorney for NCUC.
8	tell you about Adamas Construction's involvement	8	Q. Please read the highlighted portion.
9	with the project?	9	A. "We sat in the IHS office yesterday and
10	A. That he was directly involved since he	10	we all agreed that our company has" "has be
11	uses the words "we."	11	credited by Indian Health Services as pumping,
12	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness	12	hauling and application of 600,000 gallons. IHS
13	Complainant's Exhibit 45, et. 20.	13	submitted payment to your office for the work we
14	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	14	did in the past month for over \$6,000."
15	Q. Can you describe this document, please?	15	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX45,
16	A. This is an e-mail from Adamas sent	16	et. page 5.
17	Monday, July 30th, to James Courtney of Indian	17	MS. MORENO: 46. You said 45.
18	Health Services	18	MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'm sorry. What's that?
19	Q. And we don't	19	MS. MORENO: You said 45.
20	A and Sheri Bement.	20	MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'm sorry. CX46, et. page
21	Q. Sorry about that. We don't have this	21	5. Apologies.
22	highlighted here. But can you read where it starts	22	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:
23	saying, "Our company is dedicated"?	23	Q. Is this also information received from
24	THE COURT: Which paragraph would that be in?	24	IHS to EPA?
25	THE WITNESS: Yeah.	25	A. Yes.

	Page 157		Page 159
1	Q. Can you describe this document, please?	1	A. It says, "I am no longer the temporary
2	A. This is an e-mail from Adamas	2	sewer operator for the NCUC."
3	Construction and Development Services sent August	3	Q. And who is the "I" in that statement?
4	26th, 2018, to Dion Killsback of NCUC.	4	A. That would be Mr. Pierce.
5	Q. Okay.	5	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX45,
6	THE COURT: And that was CX46 at 5?	6	et. page 13.
7	MR. MUEHLBERGER: That's correct.	7	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:
8	THE COURT: Okay. And that was part of the	8	Q. Also part of the information that EPA
9	308 that went to or this is part of what IHS	9	received from IHS?
10	sent to EPA?	10	A. Yes.
11	MR. MUEHLBERGER: That is correct. It was	11	Q. Please describe this document.
12	not subject to a 308 request, but information	12	A. This is an e-mail from Gary Fahlstedt of
13	submitted by IHS to EPA.	13	Human Health Services. It was sent April 29th,
14	THE COURT: Okay. This exhibit in particular	14	2019, to Mr. Gallus.
15	is 432 pages. Is that is all of that from IHS	15	Q. And who's Mr. Gallus?
16	to EPA?	16	A. He was the attorney for Adamas and
17	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor.	17	Mr. Pierce.
18	THE COURT: Okay.	18	Q. Okay. And scrolling down to the bottom
19	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX46,	19	of the page, what does it say?
20	et. page 6.	20	A. "Christopher Gallus wrote, 'Gary."
21	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	21	Q. Okay. So does this appear to be an
22	Q. Can you please read the highlighted	22	e-mail from Christopher Gallus?
23	portion?	23	A. Yes.
24	A. "As of April of this year, Sheri Bement	24	Q. And again, who is Christopher Gallus
25	signed and submitted an application to the state of	25	representing?
	Page 158		Page 160
			5
1	Montana naming me the sewer operator for the	1	A. Mr. Pierce.
1 2	Montana naming me the sewer operator for the reservation systems. This seems again I would fall	1 2	
	reservation systems. This seems again I would fall under the NCUC umbrella as the sewer operator. She		A. Mr. Pierce.MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX45, et. page 14.
2	reservation systems. This seems again I would fall under the NCUC umbrella as the sewer operator. She also represented to EPA Akash Johnson that I was	2	A. Mr. Pierce.MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX45,
2 3	reservation systems. This seems again I would fall under the NCUC umbrella as the sewer operator. She also represented to EPA Akash Johnson that I was the sewer operator."	2 3 4 5	A. Mr. Pierce.MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX45, et. page 14.
2 3 4 5 6	reservation systems. This seems again I would fall under the NCUC umbrella as the sewer operator. She also represented to EPA Akash Johnson that I was the sewer operator." Q. Please read the next highlighted	2 3 4 5 6	 A. Mr. Pierce. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX45, et. page 14. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Could you please read the highlighted portion?
2 3 4 5 6 7	reservation systems. This seems again I would fall under the NCUC umbrella as the sewer operator. She also represented to EPA Akash Johnson that I was the sewer operator." Q. Please read the next highlighted portion.	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Mr. Pierce. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX45, et. page 14. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Could you please read the highlighted portion? A. "My client's point is that as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	reservation systems. This seems again I would fall under the NCUC umbrella as the sewer operator. She also represented to EPA Akash Johnson that I was the sewer operator." Q. Please read the next highlighted portion. A. "The sludge removal project is not the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Mr. Pierce. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX45, et. page 14. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Could you please read the highlighted portion? A. "My client's point is that as circumstances evolved they became the primary
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	reservation systems. This seems again I would fall under the NCUC umbrella as the sewer operator. She also represented to EPA Akash Johnson that I was the sewer operator." Q. Please read the next highlighted portion. A. "The sludge removal project is not the only outstanding invoices or monies owed our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Mr. Pierce. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX45, et. page 14. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Could you please read the highlighted portion? A. "My client's point is that as circumstances evolved they became the primary project contractor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	reservation systems. This seems again I would fall under the NCUC umbrella as the sewer operator. She also represented to EPA Akash Johnson that I was the sewer operator." Q. Please read the next highlighted portion. A. "The sludge removal project is not the only outstanding invoices or monies owed our company by the NCUC.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Mr. Pierce. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX45, et. page 14. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Could you please read the highlighted portion? A. "My client's point is that as circumstances evolved they became the primary project contractor. "NCUC and Indian Health Service made
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	reservation systems. This seems again I would fall under the NCUC umbrella as the sewer operator. She also represented to EPA Akash Johnson that I was the sewer operator." Q. Please read the next highlighted portion. A. "The sludge removal project is not the only outstanding invoices or monies owed our company by the NCUC. "We started the sewer camera and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Mr. Pierce. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX45, et. page 14. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Could you please read the highlighted portion? A. "My client's point is that as circumstances evolved they became the primary project contractor. "NCUC and Indian Health Service made only my client, his workforce and equipment
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	reservation systems. This seems again I would fall under the NCUC umbrella as the sewer operator. She also represented to EPA Akash Johnson that I was the sewer operator." Q. Please read the next highlighted portion. A. "The sludge removal project is not the only outstanding invoices or monies owed our company by the NCUC. "We started the sewer camera and cleaning project to include bringing our engineer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Mr. Pierce. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX45, et. page 14. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Could you please read the highlighted portion? A. "My client's point is that as circumstances evolved they became the primary project contractor. "NCUC and Indian Health Service made only my client, his workforce and equipment responsible for all the work performed under the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	reservation systems. This seems again I would fall under the NCUC umbrella as the sewer operator. She also represented to EPA Akash Johnson that I was the sewer operator." Q. Please read the next highlighted portion. A. "The sludge removal project is not the only outstanding invoices or monies owed our company by the NCUC. "We started the sewer camera and cleaning project to include bringing our engineer to the Northern Cheyenne Reservation."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Mr. Pierce. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX45, et. page 14. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Could you please read the highlighted portion? A. "My client's point is that as circumstances evolved they became the primary project contractor. "NCUC and Indian Health Service made only my client, his workforce and equipment responsible for all the work performed under the project and prohibited him from using any NCUC
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	reservation systems. This seems again I would fall under the NCUC umbrella as the sewer operator. She also represented to EPA Akash Johnson that I was the sewer operator." Q. Please read the next highlighted portion. A. "The sludge removal project is not the only outstanding invoices or monies owed our company by the NCUC. "We started the sewer camera and cleaning project to include bringing our engineer to the Northern Cheyenne Reservation." Q. Ms. Kleffner, is sewer camera and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Mr. Pierce. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX45, et. page 14. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Could you please read the highlighted portion? A. "My client's point is that as circumstances evolved they became the primary project contractor. "NCUC and Indian Health Service made only my client, his workforce and equipment responsible for all the work performed under the project and prohibited him from using any NCUC workforce and equipment on June 21st, 2018, making
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	reservation systems. This seems again I would fall under the NCUC umbrella as the sewer operator. She also represented to EPA Akash Johnson that I was the sewer operator." Q. Please read the next highlighted portion. A. "The sludge removal project is not the only outstanding invoices or monies owed our company by the NCUC. "We started the sewer camera and cleaning project to include bringing our engineer to the Northern Cheyenne Reservation." Q. Ms. Kleffner, is sewer camera and cleaning project, is that a typical duty of a POTW	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Mr. Pierce. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX45, et. page 14. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Could you please read the highlighted portion? A. "My client's point is that as circumstances evolved they became the primary project contractor. "NCUC and Indian Health Service made only my client, his workforce and equipment responsible for all the work performed under the project and prohibited him from using any NCUC workforce and equipment on June 21st, 2018, making the primary project contractor."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	reservation systems. This seems again I would fall under the NCUC umbrella as the sewer operator. She also represented to EPA Akash Johnson that I was the sewer operator." Q. Please read the next highlighted portion. A. "The sludge removal project is not the only outstanding invoices or monies owed our company by the NCUC. "We started the sewer camera and cleaning project to include bringing our engineer to the Northern Cheyenne Reservation." Q. Ms. Kleffner, is sewer camera and cleaning project, is that a typical duty of a POTW operator?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Mr. Pierce. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX45, et. page 14. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: O. Could you please read the highlighted portion? A. "My client's point is that as circumstances evolved they became the primary project contractor. "NCUC and Indian Health Service made only my client, his workforce and equipment responsible for all the work performed under the project and prohibited him from using any NCUC workforce and equipment on June 21st, 2018, making the primary project contractor." Q. Please continue reading the highlighted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 reservation systems. This seems again I would fall under the NCUC umbrella as the sewer operator. She also represented to EPA Akash Johnson that I was the sewer operator." Q. Please read the next highlighted portion. A. "The sludge removal project is not the only outstanding invoices or monies owed our company by the NCUC. "We started the sewer camera and cleaning project to include bringing our engineer to the Northern Cheyenne Reservation." Q. Ms. Kleffner, is sewer camera and cleaning project, is that a typical duty of a POTW operator? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Mr. Pierce. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX45, et. page 14. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Could you please read the highlighted portion? A. "My client's point is that as circumstances evolved they became the primary project contractor. "NCUC and Indian Health Service made only my client, his workforce and equipment responsible for all the work performed under the project and prohibited him from using any NCUC workforce and equipment on June 21st, 2018, making the primary project contractor." Q. Please continue reading the highlighted portion.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	reservation systems. This seems again I would fall under the NCUC umbrella as the sewer operator. She also represented to EPA Akash Johnson that I was the sewer operator." Q. Please read the next highlighted portion. A. "The sludge removal project is not the only outstanding invoices or monies owed our company by the NCUC. "We started the sewer camera and cleaning project to include bringing our engineer to the Northern Cheyenne Reservation." Q. Ms. Kleffner, is sewer camera and cleaning project, is that a typical duty of a POTW operator? A. Yes. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX46,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Mr. Pierce. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX45, et. page 14. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Could you please read the highlighted portion? A. "My client's point is that as circumstances evolved they became the primary project contractor. "NCUC and Indian Health Service made only my client, his workforce and equipment responsible for all the work performed under the project and prohibited him from using any NCUC workforce and equipment on June 21st, 2018, making the primary project contractor." Q. Please continue reading the highlighted portion. A. "It is important to point out and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 reservation systems. This seems again I would fall under the NCUC umbrella as the sewer operator. She also represented to EPA Akash Johnson that I was the sewer operator." Q. Please read the next highlighted portion. A. "The sludge removal project is not the only outstanding invoices or monies owed our company by the NCUC. "We started the sewer camera and cleaning project to include bringing our engineer to the Northern Cheyenne Reservation." Q. Ms. Kleffner, is sewer camera and cleaning project, is that a typical duty of a POTW operator? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Mr. Pierce. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX45, et. page 14. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: O. Could you please read the highlighted portion? A. "My client's point is that as circumstances evolved they became the primary project contractor. "NCUC and Indian Health Service made only my client, his workforce and equipment responsible for all the work performed under the project and prohibited him from using any NCUC workforce and equipment on June 21st, 2018, making the primary project contractor." Q. Please continue reading the highlighted portion. A. "It is important to point out and the distinction should be made, my client didn't just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	reservation systems. This seems again I would fall under the NCUC umbrella as the sewer operator. She also represented to EPA Akash Johnson that I was the sewer operator." Q. Please read the next highlighted portion. A. "The sludge removal project is not the only outstanding invoices or monies owed our company by the NCUC. "We started the sewer camera and cleaning project to include bringing our engineer to the Northern Cheyenne Reservation." Q. Ms. Kleffner, is sewer camera and cleaning project, is that a typical duty of a POTW operator? A. Yes. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX46, et. page 7. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Mr. Pierce. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX45, et. page 14. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Could you please read the highlighted portion? A. "My client's point is that as circumstances evolved they became the primary project contractor. "NCUC and Indian Health Service made only my client, his workforce and equipment responsible for all the work performed under the project and prohibited him from using any NCUC workforce and equipment on June 21st, 2018, making the primary project contractor." Q. Please continue reading the highlighted portion. A. "It is important to point out and the distinction should be made, my client didn't just perform 'some of the work,' again, on June 21st,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 reservation systems. This seems again I would fall under the NCUC umbrella as the sewer operator. She also represented to EPA Akash Johnson that I was the sewer operator." Q. Please read the next highlighted portion. A. "The sludge removal project is not the only outstanding invoices or monies owed our company by the NCUC. "We started the sewer camera and cleaning project to include bringing our engineer to the Northern Cheyenne Reservation." Q. Ms. Kleffner, is sewer camera and cleaning project, is that a typical duty of a POTW operator? A. Yes. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX46, et. page 7. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Does this appear to be a continuation of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Mr. Pierce. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX45, et. page 14. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: O. Could you please read the highlighted portion? A. "My client's point is that as circumstances evolved they became the primary project contractor. "NCUC and Indian Health Service made only my client, his workforce and equipment responsible for all the work performed under the project and prohibited him from using any NCUC workforce and equipment on June 21st, 2018, making the primary project contractor." Q. Please continue reading the highlighted portion. A. "It is important to point out and the distinction should be made, my client didn't just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	reservation systems. This seems again I would fall under the NCUC umbrella as the sewer operator. She also represented to EPA Akash Johnson that I was the sewer operator." Q. Please read the next highlighted portion. A. "The sludge removal project is not the only outstanding invoices or monies owed our company by the NCUC. "We started the sewer camera and cleaning project to include bringing our engineer to the Northern Cheyenne Reservation." Q. Ms. Kleffner, is sewer camera and cleaning project, is that a typical duty of a POTW operator? A. Yes. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX46, et. page 7. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Mr. Pierce. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX45, et. page 14. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Could you please read the highlighted portion? A. "My client's point is that as circumstances evolved they became the primary project contractor. "NCUC and Indian Health Service made only my client, his workforce and equipment responsible for all the work performed under the project and prohibited him from using any NCUC workforce and equipment on June 21st, 2018, making the primary project contractor." Q. Please continue reading the highlighted portion. A. "It is important to point out and the distinction should be made, my client didn't just perform 'some of the work,' again, on June 21st, 2018, NCUC and Indian Health Services made only my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 reservation systems. This seems again I would fall under the NCUC umbrella as the sewer operator. She also represented to EPA Akash Johnson that I was the sewer operator." Q. Please read the next highlighted portion. A. "The sludge removal project is not the only outstanding invoices or monies owed our company by the NCUC. "We started the sewer camera and cleaning project to include bringing our engineer to the Northern Cheyenne Reservation." Q. Ms. Kleffner, is sewer camera and cleaning project, is that a typical duty of a POTW operator? A. Yes. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX46, et. page 7. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Does this appear to be a continuation of that same e-mail you were just referencing? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Mr. Pierce. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX45, et. page 14. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Could you please read the highlighted portion? A. "My client's point is that as circumstances evolved they became the primary project contractor. "NCUC and Indian Health Service made only my client, his workforce and equipment responsible for all the work performed under the project and prohibited him from using any NCUC workforce and equipment on June 21st, 2018, making the primary project contractor." Q. Please continue reading the highlighted portion. A. "It is important to point out and the distinction should be made, my client didn't just perform 'some of the work,' again, on June 21st, 2018, NCUC and Indian Health Services made only my client, his workforce and equipment responsible for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 reservation systems. This seems again I would fall under the NCUC umbrella as the sewer operator. She also represented to EPA Akash Johnson that I was the sewer operator." Q. Please read the next highlighted portion. A. "The sludge removal project is not the only outstanding invoices or monies owed our company by the NCUC. "We started the sewer camera and cleaning project to include bringing our engineer to the Northern Cheyenne Reservation." Q. Ms. Kleffner, is sewer camera and cleaning project, is that a typical duty of a POTW operator? A. Yes. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX46, et. page 7. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Does this appear to be a continuation of that same e-mail you were just referencing? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Mr. Pierce. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX45, et. page 14. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: O. Could you please read the highlighted portion? A. "My client's point is that as circumstances evolved they became the primary project contractor. "NCUC and Indian Health Service made only my client, his workforce and equipment responsible for all the work performed under the project and prohibited him from using any NCUC workforce and equipment on June 21st, 2018, making the primary project contractor." O. Please continue reading the highlighted portion. A. "It is important to point out and the distinction should be made, my client didn't just perform 'some of the work,' again, on June 21st, 2018, NCUC and Indian Health Services made only my client, his workforce and equipment responsible for all the work y again, on June 21st, 2018, NCUC and Indian Health Services made only my client, his workforce and equipment responsible for all the work y again, on June 21st, 2018, NCUC and Indian Health Services made only my client, his workforce and equipment responsible for all the work perform the project and y my client, his workforce and equipment responsible for all the work perform the project and y my client, his workforce and equipment responsible for all the work perform the project and y my client, his workforce and equipment responsible for all the work performed under the project and y my client, his workforce and equipment responsible for all the work performed under the project and y my client, his workforce and equipment responsible for all the work performed under the project and y my client, his workforce and equipment responsible for all the work performed under the project and y my client, his workforce and equipment responsible for all the work performed under the project and y my client, his workforce and equipment responsible for all the work performed under the project and y my client the project and y my client the project and y

40 (Pages 157 to 160)

	Page 161		Page 163
1	Q. Thank you.	1	MR. PIERCE: So I guess her scope of
2	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Skipping ahead to CX 45,	2	knowledge would be as a compliance officer, not as
3	page 16.	3	a contract specialist and what the contracts
4	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	4	interpreted or mean. So if that means
5	Q. Could you please read the highlighted	5	compliance
6	portion there?	6	THE COURT: That's my understanding.
7	A. "It is also important to" it says	7	Were you representing anything else,
8	not, but I'm assuming that says "note the property	8	Mr. Muehlberger?
9	owner, Tom Robinson, was the applier of the sludge.	9	MR. MUEHLBERGER: No, Your Honor.
10	My client, by EPA definition, was the sludge	10	THE COURT: Okay. It's based on her it's
11	preparer."	11	based on her knowledge as a compliance officer.
12	Q. Based on your experience as a compliance	12	That's been my take on it throughout.
13	officer, in reviewing this record, Ms. Kleffner,	13	MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor.
14	what does this tell you about NCUC's involvement in	14	THE COURT: Okay. And again, you can make
15	the sludge project?	15	the arguments you want to, but it's it's not
16	A. They contracted it out to Adamas or	16	going to prevent the testimony, I think, at that
17	Adamas, I'm sorry to oversee	17	point. It's really more arguments about weight.
18	MR. PIERCE: Objection, Your Honor. There's	18	You can certainly delve into some questions on
19	nothing for the witness to base her opinion on.	19	cross.
20	And she does not have any firsthand knowledge of	20	MR. PIERCE: I certainly appreciate that. I
21	what happened, what she's testified.	21	think they could have brought witnesses to speak
22	THE COURT: So you're basing what you are	22	directly to this that they failed to bring, and
23	about to say, you're basing on what?	23	they identify it as people being crucial to making
24	THE WITNESS: Based on the agreements and the	24	this point.
25	e-mail traffic and the contract between NCUC and	25	THE COURT: You know, I have what I will have
	Page 162		Page 164
1	Mr. Pierce, that would tell me that it was	1	before me, and that's it. This is the evidentiary
2	contracted out, the land removal or the sludge	2	hearing. This is what I'm going to have to base a
3	preparation and land application was contracted out	3	decision on. And that's and that's really all
4	and directed by Mr. Pierce and his company.	4	of it. So you can you know, you can make
5	THE COURT: Okay. It's kind of going back to	5	whatever arguments you want to. But at the end of
6	the same thing. I recognize that, based on the	6	the day, I will be basing my decision on the
7	witnesses that are available, they didn't	7	evidentiary record created from this proceeding.
8	necessarily offer all of the documents that are	8	Whether there be holes or no holes or
9	being that have been admitted into the record.	9	circumstantial evidence or some direct evidence,
10	So that's just going to apply, I think, probably	10	I'm left with the record I'm left with, and it's
11	throughout the proceeding.	11	not always so perfect. So that's that's all on
12	So they're already in. And, you know,	12	my shoulders. But again, just be mindful of what I
13	the Region is going to present their case and try	13	said early on about making arguments about weight
14	to connect up things as they can. And you're	14	when you know, post-hearing. And draw out what
15	welcome to cross about it, but I recognize that	15	you want on cross.
16	already. So it's a sustainable objection, but I'm	16	MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am.
17	going to allow her to testify based on what she	17	THE COURT: Okay. Did you have more
18	understands from the documents that are before her	18	questions?
19	as a compliance officer and in that capacity alone	19	MR. MUEHLBERGER: I do, Your Honor. For
20	because she obviously didn't author them.	20	clarification, was that objection sustained or
21	And I assume you didn't speak to these	21	overruled?
22	individuals?	22	THE COURT: Well, it's a sustainable
23	THE WITNESS: No, I did not.	23	objection, but because you don't have personal
24	THE COURT: Okay. So it's based on that	24	knowledge. But I think that it's going to be
25	scope of knowledge.	25	recurring issue throughout all of this, right? I
			41 (Pages 161 to 164)

	Page 165		Page 167
1	mean, these were stipulated to. So challenges with	1	Your Honor.
2	regard to admissibility I am not entertaining.	2	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Please go
3	So I'm assuming that there's a certain	3	ahead, Mr. Muehlberger.
4	amount of reliability in what has come into the	4	DIRECT EXAMINATION - Continued
5	record. But that is a separate well, I	5	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:
6	shouldn't say it's separate. Really, all of the	6	Q. Ms. Kleffner, as compliance officer for
7	evidentiary rules are targeted toward reliability.	7	EPA, is it your job to make compliance
8	But I think in an administrative setting, as I said	8	determinations?
9	in the beginning, there's a separate analysis that	9	A. Yes.
10	falls on me with respect to how much weight I	10	Q. Do you make compliance determinations
11	assign any particular piece of evidence. A piece	11	based on records received from other parties?
12	of evidence can come into the record, and when I'm	12	A. Yes, we do.
13	reviewing everything, I may give it no weight.	13	Q. Does that include other government
14	So I feel like that's something I kind	14	agencies?
15	of need to drive home in a lot of these proceedings	15	A. Yes.
16	because it's not always understood. There isn't a	16	Q. Does that include other EPA regions?
17	jury. It's just me. So I'm able to parse a lot of	17	A. Yes.
18	those things out, and I have to determine where the	18	Q. And do you base your conclusions about
19	weight of the evidence is and where I can place the	19	violations or noncompliance based on a record
20	most reliance. So some of these arguments I think	20	review?
21	go to that.	21	A. Yes.
22	I think we're also getting into an area	22	MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the
23	of contract law, frankly, in terms of	23	witness Complainant's Exhibit 46, et. page 23.
24	subcontractors agreements, general contractor	24	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:
25	responsibilities and liabilities. Those are legal	25	Q. Do you recognize this document?
	Page 166		
			Page 168
1		1	
1 2	issues. Feel free to delve into them when you	1	A. Yes, I do.
	issues. Feel free to delve into them when you brief the case. I think they're certainly relevant		
2	issues. Feel free to delve into them when you	2	A. Yes, I do.Q. Okay. And was this submitted by IHS to
2 3	issues. Feel free to delve into them when you brief the case. I think they're certainly relevant here. I know I will be. So I would encourage you	2 3	A. Yes, I do.Q. Okay. And was this submitted by IHS to EPA?
2 3 4	issues. Feel free to delve into them when you brief the case. I think they're certainly relevant here. I know I will be. So I would encourage you to consider that as well.	2 3 4	 A. Yes, I do. Q. Okay. And was this submitted by IHS to EPA? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5	issues. Feel free to delve into them when you brief the case. I think they're certainly relevant here. I know I will be. So I would encourage you to consider that as well. But with that said, it is a sustainable	2 3 4 5	 A. Yes, I do. Q. Okay. And was this submitted by IHS to EPA? A. Yes. Q. And can you please read the first two
2 3 4 5 6	issues. Feel free to delve into them when you brief the case. I think they're certainly relevant here. I know I will be. So I would encourage you to consider that as well. But with that said, it is a sustainable objection. But I'm allowing you to read what's on	2 3 4 5 6	 A. Yes, I do. Q. Okay. And was this submitted by IHS to EPA? A. Yes. Q. And can you please read the first two highlighted lines?
2 3 4 5 6 7	issues. Feel free to delve into them when you brief the case. I think they're certainly relevant here. I know I will be. So I would encourage you to consider that as well. But with that said, it is a sustainable objection. But I'm allowing you to read what's on the page, and based on your expertise and	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Yes, I do. Q. Okay. And was this submitted by IHS to EPA? A. Yes. Q. And can you please read the first two highlighted lines? A. "To whom it may concern. My name is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	issues. Feel free to delve into them when you brief the case. I think they're certainly relevant here. I know I will be. So I would encourage you to consider that as well. But with that said, it is a sustainable objection. But I'm allowing you to read what's on the page, and based on your expertise and experience as a compliance officer, you can provide	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Yes, I do. Q. Okay. And was this submitted by IHS to EPA? A. Yes. Q. And can you please read the first two highlighted lines? A. "To whom it may concern. My name is Michelle Pierce and I am wife and co-owner of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	issues. Feel free to delve into them when you brief the case. I think they're certainly relevant here. I know I will be. So I would encourage you to consider that as well. But with that said, it is a sustainable objection. But I'm allowing you to read what's on the page, and based on your expertise and experience as a compliance officer, you can provide your opinion of what you think it represents,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yes, I do. Q. Okay. And was this submitted by IHS to EPA? A. Yes. Q. And can you please read the first two highlighted lines? A. "To whom it may concern. My name is Michelle Pierce and I am wife and co-owner of Adamas Construction and Development with my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	issues. Feel free to delve into them when you brief the case. I think they're certainly relevant here. I know I will be. So I would encourage you to consider that as well. But with that said, it is a sustainable objection. But I'm allowing you to read what's on the page, and based on your expertise and experience as a compliance officer, you can provide your opinion of what you think it represents, understanding you don't have personal knowledge for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Yes, I do. Q. Okay. And was this submitted by IHS to EPA? A. Yes. Q. And can you please read the first two highlighted lines? A. "To whom it may concern. My name is Michelle Pierce and I am wife and co-owner of Adamas Construction and Development with my husband, Nathan Pierce."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	issues. Feel free to delve into them when you brief the case. I think they're certainly relevant here. I know I will be. So I would encourage you to consider that as well. But with that said, it is a sustainable objection. But I'm allowing you to read what's on the page, and based on your expertise and experience as a compliance officer, you can provide your opinion of what you think it represents, understanding you don't have personal knowledge for each and every one of these documents.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Yes, I do. Q. Okay. And was this submitted by IHS to EPA? A. Yes. Q. And can you please read the first two highlighted lines? A. "To whom it may concern. My name is Michelle Pierce and I am wife and co-owner of Adamas Construction and Development with my husband, Nathan Pierce." Q. And the next highlighted line, please.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	issues. Feel free to delve into them when you brief the case. I think they're certainly relevant here. I know I will be. So I would encourage you to consider that as well. But with that said, it is a sustainable objection. But I'm allowing you to read what's on the page, and based on your expertise and experience as a compliance officer, you can provide your opinion of what you think it represents, understanding you don't have personal knowledge for each and every one of these documents. THE WITNESS: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Yes, I do. Q. Okay. And was this submitted by IHS to EPA? A. Yes. Q. And can you please read the first two highlighted lines? A. "To whom it may concern. My name is Michelle Pierce and I am wife and co-owner of Adamas Construction and Development with my husband, Nathan Pierce." Q. And the next highlighted line, please. A. "She even had Nathan sign to be her sewer operator since none of her crew members including herself could get certified."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	issues. Feel free to delve into them when you brief the case. I think they're certainly relevant here. I know I will be. So I would encourage you to consider that as well. But with that said, it is a sustainable objection. But I'm allowing you to read what's on the page, and based on your expertise and experience as a compliance officer, you can provide your opinion of what you think it represents, understanding you don't have personal knowledge for each and every one of these documents. THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Is that clear enough?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Yes, I do. Q. Okay. And was this submitted by IHS to EPA? A. Yes. Q. And can you please read the first two highlighted lines? A. "To whom it may concern. My name is Michelle Pierce and I am wife and co-owner of Adamas Construction and Development with my husband, Nathan Pierce." Q. And the next highlighted line, please. A. "She even had Nathan sign to be her sewer operator since none of her crew members
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	issues. Feel free to delve into them when you brief the case. I think they're certainly relevant here. I know I will be. So I would encourage you to consider that as well. But with that said, it is a sustainable objection. But I'm allowing you to read what's on the page, and based on your expertise and experience as a compliance officer, you can provide your opinion of what you think it represents, understanding you don't have personal knowledge for each and every one of these documents. THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Is that clear enough? MR. MUEHLBERGER: It is, thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Yes, I do. Q. Okay. And was this submitted by IHS to EPA? A. Yes. Q. And can you please read the first two highlighted lines? A. "To whom it may concern. My name is Michelle Pierce and I am wife and co-owner of Adamas Construction and Development with my husband, Nathan Pierce." Q. And the next highlighted line, please. A. "She even had Nathan sign to be her sewer operator since none of her crew members including herself could get certified." Q. And who is the "she" in this statement, to your knowledge?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	issues. Feel free to delve into them when you brief the case. I think they're certainly relevant here. I know I will be. So I would encourage you to consider that as well. But with that said, it is a sustainable objection. But I'm allowing you to read what's on the page, and based on your expertise and experience as a compliance officer, you can provide your opinion of what you think it represents, understanding you don't have personal knowledge for each and every one of these documents. THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Is that clear enough? MR. MUEHLBERGER: It is, thank you. THE COURT: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Yes, I do. Q. Okay. And was this submitted by IHS to EPA? A. Yes. Q. And can you please read the first two highlighted lines? A. "To whom it may concern. My name is Michelle Pierce and I am wife and co-owner of Adamas Construction and Development with my husband, Nathan Pierce." Q. And the next highlighted line, please. A. "She even had Nathan sign to be her sewer operator since none of her crew members including herself could get certified." Q. And who is the "she" in this statement, to your knowledge? MR. PIERCE: Objection, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	issues. Feel free to delve into them when you brief the case. I think they're certainly relevant here. I know I will be. So I would encourage you to consider that as well. But with that said, it is a sustainable objection. But I'm allowing you to read what's on the page, and based on your expertise and experience as a compliance officer, you can provide your opinion of what you think it represents, understanding you don't have personal knowledge for each and every one of these documents. THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Is that clear enough? MR. MUEHLBERGER: It is, thank you. THE COURT: Okay. MR. MUEHLBERGER: And I'd also like to state	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Yes, I do. Q. Okay. And was this submitted by IHS to EPA? A. Yes. Q. And can you please read the first two highlighted lines? A. "To whom it may concern. My name is Michelle Pierce and I am wife and co-owner of Adamas Construction and Development with my husband, Nathan Pierce." Q. And the next highlighted line, please. A. "She even had Nathan sign to be her sewer operator since none of her crew members including herself could get certified." Q. And who is the "she" in this statement, to your knowledge? MR. PIERCE: Objection, Your Honor. THE WITNESS: In the previous
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	issues. Feel free to delve into them when you brief the case. I think they're certainly relevant here. I know I will be. So I would encourage you to consider that as well. But with that said, it is a sustainable objection. But I'm allowing you to read what's on the page, and based on your expertise and experience as a compliance officer, you can provide your opinion of what you think it represents, understanding you don't have personal knowledge for each and every one of these documents. THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Is that clear enough? MR. MUEHLBERGER: It is, thank you. THE COURT: Okay. MR. MUEHLBERGER: And I'd also like to state it's 12:15 right now, and I just want to let the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Yes, I do. Q. Okay. And was this submitted by IHS to EPA? A. Yes. Q. And can you please read the first two highlighted lines? A. "To whom it may concern. My name is Michelle Pierce and I am wife and co-owner of Adamas Construction and Development with my husband, Nathan Pierce." Q. And the next highlighted line, please. A. "She even had Nathan sign to be her sewer operator since none of her crew members including herself could get certified." Q. And who is the "she" in this statement, to your knowledge? MR. PIERCE: Objection, Your Honor. THE WITNESS: In the previous THE COURT: Hold on. This is basically a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	issues. Feel free to delve into them when you brief the case. I think they're certainly relevant here. I know I will be. So I would encourage you to consider that as well. But with that said, it is a sustainable objection. But I'm allowing you to read what's on the page, and based on your expertise and experience as a compliance officer, you can provide your opinion of what you think it represents, understanding you don't have personal knowledge for each and every one of these documents. THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Is that clear enough? MR. MUEHLBERGER: It is, thank you. THE COURT: Okay. MR. MUEHLBERGER: And I'd also like to state it's 12:15 right now, and I just want to let the Court know that we've got maybe 15, 20 more minutes	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yes, I do. Q. Okay. And was this submitted by IHS to EPA? A. Yes. Q. And can you please read the first two highlighted lines? A. "To whom it may concern. My name is Michelle Pierce and I am wife and co-owner of Adamas Construction and Development with my husband, Nathan Pierce." Q. And the next highlighted line, please. A. "She even had Nathan sign to be her sewer operator since none of her crew members including herself could get certified." Q. And who is the "she" in this statement, to your knowledge? MR. PIERCE: Objection, Your Honor. THE WITNESS: In the previous THE COURT: Hold on. This is basically a recurring objection that I think you have based on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	issues. Feel free to delve into them when you brief the case. I think they're certainly relevant here. I know I will be. So I would encourage you to consider that as well. But with that said, it is a sustainable objection. But I'm allowing you to read what's on the page, and based on your expertise and experience as a compliance officer, you can provide your opinion of what you think it represents, understanding you don't have personal knowledge for each and every one of these documents. THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Is that clear enough? MR. MUEHLBERGER: It is, thank you. THE COURT: Okay. MR. MUEHLBERGER: And I'd also like to state it's 12:15 right now, and I just want to let the Court know that we've got maybe 15, 20 more minutes left in direct examination here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Yes, I do. Q. Okay. And was this submitted by IHS to EPA? A. Yes. Q. And can you please read the first two highlighted lines? A. "To whom it may concern. My name is Michelle Pierce and I am wife and co-owner of Adamas Construction and Development with my husband, Nathan Pierce." Q. And the next highlighted line, please. A. "She even had Nathan sign to be her sewer operator since none of her crew members including herself could get certified." Q. And who is the "she" in this statement, to your knowledge? MR. PIERCE: Objection, Your Honor. THE WITNESS: In the previous THE COURT: Hold on. This is basically a recurring objection that I think you have based on personal knowledge, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	issues. Feel free to delve into them when you brief the case. I think they're certainly relevant here. I know I will be. So I would encourage you to consider that as well. But with that said, it is a sustainable objection. But I'm allowing you to read what's on the page, and based on your expertise and experience as a compliance officer, you can provide your opinion of what you think it represents, understanding you don't have personal knowledge for each and every one of these documents. THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Is that clear enough? MR. MUEHLBERGER: It is, thank you. THE COURT: Okay. MR. MUEHLBERGER: And I'd also like to state it's 12:15 right now, and I just want to let the Court know that we've got maybe 15, 20 more minutes left in direct examination here. THE COURT: Okay. That's great. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes, I do. Q. Okay. And was this submitted by IHS to EPA? A. Yes. Q. And can you please read the first two highlighted lines? A. "To whom it may concern. My name is Michelle Pierce and I am wife and co-owner of Adamas Construction and Development with my husband, Nathan Pierce." Q. And the next highlighted line, please. A. "She even had Nathan sign to be her sewer operator since none of her crew members including herself could get certified." Q. And who is the "she" in this statement, to your knowledge? MR. PIERCE: Objection, Your Honor. THE WITNESS: In the previous THE COURT: Hold on. This is basically a recurring objection that I think you have based on personal knowledge, right? MR. PIERCE: Well, personal knowledge and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	issues. Feel free to delve into them when you brief the case. I think they're certainly relevant here. I know I will be. So I would encourage you to consider that as well. But with that said, it is a sustainable objection. But I'm allowing you to read what's on the page, and based on your expertise and experience as a compliance officer, you can provide your opinion of what you think it represents, understanding you don't have personal knowledge for each and every one of these documents. THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Is that clear enough? MR. MUEHLBERGER: It is, thank you. THE COURT: Okay. MR. MUEHLBERGER: And I'd also like to state it's 12:15 right now, and I just want to let the Court know that we've got maybe 15, 20 more minutes left in direct examination here. THE COURT: Okay. That's great. I appreciate the timeline. So you can finish up with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Yes, I do. Q. Okay. And was this submitted by IHS to EPA? A. Yes. Q. And can you please read the first two highlighted lines? A. "To whom it may concern. My name is Michelle Pierce and I am wife and co-owner of Adamas Construction and Development with my husband, Nathan Pierce." Q. And the next highlighted line, please. A. "She even had Nathan sign to be her sewer operator since none of her crew members including herself could get certified." Q. And who is the "she" in this statement, to your knowledge? MR. PIERCE: Objection, Your Honor. THE WITNESS: In the previous THE COURT: Hold on. This is basically a recurring objection that I think you have based on personal knowledge, right? MR. PIERCE: Well, personal knowledge and it seems like we're just leading the witness. In
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 issues. Feel free to delve into them when you brief the case. I think they're certainly relevant here. I know I will be. So I would encourage you to consider that as well. But with that said, it is a sustainable objection. But I'm allowing you to read what's on the page, and based on your expertise and experience as a compliance officer, you can provide your opinion of what you think it represents, understanding you don't have personal knowledge for each and every one of these documents. THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Is that clear enough? MR. MUEHLBERGER: It is, thank you. THE COURT: Okay. MR. MUEHLBERGER: And I'd also like to state it's 12:15 right now, and I just want to let the Court know that we've got maybe 15, 20 more minutes left in direct examination here. THE COURT: Okay. That's great. I appreciate the timeline. So you can finish up with your direct. And then, unless you don't want to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes, I do. Q. Okay. And was this submitted by IHS to EPA? A. Yes. Q. And can you please read the first two highlighted lines? A. "To whom it may concern. My name is Michelle Pierce and I am wife and co-owner of Adamas Construction and Development with my husband, Nathan Pierce." Q. And the next highlighted line, please. A. "She even had Nathan sign to be her sewer operator since none of her crew members including herself could get certified." Q. And who is the "she" in this statement, to your knowledge? MR. PIERCE: Objection, Your Honor. THE WITNESS: In the previous THE COURT: Hold on. This is basically a recurring objection that I think you have based on personal knowledge, right? MR. PIERCE: Well, personal knowledge and

25 MR. PIERCE: I think a break would be great,

42 (Pages 165 to 168)

already been included. So, I mean, it's something

BRIDGER COURT REPORTERS, INC. (406) 582-0668

	Page 169		Page 171
1	that you can simply read. We don't need the	1	portion on this?
2	witness to draw a conclusion.	2	A. "Sheri did not come to the site to see
3	THE COURT: Understood. But I do think that	3	what was being pumped, how it was being pumped or
4	it's important that the point here is you're a	4	what the crew was doing to meet the contract
5	compliance officer, and you had something to do	5	needs."
6	with the development of this enforcement action,	6	Q. Again, in your experience as a
7	right?	7	compliance officer, what does this document tell
8	THE WITNESS: Yes.	8	you about NCUC's involvement with the sludge
9	THE COURT: So what you considered, what you	9	project?
10	focused on, I think is important for me to know.	10	A. They had a very hands-off approach to
11	And your responses in that context, I'm allowing.	11	it. Basically, they contracted it out and were
12	So I will I guess for the sake of	12	done with it.
13	efficiency moving forward, I will recognize that	13	Q. In your experience, could NCUC have been
14	you have a standing objection to any testimony	14	responsible for the biosolids records in this case?
15	being offered that did not come from personal	15	A. No. In order for them to have records
16	knowledge of this witness. But her testimony is	16	to keep, they would have had to have sampled, which
17	based on her review as a compliance officer, what	17	they did not.
18	she considered, what she drew from the documents	18	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Okay. I'd like to show the
19	before her from that documentary review in bringing	19	witness Complainant's Exhibit 9, page 1, which is
20	this action. And it's in that context that I'm	20	the very first exhibit that we showed at the
21	allowing her testimony.	21	beginning of her testimony.
22	So, I mean, you know, you don't need to	22	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:
23	repeat that objection. If you have another	23	Q. Can you refresh our memory? What is
24	objection, by all means. But I don't need to say	24	this document?
25	the same thing over and over again because I think	25	A. This is it's titled, "Technical
	Page 170		Page 172
1	I've already beaten it to death.	1	Assistance Record." And this is Indian Health
2	MR. PIERCE: Sure.	2	Service's report after they got a complaint from
3	THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead and respond.	3	Mr. Robinson.
4	THE WITNESS: Okay. So the "she," in it if	4	Q. Could you read the sentence that begins,
5	you look at the previous paragraph, it talks about	5	"The subcontractor has also"?
6	Sheri Bement. So that would be Sheri Bement.	6	A. "The subcontractor has also been
7	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	7	refusing to provide the target application rates
8	Q. And who is Sheri Bement again?	8	for the sludge, laboratory tests, and application
9	A. She was the general manager for NCUC.	9	logs."
10	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness	10	Q. In your estimation from this document,
11	Complainant's Exhibit 46, et. page 24.	11	who's the subcontractor referred to here?
12	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	12	A. That would be Mr. Pierce and Adamas.
13	Q. Is this a continuation of that same	13	He's identified later in the document as the
14	letter?	14	subcontractor.
15	A. Yes, it is.	15	Q. Ms. Kleffner, is it your conclusion that
16	Q. Can you please read the highlighted	16	Adamas I'm sorry, I'm going to keep
17	portion at the bottom?	17	mispronouncing that Adamas and Pierce were
18	A. "It was determined then that Adamas	18	operators at the Lame Deer POTW?
19	Construction would do the lagoon project alone with	19	A. Yes.
	no use of NCUC equipment or staff, but NCUC would	20	Q. Is it your conclusion that Adamas and
20	remain the prime contractor of the contract."	21	Pierce prepared sewage sludge?
20 21			A. Yes.
	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX46,	22	
21	-	23	Q. Is it your conclusion that Adamas and
21 22	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Showing the witness CX46,		

43 (Pages 169 to 172)

	Page 173		Page 175
1	Q. Out of all of the parties in this case	1	A. "If the pollutant concentrations in
2	that we've been referencing here Ernie Sprague,	2	Section $503.13(b)(3)$ and the Class B pathogen
3	Tom Robinson, NCUC, and Adamas and Pierce who's	3	requirements in 503.32(b) are met when both sewage
4	the only person that could have created and	4	sludge is applied to agricultural land, forest, a
5	maintained all the records necessary to respond to	5	public contact site, or reclamation site."
6	EPA and comply with the recordkeeping requirements?	6	Q. Okay. Let's break this down a little
7	A. That would have been Adamas.	7	bit further. So let's look at the very first line
8	Q. And how did you arrive at that	8	of the pollutant concentrations in 503.13(b)(3).
9	conclusion?	9	MR. MUEHLBERGER: So could we look at
10	A. So as a preparer, he sampled, he had the	10	503.13(b)(3), please? Thank you.
11	results for the nitrogen contents and for the	11	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:
12	metals and pathogen. From that pathogen content,	12	Q. Ms. Kleffner, can you describe how this
13	it was determined it was a Class B, which	13	part of the regulations apply to this specific
14	determines the recordkeeping requirements.	14	case?
15	In addition to that, since he did not	15	A. So for all land application projects, a
16	provide any of the lab results to the other	16	sampling for the metals listed in Table 3 is
17	subcontractors, it would have been impossible for	17	required as part of that. And this is a monthly
18	them to calculate agronomic rate without those	18	average concentration. This is a relatively small
19	results. So, being precluded from having those	19	amount of biosolids that were land applied. So
20	results, the other subcontractors couldn't have	20	only a single metal sample is required. Within
21	fulfilled the agronomic rate recordkeeping	21	this, biosolids cannot be land applied over the
22	requirement as required by Part 503.	22	amounts listed in Table 3. So in order to verify
23	Q. Thank you.	23	that the biosolids meet Part 503, you would need to
24	I'd like for you now to walk us through	24	retain the sampling for metals in this instance.
25	the specific recordkeeping requirements found in	25	Q. Thank you.
	Dage 174	1	Page 176
-	Page 174		Page 176
1	Section 503 or Part 503 and how they apply	1	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Can we go back to 503.17,
2	Section 503 or Part 503 and how they apply specifically to this case? So could we toggle over	2	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Can we go back to 503.17, please?
2 3	Section 503 or Part 503 and how they apply specifically to this case? So could we toggle over to the recordkeeping requirements under Section	2 3	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Can we go back to 503.17, please? BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:
2 3 4	Section 503 or Part 503 and how they apply specifically to this case? So could we toggle over to the recordkeeping requirements under Section 503.17, 40 CFR 503.17.	2 3 4	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Can we go back to 503.17, please? BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Okay. So after 503.13(b)(3), it says,
2 3 4 5	Section 503 or Part 503 and how they apply specifically to this case? So could we toggle over to the recordkeeping requirements under Section 503.17, 40 CFR 503.17. Earlier, you testified that Adamas and	2 3 4 5	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Can we go back to 503.17, please? BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Okay. So after 503.13(b)(3), it says, "and the Class B pathogen requirements found in
2 3 4 5 6	Section 503 or Part 503 and how they apply specifically to this case? So could we toggle over to the recordkeeping requirements under Section 503.17, 40 CFR 503.17. Earlier, you testified that Adamas and Mr. Pierce are the appliers of sewage sludge in	2 3 4 5 6	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Can we go back to 503.17, please? BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Okay. So after 503.13(b)(3), it says, "and the Class B pathogen requirements found in 503.32(b)." Could you talk how this specifically
2 3 4 5 6 7	Section 503 or Part 503 and how they apply specifically to this case? So could we toggle over to the recordkeeping requirements under Section 503.17, 40 CFR 503.17. Earlier, you testified that Adamas and Mr. Pierce are the appliers of sewage sludge in this case; is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Can we go back to 503.17, please? BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Okay. So after 503.13(b)(3), it says, "and the Class B pathogen requirements found in 503.32(b)." Could you talk how this specifically applies to this case?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Section 503 or Part 503 and how they apply specifically to this case? So could we toggle over to the recordkeeping requirements under Section 503.17, 40 CFR 503.17. Earlier, you testified that Adamas and Mr. Pierce are the appliers of sewage sludge in this case; is that correct? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Can we go back to 503.17, please? BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Okay. So after 503.13(b)(3), it says, "and the Class B pathogen requirements found in 503.32(b)." Could you talk how this specifically applies to this case? A. Yes. So, like I talked about earlier,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Section 503 or Part 503 and how they apply specifically to this case? So could we toggle over to the recordkeeping requirements under Section 503.17, 40 CFR 503.17. Earlier, you testified that Adamas and Mr. Pierce are the appliers of sewage sludge in this case; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I'd like you to talk about the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Can we go back to 503.17, please? BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Okay. So after 503.13(b)(3), it says, "and the Class B pathogen requirements found in 503.32(b)." Could you talk how this specifically applies to this case? A. Yes. So, like I talked about earlier, Class there's two different types of biosolids.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Section 503 or Part 503 and how they apply specifically to this case? So could we toggle over to the recordkeeping requirements under Section 503.17, 40 CFR 503.17. Earlier, you testified that Adamas and Mr. Pierce are the appliers of sewage sludge in this case; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I'd like you to talk about the relevant requirements under the recordkeeping	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Can we go back to 503.17, please? BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Okay. So after 503.13(b)(3), it says, "and the Class B pathogen requirements found in 503.32(b)." Could you talk how this specifically applies to this case? A. Yes. So, like I talked about earlier, Class there's two different types of biosolids. There's Class A and Class B. So in order to meet
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Section 503 or Part 503 and how they apply specifically to this case? So could we toggle over to the recordkeeping requirements under Section 503.17, 40 CFR 503.17. Earlier, you testified that Adamas and Mr. Pierce are the appliers of sewage sludge in this case; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I'd like you to talk about the relevant requirements under the recordkeeping requirements. So, as the applier, where would you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Can we go back to 503.17, please? BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Okay. So after 503.13(b)(3), it says, "and the Class B pathogen requirements found in 503.32(b)." Could you talk how this specifically applies to this case? A. Yes. So, like I talked about earlier, Class there's two different types of biosolids. There's Class A and Class B. So in order to meet Class A, there's two requirements. You must both
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Section 503 or Part 503 and how they apply specifically to this case? So could we toggle over to the recordkeeping requirements under Section 503.17, 40 CFR 503.17. Earlier, you testified that Adamas and Mr. Pierce are the appliers of sewage sludge in this case; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I'd like you to talk about the relevant requirements under the recordkeeping requirements. So, as the applier, where would you find those requirements on 503.17?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Can we go back to 503.17, please? BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Okay. So after 503.13(b)(3), it says, "and the Class B pathogen requirements found in 503.32(b)." Could you talk how this specifically applies to this case? A. Yes. So, like I talked about earlier, Class there's two different types of biosolids. There's Class A and Class B. So in order to meet Class A, there's two requirements. You must both pass the pathogen test, which has 1,000 NPN dry
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Section 503 or Part 503 and how they apply specifically to this case? So could we toggle over to the recordkeeping requirements under Section 503.17, 40 CFR 503.17. Earlier, you testified that Adamas and Mr. Pierce are the appliers of sewage sludge in this case; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I'd like you to talk about the relevant requirements under the recordkeeping requirements. So, as the applier, where would you find those requirements on 503.17? A. That would be 503.17(a)(4)(ii)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Can we go back to 503.17, please? BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Okay. So after 503.13(b)(3), it says, "and the Class B pathogen requirements found in 503.32(b)." Could you talk how this specifically applies to this case? A. Yes. So, like I talked about earlier, Class there's two different types of biosolids. There's Class A and Class B. So in order to meet Class A, there's two requirements. You must both pass the pathogen test, which has 1,000 NPN dry weight basis limit. And then in addition to that,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Section 503 or Part 503 and how they apply specifically to this case? So could we toggle over to the recordkeeping requirements under Section 503.17, 40 CFR 503.17. Earlier, you testified that Adamas and Mr. Pierce are the appliers of sewage sludge in this case; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I'd like you to talk about the relevant requirements under the recordkeeping requirements. So, as the applier, where would you find those requirements on 503.17? A. That would be 503.17(a)(4)(ii) Q. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Can we go back to 503.17, please? BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Okay. So after 503.13(b)(3), it says, "and the Class B pathogen requirements found in 503.32(b)." Could you talk how this specifically applies to this case? A. Yes. So, like I talked about earlier, Class there's two different types of biosolids. There's Class A and Class B. So in order to meet Class A, there's two requirements. You must both pass the pathogen test, which has 1,000 NPN dry weight basis limit. And then in addition to that, you also need to process the biosolids within a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Section 503 or Part 503 and how they apply specifically to this case? So could we toggle over to the recordkeeping requirements under Section 503.17, 40 CFR 503.17. Earlier, you testified that Adamas and Mr. Pierce are the appliers of sewage sludge in this case; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I'd like you to talk about the relevant requirements under the recordkeeping requirements. So, as the applier, where would you find those requirements on 503.17? A. That would be 503.17(a)(4)(ii)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Can we go back to 503.17, please? BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Okay. So after 503.13(b)(3), it says, "and the Class B pathogen requirements found in 503.32(b)." Could you talk how this specifically applies to this case? A. Yes. So, like I talked about earlier, Class there's two different types of biosolids. There's Class A and Class B. So in order to meet Class A, there's two requirements. You must both pass the pathogen test, which has 1,000 NPN dry weight basis limit. And then in addition to that,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Section 503 or Part 503 and how they apply specifically to this case? So could we toggle over to the recordkeeping requirements under Section 503.17, 40 CFR 503.17. Earlier, you testified that Adamas and Mr. Pierce are the appliers of sewage sludge in this case; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I'd like you to talk about the relevant requirements under the recordkeeping requirements. So, as the applier, where would you find those requirements on 503.17? A. That would be 503.17(a)(4)(ii) Q. Okay. A would be the layout application	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Can we go back to 503.17, please? BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Okay. So after 503.13(b)(3), it says, "and the Class B pathogen requirements found in 503.32(b)." Could you talk how this specifically applies to this case? A. Yes. So, like I talked about earlier, Class there's two different types of biosolids. There's Class A and Class B. So in order to meet Class A, there's two requirements. You must both pass the pathogen test, which has 1,000 NPN dry weight basis limit. And then in addition to that, you also need to process the biosolids within a very specific set of requirements within Part 503.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Section 503 or Part 503 and how they apply specifically to this case? So could we toggle over to the recordkeeping requirements under Section 503.17, 40 CFR 503.17. Earlier, you testified that Adamas and Mr. Pierce are the appliers of sewage sludge in this case; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I'd like you to talk about the relevant requirements under the recordkeeping requirements. So, as the applier, where would you find those requirements on 503.17(a)(4)(ii) Q. Okay. A. That would be 503.17(a)(4)(ii) Q. Okay. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Can we go back to 503.17, please? BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Okay. So after 503.13(b)(3), it says, "and the Class B pathogen requirements found in 503.32(b)." Could you talk how this specifically applies to this case? A. Yes. So, like I talked about earlier, Class there's two different types of biosolids. There's Class A and Class B. So in order to meet Class A, there's two requirements. You must both pass the pathogen test, which has 1,000 NPN dry weight basis limit. And then in addition to that, you also need to process the biosolids within a very specific set of requirements are required to meet
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Section 503 or Part 503 and how they apply specifically to this case? So could we toggle over to the recordkeeping requirements under Section 503.17, 40 CFR 503.17. Earlier, you testified that Adamas and Mr. Pierce are the appliers of sewage sludge in this case; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I'd like you to talk about the relevant requirements under the recordkeeping requirements. So, as the applier, where would you find those requirements on 503.17(a)(4)(ii) Q. Okay. A. That would be 503.17(a)(4)(ii) Q. Okay. A would be the layout application requirements. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Can we go back to 503.17, please? BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Okay. So after 503.13(b)(3), it says, "and the Class B pathogen requirements found in 503.32(b)." Could you talk how this specifically applies to this case? A. Yes. So, like I talked about earlier, Class there's two different types of biosolids. There's Class A and Class B. So in order to meet Class A, there's two requirements. You must both pass the pathogen test, which has 1,000 NPN dry weight basis limit. And then in addition to that, you also need to process the biosolids within a very specific set of requirements within Part 503. So both of those requirements are required to meet Class A.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Section 503 or Part 503 and how they apply specifically to this case? So could we toggle over to the recordkeeping requirements under Section 503.17, 40 CFR 503.17. Earlier, you testified that Adamas and Mr. Pierce are the appliers of sewage sludge in this case; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I'd like you to talk about the relevant requirements under the recordkeeping requirements. So, as the applier, where would you find those requirements on 503.17(a)(4)(ii) Q. Okay. A. That would be 503.17(a)(4)(ii) Q. Okay. A would be the layout application requirements. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Okay. I think you just passed it. Okay. Great. Could we go back to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Can we go back to 503.17, please? BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Okay. So after 503.13(b)(3), it says, "and the Class B pathogen requirements found in 503.32(b)." Could you talk how this specifically applies to this case? A. Yes. So, like I talked about earlier, Class there's two different types of biosolids. There's Class A and Class B. So in order to meet Class A, there's two requirements. You must both pass the pathogen test, which has 1,000 NPN dry weight basis limit. And then in addition to that, you also need to process the biosolids within a very specific set of requirements are required to meet Class A. Class B is a little less stringent. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Section 503 or Part 503 and how they apply specifically to this case? So could we toggle over to the recordkeeping requirements under Section 503.17, 40 CFR 503.17. Earlier, you testified that Adamas and Mr. Pierce are the appliers of sewage sludge in this case; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I'd like you to talk about the relevant requirements under the recordkeeping requirements. So, as the applier, where would you find those requirements on 503.17? A. That would be 503.17(a)(4)(ii) Q. Okay. A would be the layout application requirements. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Okay. I think you just passed it. Okay. Great. Could we go back to Subpart 4 there? Thank you. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Can we go back to 503.17, please? BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Okay. So after 503.13(b)(3), it says, "and the Class B pathogen requirements found in 503.32(b)." Could you talk how this specifically applies to this case? A. Yes. So, like I talked about earlier, Class there's two different types of biosolids. There's Class A and Class B. So in order to meet Class A, there's two requirements. You must both pass the pathogen test, which has 1,000 NPN dry weight basis limit. And then in addition to that, you also need to process the biosolids within a very specific set of requirements are required to meet Class A. Class B is a little less stringent. And based on the lab results that we received from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Section 503 or Part 503 and how they apply specifically to this case? So could we toggle over to the recordkeeping requirements under Section 503.17, 40 CFR 503.17. Earlier, you testified that Adamas and Mr. Pierce are the appliers of sewage sludge in this case; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I'd like you to talk about the relevant requirements under the recordkeeping requirements. So, as the applier, where would you find those requirements on 503.17(a)(4)(ii) Q. Okay. A. That would be 503.17(a)(4)(ii) Q. Okay. A would be the layout application requirements. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Okay. I think you just passed it. Okay. Great. Could we go back to Subpart 4 there? Thank you. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Can we go back to 503.17, please? BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Okay. So after 503.13(b)(3), it says, "and the Class B pathogen requirements found in 503.32(b)." Could you talk how this specifically applies to this case? A. Yes. So, like I talked about earlier, Class there's two different types of biosolids. There's Class A and Class B. So in order to meet Class A, there's two requirements. You must both pass the pathogen test, which has 1,000 NPN dry weight basis limit. And then in addition to that, you also need to process the biosolids within a very specific set of requirements within Part 503. So both of those requirements are required to meet Class A. Class B is a little less stringent. And based on the lab results that we received from Mr. Pierce, it would not meet Class A. He didn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Section 503 or Part 503 and how they apply specifically to this case? So could we toggle over to the recordkeeping requirements under Section 503.17, 40 CFR 503.17. Earlier, you testified that Adamas and Mr. Pierce are the appliers of sewage sludge in this case; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I'd like you to talk about the relevant requirements under the recordkeeping requirements. So, as the applier, where would you find those requirements on 503.17? A. That would be 503.17(a)(4)(ii) Q. Okay. A would be the layout application requirements. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Okay. I think you just passed it. Okay. Great. Could we go back to Subpart 4 there? Thank you. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Is this the relevant part of the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Can we go back to 503.17, please? BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Okay. So after 503.13(b)(3), it says, "and the Class B pathogen requirements found in 503.32(b)." Could you talk how this specifically applies to this case? A. Yes. So, like I talked about earlier, Class there's two different types of biosolids. There's Class A and Class B. So in order to meet Class A, there's two requirements. You must both pass the pathogen test, which has 1,000 NPN dry weight basis limit. And then in addition to that, you also need to process the biosolids within a very specific set of requirements within Part 503. So both of those requirements are required to meet Class A. Class B is a little less stringent. And based on the lab results that we received from Mr. Pierce, it would not meet Class A. He didn't prove up that it was treated in a way that would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Section 503 or Part 503 and how they apply specifically to this case? So could we toggle over to the recordkeeping requirements under Section 503.17, 40 CFR 503.17. Earlier, you testified that Adamas and Mr. Pierce are the appliers of sewage sludge in this case; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I'd like you to talk about the relevant requirements under the recordkeeping requirements. So, as the applier, where would you find those requirements on 503.17(a)(4)(ii) Q. Okay. A. That would be 503.17(a)(4)(ii) Q. Okay. A would be the layout application requirements. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Okay. I think you just passed it. Okay. Great. Could we go back to Subpart 4 there? Thank you. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Is this the relevant part of the regulations that applies to land appliers? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Can we go back to 503.17, please? BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Okay. So after 503.13(b)(3), it says, "and the Class B pathogen requirements found in 503.32(b)." Could you talk how this specifically applies to this case? A. Yes. So, like I talked about earlier, Class there's two different types of biosolids. There's Class A and Class B. So in order to meet Class A, there's two requirements. You must both pass the pathogen test, which has 1,000 NPN dry weight basis limit. And then in addition to that, you also need to process the biosolids within a very specific set of requirements within Part 503. So both of those requirements are required to meet Class A.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Section 503 or Part 503 and how they apply specifically to this case? So could we toggle over to the recordkeeping requirements under Section 503.17, 40 CFR 503.17. Earlier, you testified that Adamas and Mr. Pierce are the appliers of sewage sludge in this case; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I'd like you to talk about the relevant requirements under the recordkeeping requirements. So, as the applier, where would you find those requirements on 503.17(a)(4)(ii) Q. Okay. A. That would be 503.17(a)(4)(ii) Q. Okay. A would be the layout application requirements. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Okay. I think you just passed it. Okay. Great. Could we go back to Subpart 4 there? Thank you. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Is this the relevant part of the regulations that applies to land appliers? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Can we go back to 503.17, please? BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Okay. So after 503.13(b)(3), it says, "and the Class B pathogen requirements found in 503.32(b)." Could you talk how this specifically applies to this case? A. Yes. So, like I talked about earlier, Class there's two different types of biosolids. There's Class A and Class B. So in order to meet Class A, there's two requirements. You must both pass the pathogen test, which has 1,000 NPN dry weight basis limit. And then in addition to that, you also need to process the biosolids within a very specific set of requirements are required to meet Class A. Class B is a little less stringent. And based on the lab results that we received from Mr. Pierce, it would not meet Class A. He didn't prove up that it was treated in a way that would make it Class A. And also, the sampling results did not meet Class A. So it would have met Class

44 (Pages 173 to 176)

	Page 177		Page 179
1	It's seven samples in a geometric mean of that	1	since he prepared, land applied, he directed, he
2	sample. It only a single sample was taken. But	2	was present for all of that, that was the best
3	based on my best professional judgment and the	3	contact in order for us to get all of the
4	sample results, I think it was somewhere around	4	recordkeeping requirements fulfilled.
5	28,000 for fecal coliform. That would make it	5	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Your Honor, could I have
6	Class B biosolids.	6	one minute, please?
7	So Class B can either be determined	7	THE COURT: Sure. I had a question or two to
8	through sampling, which is what most facilities do.	8	follow up on, but you go ahead first.
9	It's a lot easier than trying to meet the other	9	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thanks.
10	half, which would have been through a treatment	10	(Whereupon, there was a
11	regime. So these were Class B based on the lab	11	pause in the proceedings.)
12	results that were initially submitted to us.	12	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Your Honor, whenever you're
13	Q. Thank you.	13	ready to ask questions, we're ready.
14	Going back to the relevant regulation	14	THE COURT: Okay. When you mentioned that
15	here, then it goes on to say, "If the pollutant	15	the respondents didn't give the sampling results,
16	concentrations are met when bulk sewage sludge is	16	the lab results to anyone else in order for those
17	applied." Does this case involve bulk sewage	17	other individuals or subcontractors to comply, do
18	sludge?	18	you know if he shared those lab results with anyone
19	A. Yes, it would be bulk sewage sludge.	19	or any entity?
20	Bulk sewage sludge is usually what happens with	20	THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of. I
21	most biosolids. If it is prepared in a Class A	21	don't think in our discussions with well, the
22	manner and it is sold or given away in containers	22	attorney's discussions with the other 308
23	or bags, it would be considered bagged biosolids.	23	respondents, I don't think anybody else had a copy
24	But this is just a broadcast of a relatively large	24	of those lab results.
25	amount of biosolids in relation to what is normally	25	THE COURT: And you got them how?
	Page 178		Page 180
1		1	5
1 2	seen, so it would be bulk sewage sludge.	1 2	Page 180 THE WITNESS: They were originally turned in to us in June with that e-mail from Mr. Pierce and
			THE WITNESS: They were originally turned in
2	seen, so it would be bulk sewage sludge. Q. And then finally, the regulation goes on	2	THE WITNESS: They were originally turned in to us in June with that e-mail from Mr. Pierce and
2 3	seen, so it would be bulk sewage sludge. Q. And then finally, the regulation goes on to say, "If the sewage sludge is applied to	2 3	THE WITNESS: They were originally turned in to us in June with that e-mail from Mr. Pierce and his attorney, Mr. Gallus.
2 3 4	seen, so it would be bulk sewage sludge. Q. And then finally, the regulation goes on to say, "If the sewage sludge is applied to agricultural land, forest, a public contact site or	2 3 4	THE WITNESS: They were originally turned in to us in June with that e-mail from Mr. Pierce and his attorney, Mr. Gallus. THE COURT: Okay. You may or may not know
2 3 4 5	 seen, so it would be bulk sewage sludge. Q. And then finally, the regulation goes on to say, "If the sewage sludge is applied to agricultural land, forest, a public contact site or a reclamation site." What applies in this specific case? A. This would be agricultural land. And 	2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: They were originally turned in to us in June with that e-mail from Mr. Pierce and his attorney, Mr. Gallus. THE COURT: Okay. You may or may not know this in your role, but if you do, let me know. I
2 3 4 5 6	seen, so it would be bulk sewage sludge. Q. And then finally, the regulation goes on to say, "If the sewage sludge is applied to agricultural land, forest, a public contact site or a reclamation site." What applies in this specific case?	2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: They were originally turned in to us in June with that e-mail from Mr. Pierce and his attorney, Mr. Gallus. THE COURT: Okay. You may or may not know this in your role, but if you do, let me know. I mean, do you know what the process generally is
2 3 4 5 6 7	 seen, so it would be bulk sewage sludge. Q. And then finally, the regulation goes on to say, "If the sewage sludge is applied to agricultural land, forest, a public contact site or a reclamation site." What applies in this specific case? A. This would be agricultural land. And 	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: They were originally turned in to us in June with that e-mail from Mr. Pierce and his attorney, Mr. Gallus. THE COURT: Okay. You may or may not know this in your role, but if you do, let me know. I mean, do you know what the process generally is when someone obtains these samples, where they tend
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 seen, so it would be bulk sewage sludge. Q. And then finally, the regulation goes on to say, "If the sewage sludge is applied to agricultural land, forest, a public contact site or a reclamation site." What applies in this specific case? A. This would be agricultural land. And we base that off of at the initial Indian 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: They were originally turned in to us in June with that e-mail from Mr. Pierce and his attorney, Mr. Gallus. THE COURT: Okay. You may or may not know this in your role, but if you do, let me know. I mean, do you know what the process generally is when someone obtains these samples, where they tend to get sent to?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 seen, so it would be bulk sewage sludge. Q. And then finally, the regulation goes on to say, "If the sewage sludge is applied to agricultural land, forest, a public contact site or a reclamation site." What applies in this specific case? A. This would be agricultural land. And we base that off of at the initial Indian Health Services inspection report had photographs, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: They were originally turned in to us in June with that e-mail from Mr. Pierce and his attorney, Mr. Gallus. THE COURT: Okay. You may or may not know this in your role, but if you do, let me know. I mean, do you know what the process generally is when someone obtains these samples, where they tend to get sent to? THE WITNESS: Yeah. For so as Part 503,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 seen, so it would be bulk sewage sludge. Q. And then finally, the regulation goes on to say, "If the sewage sludge is applied to agricultural land, forest, a public contact site or a reclamation site." What applies in this specific case? A. This would be agricultural land. And we base that off of at the initial Indian Health Services inspection report had photographs, it looked like an agricultural field. There 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: They were originally turned in to us in June with that e-mail from Mr. Pierce and his attorney, Mr. Gallus. THE COURT: Okay. You may or may not know this in your role, but if you do, let me know. I mean, do you know what the process generally is when someone obtains these samples, where they tend to get sent to? THE WITNESS: Yeah. For so as Part 503, and then just usually, Part 136 in general has stipulations on how you can treat biosolids. They're usually sent to labs that are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	seen, so it would be bulk sewage sludge. Q. And then finally, the regulation goes on to say, "If the sewage sludge is applied to agricultural land, forest, a public contact site or a reclamation site." What applies in this specific case? A. This would be agricultural land. And we base that off of at the initial Indian Health Services inspection report had photographs, it looked like an agricultural field. There were it wasn't a golf course or there weren't other homes around. That information. And then whenever we received an additional contract, it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: They were originally turned in to us in June with that e-mail from Mr. Pierce and his attorney, Mr. Gallus. THE COURT: Okay. You may or may not know this in your role, but if you do, let me know. I mean, do you know what the process generally is when someone obtains these samples, where they tend to get sent to? THE WITNESS: Yeah. For so as Part 503, and then just usually, Part 136 in general has stipulations on how you can treat biosolids.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	seen, so it would be bulk sewage sludge. Q. And then finally, the regulation goes on to say, "If the sewage sludge is applied to agricultural land, forest, a public contact site or a reclamation site." What applies in this specific case? A. This would be agricultural land. And we base that off of at the initial Indian Health Services inspection report had photographs, it looked like an agricultural field. There were it wasn't a golf course or there weren't other homes around. That information. And then whenever we received an additional contract, it also stated that it was going to be applied to a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: They were originally turned in to us in June with that e-mail from Mr. Pierce and his attorney, Mr. Gallus. THE COURT: Okay. You may or may not know this in your role, but if you do, let me know. I mean, do you know what the process generally is when someone obtains these samples, where they tend to get sent to? THE WITNESS: Yeah. For so as Part 503, and then just usually, Part 136 in general has stipulations on how you can treat biosolids. They're usually sent to labs that are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 seen, so it would be bulk sewage sludge. Q. And then finally, the regulation goes on to say, "If the sewage sludge is applied to agricultural land, forest, a public contact site or a reclamation site." What applies in this specific case? A. This would be agricultural land. And we base that off of at the initial Indian Health Services inspection report had photographs, it looked like an agricultural field. There were it wasn't a golf course or there weren't other homes around. That information. And then whenever we received an additional contract, it also stated that it was going to be applied to a barley field, which would be an agricultural area. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: They were originally turned in to us in June with that e-mail from Mr. Pierce and his attorney, Mr. Gallus. THE COURT: Okay. You may or may not know this in your role, but if you do, let me know. I mean, do you know what the process generally is when someone obtains these samples, where they tend to get sent to? THE WITNESS: Yeah. For so as Part 503, and then just usually, Part 136 in general has stipulations on how you can treat biosolids. They're usually sent to labs that are EPA-certified, is another thing that we look for with them. So they'll take a sample. They will preserve them as necessary. And that's all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 seen, so it would be bulk sewage sludge. Q. And then finally, the regulation goes on to say, "If the sewage sludge is applied to agricultural land, forest, a public contact site or a reclamation site." What applies in this specific case? A. This would be agricultural land. And we base that off of at the initial Indian Health Services inspection report had photographs, it looked like an agricultural field. There were it wasn't a golf course or there weren't other homes around. That information. And then whenever we received an additional contract, it also stated that it was going to be applied to a barley field, which would be an agricultural area. Q. Ms. Kleffner, why did EPA not initiate 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: They were originally turned in to us in June with that e-mail from Mr. Pierce and his attorney, Mr. Gallus. THE COURT: Okay. You may or may not know this in your role, but if you do, let me know. I mean, do you know what the process generally is when someone obtains these samples, where they tend to get sent to? THE WITNESS: Yeah. For so as Part 503, and then just usually, Part 136 in general has stipulations on how you can treat biosolids. They're usually sent to labs that are EPA-certified, is another thing that we look for with them. So they'll take a sample. They will preserve them as necessary. And that's all indicated on the chain of custody, which we've
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 seen, so it would be bulk sewage sludge. Q. And then finally, the regulation goes on to say, "If the sewage sludge is applied to agricultural land, forest, a public contact site or a reclamation site." What applies in this specific case? A. This would be agricultural land. And we base that off of at the initial Indian Health Services inspection report had photographs, it looked like an agricultural field. There were it wasn't a golf course or there weren't other homes around. That information. And then whenever we received an additional contract, it also stated that it was going to be applied to a barley field, which would be an agricultural area. Q. Ms. Kleffner, why did EPA not initiate an enforcement action against any of the other 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: They were originally turned in to us in June with that e-mail from Mr. Pierce and his attorney, Mr. Gallus. THE COURT: Okay. You may or may not know this in your role, but if you do, let me know. I mean, do you know what the process generally is when someone obtains these samples, where they tend to get sent to? THE WITNESS: Yeah. For so as Part 503, and then just usually, Part 136 in general has stipulations on how you can treat biosolids. They're usually sent to labs that are EPA-certified, is another thing that we look for with them. So they'll take a sample. They will preserve them as necessary. And that's all indicated on the chain of custody, which we've covered earlier, and it's sent to the lab for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 seen, so it would be bulk sewage sludge. Q. And then finally, the regulation goes on to say, "If the sewage sludge is applied to agricultural land, forest, a public contact site or a reclamation site." What applies in this specific case? A. This would be agricultural land. And we base that off of at the initial Indian Health Services inspection report had photographs, it looked like an agricultural field. There were it wasn't a golf course or there weren't other homes around. That information. And then whenever we received an additional contract, it also stated that it was going to be applied to a barley field, which would be an agricultural area. Q. Ms. Kleffner, why did EPA not initiate an enforcement action against any of the other parties in this case besides Mr. Pierce and Adamas 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: They were originally turned in to us in June with that e-mail from Mr. Pierce and his attorney, Mr. Gallus. THE COURT: Okay. You may or may not know this in your role, but if you do, let me know. I mean, do you know what the process generally is when someone obtains these samples, where they tend to get sent to? THE WITNESS: Yeah. For so as Part 503, and then just usually, Part 136 in general has stipulations on how you can treat biosolids. They're usually sent to labs that are EPA-certified, is another thing that we look for with them. So they'll take a sample. They will preserve them as necessary. And that's all indicated on the chain of custody, which we've covered earlier, and it's sent to the lab for processing. And, of course, it needs to also meet
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 seen, so it would be bulk sewage sludge. Q. And then finally, the regulation goes on to say, "If the sewage sludge is applied to agricultural land, forest, a public contact site or a reclamation site." What applies in this specific case? A. This would be agricultural land. And we base that off of at the initial Indian Health Services inspection report had photographs, it looked like an agricultural field. There were it wasn't a golf course or there weren't other homes around. That information. And then whenever we received an additional contract, it also stated that it was going to be applied to a barley field, which would be an agricultural area. Q. Ms. Kleffner, why did EPA not initiate an enforcement action against any of the other parties in this case besides Mr. Pierce and Adamas Construction? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: They were originally turned in to us in June with that e-mail from Mr. Pierce and his attorney, Mr. Gallus. THE COURT: Okay. You may or may not know this in your role, but if you do, let me know. I mean, do you know what the process generally is when someone obtains these samples, where they tend to get sent to? THE WITNESS: Yeah. For so as Part 503, and then just usually, Part 136 in general has stipulations on how you can treat biosolids. They're usually sent to labs that are EPA-certified, is another thing that we look for with them. So they'll take a sample. They will preserve them as necessary. And that's all indicated on the chain of custody, which we've covered earlier, and it's sent to the lab for processing. And, of course, it needs to also meet a holding time in addition to that. So that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 seen, so it would be bulk sewage sludge. Q. And then finally, the regulation goes on to say, "If the sewage sludge is applied to agricultural land, forest, a public contact site or a reclamation site." What applies in this specific case? A. This would be agricultural land. And we base that off of at the initial Indian Health Services inspection report had photographs, it looked like an agricultural field. There were it wasn't a golf course or there weren't other homes around. That information. And then whenever we received an additional contract, it also stated that it was going to be applied to a barley field, which would be an agricultural area. Q. Ms. Kleffner, why did EPA not initiate an enforcement action against any of the other parties in this case besides Mr. Pierce and Adamas Construction? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: They were originally turned in to us in June with that e-mail from Mr. Pierce and his attorney, Mr. Gallus. THE COURT: Okay. You may or may not know this in your role, but if you do, let me know. I mean, do you know what the process generally is when someone obtains these samples, where they tend to get sent to? THE WITNESS: Yeah. For so as Part 503, and then just usually, Part 136 in general has stipulations on how you can treat biosolids. They're usually sent to labs that are EPA-certified, is another thing that we look for with them. So they'll take a sample. They will preserve them as necessary. And that's all indicated on the chain of custody, which we've covered earlier, and it's sent to the lab for processing. And, of course, it needs to also meet a holding time in addition to that. So that's another Part 136 requirement.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 seen, so it would be bulk sewage sludge. Q. And then finally, the regulation goes on to say, "If the sewage sludge is applied to agricultural land, forest, a public contact site or a reclamation site." What applies in this specific case? A. This would be agricultural land. And we base that off of at the initial Indian Health Services inspection report had photographs, it looked like an agricultural field. There were it wasn't a golf course or there weren't other homes around. That information. And then whenever we received an additional contract, it also stated that it was going to be applied to a barley field, which would be an agricultural area. Q. Ms. Kleffner, why did EPA not initiate an enforcement action against any of the other parties in this case besides Mr. Pierce and Adamas Construction? A. So, based on the sampling, Mr. Pierce 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: They were originally turned in to us in June with that e-mail from Mr. Pierce and his attorney, Mr. Gallus. THE COURT: Okay. You may or may not know this in your role, but if you do, let me know. I mean, do you know what the process generally is when someone obtains these samples, where they tend to get sent to? THE WITNESS: Yeah. For so as Part 503, and then just usually, Part 136 in general has stipulations on how you can treat biosolids. They're usually sent to labs that are EPA-certified, is another thing that we look for with them. So they'll take a sample. They will preserve them as necessary. And that's all indicated on the chain of custody, which we've covered earlier, and it's sent to the lab for processing. And, of course, it needs to also meet a holding time in addition to that. So that's another Part 136 requirement. So all of that is met. It's processed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 seen, so it would be bulk sewage sludge. Q. And then finally, the regulation goes on to say, "If the sewage sludge is applied to agricultural land, forest, a public contact site or a reclamation site." What applies in this specific case? A. This would be agricultural land. And we base that off of at the initial Indian Health Services inspection report had photographs, it looked like an agricultural field. There were it wasn't a golf course or there weren't other homes around. That information. And then whenever we received an additional contract, it also stated that it was going to be applied to a barley field, which would be an agricultural area. Q. Ms. Kleffner, why did EPA not initiate an enforcement action against any of the other parties in this case besides Mr. Pierce and Adamas Construction? A. So, based on the sampling, Mr. Pierce conducted the sampling. He did not give any results to any other parties that we're aware of. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: They were originally turned in to us in June with that e-mail from Mr. Pierce and his attorney, Mr. Gallus. THE COURT: Okay. You may or may not know this in your role, but if you do, let me know. I mean, do you know what the process generally is when someone obtains these samples, where they tend to get sent to? THE WITNESS: Yeah. For so as Part 503, and then just usually, Part 136 in general has stipulations on how you can treat biosolids. They're usually sent to labs that are EPA-certified, is another thing that we look for with them. So they'll take a sample. They will preserve them as necessary. And that's all indicated on the chain of custody, which we've covered earlier, and it's sent to the lab for processing. And, of course, it needs to also meet a holding time in addition to that. So that's another Part 136 requirement. So all of that is met. It's processed by the lab. The lab will send it back to whoever
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 seen, so it would be bulk sewage sludge. Q. And then finally, the regulation goes on to say, "If the sewage sludge is applied to agricultural land, forest, a public contact site or a reclamation site." What applies in this specific case? A. This would be agricultural land. And we base that off of at the initial Indian Health Services inspection report had photographs, it looked like an agricultural field. There were it wasn't a golf course or there weren't other homes around. That information. And then whenever we received an additional contract, it also stated that it was going to be applied to a barley field, which would be an agricultural area. Q. Ms. Kleffner, why did EPA not initiate an enforcement action against any of the other parties in this case besides Mr. Pierce and Adamas Construction? A. So, based on the sampling, Mr. Pierce is any other parties that we're aware of. It would have been very difficult for Mr. Robinson 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: They were originally turned in to us in June with that e-mail from Mr. Pierce and his attorney, Mr. Gallus. THE COURT: Okay. You may or may not know this in your role, but if you do, let me know. I mean, do you know what the process generally is when someone obtains these samples, where they tend to get sent to? THE WITNESS: Yeah. For so as Part 503, and then just usually, Part 136 in general has stipulations on how you can treat biosolids. They're usually sent to labs that are EPA-certified, is another thing that we look for with them. So they'll take a sample. They will preserve them as necessary. And that's all indicated on the chain of custody, which we've covered earlier, and it's sent to the lab for processing. And, of course, it needs to also meet a holding time in addition to that. So that's another Part 136 requirement. So all of that is met. It's processed by the lab. The lab will send it back to whoever submitted the work order or whoever is on the work
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 seen, so it would be bulk sewage sludge. Q. And then finally, the regulation goes on to say, "If the sewage sludge is applied to agricultural land, forest, a public contact site or a reclamation site." What applies in this specific case? A. This would be agricultural land. And we base that off of at the initial Indian Health Services inspection report had photographs, it looked like an agricultural field. There were it wasn't a golf course or there weren't other homes around. That information. And then whenever we received an additional contract, it also stated that it was going to be applied to a barley field, which would be an agricultural area. Q. Ms. Kleffner, why did EPA not initiate an enforcement action against any of the other parties in this case besides Mr. Pierce and Adamas Construction? A. So, based on the sampling, Mr. Pierce conducted the sampling. He did not give any results to any other parties that we're aware of. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: They were originally turned in to us in June with that e-mail from Mr. Pierce and his attorney, Mr. Gallus. THE COURT: Okay. You may or may not know this in your role, but if you do, let me know. I mean, do you know what the process generally is when someone obtains these samples, where they tend to get sent to? THE WITNESS: Yeah. For so as Part 503, and then just usually, Part 136 in general has stipulations on how you can treat biosolids. They're usually sent to labs that are EPA-certified, is another thing that we look for with them. So they'll take a sample. They will preserve them as necessary. And that's all indicated on the chain of custody, which we've covered earlier, and it's sent to the lab for processing. And, of course, it needs to also meet a holding time in addition to that. So that's another Part 136 requirement. So all of that is met. It's processed by the lab. The lab will send it back to whoever

45 (Pages 177 to 180)

	Page 181		Page 183
1	that these samples these results came from?	1	something up. Let me take a look here. Okay.
2	THE WITNESS: Yes.	2	Just bear with me one second.
3	THE COURT: Okay. So they would have	3	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Take your time.
4	possession of the test results as well?	4	THE COURT: I'm just trying to track this.
5	THE WITNESS: Yes. The lab would.	5	All right.
6	THE COURT: Okay. In addition to the person	6	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Your Honor, can I ask you a
7	requesting them	7	question?
8	THE WITNESS: Yes.	8	THE COURT: Sure. I just don't want to
9	THE COURT: who gets who gets them?	9	confuse myself, so
10	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.	10	MR. MUEHLBERGER: It seems like maybe some of
11	THE COURT: Okay. I guess that's all I	11	the confusion if you feel like we've not
12	really had to follow up on.	12	adequately gone over how the preparer requirements
13	Anything else?	13	of the recordkeeping requirements apply
14	MR. MUEHLBERGER: No further questions, Your	14	specifically to this case, I'm happy to have the
15	Honor.	15	witness also testify to that similar to what we
16	THE COURT: Did you want to cover,	16	just did with the land applier regulation.
17	though I think at one point you mentioned	17	THE COURT: Yeah. I don't think it was that.
18	explaining why there was a regulatory cite change	18	I thought I actually thought and again, this
19	in the amended complaint.	19	is going back from memory, so I don't want to
20	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Sure.	20	create confusion here if I'm simply misremembering.
21	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	21	I thought there was actually a change in the
22	Q. Ms. Kleffner, can you describe why we	22	particular citation that dictated specifically what
23	decided to why EPA decided to change the	23	records needed to be developed and maintained. I
24	regulatory citation in the complaint?	24	thought there was an actual difference in the way
25	A. Sure. So when we originally looked at	25	the complaint charged it, which was why I gave
	Page 182		Page 184
1	the complaint, we realized we had cited	1	respondent the opportunity to file another answer.

1	the complaint, we realized we had cited	1
2	503.17(a)(5), which applies to cumulative pollutant	2
3	loading.	3
4	So in some instances where you have	4
5	biosolids that are continually applied to a site	5
6	over an extended period of time, probably, you	6
7	know, decades of biosolids land application, it	7
8	becomes important that you maintain those records	8
9	and track the cumulative loading for metals on that	9
10	site.	10
11	So under $503.17(a)(5)$, that is for	11
12	cumulative loading. It has an indefinite	12
13	recordkeeping requirement rather than the 5 here,	13
14	which is not appropriate for this site. As far as	14
15	we knew, this was the first biosolids application	15
16	at Mr. Robinson's property. So the cumulative	16
17	pollutant loading would not have applied for this.	17
18	It was better served under 503.17(a)(4).	18
19	Q. And Ms. Kleffner, did EPA's changing of	19
20	the citation or amendment of the complaint to	20
21	change the citation, did it change either of the	21
22	counts that EPA alleged against Adamas and	22
23	Mr. Pierce?	23
24	A. It did not.	24
25	THE COURT: Okay. I just want to clear	25

1	respondent the opportunity to file another answer.
2	And I have to believe that that was the case or I
3	would not have given him the opportunity to file
4	another answer. But it's been a while, and so I'm
5	trying to put my hands on it. But it's a big
б	record. So I'm trying to go through here.
7	I can maybe you're going to be here.
8	You're going to be here available for cross. So
9	rather than delay further, I can take a look at
10	this over lunch. And if I need to come back and
11	just ask a question or two, I'll hop in.
12	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Okay. So I'll have the
13	witness go through the specific records under the
14	regulatory provision that we just discussed and
15	also talk about how that would be different than if
16	it was under Subpart 5.
17	MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I think the review
18	would be good. I remember exactly the way you
19	remember, and that is the reason why.
20	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Yeah. I don't
21	want to I certainly don't want to create more
22	confusion than might already exist by my questions.
23	But it's you know, it's important because I
24	always go back to what the charging document is,
25	and that is what governs everything. So I just

46 (Pages 181 to 184)

Page	1	8	7

	Page 185		Page 187
1	want to make sure that there is no ambiguity about	1	look at the first amended complaint, the second
2	the particular, the specific records that the	2	amended complaint. And, Ms. Kleffner, your
3	respondents have been charged with not developing	3	testimony helped clarify the difference for me,
4	and maintaining. And I do recognize the difference	4	what I was thinking I was remembering and what
5	between a little I and then a double I between the	5	actually took place. So I'll just note that the
6	preparers and the land appliers, and that while	6	changes that I had in my head which you clarified
7	there was some overlap, there are a few differences	7	actually pertain to changing the regulatory cite
8	there. I just thought that there was a specific	8	in the first amended complaint from 503.17(a)(5)
9	change between those citations in the complaint,	9	to it was actually (a)(5)(ii), I think to
10	but I haven't been able to put my finger on it.	10	503.17(a)(4)(ii) in the second amended complaint.
11	So I'll do a little bit of looking over	11	And based on that difference between $(a)(5)$ and
12	lunch. You all don't need to worry about it unless	12	(a)(4), that's why I felt it appropriate to give
13	you want to look, too, and double-check me.	13	respondents the opportunity to file a new answer.
14	MR. MUEHLBERGER: We'll do some looking as	14	So hopefully, that clears up the record
15	well.	15	with regard to the judge's confusion, and if you
16	THE COURT: But yeah, it's just	16	all had anything you needed to say about that. If
17	really it's really to make sure that my focus is	17	not, we'll just move on.
18	where it needs to be because that's you know,	18	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Nothing from complainant,
19	that's the whole point. That charging document is	19	Your Honor.
20	key.	20	THE COURT: All right. Anything?
21	So, with that in mind, let's go ahead	20	MR. PIERCE: Nothing from the respondent,
22	and break for lunch. It's 12:40, 12:41	21	Your Honor.
23	technically. How much time would you all like?	22	THE COURT: Okay. All right, great. So you
24	Would you like an hour? Would you like less? Up	23	are all finished with your direct, right,
25	to you all. I think there are places around within	24	Mr. Muehlberger?
			6
	Page 186		Page 188
1	walking distance. I mean, I'm assuming an hour's	1	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor.
2	lunch is enough for lunch, but let me know.	2	THE COURT: Okay. All right. So Mr. Pierce,
3	MR. MUEHLBERGER: I think an hour is		
		3	would you like to go ahead and ask questions of
4	sufficient.	3 4	would you like to go ahead and ask questions of Ms. Kleffner based on her direct testimony?
4 5	sufficient. THE COURT: Okay.		
		4	Ms. Kleffner based on her direct testimony?
5	THE COURT: Okay.	4 5	Ms. Kleffner based on her direct testimony? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor, I would.
5 6	THE COURT: Okay. MR. PIERCE: Jake's downtown is really	4 5 6	Ms. Kleffner based on her direct testimony? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor, I would. THE COURT: Okay. Please go ahead.
5 6 7	THE COURT: Okay. MR. PIERCE: Jake's downtown is really awesome if you want a good steak or a salad.	4 5 6 7	Ms. Kleffner based on her direct testimony? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor, I would. THE COURT: Okay. Please go ahead. MR. PIERCE: I think we're having some issues
5 6 7 8	THE COURT: Okay. MR. PIERCE: Jake's downtown is really awesome if you want a good steak or a salad. THE COURT: Good to know. All right. So why	4 5 7 8	Ms. Kleffner based on her direct testimony? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor, I would. THE COURT: Okay. Please go ahead. MR. PIERCE: I think we're having some issues connecting, Your Honor.
5 6 7 8 9	THE COURT: Okay. MR. PIERCE: Jake's downtown is really awesome if you want a good steak or a salad. THE COURT: Good to know. All right. So why don't we come back at I'm just going to do from	4 5 6 7 8 9	Ms. Kleffner based on her direct testimony? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor, I would. THE COURT: Okay. Please go ahead. MR. PIERCE: I think we're having some issues connecting, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Take your time. If you
5 6 7 8 9 10	THE COURT: Okay. MR. PIERCE: Jake's downtown is really awesome if you want a good steak or a salad. THE COURT: Good to know. All right. So why don't we come back at I'm just going to do from 15 till. So let's come back at 1:45, and we'll	4 5 6 7 8 9	Ms. Kleffner based on her direct testimony? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor, I would. THE COURT: Okay. Please go ahead. MR. PIERCE: I think we're having some issues connecting, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Take your time. If you need a break, just tell me, if you do, but
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE COURT: Okay. MR. PIERCE: Jake's downtown is really awesome if you want a good steak or a salad. THE COURT: Good to know. All right. So why don't we come back at I'm just going to do from 15 till. So let's come back at 1:45, and we'll pick up from there.	4 5 7 8 9 10 11	Ms. Kleffner based on her direct testimony? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor, I would. THE COURT: Okay. Please go ahead. MR. PIERCE: I think we're having some issues connecting, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Take your time. If you need a break, just tell me, if you do, but otherwise, take your time.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE COURT: Okay. MR. PIERCE: Jake's downtown is really awesome if you want a good steak or a salad. THE COURT: Good to know. All right. So why don't we come back at I'm just going to do from 15 till. So let's come back at 1:45, and we'll pick up from there. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thank you.	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	Ms. Kleffner based on her direct testimony? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor, I would. THE COURT: Okay. Please go ahead. MR. PIERCE: I think we're having some issues connecting, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Take your time. If you need a break, just tell me, if you do, but otherwise, take your time. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, can we take a break
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE COURT: Okay. MR. PIERCE: Jake's downtown is really awesome if you want a good steak or a salad. THE COURT: Good to know. All right. So why don't we come back at I'm just going to do from 15 till. So let's come back at 1:45, and we'll pick up from there. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you so much. We'll	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Ms. Kleffner based on her direct testimony? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor, I would. THE COURT: Okay. Please go ahead. MR. PIERCE: I think we're having some issues connecting, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Take your time. If you need a break, just tell me, if you do, but otherwise, take your time. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, can we take a break so I can maybe work with tech support to figure
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE COURT: Okay. MR. PIERCE: Jake's downtown is really awesome if you want a good steak or a salad. THE COURT: Good to know. All right. So why don't we come back at I'm just going to do from 15 till. So let's come back at 1:45, and we'll pick up from there. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you so much. We'll be in recess till then.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Ms. Kleffner based on her direct testimony? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor, I would. THE COURT: Okay. Please go ahead. MR. PIERCE: I think we're having some issues connecting, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Take your time. If you need a break, just tell me, if you do, but otherwise, take your time. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, can we take a break so I can maybe work with tech support to figure this out?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE COURT: Okay. MR. PIERCE: Jake's downtown is really awesome if you want a good steak or a salad. THE COURT: Good to know. All right. So why don't we come back at I'm just going to do from 15 till. So let's come back at 1:45, and we'll pick up from there. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you so much. We'll be in recess till then. (Whereupon, a lunch recess was from	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Ms. Kleffner based on her direct testimony? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor, I would. THE COURT: Okay. Please go ahead. MR. PIERCE: I think we're having some issues connecting, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Take your time. If you need a break, just tell me, if you do, but otherwise, take your time. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, can we take a break so I can maybe work with tech support to figure this out? THE COURT: Sure. Sure.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE COURT: Okay. MR. PIERCE: Jake's downtown is really awesome if you want a good steak or a salad. THE COURT: Good to know. All right. So why don't we come back at I'm just going to do from 15 till. So let's come back at 1:45, and we'll pick up from there. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you so much. We'll be in recess till then. (Whereupon, a lunch recess was from taken from 12:45 p.m. to 1:52 p.m.)	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Ms. Kleffner based on her direct testimony? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor, I would. THE COURT: Okay. Please go ahead. MR. PIERCE: I think we're having some issues connecting, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Take your time. If you need a break, just tell me, if you do, but otherwise, take your time. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, can we take a break so I can maybe work with tech support to figure this out? THE COURT: Sure. Sure. MR. PIERCE: Thank you.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE COURT: Okay. MR. PIERCE: Jake's downtown is really awesome if you want a good steak or a salad. THE COURT: Good to know. All right. So why don't we come back at I'm just going to do from 15 till. So let's come back at 1:45, and we'll pick up from there. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you so much. We'll be in recess till then. (Whereupon, a lunch recess was from taken from 12:45 p.m. to 1:52 p.m.) AFTERNOON SESSION, TUESDAY, AUGUST 22, 2023	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Ms. Kleffner based on her direct testimony? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor, I would. THE COURT: Okay. Please go ahead. MR. PIERCE: I think we're having some issues connecting, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Take your time. If you need a break, just tell me, if you do, but otherwise, take your time. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, can we take a break so I can maybe work with tech support to figure this out? THE COURT: Sure. Sure. MR. PIERCE: Thank you. THE COURT: Five, 10 minutes or
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE COURT: Okay. MR. PIERCE: Jake's downtown is really awesome if you want a good steak or a salad. THE COURT: Good to know. All right. So why don't we come back at I'm just going to do from 15 till. So let's come back at 1:45, and we'll pick up from there. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you so much. We'll be in recess till then. (Whereupon, a lunch recess was from taken from 12:45 p.m. to 1:52 p.m.) AFTERNOON SESSION, TUESDAY, AUGUST 22, 2023 (Whereupon, the hearing reconvened at 1:52	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Ms. Kleffner based on her direct testimony? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor, I would. THE COURT: Okay. Please go ahead. MR. PIERCE: I think we're having some issues connecting, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Take your time. If you need a break, just tell me, if you do, but otherwise, take your time. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, can we take a break so I can maybe work with tech support to figure this out? THE COURT: Sure. Sure. MR. PIERCE: Thank you. THE COURT: Five, 10 minutes or MR. PIERCE: Five minutes.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE COURT: Okay. MR. PIERCE: Jake's downtown is really awesome if you want a good steak or a salad. THE COURT: Good to know. All right. So why don't we come back at I'm just going to do from 15 till. So let's come back at 1:45, and we'll pick up from there. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you so much. We'll be in recess till then. (Whereupon, a lunch recess was from taken from 12:45 p.m. to 1:52 p.m.) AFTERNOON SESSION, TUESDAY, AUGUST 22, 2023 (Whereupon, the hearing reconvened at 1:52 p.m., with all interested parties present, and the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Ms. Kleffner based on her direct testimony? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor, I would. THE COURT: Okay. Please go ahead. MR. PIERCE: I think we're having some issues connecting, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Take your time. If you need a break, just tell me, if you do, but otherwise, take your time. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, can we take a break so I can maybe work with tech support to figure this out? THE COURT: Sure. Sure. MR. PIERCE: Thank you. THE COURT: Five, 10 minutes or MR. PIERCE: Five minutes. THE COURT: Okay. I'll be back in five.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE COURT: Okay. MR. PIERCE: Jake's downtown is really awesome if you want a good steak or a salad. THE COURT: Good to know. All right. So why don't we come back at I'm just going to do from 15 till. So let's come back at 1:45, and we'll pick up from there. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you so much. We'll be in recess till then. (Whereupon, a lunch recess was from taken from 12:45 p.m. to 1:52 p.m.) AFTERNOON SESSION, TUESDAY, AUGUST 22, 2023 (Whereupon, the hearing reconvened at 1:52 p.m., with all interested parties present, and the following proceedings were had:)	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Ms. Kleffner based on her direct testimony? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor, I would. THE COURT: Okay. Please go ahead. MR. PIERCE: I think we're having some issues connecting, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Take your time. If you need a break, just tell me, if you do, but otherwise, take your time. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, can we take a break so I can maybe work with tech support to figure this out? THE COURT: Sure. Sure. MR. PIERCE: Thank you. THE COURT: Five, 10 minutes or MR. PIERCE: Five minutes. THE COURT: Okay. I'll be back in five. (Whereupon, a brief
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE COURT: Okay. MR. PIERCE: Jake's downtown is really awesome if you want a good steak or a salad. THE COURT: Good to know. All right. So why don't we come back at I'm just going to do from 15 till. So let's come back at 1:45, and we'll pick up from there. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you so much. We'll be in recess till then. (Whereupon, a lunch recess was from taken from 12:45 p.m. to 1:52 p.m.) AFTERNOON SESSION, TUESDAY, AUGUST 22, 2023 (Whereupon, the hearing reconvened at 1:52 p.m., with all interested parties present, and the following proceedings were had:) THE COURT: All right. We're back on record	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Ms. Kleffner based on her direct testimony? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor, I would. THE COURT: Okay. Please go ahead. MR. PIERCE: I think we're having some issues connecting, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Take your time. If you need a break, just tell me, if you do, but otherwise, take your time. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, can we take a break so I can maybe work with tech support to figure this out? THE COURT: Sure. Sure. MR. PIERCE: Thank you. THE COURT: Five, 10 minutes or MR. PIERCE: Five minutes. THE COURT: Okay. I'll be back in five. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)

24 So all squared away, Mr. Pierce?

25 MR. PIERCE: I am. Yes, Your Honor.

BRIDGER COURT REPORTERS, INC. (406) 582-0668

what I was grappling with when we -- before we

recessed, I did have a chance to go back and take a

24

25

47 (Pages 185 to 188)

	Page 189		Page 191
1	THE COURT: All right. Great.	1	MR. PIERCE: I do not, Your Honor.
2	CROSS-EXAMINATION	2	THE COURT: Okay. Let me just locate it. I
3	BY MR. PIERCE:	3	think it's in CX36. Is that what this is that
4	Q. Ms. Kleffner, welcome to Montana. Um,	4	we're looking at? Does complainant have it up for
5	can you read for us what 503.11(h) states?	5	you or do you have it up yourself?
6	A. "Land application is the spraying or	6	MR. PIERCE: I have it up myself, Your Honor.
7	spreading of sewage sludge onto the land surface,	7	THE COURT: Okay. I think it said CX36, the
8	the injection of sewage sludge below the land	8	Plain English Guide. And you're on page
9	surface or the incorporation of sewage sludge in	9	MR. PIERCE: 51, I believe, Your Honor.
10	the soil"	10	THE COURT: Okay. Perfect. Please go ahead.
11	THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. Can you slow down.	11	BY MR. PIERCE:
12	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Do you need me to	12	Q. So, Ms. Kleffner, can you read this
13	restart?	13	portion for us?
14	THE REPORTER: Just from where you left off.	14	A. "If the landowner or leaseholder is also
15	THE WITNESS: Okay "the injection of	15	the land applier of the biosolids, that person must
16	sewage sludge below the land surface, or the	16	follow the applicable provisions of the Part 503
17	incorporation of sewage sludge into the soil so	17	rule for land appliers as described in this
18	that the sewage sludge can either condition the	18	chapter. If the land-applying operation is of
19	soil or fertilize crops or vegetation grown into the soil."	19 20	sufficient size or concern to the permitting
20	BY MR. PIERCE:	20	authority, the landowner or leaseholder applier might also be required to obtain a permit for the
21 22	Q. So you would agree that a person who	22	land application activities."
23	engages in that activity would be a person who	23	Q. So you would agree that this states that
24	applies sludge to the land?	24	if the landowner or leaseholder is the person who
25	A. Yes.	25	applies, that they are also responsible for
			applies, that they are also responsible for
	Page 190		Page 192
1	Q. Okay.	1	complying with 503 regulations, correct?
2	THE COURT: And that was 11(h), 503?	2	A. Yes.
3	MR. PIERCE: 503.11(h), yes, Your Honor.	3	Q. Okay.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.	4	MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, at this point, can I
5	MR. PIERCE: Can you switch to the plain	5	have my assistant put some slides on the projector
6	English?	6	there?
7	BY MR. PIERCE:	7	THE COURT: Absolutely.
8	Q. Do you recognize this document,	8	BY MR. PIERCE:
9	Ms. Kleffner?	9	Q. While we're doing that, Ms. Kleffner,
10	A. Yes, I do.	10	earlier, you testified that I did not provide
11	Q. And can you explain to us what this	11	anybody the agronomic weight of which the sludge
12	document is?	12	should be applied; is that correct?
13 14	A. So this is the Plain English Guide that was put out by EPA. It's just a description of the	13	A. Correct.
15	regulations without having to read the regulations.	14 15	MR. PIERCE: Can we get the first slide up? BY MR. PIERCE:
16	Q. So this tries to help citizens sort of	16	
17	get through all of the confusion that is 503,	17	Q. Ms. Kleffner, the lagoon project excuse me. Sorry. The lagoon project,
18	correct?	18	was that project initiated by a government agency?
19	A. Correct.	19	A. I would assume it was initiated by NCUC.
20	Q. Okay. And can you read the highlighted	20	I don't know the background behind that.
21	portion for us?	21	Q. So you have not had an opportunity to
22	A. Sure.	22	review the bid contract that IHS put out?
23	THE COURT: Just real quickly, just the	23	A. I'm sure it was probably included. I'm
24	exhibit number, too, so we can connect all the	24	not I'd have to see the exhibit to know which
25	dots. Do you have it handy there, Mr. Pierce?	25	one you're talking about.
<u> </u>		I	48 (Pages 189 to 192)

	Page 193		Page 195
1	Q. I believe it was part of the 430-some	1	though. Each time you refer to a document you need
2	pages of exhibits.	2	to orient me to which one it is. If you don't have
3	THE COURT: That would have been	3	an exhibit number handy, even just identifying what
4	BY MR. PIERCE:	4	it is, do you have a
5	Q. So you are aware, though, that IHS had a	5	MR. PIERCE: Can you just scroll to the
6	bid packet related to the sewer lagoon project?	6	bottom real quick?
7	A. Yes. The Indian Health Services, I	7	MS. PIERCE: Uh-huh.
8	think was part of the payment process, yes.	8	MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, we're dealing with
9	Q. And Indian Health Services directly	9	RX5, page 1.
10	entered into a contract with the Northern Cheyenne	10	THE COURT: Perfect. Go right ahead.
11	Utility Commission. Is that not also part of the	11	BY MR. PIERCE:
12	record?	12	Q. Ms. Kleffner, can you read the
13	A. Yes. That would be because Indian	13	highlighted portion to us?
14	Health Services works only with tribal entities.	14	A. "Receive and apply biosolid sludge from
15	They	15	the frac tanks located at the Lame Deer Lagoons in
16	Q. Thank you for your testimony. Just	16	Lame Deer, Montana at an agronomic rate and haul it
17	"yes" or "no" will work. Thank you.	17	to the barley field with Pivot line owned or leased
18	So with that contract, was there a	18	by Tom Robinson, in compliance with U.S. 40 EPA 503
19	representative of IHS that was in contact with you?	19	regulations. Subcontractor further agrees to prep
20	A. After the complaint or during the	20	the field and till the sludge incorporating it into
21	Q. Before the complaint.	21	the soil within six hours. Must apply to 50 acres
22	A. No. We did not contact Indian Health	22	at a max application rate of 22,000 gallons per
23	Services until after the complaint was made. This	23	acre."
24	did not involve Region 7. That would have been	24	Q. Would that last sentence not be an
25	through Region 8, the home region.	25	agronomic rate?
	Page 194		Page 196
1	Q. So James Courtney and yourself didn't	1	A. No. There's it is based on an

1	Q. So James Courtney and yourself didn't	1	A. No. There's it is based on an
2	have any conversations prior to the complaint. Is	2	assumption on what the nitrogen content is. You
3	that your testimony?	3	would need more information than that to properly
4	A. To the complaint that EPA Region 7 or	4	calculate agronomic rate.
5	the complaint that was made against I think I	5	Q. Would you be surprised that this is the
б	think we're confusing the term "complaint." The	6	exact agronomic rate given by IHS in their bid
7	complaint that came from the landowner or the	7	contract?
8	complaint that was filed by EPA?	8	A. I am not aware of an agronomic rate by
9	Q. So the complaint that was filed from	9	Indian Health Services.
10	EPA. Your testimony earlier was from my	10	Q. So you would say that you haven't
11	recollection is that this was brought to you by	11	reviewed the record thoroughly enough to make that
12	Region 8, and that's how you became aware of this	12	determination?
13	project; is that correct?	13	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Objection, Your Honor.
14	A. Yes. And we did talk with Mr. Courtney	14	Respondent is testifying.
15	after we received the complaint, yes.	15	MR. PIERCE: It's an accurate question as to
16	Q. Okay.	16	whether or not they reviewed the record. They have
17	MR. PIERCE: So I don't see the slide up	17	claimed they have thoroughly reviewed the record,
18	here.	18	Your Honor.
19	BY MR. PIERCE:	19	THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection. Is
20	Q. Going back to the previous question	20	there anything in the record that you've reviewed
21	about agronomic rate.	21	that would permit you to answer his question?
22	A. Uh-huh.	22	THE WITNESS: That that was the Indian Health
23	Q. Can you please read the highlighted	23	Services calculated rate? I do not recall a
24	portion?	24	calculation by Indian Health Services.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Hold on just one second,	25	///

49 (Pages 193 to 196)

	Page 197		Page 199
1	BY MR. PIERCE:	1	we became aware that it was a preparer and land
2	Q. If Indian Health Services provided an	2	applier issue or that he was a preparer and land
3	agronomic rate that matched this exact description,	3	applier.
4	would you then consider this to be an agronomic	4	BY MR. PIERCE:
5	rate provided to other people?	5	Q. So you would agree that your initial
6	A. It could be. I would need more	6	request for information was for the application
7	information based on this. I know there was some	7	portion?
8	discussion with Indian Health Services in prior	8	A. So the 308 request that EPA sends out
9	dealings whether the dewatering was conducted the	9	for biosolids in general just contains usually the
10	way that it was supposed to. So the total solids	10	same amount of information. We ask for general
11	would eventually would have changed the	11	information.
12	agronomic rate.	12	As a land applier, if you weren't the
13	And I'm not sure if this contract was	13	preparer, we would have expected, based on Part 503
14	done before or after Indian Health Services. I	14	regulations, that you would have the ability to
15	think there's a lot of assumptions here about this	15	respond to the metals and pathogen content as well
16	agronomic rate. That the sludge was dewatered to a	16	as a land applier since that would have been given
17	certain amount, whether or not it was actually	17	to you by the preparer and sampler.
18	dewatered to that, and then if it was actually	18	MR. PIERCE: Can we get the next slide up?
19	applied	19	So this is going to be RX9 et. 1, Your Honor.
20	Q. Thank you. I appreciate that.	20	THE COURT: Okay.
21	THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. "If it was	21	BY MR. PIERCE:
22	actually applied"	22	Q. Ms. Kleffner, can you read the
23	THE WITNESS: At this rate.	23	highlighted portion to us?
24	THE REPORTER: Thank you.	24	A. "The Northern Cheyenne Utility
25	MR. PIERCE: Thank you. Can we go to	25	Commission, NCUC, was the prime contractor and

1	BY MR. PIERCE:	1	permit holder on the project referenced for the
2	Q. Ms. Kleffner, in Ms. Hertz Wu's e-mails	2	request for information. Adamas has asked the NCUC
3	and your e-mails and in the requests from the EPA,	3	to provide the requested technical information."
4	do you identify primarily records for application	4	Q. So can you explain to the Court what
5	or do you identify records for preparation of	5	this is in relation to?
б	sludge in your e-mails?	6	A. So this was from this is the response
7	A. So when we first received the complaint,	7	to the information request that EPA sent to you.
8	as far as we knew based on the information given by	8	Q. So would you agree that Adamas and/or
9	Indian Health Services, that it was just a land	9	our attorney pointed to the EPA where the documents
10	application issue, which is	10	you were seeking could be found?
11	Q. Thank you.	11	A. In part, NCUC, if they were just the
12	A why we identified	12	preparer it was clear from the complaint that
13	THE COURT: No, she needs to finish her	13	was given to Indian Health Services that NCUC at
14	answer. It was an open-ended question.	14	most would have been the preparer of the biosolids,
15	MR. PIERCE: Okay.	15	so they would only have part of those records. The
16	THE COURT: It wasn't a question that called	16	land application portion, which was, again,
17	for "yes" or "no," so she needs to finish.	17	indicated in that original complaint, that
18	Go ahead.	18	information would be available from the land
19	THE WITNESS: Right. Sorry, I lost my train	19	applier. So that information was never turned in,
20	of thought.	20	and NCUC would not have that information.
21	So based on the information from the	21	Q. Did you receive the information that
22	complaint, we knew that it was, at a minimum, a	22	you're requesting and that the documents require
23	land application issue. It wasn't until we	23	from Ernie Sprague?
24	received some other information later on that	24	A. We received part of the information.
25	indicated that it was dewatered by Mr. Pierce, that	25	Q. What information is missing?

50 (Pages 197 to 200)

Page 200

	Page 201		Page 203
1	A. So we received some of the management	1	whether or not he received those results?
2	practices, some of the site restrictions. He did	2	A. Again, I was not privy to all those
3	have a location in hauling logs. Mr. Sprague did	3	conversations.
4	have an agronomic rate calculation that was	4	Q. So you don't know whether or not he
- 5	included in his response; however, Mr. Sprague's	5	received the results?
6	agronomic rate calculation was based off of a	6	A. No.
7	septage constant for the state of Montana, which is	7	MR. PIERCE: Okay. Can we get the next
8	not accurate in this instance where septage, it is	8	slide? I think that's the same one.
9	assumed that it's just domestic waste and that	9	MS. PIERCE: That's just the second page.
10	there's a solid a nitrogen content that can be	10	BY MR. PIERCE:
11	assumed in general.	11	Q. Ms. Kleffner, when was the complaint
12	Whenever you have biosolids that is	12	initially filed from Tom Robinson?
13	coming from a domestic wastewater treatment	13	A. That would have been August of 2018. We
14	facility, the nitrogen content can vary depending	14	did not receive it until the beginning of September
15	on what the treatment process is. So this is	15	2018.
16	coming from a lagoon rather than a septage, so the	16	Q. So you said August 2018?
17	calculation for agronomic rate would be different.	17	A. I think so. I'd have to look at the
18	So we never did get an agronomic rate calculation	18	exact date. But I think it was the end of August
19	in response to any of the three requests.	19	when he made that complaint.
20	Q. Would it be more accurate to state that	20	MR. PIERCE: Can we go up to the date real
21	the agronomic rate calculation you got was	21	quick? Okay. And can we go to the bottom? Sorry.
22	inaccurate, but you did receive one?	22	I just need to see the exhibit number.
23	A. It was inaccurate, yes. So I would not	23	MS. PIERCE: Sorry.
24	consider that an appropriate response. I'm sure	24	MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, RX10, et. 1.
25	Mr. Sprague probably responded the best of his	25	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
		<u> </u>	
	Page 202		Page 204
1		1	
1	abilities, given that he received an information	1	BY MR. PIERCE:
2	abilities, given that he received an information request from the federal government. But it was	2	BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Ms. Kleffner, do you recognize this
2 3	abilities, given that he received an information request from the federal government. But it was not an accurate response.	2 3	BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Ms. Kleffner, do you recognize this e-mail?
2 3 4	abilities, given that he received an information request from the federal government. But it was not an accurate response. Q. Did you follow up with Mr. Sprague	2 3 4	BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Ms. Kleffner, do you recognize this e-mail? A. I do.
2 3 4 5	 abilities, given that he received an information request from the federal government. But it was not an accurate response. Q. Did you follow up with Mr. Sprague explaining to him that it wasn't accurate and 	2 3 4 5	BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Ms. Kleffner, do you recognize this e-mail? A. I do. Q. And is this an e-mail exchange between
2 3 4 5 6	 abilities, given that he received an information request from the federal government. But it was not an accurate response. Q. Did you follow up with Mr. Sprague explaining to him that it wasn't accurate and asking for any further information? 	2 3 4 5 6	BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Ms. Kleffner, do you recognize this e-mail? A. I do. Q. And is this an e-mail exchange between you and Mr. Courtney?
2 3 4 5 6 7	 abilities, given that he received an information request from the federal government. But it was not an accurate response. Q. Did you follow up with Mr. Sprague explaining to him that it wasn't accurate and asking for any further information? A. No, I did not follow up with him. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Ms. Kleffner, do you recognize this e-mail? A. I do. Q. And is this an e-mail exchange between you and Mr. Courtney? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 abilities, given that he received an information request from the federal government. But it was not an accurate response. Q. Did you follow up with Mr. Sprague explaining to him that it wasn't accurate and asking for any further information? A. No, I did not follow up with him. Q. So you were able to locate records, find 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Ms. Kleffner, do you recognize this e-mail? A. I do. Q. And is this an e-mail exchange between you and Mr. Courtney? A. Yes. Q. And can you give us just a brief rundown
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 abilities, given that he received an information request from the federal government. But it was not an accurate response. Q. Did you follow up with Mr. Sprague explaining to him that it wasn't accurate and asking for any further information? A. No, I did not follow up with him. Q. So you were able to locate records, find them, find out that they were a bit inaccurate, but 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Ms. Kleffner, do you recognize this e-mail? A. I do. Q. And is this an e-mail exchange between you and Mr. Courtney? A. Yes. Q. And can you give us just a brief rundown of what you're discussing in this e-mail?
2 3 6 7 8 9	 abilities, given that he received an information request from the federal government. But it was not an accurate response. Q. Did you follow up with Mr. Sprague explaining to him that it wasn't accurate and asking for any further information? A. No, I did not follow up with him. Q. So you were able to locate records, find them, find out that they were a bit inaccurate, but you didn't follow up afterwards to try to get any 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Ms. Kleffner, do you recognize this e-mail? A. I do. Q. And is this an e-mail exchange between you and Mr. Courtney? A. Yes. Q. And can you give us just a brief rundown of what you're discussing in this e-mail? A. So Mr. Courtney contacted me. He had
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	 abilities, given that he received an information request from the federal government. But it was not an accurate response. Q. Did you follow up with Mr. Sprague explaining to him that it wasn't accurate and asking for any further information? A. No, I did not follow up with him. Q. So you were able to locate records, find them, find out that they were a bit inaccurate, but you didn't follow up afterwards to try to get any corrections? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Ms. Kleffner, do you recognize this e-mail? A. I do. Q. And is this an e-mail exchange between you and Mr. Courtney? A. Yes. Q. And can you give us just a brief rundown of what you're discussing in this e-mail? A. So Mr. Courtney contacted me. He had some questions about some biosolids calculations.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	 abilities, given that he received an information request from the federal government. But it was not an accurate response. Q. Did you follow up with Mr. Sprague explaining to him that it wasn't accurate and asking for any further information? A. No, I did not follow up with him. Q. So you were able to locate records, find them, find out that they were a bit inaccurate, but you didn't follow up afterwards to try to get any corrections? A. No. Based on Mr. Sprague's response and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Ms. Kleffner, do you recognize this e-mail? A. I do. Q. And is this an e-mail exchange between you and Mr. Courtney? A. Yes. Q. And can you give us just a brief rundown of what you're discussing in this e-mail? A. So Mr. Courtney contacted me. He had some questions about some biosolids calculations. I'd have to go back and read through it. But I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 abilities, given that he received an information request from the federal government. But it was not an accurate response. Q. Did you follow up with Mr. Sprague explaining to him that it wasn't accurate and asking for any further information? A. No, I did not follow up with him. Q. So you were able to locate records, find them, find out that they were a bit inaccurate, but you didn't follow up afterwards to try to get any corrections? A. No. Based on Mr. Sprague's response and the fact that we knew that he did not have access 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Ms. Kleffner, do you recognize this e-mail? A. I do. Q. And is this an e-mail exchange between you and Mr. Courtney? A. Yes. Q. And can you give us just a brief rundown of what you're discussing in this e-mail? A. So Mr. Courtney contacted me. He had some questions about some biosolids calculations. I'd have to go back and read through it. But I think the gist of it was that he wanted to resolve
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 abilities, given that he received an information request from the federal government. But it was not an accurate response. Q. Did you follow up with Mr. Sprague explaining to him that it wasn't accurate and asking for any further information? A. No, I did not follow up with him. Q. So you were able to locate records, find them, find out that they were a bit inaccurate, but you didn't follow up afterwards to try to get any corrections? A. No. Based on Mr. Sprague's response and the fact that we knew that he did not have access to the lab results necessary to calculate the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Ms. Kleffner, do you recognize this e-mail? A. I do. Q. And is this an e-mail exchange between you and Mr. Courtney? A. Yes. Q. And can you give us just a brief rundown of what you're discussing in this e-mail? A. So Mr. Courtney contacted me. He had some questions about some biosolids calculations. I'd have to go back and read through it. But I think the gist of it was that he wanted to resolve some issues that you had brought up previously, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 abilities, given that he received an information request from the federal government. But it was not an accurate response. Q. Did you follow up with Mr. Sprague explaining to him that it wasn't accurate and asking for any further information? A. No, I did not follow up with him. Q. So you were able to locate records, find them, find out that they were a bit inaccurate, but you didn't follow up afterwards to try to get any corrections? A. No. Based on Mr. Sprague's response and the fact that we knew that he did not have access to the lab results necessary to calculate the agronomic rate, there 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Ms. Kleffner, do you recognize this e-mail? A. I do. Q. And is this an e-mail exchange between you and Mr. Courtney? A. Yes. Q. And can you give us just a brief rundown of what you're discussing in this e-mail? A. So Mr. Courtney contacted me. He had some questions about some biosolids calculations. I'd have to go back and read through it. But I think the gist of it was that he wanted to resolve some issues that you had brought up previously, and he wanted to double-check with EPA as to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 abilities, given that he received an information request from the federal government. But it was not an accurate response. Q. Did you follow up with Mr. Sprague explaining to him that it wasn't accurate and asking for any further information? A. No, I did not follow up with him. Q. So you were able to locate records, find them, find out that they were a bit inaccurate, but you didn't follow up afterwards to try to get any corrections? A. No. Based on Mr. Sprague's response and the fact that we knew that he did not have access to the lab results necessary to calculate the agronomic rate, the correct agronomic rate, there was no need to follow up with Mr. Sprague. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Ms. Kleffner, do you recognize this e-mail? A. I do. Q. And is this an e-mail exchange between you and Mr. Courtney? A. Yes. Q. And can you give us just a brief rundown of what you're discussing in this e-mail? A. So Mr. Courtney contacted me. He had some questions about some biosolids calculations. I'd have to go back and read through it. But I think the gist of it was that he wanted to resolve some issues that you had brought up previously, and he wanted to double-check with EPA as to the validity of those representations.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 abilities, given that he received an information request from the federal government. But it was not an accurate response. Q. Did you follow up with Mr. Sprague explaining to him that it wasn't accurate and asking for any further information? A. No, I did not follow up with him. Q. So you were able to locate records, find them, find out that they were a bit inaccurate, but you didn't follow up afterwards to try to get any corrections? A. No. Based on Mr. Sprague's response and the fact that we knew that he did not have access to the lab results necessary to calculate the agronomic rate, the correct agronomic rate, there was no need to follow up with Mr. Sprague. Q. How do you know that he didn't have 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Ms. Kleffner, do you recognize this e-mail? A. I do. Q. And is this an e-mail exchange between you and Mr. Courtney? A. Yes. Q. And can you give us just a brief rundown of what you're discussing in this e-mail? A. So Mr. Courtney contacted me. He had some questions about some biosolids calculations. I'd have to go back and read through it. But I think the gist of it was that he wanted to resolve some issues that you had brought up previously, and he wanted to double-check with EPA as to the validity of those representations. Q. Can you read the highlighted portion?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 abilities, given that he received an information request from the federal government. But it was not an accurate response. Q. Did you follow up with Mr. Sprague explaining to him that it wasn't accurate and asking for any further information? A. No, I did not follow up with him. Q. So you were able to locate records, find them, find out that they were a bit inaccurate, but you didn't follow up afterwards to try to get any corrections? A. No. Based on Mr. Sprague's response and the fact that we knew that he did not have access to the lab results necessary to calculate the agronomic rate, the correct agronomic rate, there was no need to follow up with Mr. Sprague. Q. How do you know that he didn't have access to those lab results? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Ms. Kleffner, do you recognize this e-mail? A. I do. Q. And is this an e-mail exchange between you and Mr. Courtney? A. Yes. Q. And can you give us just a brief rundown of what you're discussing in this e-mail? A. So Mr. Courtney contacted me. He had some questions about some biosolids calculations. I'd have to go back and read through it. But I think the gist of it was that he wanted to resolve some issues that you had brought up previously, and he wanted to double-check with EPA as to the validity of those representations. Q. Can you read the highlighted portion? A. "Mr. Pierce as an applier should not be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 abilities, given that he received an information request from the federal government. But it was not an accurate response. Q. Did you follow up with Mr. Sprague explaining to him that it wasn't accurate and asking for any further information? A. No, I did not follow up with him. Q. So you were able to locate records, find them, find out that they were a bit inaccurate, but you didn't follow up afterwards to try to get any corrections? A. No. Based on Mr. Sprague's response and the fact that we knew that he did not have access to the lab results necessary to calculate the agronomic rate, the correct agronomic rate, there was no need to follow up with Mr. Sprague. Q. How do you know that he didn't have access to those lab results? A. I don't think whenever we've discussed 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Ms. Kleffner, do you recognize this e-mail? A. I do. Q. And is this an e-mail exchange between you and Mr. Courtney? A. Yes. Q. And can you give us just a brief rundown of what you're discussing in this e-mail? A. So Mr. Courtney contacted me. He had some questions about some biosolids calculations. I'd have to go back and read through it. But I think the gist of it was that he wanted to resolve some issues that you had brought up previously, and he wanted to double-check with EPA as to the validity of those representations. Q. Can you read the highlighted portion? A. "Mr. Pierce as an applier should not be changing the quality of the biosolids. A sludge
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 abilities, given that he received an information request from the federal government. But it was not an accurate response. Q. Did you follow up with Mr. Sprague explaining to him that it wasn't accurate and asking for any further information? A. No, I did not follow up with him. Q. So you were able to locate records, find them, find out that they were a bit inaccurate, but you didn't follow up afterwards to try to get any corrections? A. No. Based on Mr. Sprague's response and the fact that we knew that he did not have access to the lab results necessary to calculate the agronomic rate, the correct agronomic rate, there was no need to follow up with Mr. Sprague. Q. How do you know that he didn't have access to those lab results? A. I don't think whenever we've discussed with Mr. Sprague that he said that he received lab 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Ms. Kleffner, do you recognize this e-mail? A. I do. Q. And is this an e-mail exchange between you and Mr. Courtney? A. Yes. Q. And can you give us just a brief rundown of what you're discussing in this e-mail? A. So Mr. Courtney contacted me. He had some questions about some biosolids calculations. I'd have to go back and read through it. But I think the gist of it was that he wanted to resolve some issues that you had brought up previously, and he wanted to double-check with EPA as to the validity of those representations. Q. Can you read the highlighted portion? A. "Mr. Pierce as an applier should not be changing the quality of the biosolids. A sludge judge should not be used to determine the total
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 abilities, given that he received an information request from the federal government. But it was not an accurate response. Q. Did you follow up with Mr. Sprague explaining to him that it wasn't accurate and asking for any further information? A. No, I did not follow up with him. Q. So you were able to locate records, find them, find out that they were a bit inaccurate, but you didn't follow up afterwards to try to get any corrections? A. No. Based on Mr. Sprague's response and the fact that we knew that he did not have access to the lab results necessary to calculate the agronomic rate, the correct agronomic rate, there was no need to follow up with Mr. Sprague. Q. How do you know that he didn't have access to those lab results? A. I don't think whenever we've discussed with Mr. Sprague that he said that he received lab results. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Ms. Kleffner, do you recognize this e-mail? A. I do. Q. And is this an e-mail exchange between you and Mr. Courtney? A. Yes. Q. And can you give us just a brief rundown of what you're discussing in this e-mail? A. So Mr. Courtney contacted me. He had some questions about some biosolids calculations. I'd have to go back and read through it. But I think the gist of it was that he wanted to resolve some issues that you had brought up previously, and he wanted to double-check with EPA as to the validity of those representations. Q. Can you read the highlighted portion? A. "Mr. Pierce as an applier should not be changing the quality of the biosolids. A sludge judge should not be used to determine the total solids contents of biosolids as sludge judges are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 abilities, given that he received an information request from the federal government. But it was not an accurate response. Q. Did you follow up with Mr. Sprague explaining to him that it wasn't accurate and asking for any further information? A. No, I did not follow up with him. Q. So you were able to locate records, find them, find out that they were a bit inaccurate, but you didn't follow up afterwards to try to get any corrections? A. No. Based on Mr. Sprague's response and the fact that we knew that he did not have access to the lab results necessary to calculate the agronomic rate, the correct agronomic rate, there was no need to follow up with Mr. Sprague. Q. How do you know that he didn't have access to those lab results? A. I don't think whenever we've discussed with Mr. Sprague that he said that he received lab results. Q. Did you ask him? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Ms. Kleffner, do you recognize this e-mail? A. I do. Q. And is this an e-mail exchange between you and Mr. Courtney? A. Yes. Q. And can you give us just a brief rundown of what you're discussing in this e-mail? A. So Mr. Courtney contacted me. He had some questions about some biosolids calculations. I'd have to go back and read through it. But I think the gist of it was that he wanted to resolve some issues that you had brought up previously, and he wanted to double-check with EPA as to the validity of those representations. Q. Can you read the highlighted portion? A. "Mr. Pierce as an applier should not be changing the quality of the biosolids. A sludge judge should not be used to determine the total solids contents of biosolids as sludge judges are used to determine the amount of freeboard left in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 abilities, given that he received an information request from the federal government. But it was not an accurate response. Q. Did you follow up with Mr. Sprague explaining to him that it wasn't accurate and asking for any further information? A. No, I did not follow up with him. Q. So you were able to locate records, find them, find out that they were a bit inaccurate, but you didn't follow up afterwards to try to get any corrections? A. No. Based on Mr. Sprague's response and the fact that we knew that he did not have access to the lab results necessary to calculate the agronomic rate, the correct agronomic rate, there was no need to follow up with Mr. Sprague. Q. How do you know that he didn't have access to those lab results? A. I don't think whenever we've discussed with Mr. Sprague that he said that he received lab results. Q. Did you ask him? A. I did not directly ask him, no. That 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Ms. Kleffner, do you recognize this e-mail? A. I do. Q. And is this an e-mail exchange between you and Mr. Courtney? A. Yes. Q. And can you give us just a brief rundown of what you're discussing in this e-mail? A. So Mr. Courtney contacted me. He had some questions about some biosolids calculations. I'd have to go back and read through it. But I think the gist of it was that he wanted to resolve some issues that you had brought up previously, and he wanted to double-check with EPA as to the validity of those representations. Q. Can you read the highlighted portion? A. "Mr. Pierce as an applier should not be changing the quality of the biosolids. A sludge judge should not be used to determine the total solids contents of biosolids as sludge judges are used to determine the amount of freeboard left in the lagoon or grease trap."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 abilities, given that he received an information request from the federal government. But it was not an accurate response. Q. Did you follow up with Mr. Sprague explaining to him that it wasn't accurate and asking for any further information? A. No, I did not follow up with him. Q. So you were able to locate records, find them, find out that they were a bit inaccurate, but you didn't follow up afterwards to try to get any corrections? A. No. Based on Mr. Sprague's response and the fact that we knew that he did not have access to the lab results necessary to calculate the agronomic rate, the correct agronomic rate, there was no need to follow up with Mr. Sprague. Q. How do you know that he didn't have access to those lab results? A. I don't think whenever we've discussed with Mr. Sprague that he said that he received lab results. Q. Did you ask him? A. I did not directly ask him, no. That would have come from an attorney. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Ms. Kleffner, do you recognize this e-mail? A. I do. Q. And is this an e-mail exchange between you and Mr. Courtney? A. Yes. Q. And can you give us just a brief rundown of what you're discussing in this e-mail? A. So Mr. Courtney contacted me. He had some questions about some biosolids calculations. I'd have to go back and read through it. But I think the gist of it was that he wanted to resolve some issues that you had brought up previously, and he wanted to double-check with EPA as to the validity of those representations. Q. Can you read the highlighted portion? A. "Mr. Pierce as an applier should not be changing the quality of the biosolids. A sludge judge should not be used to determine the total solids contents of biosolids as sludge judges are used to determine the amount of freeboard left in the lagoon or grease trap." Q. Did this e-mail come before the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 abilities, given that he received an information request from the federal government. But it was not an accurate response. Q. Did you follow up with Mr. Sprague explaining to him that it wasn't accurate and asking for any further information? A. No, I did not follow up with him. Q. So you were able to locate records, find them, find out that they were a bit inaccurate, but you didn't follow up afterwards to try to get any corrections? A. No. Based on Mr. Sprague's response and the fact that we knew that he did not have access to the lab results necessary to calculate the agronomic rate, the correct agronomic rate, there was no need to follow up with Mr. Sprague. Q. How do you know that he didn't have access to those lab results? A. I don't think whenever we've discussed with Mr. Sprague that he said that he received lab results. Q. Did you ask him? A. I did not directly ask him, no. That 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Ms. Kleffner, do you recognize this e-mail? A. I do. Q. And is this an e-mail exchange between you and Mr. Courtney? A. Yes. Q. And can you give us just a brief rundown of what you're discussing in this e-mail? A. So Mr. Courtney contacted me. He had some questions about some biosolids calculations. I'd have to go back and read through it. But I think the gist of it was that he wanted to resolve some issues that you had brought up previously, and he wanted to double-check with EPA as to the validity of those representations. Q. Can you read the highlighted portion? A. "Mr. Pierce as an applier should not be changing the quality of the biosolids. A sludge judge should not be used to determine the total solids contents of biosolids as sludge judges are used to determine the amount of freeboard left in the lagoon or grease trap."

51 (Pages 201 to 204)

	Page 205		Page 207
1	A. No, this came after. Yes, it came	1	to that time line if you're following, I guess,
2	after. It was April of 2019.	2	where this is going?
3	Q. So are you sure you had this discussion	3	THE WITNESS: Right. So just to clarify the
4	with Mr. Courtney after Mr. Robinson made the	4	time line. So the original complaint was made in
5	complaint?	5	August of 2018. It came to EPA Region 7 in
6	A. Um, the complaint that Mr. Robinson made	6	September of 2019. That or in 2018. Excuse me.
7	to Indian Health Services came to EPA in September	7	That same month, September 2018, we sent out our
8	of 2018. So this e-mail was from April of 2019.	8	information request to Mr. Pierce. We did not
9	So, yes.	9	receive a sufficient response. We sent it again.
10	Q. Would it surprise you that this e-mail	10	We had this conversation with Mr. Courtney.
11	was dealing with the sludge that was in the tanks	11	I think what has happened, we had
12	before it was applied?	12	photographs of biosolids on the field from the land
13	A. I think whenever Mr. Courtney discussed	13	application. So what I'm and this is an
14	this with me, this was the issue that was trying to	14	assumption on my part, I fully admit that is
15	be resolved between Adamas and Indian Health	15	that the biosolids land application happened. I
16	Services as to how much the biosolids were	16	don't know if there was sludge left in the tanks
17	dewatered.	17	and it still needed to be land applied. But I'm
18	Q. Correct. So that was a decision	18	not I'm not sure what the question is asking.
19	between a dispute between Indian Health and	19	But that's my assumption is that there could be
20	Adamas as to how much the sludge was dewatered	20	sludge left in the frack tanks that still needs to
21	before it was applied, correct?	21	be applied.
22	A. Yes. And it's not uncommon for	22	THE COURT: Is that what would explain the
23	facilities unfortunate as it is, for facilities	23	dewatering conversation going on after a land
24	to land apply prior to having figured out	24	application? Because I think that's that was
25	everything that they need to comply with Part 503.	25	the point.
	Page 206		Page 208
1	Page 206 So I am not surprised that there's follow-up	1	Page 208 THE WITNESS: Yeah, it could be. It happens
1 2		1 2	
	So I am not surprised that there's follow-up		THE WITNESS: Yeah, it could be. It happens a lot. Sometimes at facilities and this is totally within the realm of possibility that
2	So I am not surprised that there's follow-up Q. So if this conversation happened before	2	THE WITNESS: Yeah, it could be. It happens a lot. Sometimes at facilities and this is
2 3	So I am not surprised that there's follow-up Q. So if this conversation happened before the application and Mr. Robinson made the complaint after the application, wouldn't this conversation happen before Mr. Robinson's complaint?	2 3	THE WITNESS: Yeah, it could be. It happens a lot. Sometimes at facilities and this is totally within the realm of possibility that facilities know that they don't have the appropriate land. Or something happens, they need
2 3 4	So I am not surprised that there's follow-up Q. So if this conversation happened before the application and Mr. Robinson made the complaint after the application, wouldn't this conversation happen before Mr. Robinson's complaint? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Objection, Your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: Yeah, it could be. It happens a lot. Sometimes at facilities and this is totally within the realm of possibility that facilities know that they don't have the appropriate land. Or something happens, they need to reprocess. Whatever happens, they need to
2 3 4 5	So I am not surprised that there's follow-up Q. So if this conversation happened before the application and Mr. Robinson made the complaint after the application, wouldn't this conversation happen before Mr. Robinson's complaint? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for speculation.	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: Yeah, it could be. It happens a lot. Sometimes at facilities and this is totally within the realm of possibility that facilities know that they don't have the appropriate land. Or something happens, they need
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	So I am not surprised that there's follow-up Q. So if this conversation happened before the application and Mr. Robinson made the complaint after the application, wouldn't this conversation happen before Mr. Robinson's complaint? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for speculation. MR. PIERCE: It's pretty straightforward.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: Yeah, it could be. It happens a lot. Sometimes at facilities and this is totally within the realm of possibility that facilities know that they don't have the appropriate land. Or something happens, they need to reprocess. Whatever happens, they need to dewater further. We'll store biosolids and then complete an application at a later time.
2 3 4 5 6 7	So I am not surprised that there's follow-up Q. So if this conversation happened before the application and Mr. Robinson made the complaint after the application, wouldn't this conversation happen before Mr. Robinson's complaint? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for speculation. MR. PIERCE: It's pretty straightforward. THE COURT: Yeah. Let me before I rule,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: Yeah, it could be. It happens a lot. Sometimes at facilities and this is totally within the realm of possibility that facilities know that they don't have the appropriate land. Or something happens, they need to reprocess. Whatever happens, they need to dewater further. We'll store biosolids and then complete an application at a later time. So it's not to say that land application
2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10	So I am not surprised that there's follow-up Q. So if this conversation happened before the application and Mr. Robinson made the complaint after the application, wouldn't this conversation happen before Mr. Robinson's complaint? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for speculation. MR. PIERCE: It's pretty straightforward. THE COURT: Yeah. Let me before I rule, let me just interject to make sure I'm following.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: Yeah, it could be. It happens a lot. Sometimes at facilities and this is totally within the realm of possibility that facilities know that they don't have the appropriate land. Or something happens, they need to reprocess. Whatever happens, they need to dewater further. We'll store biosolids and then complete an application at a later time. So it's not to say that land application didn't happen in August of 2018. It's entirely
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	So I am not surprised that there's follow-up Q. So if this conversation happened before the application and Mr. Robinson made the complaint after the application, wouldn't this conversation happen before Mr. Robinson's complaint? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for speculation. MR. PIERCE: It's pretty straightforward. THE COURT: Yeah. Let me before I rule, let me just interject to make sure I'm following. You're asking questions about this e-mail that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: Yeah, it could be. It happens a lot. Sometimes at facilities and this is totally within the realm of possibility that facilities know that they don't have the appropriate land. Or something happens, they need to reprocess. Whatever happens, they need to dewater further. We'll store biosolids and then complete an application at a later time. So it's not to say that land application didn't happen in August of 2018. It's entirely possible that this could be a continuation. But I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	So I am not surprised that there's follow-up Q. So if this conversation happened before the application and Mr. Robinson made the complaint after the application, wouldn't this conversation happen before Mr. Robinson's complaint? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for speculation. MR. PIERCE: It's pretty straightforward. THE COURT: Yeah. Let me before I rule, let me just interject to make sure I'm following. You're asking questions about this e-mail that pertains to dewatering, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: Yeah, it could be. It happens a lot. Sometimes at facilities and this is totally within the realm of possibility that facilities know that they don't have the appropriate land. Or something happens, they need to reprocess. Whatever happens, they need to dewater further. We'll store biosolids and then complete an application at a later time. So it's not to say that land application didn't happen in August of 2018. It's entirely possible that this could be a continuation. But I don't know for sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	So I am not surprised that there's follow-up Q. So if this conversation happened before the application and Mr. Robinson made the complaint after the application, wouldn't this conversation happen before Mr. Robinson's complaint? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for speculation. MR. PIERCE: It's pretty straightforward. THE COURT: Yeah. Let me before I rule, let me just interject to make sure I'm following. You're asking questions about this e-mail that pertains to dewatering, right? MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: Yeah, it could be. It happens a lot. Sometimes at facilities and this is totally within the realm of possibility that facilities know that they don't have the appropriate land. Or something happens, they need to reprocess. Whatever happens, they need to dewater further. We'll store biosolids and then complete an application at a later time. So it's not to say that land application didn't happen in August of 2018. It's entirely possible that this could be a continuation. But I don't know for sure. THE COURT: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	So I am not surprised that there's follow-up Q. So if this conversation happened before the application and Mr. Robinson made the complaint after the application, wouldn't this conversation happen before Mr. Robinson's complaint? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for speculation. MR. PIERCE: It's pretty straightforward. THE COURT: Yeah. Let me before I rule, let me just interject to make sure I'm following. You're asking questions about this e-mail that pertains to dewatering, right? MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: And is it your position that, if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: Yeah, it could be. It happens a lot. Sometimes at facilities and this is totally within the realm of possibility that facilities know that they don't have the appropriate land. Or something happens, they need to reprocess. Whatever happens, they need to dewater further. We'll store biosolids and then complete an application at a later time. So it's not to say that land application didn't happen in August of 2018. It's entirely possible that this could be a continuation. But I don't know for sure. THE COURT: Okay. BY MR. PIERCE:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	So I am not surprised that there's follow-up Q. So if this conversation happened before the application and Mr. Robinson made the complaint after the application, wouldn't this conversation happen before Mr. Robinson's complaint? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for speculation. MR. PIERCE: It's pretty straightforward. THE COURT: Yeah. Let me before I rule, let me just interject to make sure I'm following. You're asking questions about this e-mail that pertains to dewatering, right? MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: And is it your position that, if I'm understanding this correctly and I'm not in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: Yeah, it could be. It happens a lot. Sometimes at facilities and this is totally within the realm of possibility that facilities know that they don't have the appropriate land. Or something happens, they need to reprocess. Whatever happens, they need to dewater further. We'll store biosolids and then complete an application at a later time. So it's not to say that land application didn't happen in August of 2018. It's entirely possible that this could be a continuation. But I don't know for sure. THE COURT: Okay. BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Ms. Kleffner, can you read the portion
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	So I am not surprised that there's follow-up Q. So if this conversation happened before the application and Mr. Robinson made the complaint after the application, wouldn't this conversation happen before Mr. Robinson's complaint? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for speculation. MR. PIERCE: It's pretty straightforward. THE COURT: Yeah. Let me before I rule, let me just interject to make sure I'm following. You're asking questions about this e-mail that pertains to dewatering, right? MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: And is it your position that, if I'm understanding this correctly and I'm not in this industry, obviously that the dewatering	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: Yeah, it could be. It happens a lot. Sometimes at facilities and this is totally within the realm of possibility that facilities know that they don't have the appropriate land. Or something happens, they need to reprocess. Whatever happens, they need to dewater further. We'll store biosolids and then complete an application at a later time. So it's not to say that land application didn't happen in August of 2018. It's entirely possible that this could be a continuation. But I don't know for sure. THE COURT: Okay. BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Ms. Kleffner, can you read the portion right after the highlighted piece there?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	So I am not surprised that there's follow-up Q. So if this conversation happened before the application and Mr. Robinson made the complaint after the application, wouldn't this conversation happen before Mr. Robinson's complaint? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for speculation. MR. PIERCE: It's pretty straightforward. THE COURT: Yeah. Let me before I rule, let me just interject to make sure I'm following. You're asking questions about this e-mail that pertains to dewatering, right? MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: And is it your position that, if I'm understanding this correctly and I'm not in this industry, obviously that the dewatering discussions would come before application would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: Yeah, it could be. It happens a lot. Sometimes at facilities and this is totally within the realm of possibility that facilities know that they don't have the appropriate land. Or something happens, they need to reprocess. Whatever happens, they need to dewater further. We'll store biosolids and then complete an application at a later time. So it's not to say that land application didn't happen in August of 2018. It's entirely possible that this could be a continuation. But I don't know for sure. THE COURT: Okay. BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Ms. Kleffner, can you read the portion right after the highlighted piece there? A. "Total solids would be necessary to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So I am not surprised that there's follow-up Q. So if this conversation happened before the application and Mr. Robinson made the complaint after the application, wouldn't this conversation happen before Mr. Robinson's complaint? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for speculation. MR. PIERCE: It's pretty straightforward. THE COURT: Yeah. Let me before I rule, let me just interject to make sure I'm following. You're asking questions about this e-mail that pertains to dewatering, right? MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: And is it your position that, if I'm understanding this correctly and I'm not in this industry, obviously that the dewatering discussions would come before application would take place?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: Yeah, it could be. It happens a lot. Sometimes at facilities and this is totally within the realm of possibility that facilities know that they don't have the appropriate land. Or something happens, they need to reprocess. Whatever happens, they need to dewater further. We'll store biosolids and then complete an application at a later time. So it's not to say that land application didn't happen in August of 2018. It's entirely possible that this could be a continuation. But I don't know for sure. THE COURT: Okay. BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Ms. Kleffner, can you read the portion right after the highlighted piece there? A. "Total solids would be necessary to calculate the agronomic rate necessary to apply.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	So I am not surprised that there's follow-up Q. So if this conversation happened before the application and Mr. Robinson made the complaint after the application, wouldn't this conversation happen before Mr. Robinson's complaint? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for speculation. MR. PIERCE: It's pretty straightforward. THE COURT: Yeah. Let me before I rule, let me just interject to make sure I'm following. You're asking questions about this e-mail that pertains to dewatering, right? MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: And is it your position that, if I'm understanding this correctly and I'm not in this industry, obviously that the dewatering discussions would come before application would take place? MR. PIERCE: Correct. Yes, ma'am. And the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: Yeah, it could be. It happens a lot. Sometimes at facilities and this is totally within the realm of possibility that facilities know that they don't have the appropriate land. Or something happens, they need to reprocess. Whatever happens, they need to dewater further. We'll store biosolids and then complete an application at a later time. So it's not to say that land application didn't happen in August of 2018. It's entirely possible that this could be a continuation. But I don't know for sure. THE COURT: Okay. BY MR. PIERCE: 0. Ms. Kleffner, can you read the portion right after the highlighted piece there? A. "Total solids would be necessary to calculate the agronomic rate necessary to apply. However, it's only a small part of the necessary
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	So I am not surprised that there's follow-up Q. So if this conversation happened before the application and Mr. Robinson made the complaint after the application, wouldn't this conversation happen before Mr. Robinson's complaint? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for speculation. MR. PIERCE: It's pretty straightforward. THE COURT: Yeah. Let me before I rule, let me just interject to make sure I'm following. You're asking questions about this e-mail that pertains to dewatering, right? MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: And is it your position that, if I'm understanding this correctly and I'm not in this industry, obviously that the dewatering discussions would come before application would take place? MR. PIERCE: Correct. Yes, ma'am. And the testimony of the witness was is they didn't have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: Yeah, it could be. It happens a lot. Sometimes at facilities and this is totally within the realm of possibility that facilities know that they don't have the appropriate land. Or something happens, they need to reprocess. Whatever happens, they need to dewater further. We'll store biosolids and then complete an application at a later time. So it's not to say that land application didn't happen in August of 2018. It's entirely possible that this could be a continuation. But I don't know for sure. THE COURT: Okay. BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Ms. Kleffner, can you read the portion right after the highlighted piece there? A. "Total solids would be necessary to calculate the agronomic rate necessary to apply. However, it's only a small part of the necessary information needed to calculate the proper
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	So I am not surprised that there's follow-up Q. So if this conversation happened before the application and Mr. Robinson made the complaint after the application, wouldn't this conversation happen before Mr. Robinson's complaint? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for speculation. MR. PIERCE: It's pretty straightforward. THE COURT: Yeah. Let me before I rule, let me just interject to make sure I'm following. You're asking questions about this e-mail that pertains to dewatering, right? MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: And is it your position that, if I'm understanding this correctly and I'm not in this industry, obviously that the dewatering discussions would come before application would take place? MR. PIERCE: Correct. Yes, ma'am. And the testimony of the witness was is they didn't have any conversations with Mr. Courtney until after the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: Yeah, it could be. It happens a lot. Sometimes at facilities and this is totally within the realm of possibility that facilities know that they don't have the appropriate land. Or something happens, they need to reprocess. Whatever happens, they need to dewater further. We'll store biosolids and then complete an application at a later time. So it's not to say that land application didn't happen in August of 2018. It's entirely possible that this could be a continuation. But I don't know for sure. THE COURT: Okay. BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Ms. Kleffner, can you read the portion right after the highlighted piece there? A. "Total solids would be necessary to calculate the agronomic rate necessary to apply. However, it's only a small part of the necessary information needed to calculate the proper agronomic rate for the site."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So I am not surprised that there's follow-up Q. So if this conversation happened before the application and Mr. Robinson made the complaint after the application, wouldn't this conversation happen before Mr. Robinson's complaint? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for speculation. MR. PIERCE: It's pretty straightforward. THE COURT: Yeah. Let me before I rule, let me just interject to make sure I'm following. You're asking questions about this e-mail that pertains to dewatering, right? MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: And is it your position that, if I'm understanding this correctly and I'm not in this industry, obviously that the dewatering discussions would come before application would take place? MR. PIERCE: Correct. Yes, ma'am. And the testimony of the witness was is they didn't have any conversations with Mr. Courtney until after the complaint had happened. This directly refutes what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: Yeah, it could be. It happens a lot. Sometimes at facilities and this is totally within the realm of possibility that facilities know that they don't have the appropriate land. Or something happens, they need to reprocess. Whatever happens, they need to dewater further. We'll store biosolids and then complete an application at a later time. So it's not to say that land application didn't happen in August of 2018. It's entirely possible that this could be a continuation. But I don't know for sure. THE COURT: Okay. BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Ms. Kleffner, can you read the portion right after the highlighted piece there? A. "Total solids would be necessary to calculate the agronomic rate necessary to apply. However, it's only a small part of the necessary information needed to calculate the proper agronomic rate for the site." Q. And so in this discussion here, it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	So I am not surprised that there's follow-up Q. So if this conversation happened before the application and Mr. Robinson made the complaint after the application, wouldn't this conversation happen before Mr. Robinson's complaint? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for speculation. MR. PIERCE: It's pretty straightforward. THE COURT: Yeah. Let me before I rule, let me just interject to make sure I'm following. You're asking questions about this e-mail that pertains to dewatering, right? MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: And is it your position that, if I'm understanding this correctly and I'm not in this industry, obviously that the dewatering discussions would come before application would take place? MR. PIERCE: Correct. Yes, ma'am. And the testimony of the witness was is they didn't have any conversations with Mr. Courtney until after the complaint had happened. This directly refutes what the witness has already said.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: Yeah, it could be. It happens a lot. Sometimes at facilities and this is totally within the realm of possibility that facilities know that they don't have the appropriate land. Or something happens, they need to reprocess. Whatever happens, they need to dewater further. We'll store biosolids and then complete an application at a later time. So it's not to say that land application didn't happen in August of 2018. It's entirely possible that this could be a continuation. But I don't know for sure. THE COURT: Okay. BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Ms. Kleffner, can you read the portion right after the highlighted piece there? A. "Total solids would be necessary to calculate the agronomic rate necessary to apply. However, it's only a small part of the necessary information needed to calculate the proper agronomic rate for the site." Q. And so in this discussion here, it details that I was trying to explain to James
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So I am not surprised that there's follow-up Q. So if this conversation happened before the application and Mr. Robinson made the complaint after the application, wouldn't this conversation happen before Mr. Robinson's complaint? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for speculation. MR. PIERCE: It's pretty straightforward. THE COURT: Yeah. Let me before I rule, let me just interject to make sure I'm following. You're asking questions about this e-mail that pertains to dewatering, right? MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: And is it your position that, if I'm understanding this correctly and I'm not in this industry, obviously that the dewatering discussions would come before application would take place? MR. PIERCE: Correct. Yes, ma'am. And the testimony of the witness was is they didn't have any conversations with Mr. Courtney until after the complaint had happened. This directly refutes what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: Yeah, it could be. It happens a lot. Sometimes at facilities and this is totally within the realm of possibility that facilities know that they don't have the appropriate land. Or something happens, they need to reprocess. Whatever happens, they need to dewater further. We'll store biosolids and then complete an application at a later time. So it's not to say that land application didn't happen in August of 2018. It's entirely possible that this could be a continuation. But I don't know for sure. THE COURT: Okay. BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Ms. Kleffner, can you read the portion right after the highlighted piece there? A. "Total solids would be necessary to calculate the agronomic rate necessary to apply. However, it's only a small part of the necessary information needed to calculate the proper agronomic rate for the site." Q. And so in this discussion here, it

52 (Pages 205 to 208)

BRIDGER COURT REPORTERS, INC. (406) 582-0668

	Page 209		Fage ZII
1	only thing within the sludge concentration in order	1	Q. What do you base that information on?
2	to come up with the agronomic rate to apply.	2	A. Based on the information that they don't
3	Wouldn't that indicate that this happened before	3	have the lab results necessary to calculate
4	application?	4	agronomic rate appropriately. And I'm not
5	A. Not necess like, we've already talked	5	Q. Do you have any evidence to support that
6	about this, but that it is possible to store and	6	claim?
7	further concentrate biosolids and break up the	7	A. I'm not an attorney. I don't do
8	application.	8	contract law. All I know is that typically you
9	Q. So you have no	9	cannot contract away any liability
10	MR. PIERCE: I'll move to a different line of	10	Q. Correct.
11	questioning, Your Honor.	11	A as a contractor
12	THE COURT: Okay.	12	Q. And so NCUC was the main contractor
13	BY MR. PIERCE:	13	THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. I didn't get the
14	Q. If the agronomic rate that was given in	14	end of her answer.
15	the contract that I supplied to Tom Robinson	15	THE WITNESS: As a contractor or a
16	matches that of the IHS and matches the agronomic	16	subcontractor, you cannot contract away liability.
17	rate that would be recommended, would it be a	17	BY MR. PIERCE:
18	change in your testimony that I, in fact, gave that	18	Q. A contractor cannot contract away
19	information?	19	liability?
20	A. I don't think so. We didn't have any of	20	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Objection, Your Honor.
21	the supporting documentation to prove that the	21	He's asking the witness to make a legal conclusion.
22	agronomic rate was calculated correctly. Even if	22	MR. PIERCE: Actually, if I could finish my
23	it's written into a contract, that doesn't mean	23	question.
24	that it necessarily happened that way. And I know	24	THE COURT: Okay. Finish your question.
25	that, based on some of the information that NCUC	25	I think we are getting into a legal
	Page 210		Page 212
1	and Indian Health Services had given to us, there	1	question. But you finish in case I in case
2	was some dispute over how appropriately the	2	there's more to it that makes it a mixed question,
3	biosolids were dewatered. So I don't know that	3	a fact of law.
4	that was actually the solids content necessary to	4	BY MR. PIERCE:
5	come up with that agronomic rate calculation.	5	Q. So a contractor a contractor cannot
6	Q. But you have no proof that it wasn't,	6	contract away liability. Is it possible for NCUC
7	correct?	7	to get out of their reliability because they
8	A. I think it would	8	breached their contract?
9	Q. That would be a "yes" or "no," please.	9	THE COURT: Okay.
10	A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat the question?	10	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Objection. That calls for
11	Q. You have no proof that it wasn't,	11	speculation. And he's also making a conclusion
12	correct?	12	that the witness can't testify to.
13	A. No.	13	THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection.
14	Q. Thank you.	14	MR. PIERCE: Are we going to play by even
15	A. We were not offered any information.	15	rules here? Because he was doing the same thing,
16	Q. So when asked earlier about my	16	and you let it go on.
17	contractual relationship and the subcontractor	17	THE COURT: It's no, no. It's a question
18	relationship with Tom Robinson, you said that Tom	18	of it's a question of when we're talking about
19	Robinson couldn't be held accountable because I was	19	contract law, we don't have a contract law expert
20		20	here. That's going to require that's going to
			nere. That's going to require that's going to
	the contractor. Why does that not apply for NCUC when they were the main contractor and L was the		
21	when they were the main contractor and I was the	21	require legal research and argument. And this is
21 22 23			

- A. NCUC did not have the informationnecessary, and neither did Tom Robinson, to fulfill
- those reporting requirements.

53 (Pages 209 to 212)

So to the extent you have a question that calls for

an answer as to a material fact, that's certainly

BRIDGER COURT REPORTERS, INC. (406) 582-0668

24

25

Page 211

		1	
	Page 213		Page 215
1	pertinent. But when it calls for legal	1	that led her to conclude whether someone whether
2	interpretation, that isn't something that's going	2	that was either activities or certain terms or
3	to be resolved here. This is an evidentiary	3	things that would lead her to conclude someone was
4	hearing, there's really no need for an evidentiary	4	functioning as a preparer.
5	hearing	5	MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am.
б	MR. PIERCE: So is it a legal opinion	6	THE WITNESS: Or as an applier and then as an
7	THE COURT: when it's purely a question of	7	operator.
8	law. I wouldn't have even scheduled it if that	8	MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am.
9	were the case.	9	THE COURT: Then we're getting into certain
10	MR. PIERCE: Is it a legal opinion as to	10	elements of the charge violation. So I do think
11	whether a contractor can contract away liability or	11	that's appropriate and appropriate for you to
12	not?	12	examine her about. But when it comes to an
13	THE COURT: I think that that's a legal	13	interpretation of law and whether and contract
14	question. And I and that's why I sort of hinted	14	law, she can't answer that. I wouldn't I
15	earlier on that that's research I'm going to be	15	wouldn't really consider it. And I don't think
16	delving into, and I would encourage you all to do	16	that she has. So I'm a little concerned if you
17	the same when it comes to briefing. I think it's	17	feel like the rules aren't being applied fairly.
18	certainly relevant. I just don't know that this	18	MR. PIERCE: Well, she's made the
19	witness or anyone else here can really speak to	19	determination that she felt that I was the
20	that because it's a question of legal research.	20	contractor.
21	MR. PIERCE: Well, I think it also gets to	21	THE COURT: Well, yeah. No. That these
22	evidence, Your Honor. I mean, you need evidence to	22	are those are different those are different
23	base on. If I don't ask the question, how do you	23	issues. Whether or not you can contract liability
24	know what to look into?	24	away is something different than whether or not she
25	THE COURT: Yeah. You know, let me try	25	pulled information from the documents she had in
			-
	Page 214		Page 216
1	this let me try to explain this a little bit	1	Page 216 front of her to conclude in her opinion as a
1 2	this let me try to explain this a little bit differently because I think we're conflating ideas.	1 2	Page 216 front of her to conclude in her opinion as a compliance reviewer that this would be behavior
	this let me try to explain this a little bit differently because I think we're conflating ideas. When something comes down to legal		Page 216 front of her to conclude in her opinion as a compliance reviewer that this would be behavior consistent with somebody who was an operator or
2	this let me try to explain this a little bit differently because I think we're conflating ideas. When something comes down to legal interpretation, that doesn't require a hearing.	2	Page 216 front of her to conclude in her opinion as a compliance reviewer that this would be behavior consistent with somebody who was an operator or consistent with somebody who was a land applier of
2 3 4 5	this let me try to explain this a little bit differently because I think we're conflating ideas. When something comes down to legal interpretation, that doesn't require a hearing. The only purpose for this hearing is to develop	2 3 4 5	Page 216 front of her to conclude in her opinion as a compliance reviewer that this would be behavior consistent with somebody who was an operator or consistent with somebody who was a land applier of sludge or consistent with behavior or activities
2 3 4 5 6	this let me try to explain this a little bit differently because I think we're conflating ideas. When something comes down to legal interpretation, that doesn't require a hearing. The only purpose for this hearing is to develop facts. That's why we have witnesses to testify	2 3 4 5 6	Page 216 front of her to conclude in her opinion as a compliance reviewer that this would be behavior consistent with somebody who was an operator or consistent with somebody who was a land applier of sludge or consistent with behavior or activities that someone who was a preparer would engage in.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 this let me try to explain this a little bit differently because I think we're conflating ideas. When something comes down to legal interpretation, that doesn't require a hearing. The only purpose for this hearing is to develop facts. That's why we have witnesses to testify about what they know. Or in this case, based on 	2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 216 front of her to conclude in her opinion as a compliance reviewer that this would be behavior consistent with somebody who was an operator or consistent with somebody who was a land applier of sludge or consistent with behavior or activities that someone who was a preparer would engage in. But that's a different that's different than
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	this let me try to explain this a little bit differently because I think we're conflating ideas. When something comes down to legal interpretation, that doesn't require a hearing. The only purpose for this hearing is to develop facts. That's why we have witnesses to testify about what they know. Or in this case, based on experience as a compliance officer, things that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 216 front of her to conclude in her opinion as a compliance reviewer that this would be behavior consistent with somebody who was an operator or consistent with somebody who was a land applier of sludge or consistent with behavior or activities that someone who was a preparer would engage in. But that's a different that's different than contract law interpretation.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9	this let me try to explain this a little bit differently because I think we're conflating ideas. When something comes down to legal interpretation, that doesn't require a hearing. The only purpose for this hearing is to develop facts. That's why we have witnesses to testify about what they know. Or in this case, based on experience as a compliance officer, things that she's looking at and, you know, drawing information	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 216 front of her to conclude in her opinion as a compliance reviewer that this would be behavior consistent with somebody who was an operator or consistent with somebody who was a land applier of sludge or consistent with behavior or activities that someone who was a preparer would engage in. But that's a different that's different than contract law interpretation. MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am.
2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10	this let me try to explain this a little bit differently because I think we're conflating ideas. When something comes down to legal interpretation, that doesn't require a hearing. The only purpose for this hearing is to develop facts. That's why we have witnesses to testify about what they know. Or in this case, based on experience as a compliance officer, things that she's looking at and, you know, drawing information from in order to determine whether to recommend or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 216 front of her to conclude in her opinion as a compliance reviewer that this would be behavior consistent with somebody who was an operator or consistent with somebody who was a land applier of sludge or consistent with behavior or activities that someone who was a preparer would engage in. But that's a different that's different than contract law interpretation. MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: Okay. Are you following me here?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	this let me try to explain this a little bit differently because I think we're conflating ideas. When something comes down to legal interpretation, that doesn't require a hearing. The only purpose for this hearing is to develop facts. That's why we have witnesses to testify about what they know. Or in this case, based on experience as a compliance officer, things that she's looking at and, you know, drawing information from in order to determine whether to recommend or chime in on bringing an enforcement action.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 216 front of her to conclude in her opinion as a compliance reviewer that this would be behavior consistent with somebody who was an operator or consistent with somebody who was a land applier of sludge or consistent with behavior or activities that someone who was a preparer would engage in. But that's a different that's different than contract law interpretation. MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: Okay. Are you following me here? MR. PIERCE: I'm following you quite well.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	this let me try to explain this a little bit differently because I think we're conflating ideas. When something comes down to legal interpretation, that doesn't require a hearing. The only purpose for this hearing is to develop facts. That's why we have witnesses to testify about what they know. Or in this case, based on experience as a compliance officer, things that she's looking at and, you know, drawing information from in order to determine whether to recommend or chime in on bringing an enforcement action. Factual determinations based on perception, what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 216 front of her to conclude in her opinion as a compliance reviewer that this would be behavior consistent with somebody who was an operator or consistent with somebody who was a land applier of sludge or consistent with behavior or activities that someone who was a preparer would engage in. But that's a different that's different than contract law interpretation. MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: Okay. Are you following me here? MR. PIERCE: I'm following you quite well. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So at this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	this let me try to explain this a little bit differently because I think we're conflating ideas. When something comes down to legal interpretation, that doesn't require a hearing. The only purpose for this hearing is to develop facts. That's why we have witnesses to testify about what they know. Or in this case, based on experience as a compliance officer, things that she's looking at and, you know, drawing information from in order to determine whether to recommend or chime in on bringing an enforcement action. Factual determinations based on perception, what somebody has witnessed, if they're experts, that's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 216 front of her to conclude in her opinion as a compliance reviewer that this would be behavior consistent with somebody who was an operator or consistent with somebody who was a land applier of sludge or consistent with behavior or activities that someone who was a preparer would engage in. But that's a different that's different than contract law interpretation. MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: Okay. Are you following me here? MR. PIERCE: I'm following you quite well. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So at this point, maybe just rephrase your question or ask
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	this let me try to explain this a little bit differently because I think we're conflating ideas. When something comes down to legal interpretation, that doesn't require a hearing. The only purpose for this hearing is to develop facts. That's why we have witnesses to testify about what they know. Or in this case, based on experience as a compliance officer, things that she's looking at and, you know, drawing information from in order to determine whether to recommend or chime in on bringing an enforcement action. Factual determinations based on perception, what somebody has witnessed, if they're experts, that's a whole other whole other area.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 216 front of her to conclude in her opinion as a compliance reviewer that this would be behavior consistent with somebody who was an operator or consistent with somebody who was a land applier of sludge or consistent with behavior or activities that someone who was a preparer would engage in. But that's a different that's different than contract law interpretation. MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: Okay. Are you following me here? MR. PIERCE: I'm following you quite well. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So at this point, maybe just rephrase your question or ask another. I'm not entirely sure where you left off.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 this let me try to explain this a little bit differently because I think we're conflating ideas. When something comes down to legal interpretation, that doesn't require a hearing. The only purpose for this hearing is to develop facts. That's why we have witnesses to testify about what they know. Or in this case, based on experience as a compliance officer, things that she's looking at and, you know, drawing information from in order to determine whether to recommend or chime in on bringing an enforcement action. Factual determinations based on perception, what somebody has witnessed, if they're experts, that's a whole other whole other area. But this evidentiary hearing is purely 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 216 front of her to conclude in her opinion as a compliance reviewer that this would be behavior consistent with somebody who was an operator or consistent with somebody who was a land applier of sludge or consistent with behavior or activities that someone who was a preparer would engage in. But that's a different that's different than contract law interpretation. MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: Okay. Are you following me here? MR. PIERCE: I'm following you quite well. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So at this point, maybe just rephrase your question or ask another. I'm not entirely sure where you left off. MR. PIERCE: I think I can just move on from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	this let me try to explain this a little bit differently because I think we're conflating ideas. When something comes down to legal interpretation, that doesn't require a hearing. The only purpose for this hearing is to develop facts. That's why we have witnesses to testify about what they know. Or in this case, based on experience as a compliance officer, things that she's looking at and, you know, drawing information from in order to determine whether to recommend or chime in on bringing an enforcement action. Factual determinations based on perception, what somebody has witnessed, if they're experts, that's a whole other whole other area. But this evidentiary hearing is purely for a factual development. So a question of law	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 216 front of her to conclude in her opinion as a compliance reviewer that this would be behavior consistent with somebody who was an operator or consistent with somebody who was a land applier of sludge or consistent with behavior or activities that someone who was a preparer would engage in. But that's a different that's different than contract law interpretation. MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: Okay. Are you following me here? MR. PIERCE: I'm following you quite well. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So at this point, maybe just rephrase your question or ask another. I'm not entirely sure where you left off. MR. PIERCE: I think I can just move on from it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 this let me try to explain this a little bit differently because I think we're conflating ideas. When something comes down to legal interpretation, that doesn't require a hearing. The only purpose for this hearing is to develop facts. That's why we have witnesses to testify about what they know. Or in this case, based on experience as a compliance officer, things that she's looking at and, you know, drawing information from in order to determine whether to recommend or chime in on bringing an enforcement action. Factual determinations based on perception, what somebody has witnessed, if they're experts, that's a whole other whole other area. But this evidentiary hearing is purely for a factual development. So a question of law isn't going to be answered here. It's something 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 216 front of her to conclude in her opinion as a compliance reviewer that this would be behavior consistent with somebody who was an operator or consistent with somebody who was a land applier of sludge or consistent with behavior or activities that someone who was a preparer would engage in. But that's a different that's different than contract law interpretation. MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: Okay. Are you following me here? MR. PIERCE: I'm following you quite well. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So at this point, maybe just rephrase your question or ask another. I'm not entirely sure where you left off. MR. PIERCE: I think I can just move on from it. THE COURT: Okay. But the objection is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 this let me try to explain this a little bit differently because I think we're conflating ideas. When something comes down to legal interpretation, that doesn't require a hearing. The only purpose for this hearing is to develop facts. That's why we have witnesses to testify about what they know. Or in this case, based on experience as a compliance officer, things that she's looking at and, you know, drawing information from in order to determine whether to recommend or chime in on bringing an enforcement action. Factual determinations based on perception, what somebody has witnessed, if they're experts, that's a whole other whole other area. But this evidentiary hearing is purely for a factual development. So a question of law isn't going to be answered here. It's something you can argue about post-hearing, do research, make 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 216 front of her to conclude in her opinion as a compliance reviewer that this would be behavior consistent with somebody who was an operator or consistent with somebody who was a land applier of sludge or consistent with behavior or activities that someone who was a preparer would engage in. But that's a different that's different than contract law interpretation. MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: Okay. Are you following me here? MR. PIERCE: I'm following you quite well. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So at this point, maybe just rephrase your question or ask another. I'm not entirely sure where you left off. MR. PIERCE: I think I can just move on from it. THE COURT: Okay. But the objection is sustained. I think I made that clear based on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 this let me try to explain this a little bit differently because I think we're conflating ideas. When something comes down to legal interpretation, that doesn't require a hearing. The only purpose for this hearing is to develop facts. That's why we have witnesses to testify about what they know. Or in this case, based on experience as a compliance officer, things that she's looking at and, you know, drawing information from in order to determine whether to recommend or chime in on bringing an enforcement action. Factual determinations based on perception, what somebody has witnessed, if they're experts, that's a whole other whole other area. But this evidentiary hearing is purely for a factual development. So a question of law isn't going to be answered here. It's something you can argue about post-hearing, do research, make 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 216 front of her to conclude in her opinion as a compliance reviewer that this would be behavior consistent with somebody who was an operator or consistent with somebody who was a land applier of sludge or consistent with behavior or activities that someone who was a preparer would engage in. But that's a different that's different than contract law interpretation. MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: Okay. Are you following me here? MR. PIERCE: I'm following you quite well. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So at this point, maybe just rephrase your question or ask another. I'm not entirely sure where you left off. MR. PIERCE: I think I can just move on from it. THE COURT: Okay. But the objection is sustained. I think I made that clear based on the legal conclusion.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	this let me try to explain this a little bit differently because I think we're conflating ideas. When something comes down to legal interpretation, that doesn't require a hearing. The only purpose for this hearing is to develop facts. That's why we have witnesses to testify about what they know. Or in this case, based on experience as a compliance officer, things that she's looking at and, you know, drawing information from in order to determine whether to recommend or chime in on bringing an enforcement action. Factual determinations based on perception, what somebody has witnessed, if they're experts, that's a whole other whole other area. But this evidentiary hearing is purely for a factual development. So a question of law isn't going to be answered here. It's something you can argue about post-hearing, do research, make arguments about whether or not liability can be contracted away. But I don't think she can answer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 216 front of her to conclude in her opinion as a compliance reviewer that this would be behavior consistent with somebody who was an operator or consistent with somebody who was a noperator or consistent with somebody who was a land applier of sludge or consistent with behavior or activities that someone who was a preparer would engage in. But that's a different that's different than contract law interpretation. MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: Okay. Are you following me here? MR. PIERCE: I'm following you quite well. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So at this point, maybe just rephrase your question or ask another. I'm not entirely sure where you left off. MR. PIERCE: I think I can just move on from it. THE COURT: Okay. But the objection is sustained. I think I made that clear based on the legal conclusion. Go ahead.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 this let me try to explain this a little bit differently because I think we're conflating ideas. When something comes down to legal interpretation, that doesn't require a hearing. The only purpose for this hearing is to develop facts. That's why we have witnesses to testify about what they know. Or in this case, based on experience as a compliance officer, things that she's looking at and, you know, drawing information from in order to determine whether to recommend or chime in on bringing an enforcement action. Factual determinations based on perception, what somebody has witnessed, if they're experts, that's a whole other whole other area. But this evidentiary hearing is purely for a factual development. So a question of law isn't going to be answered here. It's something you can argue about post-hearing, do research, make 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 216 front of her to conclude in her opinion as a compliance reviewer that this would be behavior consistent with somebody who was an operator or consistent with somebody who was a land applier of sludge or consistent with behavior or activities that someone who was a preparer would engage in. But that's a different that's different than contract law interpretation. MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: Okay. Are you following me here? MR. PIERCE: I'm following you quite well. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So at this point, maybe just rephrase your question or ask another. I'm not entirely sure where you left off. MR. PIERCE: I think I can just move on from it. THE COURT: Okay. But the objection is sustained. I think I made that clear based on the legal conclusion.

recollection was that she answered questions ondirect about things that she saw from the documents

THE COURT: She answered questions -- my

23

54 (Pages 213 to 216)

e-mail details sort of some issues that James

Courtney had asked you about the use of a sludge

judge. Did you recommend that he should not use a

BRIDGER COURT REPORTERS, INC. (406) 582-0668

23

24

	Page 217		Page 219
1	sludge judge?	1	information?
2	A. Yeah. I think whenever I initially got	2	A. Yes. But I'm not aware that they were
3	this e-mail, I had misread Mr. Courtney's initial	3	provided that information or that the information
4	question. So sludge judges can be used to sample	4	was correct.
5	for total solids, but total solids should come from	5	Q. But you're not aware that it was you
6	a lab. It's something that needs to be a process.	6	don't have any information to say it was inaccurate
7	You can't just take the depth of a sludge blanket	7	or it wasn't provided to them?
8	and then the amount of water that's left on top and	8	A. No.
9	calculate total solids. It needs to come from a	9	Q. So how did you make your determination
10	lab.	10	that it wasn't provided to them?
11	Q. And so you also state that total solids	11	A. So Mr. Robinson said in his 308
12	is a small portion of the agronomic rate; is that	12	response, which he certified that it was a true and
13	correct?	13	accurate response, that he did not receive the
14	A. Yes. There's several other components	14	necessary information to calculate agronomic rate.
15	that go into calculating agronomic rate, such as	15	That was part of his response to us, that
16	the nitrogen content of the soil on the site, what	16	Mr. Robinson did not receive that. So I'm
17	kind of sludge it is, so how available the nitrogen	17	operating off of what was submitted to us as a
18	is, what kind of crops you'll be growing there, if	18	certified statement.
19	it's incorporated or if it's surface spread.	19	Q. What did you do to follow up and verify
20	There's several other pieces of information that is	20	his claim?
21	necessary for that, yes.	21	A. We took the 308 information response.
22	Q. And so if in your opinion based upon	22	Mr. Robinson, admittedly, is very difficult to get
23	your experience, if Mr. Courtney was doing his	23	ahold of. So I did not have any further contact
24	testing wrong, would that and he was advising	24	with him after that.
25	NCUC, would that cause an issue in the overall	1 25	
	The observation of the second se	25	Q. Is it true that he states in his 308
	Page 218	25	Q. Is it true that he states in his 308 Page 220
1		1	Page 220
1 2	Page 218		Page 220 response that Nathan Pierce was the person who
	Page 218	1	Page 220
2	Page 218 agronomic rate? A. It could. I think I would need to look	1 2	Page 220 response that Nathan Pierce was the person who applied the sludge? A. Yes.
2 3	Page 218 agronomic rate? A. It could. I think I would need to look at how Mr. Courtney calculated his agronomic rate, but it could. There's a difference in sampling or	1 2 3	Page 220 response that Nathan Pierce was the person who applied the sludge? A. Yes. Q. Is it true under Number 2 he goes on to
2 3 4	Page 218 agronomic rate? A. It could. I think I would need to look at how Mr. Courtney calculated his agronomic rate,	1 2 3 4	Page 220 response that Nathan Pierce was the person who applied the sludge? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5	Page 218 agronomic rate? A. It could. I think I would need to look at how Mr. Courtney calculated his agronomic rate, but it could. There's a difference in sampling or how people calculate it. Yeah, there could be a	1 2 3 4 5	Page 220 response that Nathan Pierce was the person who applied the sludge? A. Yes. Q. Is it true under Number 2 he goes on to state that Ernie Sprague sprayed the sludge on his
2 3 4 5 6	Page 218 agronomic rate? A. It could. I think I would need to look at how Mr. Courtney calculated his agronomic rate, but it could. There's a difference in sampling or how people calculate it. Yeah, there could be a difference.	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 220 response that Nathan Pierce was the person who applied the sludge? A. Yes. Q. Is it true under Number 2 he goes on to state that Ernie Sprague sprayed the sludge on his land and he used his tractor to till it into the
2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 218 agronomic rate? A. It could. I think I would need to look at how Mr. Courtney calculated his agronomic rate, but it could. There's a difference in sampling or how people calculate it. Yeah, there could be a difference. Q. If IHS showed all of the people involved	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 220 response that Nathan Pierce was the person who applied the sludge? A. Yes. Q. Is it true under Number 2 he goes on to state that Ernie Sprague sprayed the sludge on his land and he used his tractor to till it into the land?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 218 agronomic rate? A. It could. I think I would need to look at how Mr. Courtney calculated his agronomic rate, but it could. There's a difference in sampling or how people calculate it. Yeah, there could be a difference. Q. If IHS showed all of the people involved in the contract, the bid contract, and it contained	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 220 response that Nathan Pierce was the person who applied the sludge? A. Yes. Q. Is it true under Number 2 he goes on to state that Ernie Sprague sprayed the sludge on his land and he used his tractor to till it into the land? A. Yes. It is possible to have more than
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 218 agronomic rate? A. It could. I think I would need to look at how Mr. Courtney calculated his agronomic rate, but it could. There's a difference in sampling or how people calculate it. Yeah, there could be a difference. Q. If IHS showed all of the people involved in the contract, the bid contract, and it contained an agronomic rate, would you say that they received	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 220 response that Nathan Pierce was the person who applied the sludge? A. Yes. Q. Is it true under Number 2 he goes on to state that Ernie Sprague sprayed the sludge on his land and he used his tractor to till it into the land? A. Yes. It is possible to have more than one land applier operating at a time.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 218 agronomic rate? A. It could. I think I would need to look at how Mr. Courtney calculated his agronomic rate, but it could. There's a difference in sampling or how people calculate it. Yeah, there could be a difference. Q. If IHS showed all of the people involved in the contract, the bid contract, and it contained an agronomic rate, would you say that they received a copy of the agronomic rate?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 220 response that Nathan Pierce was the person who applied the sludge? A. Yes. Q. Is it true under Number 2 he goes on to state that Ernie Sprague sprayed the sludge on his land and he used his tractor to till it into the land? A. Yes. It is possible to have more than one land applier operating at a time. Q. Sure. But he did answer that he and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 218 agronomic rate? A. It could. I think I would need to look at how Mr. Courtney calculated his agronomic rate, but it could. There's a difference in sampling or how people calculate it. Yeah, there could be a difference. Q. If IHS showed all of the people involved in the contract, the bid contract, and it contained an agronomic rate, would you say that they received a copy of the agronomic rate? A. Everybody involved. Would that include	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 220 response that Nathan Pierce was the person who applied the sludge? A. Yes. Q. Is it true under Number 2 he goes on to state that Ernie Sprague sprayed the sludge on his land and he used his tractor to till it into the land? A. Yes. It is possible to have more than one land applier operating at a time. Q. Sure. But he did answer that he and Ernie Sprague did that, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 218 agronomic rate? A. It could. I think I would need to look at how Mr. Courtney calculated his agronomic rate, but it could. There's a difference in sampling or how people calculate it. Yeah, there could be a difference. Q. If IHS showed all of the people involved in the contract, the bid contract, and it contained an agronomic rate, would you say that they received a copy of the agronomic rate? A. Everybody involved. Would that include the other	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 220 response that Nathan Pierce was the person who applied the sludge? A. Yes. Q. Is it true under Number 2 he goes on to state that Ernie Sprague sprayed the sludge on his land and he used his tractor to till it into the land? A. Yes. It is possible to have more than one land applier operating at a time. Q. Sure. But he did answer that he and Ernie Sprague did that, correct? A. As directed by you, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 218 agronomic rate? A. It could. I think I would need to look at how Mr. Courtney calculated his agronomic rate, but it could. There's a difference in sampling or how people calculate it. Yeah, there could be a difference. Q. If IHS showed all of the people involved in the contract, the bid contract, and it contained an agronomic rate, would you say that they received a copy of the agronomic rate? A. Everybody involved. Would that include the other Q. With the application portion of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 220 response that Nathan Pierce was the person who applied the sludge? A. Yes. Q. Is it true under Number 2 he goes on to state that Ernie Sprague sprayed the sludge on his land and he used his tractor to till it into the land? A. Yes. It is possible to have more than one land applier operating at a time. Q. Sure. But he did answer that he and Ernie Sprague did that, correct? A. As directed by you, yes. Q. Okay. And the definition of land
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 218 agronomic rate? A. It could. I think I would need to look at how Mr. Courtney calculated his agronomic rate, but it could. There's a difference in sampling or how people calculate it. Yeah, there could be a difference. Q. If IHS showed all of the people involved in the contract, the bid contract, and it contained an agronomic rate, would you say that they received a copy of the agronomic rate? A. Everybody involved. Would that include the other Q. With the application portion of the so if Tom Robinson and Ernie Sprague reviewed the IHS bid contract and it contained lab samples and agronomic rates, would you consider	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 220 response that Nathan Pierce was the person who applied the sludge? A. Yes. Q. Is it true under Number 2 he goes on to state that Ernie Sprague sprayed the sludge on his land and he used his tractor to till it into the land? A. Yes. It is possible to have more than one land applier operating at a time. Q. Sure. But he did answer that he and Ernie Sprague did that, correct? A. As directed by you, yes. Q. Okay. And the definition of land application in 503.11(h), we're clear on what that means, correct? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 218 agronomic rate? A. It could. I think I would need to look at how Mr. Courtney calculated his agronomic rate, but it could. There's a difference in sampling or how people calculate it. Yeah, there could be a difference. Q. If IHS showed all of the people involved in the contract, the bid contract, and it contained an agronomic rate, would you say that they received a copy of the agronomic rate? A. Everybody involved. Would that include the other Q. With the application portion of the so if Tom Robinson and Ernie Sprague reviewed the IHS bid contract and it contained lab samples and agronomic rates, would you consider them to have received that information?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 220 response that Nathan Pierce was the person who applied the sludge? A. Yes. Q. Is it true under Number 2 he goes on to state that Ernie Sprague sprayed the sludge on his land and he used his tractor to till it into the land? A. Yes. It is possible to have more than one land applier operating at a time. Q. Sure. But he did answer that he and Ernie Sprague did that, correct? A. As directed by you, yes. Q. Okay. And the definition of land application in 503.11(h), we're clear on what that means, correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And you would agree that Ernie
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 218 agronomic rate? A. It could. I think I would need to look at how Mr. Courtney calculated his agronomic rate, but it could. There's a difference in sampling or how people calculate it. Yeah, there could be a difference. Q. If IHS showed all of the people involved in the contract, the bid contract, and it contained an agronomic rate, would you say that they received a copy of the agronomic rate? A. Everybody involved. Would that include the other Q. With the application portion of the so if Tom Robinson and Ernie Sprague reviewed the IHS bid contract and it contained lab samples and agronomic rates, would you consider them to have received that information? A. I suppose so. I would assume that	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Page 220 response that Nathan Pierce was the person who applied the sludge? A. Yes. Q. Is it true under Number 2 he goes on to state that Ernie Sprague sprayed the sludge on his land and he used his tractor to till it into the land? A. Yes. It is possible to have more than one land applier operating at a time. Q. Sure. But he did answer that he and Ernie Sprague did that, correct? A. As directed by you, yes. Q. Okay. And the definition of land application in 503.11(h), we're clear on what that means, correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And you would agree that Ernie Sprague and Tom Robinson meets that exact
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 218 agronomic rate? A. It could. I think I would need to look at how Mr. Courtney calculated his agronomic rate, but it could. There's a difference in sampling or how people calculate it. Yeah, there could be a difference. Q. If IHS showed all of the people involved in the contract, the bid contract, and it contained an agronomic rate, would you say that they received a copy of the agronomic rate? A. Everybody involved. Would that include the other Q. With the application portion of the so if Tom Robinson and Ernie Sprague reviewed the IHS bid contract and it contained lab samples and agronomic rates, would you consider them to have received that information? A. I suppose so. I would assume that Mr. Sprague and Mr. Robinson would need copies and	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 220 response that Nathan Pierce was the person who applied the sludge? A. Yes. Q. Is it true under Number 2 he goes on to state that Ernie Sprague sprayed the sludge on his land and he used his tractor to till it into the land? A. Yes. It is possible to have more than one land applier operating at a time. Q. Sure. But he did answer that he and Ernie Sprague did that, correct? A. As directed by you, yes. Q. Okay. And the definition of land application in 503.11(h), we're clear on what that means, correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And you would agree that Ernie Sprague and Tom Robinson meets that exact description by the plain reading of the law?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 218 agronomic rate? A. It could. I think I would need to look at how Mr. Courtney calculated his agronomic rate, but it could. There's a difference in sampling or how people calculate it. Yeah, there could be a difference. Q. If IHS showed all of the people involved in the contract, the bid contract, and it contained an agronomic rate, would you say that they received a copy of the agronomic rate? A. Everybody involved. Would that include the other Q. With the application portion of the so if Tom Robinson and Ernie Sprague reviewed the IHS bid contract and it contained lab samples and agronomic rates, would you consider them to have received that information? A. I suppose so. I would assume that Mr. Sprague and Mr. Robinson would need copies and the correct knowledge to calculate agronomic rate.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Page 220 response that Nathan Pierce was the person who applied the sludge? A. Yes. Q. Is it true under Number 2 he goes on to state that Ernie Sprague sprayed the sludge on his land and he used his tractor to till it into the land? A. Yes. It is possible to have more than one land applier operating at a time. Q. Sure. But he did answer that he and Ernie Sprague did that, correct? A. As directed by you, yes. Q. Okay. And the definition of land application in 503.11(h), we're clear on what that means, correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And you would agree that Ernie Sprague and Tom Robinson meets that exact description by the plain reading of the law? A. In a physical sense, yes. They
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 218 agronomic rate? A. It could. I think I would need to look at how Mr. Courtney calculated his agronomic rate, but it could. There's a difference in sampling or how people calculate it. Yeah, there could be a difference. Q. If IHS showed all of the people involved in the contract, the bid contract, and it contained an agronomic rate, would you say that they received a copy of the agronomic rate? A. Everybody involved. Would that include the other Q. With the application portion of the so if Tom Robinson and Ernie Sprague reviewed the IHS bid contract and it contained lab samples and agronomic rates, would you consider item to have received that information? A. I suppose so. I would assume that Mr. Sprague and Mr. Robinson would need copies and ite correct knowledge to calculate agronomic rate.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Page 220 response that Nathan Pierce was the person who applied the sludge? A. Yes. Q. Is it true under Number 2 he goes on to state that Ernie Sprague sprayed the sludge on his land and he used his tractor to till it into the land? A. Yes. It is possible to have more than one land applier operating at a time. Q. Sure. But he did answer that he and Ernie Sprague did that, correct? A. As directed by you, yes. Q. Okay. And the definition of land application in 503.11(h), we're clear on what that means, correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And you would agree that Ernie Sprague and Tom Robinson meets that exact description by the plain reading of the law? A. In a physical sense, yes. They Q. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 218 agronomic rate? A. It could. I think I would need to look at how Mr. Courtney calculated his agronomic rate, but it could. There's a difference in sampling or how people calculate it. Yeah, there could be a difference. Q. If IHS showed all of the people involved in the contract, the bid contract, and it contained an agronomic rate, would you say that they received a copy of the agronomic rate? A. Everybody involved. Would that include the other Q. With the application portion of the so if Tom Robinson and Ernie Sprague reviewed the IHS bid contract and it contained lab samples and agronomic rates, would you consider them to have received that information? A. I suppose so. I would assume that Mr. Sprague and Mr. Robinson would need copies and the correct knowledge to calculate agronomic rate.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Page 220 response that Nathan Pierce was the person who applied the sludge? A. Yes. Q. Is it true under Number 2 he goes on to state that Ernie Sprague sprayed the sludge on his land and he used his tractor to till it into the land? A. Yes. It is possible to have more than one land applier operating at a time. Q. Sure. But he did answer that he and Ernie Sprague did that, correct? A. As directed by you, yes. Q. Okay. And the definition of land application in 503.11(h), we're clear on what that means, correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And you would agree that Ernie Sprague and Tom Robinson meets that exact description by the plain reading of the law? A. In a physical sense, yes. They

24 discussed and lab results were provided, would you

agree that they were provided with that

55 (Pages 217 to 220)

physically were out there doing the work. Would

you not agree that the persons who were physically

BRIDGER COURT REPORTERS, INC. (406) 582-0668

24

Page 223

1	Page 221		Page 223
	doing the work would be the persons best able to	1	for cumulative metals loading, it would have to be
2	give you the documents that you were requesting?	2	decades upon decades of annual biosolids
3	A. Not if they didn't have all the	3	applications to reach the cumulative pollutant
4	documents necessary. As I said before, we sent an	4	loading for metals. That just doesn't happen very
5	information request to Mr. Robinson. And it was	5	often for sites that there are very few sites
6	also asserted during the Indian Health Services	6	that have that requirement. Like I said, it would
7	complaint that he did not have the information	7	take decades of biosolids land application to
8	necessary to calculate agronomic rates. So we	8	trigger that cumulative pollutant floating.
9	couldn't expect Mr. Robinson or Mr. Sprague to have	9	Q. But you were unaware of any other
10	the documents necessary if they weren't privy to	10	application other than the one that happened?
11	the information necessary to create the documents.	11	A. No. I was not aware of any other
12	Q. Were you aware that IHS had worked with	12	applications.
13	Mr. Robinson previously years before to apply	13	Q. Thank you.
14	sludge to the same land from the same lagoon?	14	Okay. So with that, you also mentioned
15	A. No. We did not we have not worked or	15	that the replacement of this muffin monster
16	had any operation with Indian Health Services about	16	indicated that I was or Adamas was an operator.
17	this site prior to the complaint.	17	Can a service technician service a muffin monster
18	Q. So would you say that Indian Health	18	without being an operator?
19	Services not providing you that information would	19	A. Sure. Yeah.
20	be would it be important for Indian Health to	20	Q. Can an outside contractor work on a
21	provide you with that information to make your	21	sewer system without being an operator?
22	determination?	22	A. It is possible, yes. I think in a
23	A. About who was responsible for	23	cumulative sense, though, looking at all of the
24	recordkeeping?	24	documents that we had and all of the billing and
25	Q. Previous land applications done by	25	the information that was listed as completed by
25	Q. Trevious fand appreations done by	25	the mornation that was listed as completed by
	Dama 222		Dama 224
	Page 222		Page 224
1	Mr. Robinson to the same land?	1	you, that, to me, told me you were an operator.
1 2	A. Not necessarily, depending on how long	1 2	Q. But you do admit that I could a
	A. Not necessarily, depending on how long ago it was. Nitrogen only stays in the soil a		
2	A. Not necessarily, depending on how long ago it was. Nitrogen only stays in the soil a certain amount of time. So if Mr. Robinson did	2	Q. But you do admit that I could a person could do the same work and not be an operator?
2 3	A. Not necessarily, depending on how long ago it was. Nitrogen only stays in the soil a certain amount of time. So if Mr. Robinson did have biosolids land applied to his site previously,	2 3	Q. But you do admit that I could a person could do the same work and not be an operator? A. Yes, it is it's
2 3 4	A. Not necessarily, depending on how long ago it was. Nitrogen only stays in the soil a certain amount of time. So if Mr. Robinson did have biosolids land applied to his site previously, it's entirely possible that that is negligible in	2 3 4	 Q. But you do admit that I could a person could do the same work and not be an operator? A. Yes, it is it's Q. Thank you.
2 3 4 5	A. Not necessarily, depending on how long ago it was. Nitrogen only stays in the soil a certain amount of time. So if Mr. Robinson did have biosolids land applied to his site previously,	2 3 4 5	Q. But you do admit that I could a person could do the same work and not be an operator? A. Yes, it is it's
2 3 4 5 6	A. Not necessarily, depending on how long ago it was. Nitrogen only stays in the soil a certain amount of time. So if Mr. Robinson did have biosolids land applied to his site previously, it's entirely possible that that is negligible in	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. But you do admit that I could a person could do the same work and not be an operator? A. Yes, it is it's Q. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Not necessarily, depending on how long ago it was. Nitrogen only stays in the soil a certain amount of time. So if Mr. Robinson did have biosolids land applied to his site previously, it's entirely possible that that is negligible in determining agronomic rate.	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. But you do admit that I could a person could do the same work and not be an operator? A. Yes, it is it's Q. Thank you. A. Should I finish or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Not necessarily, depending on how long ago it was. Nitrogen only stays in the soil a certain amount of time. So if Mr. Robinson did have biosolids land applied to his site previously, it's entirely possible that that is negligible in determining agronomic rate. Q. But you also talk about a cumulative 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. But you do admit that I could a person could do the same work and not be an operator? A. Yes, it is it's Q. Thank you. A. Should I finish or THE COURT: Do you have anything else to say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Not necessarily, depending on how long ago it was. Nitrogen only stays in the soil a certain amount of time. So if Mr. Robinson did have biosolids land applied to his site previously, it's entirely possible that that is negligible in determining agronomic rate. Q. But you also talk about a cumulative effect? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. But you do admit that I could a person could do the same work and not be an operator? A. Yes, it is it's Q. Thank you. A. Should I finish or THE COURT: Do you have anything else to say to the answer?
2 3 6 7 8 9	 A. Not necessarily, depending on how long ago it was. Nitrogen only stays in the soil a certain amount of time. So if Mr. Robinson did have biosolids land applied to his site previously, it's entirely possible that that is negligible in determining agronomic rate. Q. But you also talk about a cumulative effect? A. Yes. There is some cumulative effect, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. But you do admit that I could a person could do the same work and not be an operator? A. Yes, it is it's Q. Thank you. A. Should I finish or THE COURT: Do you have anything else to say to the answer? THE WITNESS: I was just going to say it is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Not necessarily, depending on how long ago it was. Nitrogen only stays in the soil a certain amount of time. So if Mr. Robinson did have biosolids land applied to his site previously, it's entirely possible that that is negligible in determining agronomic rate. Q. But you also talk about a cumulative effect? A. Yes. There is some cumulative effect, but that can be easily overcome with soil sampling. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. But you do admit that I could a person could do the same work and not be an operator? A. Yes, it is it's Q. Thank you. A. Should I finish or THE COURT: Do you have anything else to say to the answer? THE WITNESS: I was just going to say it is possible for when facilities don't have the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Not necessarily, depending on how long ago it was. Nitrogen only stays in the soil a certain amount of time. So if Mr. Robinson did have biosolids land applied to his site previously, it's entirely possible that that is negligible in determining agronomic rate. Q. But you also talk about a cumulative effect? A. Yes. There is some cumulative effect, but that can be easily overcome with soil sampling. Q. So the cumulative effect, without 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. But you do admit that I could a person could do the same work and not be an operator? A. Yes, it is it's Q. Thank you. A. Should I finish or THE COURT: Do you have anything else to say to the answer? THE WITNESS: I was just going to say it is possible for when facilities don't have the correct technical needs, they can have a third
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Not necessarily, depending on how long ago it was. Nitrogen only stays in the soil a certain amount of time. So if Mr. Robinson did have biosolids land applied to his site previously, it's entirely possible that that is negligible in determining agronomic rate. Q. But you also talk about a cumulative effect? A. Yes. There is some cumulative effect, but that can be easily overcome with soil sampling. Q. So the cumulative effect, without knowing that Mr. Robinson had it previously applied 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. But you do admit that I could a person could do the same work and not be an operator? A. Yes, it is it's Q. Thank you. A. Should I finish or THE COURT: Do you have anything else to say to the answer? THE WITNESS: I was just going to say it is possible for when facilities don't have the correct technical needs, they can have a third party come and do work in their system.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Not necessarily, depending on how long ago it was. Nitrogen only stays in the soil a certain amount of time. So if Mr. Robinson did have biosolids land applied to his site previously, it's entirely possible that that is negligible in determining agronomic rate. Q. But you also talk about a cumulative effect? A. Yes. There is some cumulative effect, but that can be easily overcome with soil sampling. Q. So the cumulative effect, without knowing that Mr. Robinson had it previously applied to his property, you couldn't have made that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. But you do admit that I could a person could do the same work and not be an operator? A. Yes, it is it's Q. Thank you. A. Should I finish or THE COURT: Do you have anything else to say to the answer? THE WITNESS: I was just going to say it is possible for when facilities don't have the correct technical needs, they can have a third party come and do work in their system. BY MR. PIERCE:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Not necessarily, depending on how long ago it was. Nitrogen only stays in the soil a certain amount of time. So if Mr. Robinson did have biosolids land applied to his site previously, it's entirely possible that that is negligible in determining agronomic rate. Q. But you also talk about a cumulative effect? A. Yes. There is some cumulative effect, but that can be easily overcome with soil sampling. Q. So the cumulative effect, without knowing that Mr. Robinson had it previously applied to his property, you couldn't have made that determination, correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. But you do admit that I could a person could do the same work and not be an operator? A. Yes, it is it's Q. Thank you. A. Should I finish or THE COURT: Do you have anything else to say to the answer? THE WITNESS: I was just going to say it is possible for when facilities don't have the correct technical needs, they can have a third party come and do work in their system. BY MR. PIERCE: Q. If somebody enters into well, let me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Not necessarily, depending on how long ago it was. Nitrogen only stays in the soil a certain amount of time. So if Mr. Robinson did have biosolids land applied to his site previously, it's entirely possible that that is negligible in determining agronomic rate. Q. But you also talk about a cumulative effect? A. Yes. There is some cumulative effect, but that can be easily overcome with soil sampling. Q. So the cumulative effect, without knowing that Mr. Robinson had it previously applied to his property, you couldn't have made that determination, correct? A. Are you talking about cumulative metals 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. But you do admit that I could a person could do the same work and not be an operator? A. Yes, it is it's Q. Thank you. A. Should I finish or THE COURT: Do you have anything else to say to the answer? THE WITNESS: I was just going to say it is possible for when facilities don't have the correct technical needs, they can have a third party come and do work in their system. BY MR. PIERCE: Q. If somebody enters into well, let me rephrase this.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Not necessarily, depending on how long ago it was. Nitrogen only stays in the soil a certain amount of time. So if Mr. Robinson did have biosolids land applied to his site previously, it's entirely possible that that is negligible in determining agronomic rate. Q. But you also talk about a cumulative effect? A. Yes. There is some cumulative effect, but that can be easily overcome with soil sampling. Q. So the cumulative effect, without knowing that Mr. Robinson had it previously applied to his property, you couldn't have made that determination, correct? A. Are you talking about cumulative metals loading or 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. But you do admit that I could a person could do the same work and not be an operator? A. Yes, it is it's Q. Thank you. A. Should I finish or THE COURT: Do you have anything else to say to the answer? THE WITNESS: I was just going to say it is possible for when facilities don't have the correct technical needs, they can have a third party come and do work in their system. BY MR. PIERCE: Q. If somebody enters into well, let me rephrase this. In the state of Montana, are you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Not necessarily, depending on how long ago it was. Nitrogen only stays in the soil a certain amount of time. So if Mr. Robinson did have biosolids land applied to his site previously, it's entirely possible that that is negligible in determining agronomic rate. Q. But you also talk about a cumulative effect? A. Yes. There is some cumulative effect, but that can be easily overcome with soil sampling. Q. So the cumulative effect, without knowing that Mr. Robinson had it previously applied to his property, you couldn't have made that determination, correct? A. Are you talking about cumulative metals loading or Q. So if Mr. Robinson I'm sorry. Let me 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. But you do admit that I could a person could do the same work and not be an operator? A. Yes, it is it's Q. Thank you. A. Should I finish or THE COURT: Do you have anything else to say to the answer? THE WITNESS: I was just going to say it is possible for when facilities don't have the correct technical needs, they can have a third party come and do work in their system. BY MR. PIERCE: Q. If somebody enters into well, let me rephrase this. In the state of Montana, are you required to have an operator's license?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Not necessarily, depending on how long ago it was. Nitrogen only stays in the soil a certain amount of time. So if Mr. Robinson did have biosolids land applied to his site previously, it's entirely possible that that is negligible in determining agronomic rate. Q. But you also talk about a cumulative effect? A. Yes. There is some cumulative effect, but that can be easily overcome with soil sampling. Q. So the cumulative effect, without knowing that Mr. Robinson had it previously applied to his property, you couldn't have made that determination, correct? A. Are you talking about cumulative metals loading or Q. So if Mr. Robinson I'm sorry. Let me rephrase. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. But you do admit that I could a person could do the same work and not be an operator? A. Yes, it is it's Q. Thank you. A. Should I finish or THE COURT: Do you have anything else to say to the answer? THE WITNESS: I was just going to say it is possible for when facilities don't have the correct technical needs, they can have a third party come and do work in their system. BY MR. PIERCE: Q. If somebody enters into well, let me rephrase this. In the state of Montana, are you required to have an operator's license? A. No. Well, actually, I'm not entirely
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Not necessarily, depending on how long ago it was. Nitrogen only stays in the soil a certain amount of time. So if Mr. Robinson did have biosolids land applied to his site previously, it's entirely possible that that is negligible in determining agronomic rate. Q. But you also talk about a cumulative effect? A. Yes. There is some cumulative effect, but that can be easily overcome with soil sampling. Q. So the cumulative effect, without knowing that Mr. Robinson had it previously applied to his property, you couldn't have made that determination, correct? A. Are you talking about cumulative metals loading or Q. So if Mr. Robinson I'm sorry. Let me rephrase. If Mr. Robinson had previously applied 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. But you do admit that I could a person could do the same work and not be an operator? A. Yes, it is it's Q. Thank you. A. Should I finish or THE COURT: Do you have anything else to say to the answer? THE WITNESS: I was just going to say it is possible for when facilities don't have the correct technical needs, they can have a third party come and do work in their system. BY MR. PIERCE: Q. If somebody enters into well, let me rephrase this. In the state of Montana, are you required to have an operator's license? A. No. Well, actually, I'm not entirely sure for the state of Montana. I know it's a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Not necessarily, depending on how long ago it was. Nitrogen only stays in the soil a certain amount of time. So if Mr. Robinson did have biosolids land applied to his site previously, it's entirely possible that that is negligible in determining agronomic rate. Q. But you also talk about a cumulative effect? A. Yes. There is some cumulative effect, but that can be easily overcome with soil sampling. Q. So the cumulative effect, without knowing that Mr. Robinson had it previously applied to his property, you couldn't have made that determination, correct? A. Are you talking about cumulative metals loading or Q. So if Mr. Robinson I'm sorry. Let me rephrase. If Mr. Robinson had previously applied sludge to his land, could it cause an accumulative 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. But you do admit that I could a person could do the same work and not be an operator? A. Yes, it is it's Q. Thank you. A. Should I finish or THE COURT: Do you have anything else to say to the answer? THE WITNESS: I was just going to say it is possible for when facilities don't have the correct technical needs, they can have a third party come and do work in their system. BY MR. PIERCE: Q. If somebody enters into well, let me rephrase this. In the state of Montana, are you required to have an operator's license? A. No. Well, actually, I'm not entirely sure for the state of Montana. I know it's a federal requirement. We do not write that in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Not necessarily, depending on how long ago it was. Nitrogen only stays in the soil a certain amount of time. So if Mr. Robinson did have biosolids land applied to his site previously, it's entirely possible that that is negligible in determining agronomic rate. Q. But you also talk about a cumulative effect? A. Yes. There is some cumulative effect, but that can be easily overcome with soil sampling. Q. So the cumulative effect, without knowing that Mr. Robinson had it previously applied to his property, you couldn't have made that determination, correct? A. Are you talking about cumulative metals loading or Q. So if Mr. Robinson had previously applied sludge to his land, could it cause an accumulative effect of metals? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. But you do admit that I could a person could do the same work and not be an operator? A. Yes, it is it's Q. Thank you. A. Should I finish or THE COURT: Do you have anything else to say to the answer? THE WITNESS: I was just going to say it is possible for when facilities don't have the correct technical needs, they can have a third party come and do work in their system. BY MR. PIERCE: Q. If somebody enters into well, let me rephrase this. In the state of Montana, are you required to have an operator's license? A. No. Well, actually, I'm not entirely sure for the state of Montana. I know it's a federal requirement. We do not write that in the permits unless it is specifically in a permit that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Not necessarily, depending on how long ago it was. Nitrogen only stays in the soil a certain amount of time. So if Mr. Robinson did have biosolids land applied to his site previously, it's entirely possible that that is negligible in determining agronomic rate. Q. But you also talk about a cumulative effect? A. Yes. There is some cumulative effect, but that can be easily overcome with soil sampling. Q. So the cumulative effect, without knowing that Mr. Robinson had it previously applied to his property, you couldn't have made that determination, correct? A. Are you talking about cumulative metals loading or Q. So if Mr. Robinson had previously applied sludge to his land, could it cause an accumulative effect of metals? A. It would have to be and this is 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. But you do admit that I could a person could do the same work and not be an operator? A. Yes, it is it's Q. Thank you. A. Should I finish or THE COURT: Do you have anything else to say to the answer? THE WITNESS: I was just going to say it is possible for when facilities don't have the correct technical needs, they can have a third party come and do work in their system. BY MR. PIERCE: Q. If somebody enters into well, let me rephrase this. In the state of Montana, are you required to have an operator's license? A. No. Well, actually, I'm not entirely sure for the state of Montana. I know it's a federal requirement. We do not write that in the permits unless it is specifically in a permit that they have to be a certified operator. The state of

56 (Pages 221 to 224)

	_		_
1	entity, so it's a federal permit, so it would have	1	THE WITNESS: Yeah. And I would need to
2	to be federal guidelines. It's not you know,	2	review the inspection report. It's I don't know
3	not operating under Montana's laws.	3	for sure because I would need to look through the
4	Q. Do members of the Northern Cheyenne	4	photos again, but it's probably likely that there's
5	Utility Commission have to get an operator's	5	a photograph of the discharge point, at least for
6	license from the state of Montana?	6	our region. In Region 7, we like to have a
7	A. They wouldn't have to according to the	7	photograph of what the receiving stream's condition
8	permit. Now, that can be something that can be	8	is at the time of the inspection.
9	required or put into place by their management if	9	THE COURT: Okay.
10	they wish to have certified operators. But	10	BY MR. PIERCE:
11	according to their NPDES permit, that is not a	11	Q. So earlier you were shown a lab report
12	provision.	12	and a chain of custody that showed a soil sample.
13	Q. So you're unaware of whether or not they	13	Do you know where that soil sample was taken?
14	have operators registered with the state of	14	A. I would have to I would say it's the
15	Montana?	15	land application site.
16	A. No, I do not know that for sure.	16	Q. Is that an assumption or
17	Q. Okay. Let's go to the NPDES permit.	17	A. Well, it says Lame Deer sludge removal.
18	You state that that is necessary for discharging	18	And if that was the land application site that was
19	into waters of the United States, correct?	19	intended to be used, yes. I would deduce that it
20	A. Yes.	20	was the Robinson field, yes.
21	Q. Can you tell us what water of the United	21	Q. Okay. Were you aware that we that
22	States the Lame Deer Lagoon discharges into?	22	Adamas and myself had to look for an alternative
23	A. So Lame Deer Lagoon excuse	23	site?
24	me discharges into Lame Deer Creek, which flows	24	A. I was not aware of that, no.
25	into Rosebud Creek, which flows into the	25	Q. So if I tested an alternative site, does
	Page 226		Page 228
1	Yellowstone River, which is a traditionally	1	it mean that I am the applicator?
		.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

1	Yellowstone River, which is a traditionally	1	it mean that I am the applicator?
2	navigable water.	2	A. I'm sorry. I don't
3	Q. So if I showed you a map that showed	3	Q. Earlier, you testified that me taking
4	that Lame Deer Creek actually terminates about five	4	those soil samples indicated that I was the
5	miles from the discharge point, would that surprise	5	operator or the applier. If I took a soil sample
6	you?	6	of a site that sludge was not applied to, does that
7	A. In all of the maps that I have reviewed	7	make me the applier?
8	for the USGS, Lame Deer Creek is a perennial	8	A. I don't think that there's a connection
9	waterway, which would make it a water of the United	9	there. So the sampling usually, for the biosolids
10	States.	10	itself, will come from the preparer. And then the
11	Q. So have you walked Lame Deer Creek?	11	land applier, it is an option they can soil
12	A. No, I haven't.	12	sampling doesn't necessarily have to be conducted.
13	Q. Has any member of EPA to your knowledge	13	You can operate on an assumption of the amount of
14	walked that creek to verify that claim?	14	nitrogen that is still left in the soil if you have
15	A. This would be an assumption on my part,	15	prior records. So soil sampling isn't totally
16	but for most EPA inspections of a wastewater	16	necessary to apply on a site. But it is usually
17	treatment facility, an inspector will go observe	17	conducted by either the preparer or the land
18	the waterway that it is flowing into. So I'm sure	18	applier.
19	that there's an observation that has been made on	19	Q. Okay. When you send your request for
20	Lame Deer Creek.	20	information and it primarily has to deal with
21	Q. But you don't know if that's been done	21	application, would it be reasonable for somebody to
22	or not?	22	assume that that is the information you were
23	A. No, I do not know for sure.	23	requesting information about?
24	THE COURT: Is that something that would have	24	A. Yes. I'm sorry. I would you repeat
25	been memorialized in the inspection report?	25	your question?

57 (Pages 225 to 228)

	Page 229		Page 231
1	Q. Okay. When you send out your 503	1	(a)(4), let's see. Actually under let's see.
2	request, you send out information, and typically,	2	Yes. So for (i) and (ii), they both
3	there was follow-up in which you guys wanted	3	state similar. "The person who prepares the bulk
4	information regarding the application portion.	4	sewage sludge shall develop the following
5	A. Yes.	5	information and shall retain the information for
6	Q. Would it be reasonable for a person to	6	five years." And then for the land application,
7	draw the inference that that is what you're looking	7	it's the same thing. "Person who applies the bulk
8	for, is for information regarding the application	8	sewage sludge shall develop the following
9	portion?	9	information and shall retain the information for
10	A. Yes. I would say for this, like I said	10	five years." So that's within 503.17.
11	earlier, the biosolids information request, I don't	11	Q. And would you agree if it was stated in
12	want to say we use a template for every instance,	12	somebody's contract that they provide that
13	but that's just generally what we ask for for	13	information and to a subcontractor that they
14	people, we for land appliers and preparers both.	14	provide that information to a contractor, and if
15	Given the complaint that we received, we were	15	they fail to do so, it would be impossible for the
16	looking mostly at land application. And at that	16	contractor to get that information?
17	point, we didn't have information that he was a	17	A. So as a preparer, within Part 503, there
18	preparer until later on. So it was focused on the	18	are the requirements notice necessary information
19	land application portion.	19	for information to be shared between parties for
20	Q. Okay. Thank you for that.	20	the preparer and the land applier. So it would be
21	So would you not agree that the person,	21	difficult as a land applier, yes, if a preparer did
22	who is on-site physically doing the work as 503,	22	not give those documents or there wasn't documents
23	requires a map of where actual application was done	23	shared between preparer and land applier and land
24	and where the tilling would happen would be best	24	applier and preparer for people to create and
25	done by the persons physically doing that work?	25	retain those records, yes.
	Page 230		Page 232
1		1	
1	A. It could be. I would say	1	Q. So if the land applier let's just
2	A. It could be. I would say it's everybody that is participating in a land	2	Q. So if the land applier let's just take the preparer, and all of that out of the
2 3	A. It could be. I would say it's everybody that is participating in a land application project should be preparing records and	2 3	Q. So if the land applier let's just take the preparer, and all of that out of the equation for a second. If the land applier was
2 3 4	A. It could be. I would say it's everybody that is participating in a land application project should be preparing records and have a piece of the recordkeeping requirement. So	2 3 4	Q. So if the land applier – let's just take the preparer, and all of that out of the equation for a second. If the land applier was contracted to provide documents and they failed to
2 3 4 5	A. It could be. I would say it's everybody that is participating in a land application project should be preparing records and have a piece of the recordkeeping requirement. So even if you had it subcontracted out to Mr. Sprague	2 3 4 5	Q. So if the land applier let's just take the preparer, and all of that out of the equation for a second. If the land applier was contracted to provide documents and they failed to do so, but the contractor let you know where you
2 3 4 5 6	A. It could be. I would say it's everybody that is participating in a land application project should be preparing records and have a piece of the recordkeeping requirement. So even if you had it subcontracted out to Mr. Sprague and Mr. Robinson, it would not it would be	2 3 4 5 6	Q. So if the land applier let's just take the preparer, and all of that out of the equation for a second. If the land applier was contracted to provide documents and they failed to do so, but the contractor let you know where you could find those documents, wouldn't that be the
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. It could be. I would say it's everybody that is participating in a land application project should be preparing records and have a piece of the recordkeeping requirement. So even if you had it subcontracted out to Mr. Sprague and Mr. Robinson, it would not it would be required of you as well as a preparer or as a	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. So if the land applier let's just take the preparer, and all of that out of the equation for a second. If the land applier was contracted to provide documents and they failed to do so, but the contractor let you know where you could find those documents, wouldn't that be the most responsible thing to do?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. It could be. I would say it's everybody that is participating in a land application project should be preparing records and have a piece of the recordkeeping requirement. So even if you had it subcontracted out to Mr. Sprague and Mr. Robinson, it would not it would be required of you as well as a preparer or as a land applier directing the land application to have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. So if the land applier let's just take the preparer, and all of that out of the equation for a second. If the land applier was contracted to provide documents and they failed to do so, but the contractor let you know where you could find those documents, wouldn't that be the most responsible thing to do? A. It doesn't absolve you of the
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. It could be. I would say it's everybody that is participating in a land application project should be preparing records and have a piece of the recordkeeping requirement. So even if you had it subcontracted out to Mr. Sprague and Mr. Robinson, it would not it would be required of you as well as a preparer or as a land applier directing the land application to have those records as well.	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. So if the land applier let's just take the preparer, and all of that out of the equation for a second. If the land applier was contracted to provide documents and they failed to do so, but the contractor let you know where you could find those documents, wouldn't that be the most responsible thing to do? A. It doesn't absolve you of the recordkeeping requirements to have it in a separate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. It could be. I would say it's everybody that is participating in a land application project should be preparing records and have a piece of the recordkeeping requirement. So even if you had it subcontracted out to Mr. Sprague and Mr. Robinson, it would not it would be required of you as well as a preparer or as a land applier directing the land application to have those records as well. Q. Does the requirement for the records 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. So if the land applier let's just take the preparer, and all of that out of the equation for a second. If the land applier was contracted to provide documents and they failed to do so, but the contractor let you know where you could find those documents, wouldn't that be the most responsible thing to do? A. It doesn't absolve you of the recordkeeping requirements to have it in a separate contract. It's still within Part 503 that you have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. It could be. I would say it's everybody that is participating in a land application project should be preparing records and have a piece of the recordkeeping requirement. So even if you had it subcontracted out to Mr. Sprague and Mr. Robinson, it would not it would be required of you as well as a preparer or as a land applier directing the land application to have those records as well. Q. Does the requirement for the records keeping is it the development of a system or 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. So if the land applier let's just take the preparer, and all of that out of the equation for a second. If the land applier was contracted to provide documents and they failed to do so, but the contractor let you know where you could find those documents, wouldn't that be the most responsible thing to do? A. It doesn't absolve you of the recordkeeping requirements to have it in a separate contract. It's still within Part 503 that you have to develop and maintain those records regardless of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. It could be. I would say it's everybody that is participating in a land application project should be preparing records and have a piece of the recordkeeping requirement. So even if you had it subcontracted out to Mr. Sprague and Mr. Robinson, it would not it would be required of you as well as a preparer or as a land applier directing the land application to have those records as well. Q. Does the requirement for the records keeping is it the development of a system or keeping the records? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. So if the land applier let's just take the preparer, and all of that out of the equation for a second. If the land applier was contracted to provide documents and they failed to do so, but the contractor let you know where you could find those documents, wouldn't that be the most responsible thing to do? A. It doesn't absolve you of the recordkeeping requirements to have it in a separate contract. It's still within Part 503 that you have to develop and maintain those records regardless of a contract.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. It could be. I would say it's everybody that is participating in a land application project should be preparing records and have a piece of the recordkeeping requirement. So even if you had it subcontracted out to Mr. Sprague and Mr. Robinson, it would not it would be required of you as well as a preparer or as a land applier directing the land application to have those records as well. Q. Does the requirement for the records keeping is it the development of a system or keeping the records? A. It's keeping the records, I'm assuming. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. So if the land applier let's just take the preparer, and all of that out of the equation for a second. If the land applier was contracted to provide documents and they failed to do so, but the contractor let you know where you could find those documents, wouldn't that be the most responsible thing to do? A. It doesn't absolve you of the recordkeeping requirements to have it in a separate contract. It's still within Part 503 that you have to develop and maintain those records regardless of a contract. Q. Does it say where they have to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. It could be. I would say it's everybody that is participating in a land application project should be preparing records and have a piece of the recordkeeping requirement. So even if you had it subcontracted out to Mr. Sprague and Mr. Robinson, it would not it would be required of you as well as a preparer or as a land applier directing the land application to have those records as well. Q. Does the requirement for the records keeping is it the development of a system or keeping the records? A. It's keeping the records, I'm assuming. I think I get what you're getting at. But it's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. So if the land applier let's just take the preparer, and all of that out of the equation for a second. If the land applier was contracted to provide documents and they failed to do so, but the contractor let you know where you could find those documents, wouldn't that be the most responsible thing to do? A. It doesn't absolve you of the recordkeeping requirements to have it in a separate contract. It's still within Part 503 that you have to develop and maintain those records regardless of a contract. Q. Does it say where they have to be maintained, with the contractor or subcontractor?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. It could be. I would say it's everybody that is participating in a land application project should be preparing records and have a piece of the recordkeeping requirement. So even if you had it subcontracted out to Mr. Sprague and Mr. Robinson, it would not it would be required of you as well as a preparer or as a land applier directing the land application to have those records as well. Q. Does the requirement for the records keeping is it the development of a system or keeping the records? A. It's keeping the records, I'm assuming. I think I get what you're getting at. But it's keeping the records, yeah. There's a recordkeeping 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. So if the land applier let's just take the preparer, and all of that out of the equation for a second. If the land applier was contracted to provide documents and they failed to do so, but the contractor let you know where you could find those documents, wouldn't that be the most responsible thing to do? A. It doesn't absolve you of the recordkeeping requirements to have it in a separate contract. It's still within Part 503 that you have to develop and maintain those records regardless of a contract. Q. Does it say where they have to be maintained, with the contractor or subcontractor? A. No, because Part 503 does not speak to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. It could be. I would say it's everybody that is participating in a land application project should be preparing records and have a piece of the recordkeeping requirement. So even if you had it subcontracted out to Mr. Sprague and Mr. Robinson, it would not it would be required of you as well as a preparer or as a land applier directing the land application to have those records as well. Q. Does the requirement for the records keeping is it the development of a system or keeping the records? A. It's keeping the records, I'm assuming. I think I get what you're getting at. But it's keeping the records, yeah. There's a recordkeeping you need to keep you need to generate and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. So if the land applier let's just take the preparer, and all of that out of the equation for a second. If the land applier was contracted to provide documents and they failed to do so, but the contractor let you know where you could find those documents, wouldn't that be the most responsible thing to do? A. It doesn't absolve you of the recordkeeping requirements to have it in a separate contract. It's still within Part 503 that you have to develop and maintain those records regardless of a contract. Q. Does it say where they have to be maintained, with the contractor or subcontractor? A. No, because Part 503 does not speak to contractors or subcontractors. It's just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. It could be. I would say it's everybody that is participating in a land application project should be preparing records and have a piece of the recordkeeping requirement. So even if you had it subcontracted out to Mr. Sprague and Mr. Robinson, it would not it would be required of you as well as a preparer or as a land applier directing the land application to have those records as well. Q. Does the requirement for the records keeping is it the development of a system or keeping the records? A. It's keeping the records, I'm assuming. I think I get what you're getting at. But it's keeping the records, yeah. There's a recordkeeping 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. So if the land applier let's just take the preparer, and all of that out of the equation for a second. If the land applier was contracted to provide documents and they failed to do so, but the contractor let you know where you could find those documents, wouldn't that be the most responsible thing to do? A. It doesn't absolve you of the recordkeeping requirements to have it in a separate contract. It's still within Part 503 that you have to develop and maintain those records regardless of a contract. Q. Does it say where they have to be maintained, with the contractor or subcontractor? A. No, because Part 503 does not speak to contractors or subcontractors. It's just preparer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. It could be. I would say it's everybody that is participating in a land application project should be preparing records and have a piece of the recordkeeping requirement. So even if you had it subcontracted out to Mr. Sprague and Mr. Robinson, it would not it would be required of you as well as a preparer or as a land applier directing the land application to have those records as well. Q. Does the requirement for the records keeping is it the development of a system or keeping the records? A. It's keeping the records, I'm assuming. I think I get what you're getting at. But it's keeping the records, yeah. There's a recordkeeping you need to keep you need to generate and maintain the records for the five years as determined by Part 503. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. So if the land applier let's just take the preparer, and all of that out of the equation for a second. If the land applier was contracted to provide documents and they failed to do so, but the contractor let you know where you could find those documents, wouldn't that be the most responsible thing to do? A. It doesn't absolve you of the recordkeeping requirements to have it in a separate contract. It's still within Part 503 that you have to develop and maintain those records regardless of a contract. Q. Does it say where they have to be maintained, with the contractor or subcontractor? A. No, because Part 503 does not speak to contractors or subcontractors. It's just preparer Q. Developed and maintained?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. It could be. I would say it's everybody that is participating in a land application project should be preparing records and have a piece of the recordkeeping requirement. So even if you had it subcontracted out to Mr. Sprague and Mr. Robinson, it would not it would be required of you as well as a preparer or as a land applier directing the land application to have those records as well. Q. Does the requirement for the records keeping is it the development of a system or keeping the records? A. It's keeping the records, I'm assuming. I think I get what you're getting at. But it's keeping the records, yeah. There's a recordkeeping you need to keep you need to generate and maintain the records for the five years as 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. So if the land applier let's just take the preparer, and all of that out of the equation for a second. If the land applier was contracted to provide documents and they failed to do so, but the contractor let you know where you could find those documents, wouldn't that be the most responsible thing to do? A. It doesn't absolve you of the recordkeeping requirements to have it in a separate contract. It's still within Part 503 that you have to develop and maintain those records regardless of a contract. Q. Does it say where they have to be maintained, with the contractor or subcontractor? A. No, because Part 503 does not speak to contractors or subcontractors. It's just preparer Q. Developed and maintained? A and land applier.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. It could be. I would say it's everybody that is participating in a land application project should be preparing records and have a piece of the recordkeeping requirement. So even if you had it subcontracted out to Mr. Sprague and Mr. Robinson, it would not it would be required of you as well as a preparer or as a land applier directing the land application to have those records as well. Q. Does the requirement for the records keeping is it the development of a system or keeping the records? A. It's keeping the records, I'm assuming. I think I get what you're getting at. But it's keeping the records, yeah. There's a recordkeeping you need to keep you need to generate and maintain the records for the five years as determined by Part 503. MR. PIERCE: Can we pull that statute up by 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. So if the land applier let's just take the preparer, and all of that out of the equation for a second. If the land applier was contracted to provide documents and they failed to do so, but the contractor let you know where you could find those documents, wouldn't that be the most responsible thing to do? A. It doesn't absolve you of the recordkeeping requirements to have it in a separate contract. It's still within Part 503 that you have to develop and maintain those records regardless of a contract. Q. Does it say where they have to be maintained, with the contractor or subcontractor? A. No, because Part 503 does not speak to contractors or subcontractors. It's just preparer Q. Developed and maintained? A and land applier. Q. Would you agree that records were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. It could be. I would say it's everybody that is participating in a land application project should be preparing records and have a piece of the recordkeeping requirement. So even if you had it subcontracted out to Mr. Sprague and Mr. Robinson, it would not it would be required of you as well as a preparer or as a land applier directing the land application to have those records as well. Q. Does the requirement for the records keeping the records? A. It's keeping the records, I'm assuming. I think I get what you're getting at. But it's keeping the records, yeah. There's a recordkeeping you need to keep you need to generate and maintain the records for the five years as determined by Part 503. MR. PIERCE: Can we pull that statute up by chance? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. So if the land applier let's just take the preparer, and all of that out of the equation for a second. If the land applier was contracted to provide documents and they failed to do so, but the contractor let you know where you could find those documents, wouldn't that be the most responsible thing to do? A. It doesn't absolve you of the recordkeeping requirements to have it in a separate contract. It's still within Part 503 that you have to develop and maintain those records regardless of a contract. Q. Does it say where they have to be maintained, with the contractor or subcontractor? A. No, because Part 503 does not speak to contractors or subcontractors. It's just preparer Q. Developed and maintained? A and land applier. Q. Would you agree that records were developed, whether they be accurate or not, they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. It could be. I would say it's everybody that is participating in a land application project should be preparing records and have a piece of the recordkeeping requirement. So even if you had it subcontracted out to Mr. Sprague and Mr. Robinson, it would not it would be required of you as well as a preparer or as a land applier directing the land application to have those records as well. Q. Does the requirement for the records keeping is it the development of a system or keeping the records? A. It's keeping the records, I'm assuming. I think I get what you're getting at. But it's keeping the records, yeah. There's a recordkeeping you need to keep you need to generate and maintain the records for the five years as determined by Part 503. MR. PIERCE: Can we pull that statute up by chance? BY MR. PIERCE: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. So if the land applier let's just take the preparer, and all of that out of the equation for a second. If the land applier was contracted to provide documents and they failed to do so, but the contractor let you know where you could find those documents, wouldn't that be the most responsible thing to do? A. It doesn't absolve you of the recordkeeping requirements to have it in a separate contract. It's still within Part 503 that you have to develop and maintain those records regardless of a contract. Q. Does it say where they have to be maintained, with the contractor or subcontractor? A. No, because Part 503 does not speak to contractors or subcontractors. It's just preparer Q. Developed and maintained? A and land applier. Q. Would you agree that records were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. It could be. I would say it's everybody that is participating in a land application project should be preparing records and have a piece of the recordkeeping requirement. So even if you had it subcontracted out to Mr. Sprague and Mr. Robinson, it would not it would be required of you as well as a preparer or as a land applier directing the land application to have those records as well. 9. Does the requirement for the records keeping the records? A. It's keeping the records, I'm assuming. I think I get what you're getting at. But it's keeping the records, yeah. There's a recordkeeping you need to keep you need to generate and maintain the records for the five years as determined by Part 503. MR. PIERCE: Can we pull that statute up by chance? BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Do you know directly offhand what 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. So if the land applier let's just take the preparer, and all of that out of the equation for a second. If the land applier was contracted to provide documents and they failed to do so, but the contractor let you know where you could find those documents, wouldn't that be the most responsible thing to do? A. It doesn't absolve you of the recordkeeping requirements to have it in a separate contract. It's still within Part 503 that you have to develop and maintain those records regardless of a contract. Q. Does it say where they have to be maintained, with the contractor or subcontractor? A. No, because Part 503 does not speak to contractors or subcontractors. It's just preparer Q. Developed and maintained? A and land applier. Q. Would you agree that records were developed, whether they be accurate or not, they were maintained and they were turned over to you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. It could be. I would say it's everybody that is participating in a land application project should be preparing records and have a piece of the recordkeeping requirement. So even if you had it subcontracted out to Mr. Sprague and Mr. Robinson, it would not it would be required of you as well as a preparer or as a land applier directing the land application to have those records as well. 9. Does the requirement for the records keeping the records? A. It's keeping the records, I'm assuming. I think I get what you're getting at. But it's keeping the records, yeah. There's a recordkeeping you need to keep you need to generate and maintain the records for the five years as determined by Part 503. MR. PIERCE: Can we pull that statute up by chance? BY MR. PIERCE: 0. Do you know directly offhand what statute that is? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. So if the land applier let's just take the preparer, and all of that out of the equation for a second. If the land applier was contracted to provide documents and they failed to do so, but the contractor let you know where you could find those documents, wouldn't that be the most responsible thing to do? A. It doesn't absolve you of the recordkeeping requirements to have it in a separate contract. It's still within Part 503 that you have to develop and maintain those records regardless of a contract. Q. Does it say where they have to be maintained, with the contractor or subcontractor? A. No, because Part 503 does not speak to contractors or subcontractors. It's just preparer Q. Developed and maintained? A and land applier. Q. Would you agree that records were developed, whether they be accurate or not, they were maintained and they were turned over to you when requested?

58 (Pages 229 to 232)

	Page 233		Page 235
1	information. It took several tries to get that	1	English Guide, it gave a pretty good explanation
2	information from you. We never did get a final	2	that the landowner or lessor had obligations under
3	cohesive idea on the agronomic rate as the person	3	503. Why did you not request that information from
4	who directed it. We did not receive site	4	Mr. Robinson knowing he applied sludge to his own
5	restrictions, and we did not receive any	5	land?
6	verification of the other management practices from	6	A. So based on the complaint that was taken
7	you.	7	by Indian Health Services and his statement in it
8	Q. You testified earlier, though, you	8	that he said he was not given any agronomic rate
9	received those things from Ernie Sprague, correct?	9	calculations or sampling, it would have been
10	A. Yes. But we did not receive them from	10	impossible for him to give us the records if he
11	you.	11	didn't have the records necessary to generate it,
12	Q. You reviewed the subcontract between	12	if that makes sense.
13	Ernie Sprague and myself?	13	So if he was missing the metals
14	A. Yes.	14	concentration, pathogen, he could have certified
15	Q. And in that contract, it states that he	15	vector attraction reduction since he was present
16	would develop and provide those documents, correct?	16	on-site and he was tilling. But he would not have
17	A. Yes, which he did to EPA.	17	been able to do pathogen, metals, and agronomic
18	Q. So you were aware that he had an	18	rate calculations, which are part of management
19	obligation to provide that and Tom Robinson had an	19	practices. So he was missing those three.
20	obligation to provide that to Adamas and NCUC?	20	The management practices in particular
21	A. As part of their contract, yes.	21	were of concern to us since that was the complaint.
22	Q. And if they didn't provide that,	22	And he wouldn't have had the information necessary
23	wouldn't that create an issue for NCUC and myself?	23	to calculate agronomic rate, so it would have been
24	A. It could. I'm	24	a moot point to ask Mr. Robinson for information
25	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Objection, Your Honor.	25	that he did not have.

	Page 234		Page 236
1	This asks for speculation. She can't know how this	1	Q. Were you aware of Mr. Robinson's request
2	would affect the respondent.	2	to Mr. Courtney to have the sludge applied to his
3	THE COURT: Can you restate the question?	3	land?
4	Because I was taking a note. What was your	4	A. Before the complaint or
5	question?	5	Q. Before, during, and after as part of the
6	MR. PIERCE: At this point, we can move on	6	e-mail exchanges.
7	from it, Your Honor. I mean	7	A. No. I we started when we got the
8	THE COURT: Okay.	8	complaint. We didn't have any requests before or
9	MR. PIERCE: it's pretty straightforward.	9	after or any we didn't have knowledge of it
10	And I think I'm getting close to done here.	10	prior to the complaint.
11	THE COURT: Okay. So you're moving on	11	Q. So you haven't reviewed the e-mail from
12	entirely?	12	Tom Robinson to James Courtney requesting that the
13	MR. PIERCE: Yes. I got a couple more	13	sludge be applied to his land?
14	questions. I'm moving on entirely from that	14	A. I don't recall. If he did that, that's
15	question.	15	fine. Mr. Robinson can do that as a landowner.
16	THE COURT: From that point? Okay.	16	MR. PIERCE: Okay. I believe that's the gist
17	MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor.	17	of my questions for the witness so far, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Then you have an answer. There's	18	THE COURT: Okay. I have just one follow-up.
19	no need for me to make a ruling then. I'll	19	THE WITNESS: Okay.
20	consider it a withdrawn question.	20	THE COURT: It's very basic.
21	MR. PIERCE: You know, I think that's	21	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
22	probably the line of well, I'm sorry. Actually,	22	THE COURT: Might even be foolish, but I
23	that is not.	23	don't have much pride left at this stage in my
24	BY MR. PIERCE:	24	career.
25	Q. Ms. Kleffner, when you read the Plain	25	Should someone I'm trying to just

59 (Pages 233 to 236)

	Page 237		Page 239
1	kind of synthesize this even at a broad level, you	1	THE COURT: Did you have some redirect?
2	know. So if someone is land regardless who the	2	MR. MUEHLBERGER: I do, Your Honor. Could we
3	someone is, if someone is land applying sewage	3	have five minutes before the redirect?
4	sludge to their land	4	THE COURT: Absolutely.
5	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.	5	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thank you.
6	THE COURT: can they responsibly do that	6	THE COURT: I'll be back in five minutes.
7	if they don't have the agronomic rate by which to	7	(Whereupon, a brief
8	apply it?	8	recess was taken.)
9	THE WITNESS: No. It would be I would say	9	THE COURT: All right. Back on record after
10	if you wanted to take the chance that you are	10	a brief break. Ready for some redirect.
11	relying that's it's a small enough amount of sludge	11	MR. MUEHLBERGER: I just have a few
12	to a large enough piece of property, you can make	12	questions, Your Honor.
13	that assumption that you're probably okay.	13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	In terms of Part 503 and the regulations	14	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
15	and having correct recordkeeping requirements, it	15	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:
16	would not be responsible. And we have taken cases	16	Q. Ms. Kleffner, in your experience as a
17	against facilities who have land applied without	17	compliance officer for EPA, have you ever
18	calculating agronomic rate, with land applying	18	experienced an enforcement case where a contractor
19	before their sample results are even ready.	19	hired a subcontractor to do work that was regulated
20	They're just making the assumption that it's okay.	20	under the Clean Water Act?
21	So you're really in the spirit of Part 503, you	21	A. Yes.
22	need to have all the information necessary to land	22	Q. Have you ever had an experience where
23	apply responsibly.	23	EPA or are you aware of a case where EPA found
24	And I think it is somewhere in the	24	that a contract that the contractor was liable
25	record that Mr. Robinson revoked consent at some	25	for Clean Water Act violations? And let me be
	Page 238		Page 240
1	point for his land for the biosolids land	1	clear, the contractor that hired the subcontractor.
2	application. He asked them to stop.	2	A. Yes.
3	THE COURT: Okay. But it sounds like at	3	Q. Okay. Can you provide any detail about
4	least at some stage, Mr. Robinson applied the	4	any particular case that you're thinking about?
5	sludge to his land even though he didn't have all	5	A. Yeah. So it really depends for a
б	the necessary pieces that one should have before	6	contractor and a subcontractor who has the most
7	1 ' 0	-	enter la complete de la litera de litera de la complete

	Page 238		Page 240
1	point for his land for the biosolids land	1	clear, the contractor that hired the subcontractor.
2	application. He asked them to stop.	2	A. Yes.
3	THE COURT: Okay. But it sounds like at	3	Q. Okay. Can you provide any detail about
4	least at some stage, Mr. Robinson applied the	4	any particular case that you're thinking about?
5	sludge to his land even though he didn't have all	5	A. Yeah. So it really depends for a
6	the necessary pieces that one should have before	6	contractor and a subcontractor who has the most
7	doing so?	7	control over the facility. So it's not unusual to
8	THE WITNESS: As far as I know, Mr. Robinson	8	have an instance where a small wastewater treatment
9	was not he tilled in the sludge. He didn't do	9	facility will hire a contractor to operate their
10	the spraying or spreading. He was essentially	10	facility for them. They just don't want to deal
11	doing the vector attraction reduction piece of it,	11	with having to operate the facility.
12	which would be incorporating the biosolids within	12	If the subcontractor comes back to the
13	six hours. So he completed the vector attraction	13	facility owner or the original contractor and says,
14	reduction part, but I'm not sure that he was	14	"Your facility is a mess. We need all of these
15	physically driving the truck that was spraying the	15	upgrades. You need to do this, this, and this,"
16	biosolids. So he did have a piece of it.	16	and the original contractor says, "Sorry, I'm not
17	THE COURT: Okay.	17	going to do that. I don't want to spend the
18	THE WITNESS: But not all of it.	18	money," if we were to take an enforcement case, we
19	THE COURT: Okay. All right. That was	19	would not go against the subcontractor. They're
20	really the only, I guess, follow-up question I had.	20	doing everything in their power to appropriately
21	Did that raise anything else you wanted to ask?	21	run a facility.
22	MR. PIERCE: Um, you know, it does. But I	22	If there are improvements or or
23	don't think for this witness, Your Honor.	23	there the original contractor is aware that
24	THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Thank you.	24	there are deficiencies that need to be addressed
25	MR. PIERCE: Thank you.	25	and they're choosing to ignore those deficiencies,

60 (Pages 237 to 240)

	Page 241		Page 243
1	that's when we would take the case against the	1	different pieces of information. None of it
2	contractor rather than the subcontractor.	2	exactly wind up because each of them were
3	Q. Thank you.	3	functioning in each of their own capacity for the
4	Earlier in your testimony, in the	4	land application project.
5	cross-examination testimony, you testified that you	5	For example, Mr. Robinson I think
6	received information from Ernie Sprague in response	6	answered in his 308 response that it was applied to
7	to EPA's information request, correct?	7	20 acres of agricultural field. Mr. Sprague
8	A. Yes.	8	answered that it was 40 acres. I think in another
9	Q. Did you receive all of the information	9	contract, it was listed as 50 acres. The gallons
10	that you needed to confirm compliance with the EPA	10	applied were different across the board.
11	biosolids recordkeeping requirements?	11	Mr. Sprague said it was about 84,000 gallons, but
12	A. No. So Mr. Sprague responded. He had	12	the contract had a million gallons in it.
13	lots of great information. However and this is	13	I think there were lots of different
14	something I talked about previously the	14	numbers thrown around. So nobody had a good idea
15	agronomic rate calculation oh, sorry the	15	of what was actually happening on the site except
16	agronomic rate calculation that Mr. Sprague had	16	for Mr. Pierce because he was directing and
17	provided was based off of a constant that's used	17	overseeing. He had he had access to all the
18	for sewage, for septage. So septage is a little	18	information necessary to put together the story and
19	bit different. It's treated differently than	19	the Part 503 regulation requirements.
20	regular Part 503 biosolids due to the fact that the	20	Q. I want to touch a little bit on this
21	nitrogen content, you can just assume it's a	21	waters of the United States arguments that were
22	constant for that.	22	just raised here. How in your role as a
23	For agronomic rate for biosolids coming	23	compliance officer, how did you make the
24	from an actual domestic treatment facility such as	24	determination that the portion of Lame Deer Creek
25	Lame Deer Lagoon, you wouldn't be able to apply	25	by the POTW was a waters of the United States?
	Page 242		Page 244
1	that constant to it.	1	A. So outside of the fact that it was based
2	Q. Thank you.	2	on a NPDES permit, I review we have and it's
3	If Robinson or Sprague provided or	3	publicly available. The USGS has a map online
4	were – I'm sorry. Let me start again.	4	available that indicates whether water bodies can
5	If Robinson or Sprague received	5	be can be considered excuse me perennial
6	agronomic rate and lab results from Mr. Pierce or	6	or ephemeral or, you know, partially flowing.
7	Adamas, would that change your conclusion that	7	In this instance, when I looked up Lame
8	Pierce, Adamas were the preparers of sewage sludge?	8	Deer Creek at the point where it discharges, it is
9	A. No.	9	considered a solid blue line, which indicates that
10	Q. Would it change your conclusion that	10	it's a perennial waterway. And its flow from Lame
11	Pierce and Adamas were the applier of sewage	11	Deer Lagoon along Lame Deer Creek where it
12	sludge?	12	confluences with Rosebud Creek, it's all a solid
13	A. No.	13	blue line. It's all perennial. And then it also,

Q. Why not? A. For the preparer part, since Mr. Pierce

14

15

16 was the one who dewatered, that -- that's 17 regardless. That's a -- that's a treatment 18 process, so that will always make him a preparer. 19 For the land applier, since he was there, he 20 directed the overall land application, that would 21 still -- I would still consider him a land applier. 22 And then, in addition to that, so we 23 sent the 308 information request to Mr. Sprague and 24 Mr. Robinson. And we also had some contract 25 information. And we had all these little bits and

61 (Pages 241 to 244)

quite a ways of distance -- I think it's close to

in my professional opinion, would be a

jurisdictional water.

70 miles -- but it does flow into the Yellowstone

River, which it has a direct connection and it is a

traditionally navigable water. So Lame Deer Creek,

of the USGS map that Ms. Kleffner relied upon in

making this determination. It's not entered into

evidence, but this is a record that is available to

the public and generally relied upon by regulatory

agencies for making such determinations. I'd like

MR. MUEHLBERGER: Your Honor, we have a copy

BRIDGER COURT REPORTERS, INC. (406) 582-0668

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

	Page 245		Page 247
1	to show the document since it is publicly	1	A. Yes.
2	available.	2	Q. Okay. Thank you.
3	THE COURT: Sure.	3	MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to show the
4	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Okay. Could you please put	4	witness Complainant's Exhibit 10, page 1 first.
5	that up? Thank you.	5	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:
6	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	6	Q. Ms. Kleffner, do you recognize this
7	Q. Ms. Kleffner, are you able to see what's	7	document?
8	relevant here for your determination about waters	8	A. Yes, I do.
9	of the United States?	9	Q. Okay. Can you describe it, please?
10	A. Yes.	10	A. So this is a what's called a it's
11	Q. Okay. Could you please describe what	11	an order for compliance for the Northern Cheyenne
12	you're looking at and how this helped inform that	12	Utilities Commission. And it looks like this was
13	determination?	13	all the way back in 2015.
14	A. Sure. So on this map, Lame Deer lagoon	14	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Okay. And can I I'd
15	is located in the bottom right-hand corner. You	15	like to look at the first page of the
16	can see that the lagoon is indicated on the map.	16	administrative complaint I'm sorry the
17	It's two blue splotches. Basically, that's just	17	findings of violation. Would that be on 3? Yeah.
18	indicating where the lagoon is at.	18	Thank you. This is CX10, page 3. Could we look at
19	So the discharge point into Lame Deer	19	the caption at the top, please?
20	Creek is obviously just right where it's abutting	20	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:
21	the edge of that lagoon. Lame Deer Creek flows	21	Q. What is this, Ms. Kleffner?
22	south to north. And further up on the map, it will	22	A. So this is the compliance order. It's
23	show where the confluence with Rosebud Creek is,	23	"Findings of Violation, Order for Compliance." It
24	but that is a solid blue line. You can see that	24	lists the statutory authority. And it is in the
25	it does have some like peren not perennial,	25	matter of Northern Cheyenne Utilities Commission
	Page 246		Page 248
1	ephemeral or partially flowing streams indicated on	1	and the Lame Deer Wastewater Treatment Facility.
2	here. That's usually indicated by the dot and dash	2	And then it goes on to list the NPDES permit.
3	on the map. But Lame Deer Creek is a solid blue	3	MR. PIERCE: Objection, Your Honor.
4	line, which would indicate that it's a perennial	4	Relevance. This is from 2015.
5	water.	5	MR. MUEHLBERGER: I think we'll be able to
6	THE COURT: And how is this map oriented when	6	establish relevance with the next page.
7	you say north to south?	7	THE COURT: Okay.
8	THE WITNESS: The north side is to the top.	8	MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd like to have her look
9	THE COURT: Okay.	9	at Paragraph 8 of the compliance order. And this
10	THE WITNESS: Yes.	10	is page or CX10, page 4.
11	THE COURT: And the two splotches, it's the	11	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:
12	solid blue	12	Q. Ms. Kleffner, could you please read the
13	THE WITNESS: Yes.	13	paragraph that starts with Paragraph 8?
14	THE COURT: areas, right?	14	A. "The Facility discharges into Lame Deer
15	THE WITNESS: Yes. That would be where the	15	Creek, a perennial stream that has been determined
16	lagoons are at.	16	to be a jurisdictional water of the U.S. by the
17	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	17	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Lame Deer Creek
		1.0	
18	Q. And are you saying that by looking at	18	flows north into Rosebud Creek, a tributary of the
18 19	Q. And are you saying that by looking at this map that Lame Deer Creek is a solid blue line	19	Yellowstone River, which flows into the Missouri
18 19 20	Q. And are you saying that by looking at this map that Lame Deer Creek is a solid blue line all the way to the confluence with the next water?	19 20	Yellowstone River, which flows into the Missouri River. Lame Deer Creek is a "water of the United
18 19 20 21	Q. And are you saying that by looking at this map that Lame Deer Creek is a solid blue line all the way to the confluence with the next water? A. Yes, that is correct.	19 20 21	Yellowstone River, which flows into the Missouri River. Lame Deer Creek is a "water of the United States" within the meaning of 40 CFR Part 122.2,
18 19 20 21 22	 Q. And are you saying that by looking at this map that Lame Deer Creek is a solid blue line all the way to the confluence with the next water? A. Yes, that is correct. Q. Okay. And that would indicate to you 	19 20 21 22	Yellowstone River, which flows into the Missouri River. Lame Deer Creek is a "water of the United States" within the meaning of 40 CFR Part 122.2, and therefore, a navigable water within the meaning
18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. And are you saying that by looking at this map that Lame Deer Creek is a solid blue line all the way to the confluence with the next water? A. Yes, that is correct. Q. Okay. And that would indicate to you that it's a perennial stream until it hits the next 	19 20 21 22 23	Yellowstone River, which flows into the Missouri River. Lame Deer Creek is a "water of the United States" within the meaning of 40 CFR Part 122.2, and therefore, a navigable water within the meaning of Section 502(7) of the Act, 33 USC 1362(7)."
18 19 20 21 22	 Q. And are you saying that by looking at this map that Lame Deer Creek is a solid blue line all the way to the confluence with the next water? A. Yes, that is correct. Q. Okay. And that would indicate to you 	19 20 21 22	Yellowstone River, which flows into the Missouri River. Lame Deer Creek is a "water of the United States" within the meaning of 40 CFR Part 122.2, and therefore, a navigable water within the meaning

62 (Pages 245 to 248)

	Page 249		Page 251
1	would be in making such a determination?		THE COURT: Okay. So we would just make it
2	A. So it is the Corps' responsibility to	2	JX1.
3	determine jurisdictional waters. They have the	3	MR. MUEHLBERGER: That sounds even better.
4	technical capacity to go out and assess a waterway	4	THE COURT: Okay. So just, you know, for
5	to determine whether or not it's jurisdictional.	5	housekeeping, do you have one physical copy, maybe,
6	Q. Thank you.	6	to give the court reporter?
7	MR. MUEHLBERGER: I don't have any more	7	MR. MUEHLBERGER: We do.
8	questions.	8	THE COURT: Okay. And then are you able to
9	THE COURT: The map that you referred to that	9	scan it and mark it from your computer or no? MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'm going to defer to our
10	is publicly available, would you both like that?	10 11	
11 12	If you're going to be making arguments about the		paralegal on this.
13	waterway and how it runs, is that a document you would both like me to consider? You can submit it	13	MS. MORENO: Oh. I'm sorry, what is your
14		14	question?
14	as a joint exhibit if so. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'd be fine with entering	15	THE COURT: Are you able to scan it and mark it JX1 on your computer?
16	that into evidence if respondent would like that.	16	MS. MORENO: Oh, yes.
17	MR. PIERCE: I don't believe I need it, Your	17	THE COURT: And then submit it
18	Honor.	18	electronically?
19		19	MS. MORANO: Like after after this
20	THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any objection to it if you want to be able to refer to it?	20	afternoon or this evening?
20	MR. PIERCE: We have nobody here to validate	20	THE COURT: Sure.
21	its accuracy or authenticity.	21	MS. MORENO: Yes.
22	THE COURT: Well, it's publicly	23	THE COURT: By tomorrow.
23	MR. PIERCE: I mean, it's public record,	23	MS. MORANO: Yes.
25	sure.	25	THE COURT: Okay. That's great. Why don't
20	sure.	2.5	The COURT. Okay. That's great. Why don't
	Page 250		Page 252
1	THE COURT: It's publicly available. I mean,	1	you just do that. And then you can just transmit
2	I suppose an alternative would be to provide a URL	2	it electronically to the court reporter and to Jen
3	site if you would want to look at it that way. I	3	and to Mr. Pierce, and then we'll all have it. And
4	mean, I'm assuming you're	4	that will be an additional an exhibit that's
5	MR. PIERCE: I won't object. We can enter it	5	been admitted, JX1, that map. Okay. Great. Thank
6	into the record.	6	you.
7	THE COURT: I'm just assuming you're going to	7	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thank you.
8	be making some arguments about that, so	8	THE WITNESS: Do you have any other
9	MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor.	9	questions?
10	THE COURT: I didn't know if it's	10	THE COURT: One second. Mr. Pierce, did you
11	something that you too might want to refer to,	11	have any additional questions for the witness?
12	but	12	MR. PIERCE: I do, Your Honor.
13	MR. PIERCE: You know, I can refer to it.	13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	Yes, Your Honor.	14	THE WITNESS: Okay.
15	THE COURT: Okay. All right. So do you have	15	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
16	a copy?	16	BY MR. PIERCE:

MR. MUEHLBERGER: I do. Your Honor, then we 17 Q. Ms. Kleffner, you spoke earlier, and you would move to enter this into evidence as CX -- it 18 said that control over the facility was extremely 19 important as to determine between contractor and MR. PIERCE: It would be JX, right? 20 subcontractor, correct? A. Uh-huh. Yes. MR. MUEHLBERGER: CX59, right. 21 22 Q. Would you agree that control over the THE COURT: Yeah. I thought you would do it 23 entire facilities, including the sewer lagoons and 24 the application site, would be important? MR. MUEHLBERGER: That works. 25 A. Yes, depending on what piece we're

> BRIDGER COURT REPORTERS, INC. (406) 582-0668

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

would be 59, correct? CX59.

as a joint exhibit.

MR. PIERCE: JX, joint exhibit?

63 (Pages 249 to 252)

	Page 253		Page 255
1	talking about. For the operator, yes. For the	1	up here.
2	sewage sludge application, I would be more	2	THE COURT: Okay. The projector, maybe?
3	concerned about the pumping and the hauling and	3	MR. PIERCE: Ms. Moreno, can I beg you,
4	actual land application for control.	4	please?
5	Q. Okay. And so with that, you also	5	MS. MORENO: Are you doing it at that table?
б	stated that because a because Adamas and myself	6	MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am.
7	was how did you put it? Sorry. I'm trying not	7	MS. MORENO: Okay. Can you see?
8	to misquote you here. Because we were the	8	THE COURT: I can. Thank you.
9	contractor and had control over the facility, we	9	MR. PIERCE: Thank you.
10	were the person who was the applier and the	10	Your Honor, is it okay to question from
11	preparer; is that correct?	11	the table here?
12	A. I wouldn't say facility. Of the land	12	THE COURT: Sure. That's fine.
13	application project, yes.	13	BY MR. PIERCE:
14	Q. Did you also testify that Tom Robinson	14	Q. Okay. You stated that Lame Deer Creek
15	revoked his permission to utilize his land?	15	flows into Rosebud Creek, correct?
16	A. At some point after biosolids had	16	A. Yes, it does.
17	been applied, I think I'm not sure exactly	17	MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, what I have up here
18	if how much biosolids had left to be applied.	18	on the screen to introduce is a Google Map. This
19	That's something that can probably be testified to	19	is public information drawn directly from the
20	by someone else. But it was in the inspection	20	Google Map site. This shows Lame Deer Creek.
21	report that, yes, permission was revoked at some	21	BY MR. PIERCE:
22	point. Now, I don't know if that actually stopped	22	Q. Ms. Kleffner, does there seem to be a
23	the land application. But Mr. Robinson did state	23	break in Lame Deer Creek in this picture?
24	that he wanted land application to stop.	24	A. I would
25	Q. And in your review of the records, did	25	Q. Or Rosebud Creek. Excuse me.
	Page 254		Page 256
1	you come across anything that would indicate that	1	A. I would not consider Google Earth
2	NCUC had locked out Adamas Construction and/or	2	imagery an accurate representation of water flow.
3	their subcontractors from the facilities?	3	That's not consistent with what USGS has on their
4	A. I think that was included in some of the	4	mapping.
5	other exhibits, that NCUC was not present and	5	Q. That wasn't the question. Does this map
6	available and didn't wasn't there for any of the	6	appear to have a break in it?
7	land application. I'm not sure about the lockout	7	A. It does. But I would not consider
8	and any control he had over another how much	8	Google Earth or Google Maps appropriate for
9	control NCUC had over Mr. Robinson's property.	9	THE COURT: Do you let me ask let me
10	Q. What about over their own facility, the	10	ask this as a preliminary question: When you're
11	lagoon facility?	11	making that evaluation
12	A. Yes. As the owner, they have that	12	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
13	right. I'm not sure exactly how much control they	13	THE COURT: which I assume that's an
	fight. This hot suce exactly now much control they		
14	exercised over it and at what point that control is	14	exercise you go through
14 15		14 15	exercise you go through THE WITNESS: Yes.
	exercised over it and at what point that control is		THE WITNESS: Yes.
15	exercised over it and at what point that control is exerted. But I don't know that I could fully	15	
15 16	exercised over it and at what point that control is exerted. But I don't know that I could fully answer that question.	15 16	THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: as part of compliance

1 1 19 flowing and uninterrupted; is that correct? 20 A. Yes. 21 MR. PIERCE: Can I pull up my computer, Your 22 Honor? 23 THE COURT: Sure. 24 MR. PIERCE: You know, I believe last time, 25 it had something to do with us showing the exhibits

64 (Pages 253 to 256)

THE WITNESS: Right. We would use government

not there's jurisdiction and that it's a waterway

mapping systems. Google Earth is great for just

general aerial imagery, but we would not rely on

that to establish whether there's a break in a

waterway on Google Earth's mapping.

over which EPA has jurisdiction?

BRIDGER COURT REPORTERS, INC. (406) 582-0668

19

20

21

22

23

24

ъ 257

	Page 257		Page 259
1	The type of resources that we would use	1	average or normal for that time of year.
2	would be USGS. There's also the oh, gosh, I'm	2	Whenever I ran the precipitation tool,
3	going to get the name wrong the My Waters Mapper	3	any time that there was water within Lame Deer
4	is also another one that's publicly available.	4	Creek, it was found to be either normal conditions
5	There's a wetlands mapper. I think that's put	5	or drier than normal conditions. The
6	together by NWI, which is the National Wetlands	6	drier-than-normal conditions tells me that this
7	Inventory. So we use government we don't rely	7	waterway is not just solely relying on
8	on Google Earth. I would not consider Google Earth	8	precipitation drainage. It probably likely has
9	an accurate representation of a water flow.	9	some kind of other influence that is adding water
10	THE COURT: Do you know, the Army Corps of	10	into the stream that supports it being a perennial
11	Engineers, the information that they develop as it	11	waterway.
12	relates to these jurisdictional issues	12	THE COURT: Okay. All right. So a little
13	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.	13	bit of additional information, but it's helpful for
14	THE COURT: does their technical	14	me to understand.
15	information feed into any of those sources that	15	So go ahead.
16	you're drawing from?	16	MR. PIERCE: Just one follow-up to that, Your
17	THE WITNESS: Yes. So I think it's the	17	Honor.
18	wetlands inventory. I will preface that saying	18	BY MR. PIERCE:
19	anytime that we are looking for a jurisdictional	19	Q. Could Lame Deer Lagoon discharge be
20	determination, it is always preferable to have a	20	contributing to that water?
21	USGS employee there on the site to evaluate it. We	21	A. Um, I don't know for sure that it would
22	wouldn't rely solely on online mapping. So in this	22	be under the influence of Lame Deer Creek enough to
23	instance when it was determined to be a	23	influence the discharge. That would be something
24	jurisdictional water by the U.S. Army Corps of	24	that we would rely on the U.S. Army Corps of
25	Engineer in that 2015 compliance order, that would	25	Engineers to determine if that has a significant
	Page 258		Page 260
1	Page 258 overrule any mapping that we would potentially use.	1	Page 260 enough influence. And I'm not entirely sure that
1 2		1 2	
	overrule any mapping that we would potentially use.		enough influence. And I'm not entirely sure that
2	overrule any mapping that we would potentially use. So we would like to rely on USGS or not USGS, the Army Corps of Engineers making the actual determination. We kind of use the mapping	2	enough influence. And I'm not entirely sure that even if it did discharge, that that would change it
2 3	overrule any mapping that we would potentially use. So we would like to rely on USGS or not USGS, the Army Corps of Engineers making the actual determination. We kind of use the mapping as a as a jumping-off point.	2 3	enough influence. And I'm not entirely sure that even if it did discharge, that that would change it as a jurisdictional water.
2 3 4	overrule any mapping that we would potentially use. So we would like to rely on USGS or not USGS, the Army Corps of Engineers making the actual determination. We kind of use the mapping as a as a jumping-off point. THE COURT: And what did you use for this	2 3 4	enough influence. And I'm not entirely sure thateven if it did discharge, that that would change itas a jurisdictional water.Q. So it has a NPDES permit to discharge,
2 3 4 5	overrule any mapping that we would potentially use. So we would like to rely on USGS or not USGS, the Army Corps of Engineers making the actual determination. We kind of use the mapping as a as a jumping-off point. THE COURT: And what did you use for this case?	2 3 4 5 6 7	 enough influence. And I'm not entirely sure that even if it did discharge, that that would change it as a jurisdictional water. Q. So it has a NPDES permit to discharge, correct? A. Yes. Q. So it would be discharging into the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	overrule any mapping that we would potentially use. So we would like to rely on USGS or not USGS, the Army Corps of Engineers making the actual determination. We kind of use the mapping as a as a jumping-off point. THE COURT: And what did you use for this case? THE WITNESS: So we I started with the	2 3 4 5 6	 enough influence. And I'm not entirely sure that even if it did discharge, that that would change it as a jurisdictional water. Q. So it has a NPDES permit to discharge, correct? A. Yes. Q. So it would be discharging into the creek?
2 3 4 5 7 8 9	overrule any mapping that we would potentially use. So we would like to rely on USGS or not USGS, the Army Corps of Engineers making the actual determination. We kind of use the mapping as a as a jumping-off point. THE COURT: And what did you use for this case? THE WITNESS: So we I started with the USGS map. And then whenever we reviewed that	2 3 4 5 6 7	 enough influence. And I'm not entirely sure that even if it did discharge, that that would change it as a jurisdictional water. Q. So it has a NPDES permit to discharge, correct? A. Yes. Q. So it would be discharging into the creek? A. Yes. But I'm not entirely sure how much
2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10	overrule any mapping that we would potentially use. So we would like to rely on USGS or not USGS, the Army Corps of Engineers making the actual determination. We kind of use the mapping as a as a jumping-off point. THE COURT: And what did you use for this case? THE WITNESS: So we I started with the USGS map. And then whenever we reviewed that compliance order, we found that the Army Corps of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 enough influence. And I'm not entirely sure that even if it did discharge, that that would change it as a jurisdictional water. Q. So it has a NPDES permit to discharge, correct? A. Yes. Q. So it would be discharging into the creek? A. Yes. But I'm not entirely sure how much or how often Lame Deer Lagoon discharges. Like I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	overrule any mapping that we would potentially use. So we would like to rely on USGS or not USGS, the Army Corps of Engineers making the actual determination. We kind of use the mapping as a as a jumping-off point. THE COURT: And what did you use for this case? THE WITNESS: So we I started with the USGS map. And then whenever we reviewed that compliance order, we found that the Army Corps of Engineers had already determined that it was a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 enough influence. And I'm not entirely sure that even if it did discharge, that that would change it as a jurisdictional water. Q. So it has a NPDES permit to discharge, correct? A. Yes. Q. So it would be discharging into the creek? A. Yes. But I'm not entirely sure how much or how often Lame Deer Lagoon discharges. Like I said, that would be something that to determine
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	overrule any mapping that we would potentially use. So we would like to rely on USGS or not USGS, the Army Corps of Engineers making the actual determination. We kind of use the mapping as a as a jumping-off point. THE COURT: And what did you use for this case? THE WITNESS: So we I started with the USGS map. And then whenever we reviewed that compliance order, we found that the Army Corps of Engineers had already determined that it was a jurisdictional water.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 enough influence. And I'm not entirely sure that even if it did discharge, that that would change it as a jurisdictional water. Q. So it has a NPDES permit to discharge, correct? A. Yes. Q. So it would be discharging into the creek? A. Yes. But I'm not entirely sure how much or how often Lame Deer Lagoon discharges. Like I said, that would be something that to determine jurisdictional waters, we would rely on the Corps
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	overrule any mapping that we would potentially use. So we would like to rely on USGS or not USGS, the Army Corps of Engineers making the actual determination. We kind of use the mapping as a as a jumping-off point. THE COURT: And what did you use for this case? THE WITNESS: So we I started with the USGS map. And then whenever we reviewed that compliance order, we found that the Army Corps of Engineers had already determined that it was a jurisdictional water. I also did use something called an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 enough influence. And I'm not entirely sure that even if it did discharge, that that would change it as a jurisdictional water. Q. So it has a NPDES permit to discharge, correct? A. Yes. Q. So it would be discharging into the creek? A. Yes. But I'm not entirely sure how much or how often Lame Deer Lagoon discharges. Like I said, that would be something that to determine jurisdictional waters, we would rely on the Corps of Engineers, which they've established in their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	overrule any mapping that we would potentially use. So we would like to rely on USGS or not USGS, the Army Corps of Engineers making the actual determination. We kind of use the mapping as a as a jumping-off point. THE COURT: And what did you use for this case? THE WITNESS: So we I started with the USGS map. And then whenever we reviewed that compliance order, we found that the Army Corps of Engineers had already determined that it was a jurisdictional water. I also did use something called an Antecedent Precipitation Tool, which is kind of a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 enough influence. And I'm not entirely sure that even if it did discharge, that that would change it as a jurisdictional water. Q. So it has a NPDES permit to discharge, correct? A. Yes. Q. So it would be discharging into the creek? A. Yes. But I'm not entirely sure how much or how often Lame Deer Lagoon discharges. Like I said, that would be something that to determine jurisdictional waters, we would rely on the Corps of Engineers, which they've established in their 2015
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	overrule any mapping that we would potentially use. So we would like to rely on USGS or not USGS, the Army Corps of Engineers making the actual determination. We kind of use the mapping as a as a jumping-off point. THE COURT: And what did you use for this case? THE WITNESS: So we I started with the USGS map. And then whenever we reviewed that compliance order, we found that the Army Corps of Engineers had already determined that it was a jurisdictional water. I also did use something called an Antecedent Precipitation Tool, which is kind of a helpful guide that the Army Corps of Engineers puts	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 enough influence. And I'm not entirely sure that even if it did discharge, that that would change it as a jurisdictional water. Q. So it has a NPDES permit to discharge, correct? A. Yes. Q. So it would be discharging into the creek? A. Yes. But I'm not entirely sure how much or how often Lame Deer Lagoon discharges. Like I said, that would be something that to determine jurisdictional waters, we would rely on the Corps of Engineers, which they've established in their 2015 Q. How often and how much would be in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	overrule any mapping that we would potentially use. So we would like to rely on USGS or not USGS, the Army Corps of Engineers making the actual determination. We kind of use the mapping as a as a jumping-off point. THE COURT: And what did you use for this case? THE WITNESS: So we I started with the USGS map. And then whenever we reviewed that compliance order, we found that the Army Corps of Engineers had already determined that it was a jurisdictional water. I also did use something called an Antecedent Precipitation Tool, which is kind of a helpful guide that the Army Corps of Engineers puts out as well. It's publicly available, and it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 enough influence. And I'm not entirely sure that even if it did discharge, that that would change it as a jurisdictional water. Q. So it has a NPDES permit to discharge, correct? A. Yes. Q. So it would be discharging into the creek? A. Yes. But I'm not entirely sure how much or how often Lame Deer Lagoon discharges. Like I said, that would be something that to determine jurisdictional waters, we would rely on the Corps of Engineers, which they've established in their 2015 Q. How often and how much would be in the NPDES permit as well, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	overrule any mapping that we would potentially use. So we would like to rely on USGS or not USGS, the Army Corps of Engineers making the actual determination. We kind of use the mapping as a as a jumping-off point. THE COURT: And what did you use for this case? THE WITNESS: So we I started with the USGS map. And then whenever we reviewed that compliance order, we found that the Army Corps of Engineers had already determined that it was a jurisdictional water. I also did use something called an Antecedent Precipitation Tool, which is kind of a helpful guide that the Army Corps of Engineers puts out as well. It's publicly available, and it basically takes rainfall information, drought	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 enough influence. And I'm not entirely sure that even if it did discharge, that that would change it as a jurisdictional water. Q. So it has a NPDES permit to discharge, correct? A. Yes. Q. So it would be discharging into the creek? A. Yes. But I'm not entirely sure how much or how often Lame Deer Lagoon discharges. Like I said, that would be something that to determine jurisdictional waters, we would rely on the Corps of Engineers, which they've established in their 2015 Q. How often and how much would be in the NPDES permit as well, correct? A. Typically. Normally, what an NPDES
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	overrule any mapping that we would potentially use. So we would like to rely on USGS or not USGS, the Army Corps of Engineers making the actual determination. We kind of use the mapping as a as a jumping-off point. THE COURT: And what did you use for this case? THE WITNESS: So we I started with the USGS map. And then whenever we reviewed that compliance order, we found that the Army Corps of Engineers had already determined that it was a jurisdictional water. I also did use something called an Antecedent Precipitation Tool, which is kind of a helpful guide that the Army Corps of Engineers puts out as well. It's publicly available, and it basically takes rainfall information, drought information, and then an input of dates. So you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 enough influence. And I'm not entirely sure that even if it did discharge, that that would change it as a jurisdictional water. Q. So it has a NPDES permit to discharge, correct? A. Yes. Q. So it would be discharging into the creek? A. Yes. But I'm not entirely sure how much or how often Lame Deer Lagoon discharges. Like I said, that would be something that to determine jurisdictional waters, we would rely on the Corps of Engineers, which they've established in their 2015 Q. How often and how much would be in the NPDES permit as well, correct? A. Typically. Normally, what an NPDES permit would have in it would be its design flow, a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	overrule any mapping that we would potentially use. So we would like to rely on USGS or not USGS, the Army Corps of Engineers making the actual determination. We kind of use the mapping as a as a jumping-off point. THE COURT: And what did you use for this case? THE WITNESS: So we I started with the USGS map. And then whenever we reviewed that compliance order, we found that the Army Corps of Engineers had already determined that it was a jurisdictional water. I also did use something called an Antecedent Precipitation Tool, which is kind of a helpful guide that the Army Corps of Engineers puts out as well. It's publicly available, and it basically takes rainfall information, drought information, and then an input of dates. So you can choose photographs from Google Earth or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 enough influence. And I'm not entirely sure that even if it did discharge, that that would change it as a jurisdictional water. Q. So it has a NPDES permit to discharge, correct? A. Yes. Q. So it would be discharging into the creek? A. Yes. But I'm not entirely sure how much or how often Lame Deer Lagoon discharges. Like I said, that would be something that to determine jurisdictional waters, we would rely on the Corps of Engineers, which they've established in their 2015 Q. How often and how much would be in the NPDES permit as well, correct? A. Typically. Normally, what an NPDES permit would have in it would be its design flow, a maximum flow, and sometimes they'll do a minimum
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	overrule any mapping that we would potentially use. So we would like to rely on USGS or not USGS, the Army Corps of Engineers making the actual determination. We kind of use the mapping as a as a jumping-off point. THE COURT: And what did you use for this case? THE WITNESS: So we I started with the USGS map. And then whenever we reviewed that compliance order, we found that the Army Corps of Engineers had already determined that it was a jurisdictional water. I also did use something called an Antecedent Precipitation Tool, which is kind of a helpful guide that the Army Corps of Engineers puts out as well. It's publicly available, and it basically takes rainfall information, drought information, and then an input of dates. So you can choose photographs from Google Earth or DigitalGlobe or whatever you would like to use	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 enough influence. And I'm not entirely sure that even if it did discharge, that that would change it as a jurisdictional water. Q. So it has a NPDES permit to discharge, correct? A. Yes. Q. So it would be discharging into the creek? A. Yes. But I'm not entirely sure how much or how often Lame Deer Lagoon discharges. Like I said, that would be something that to determine jurisdictional waters, we would rely on the Corps of Engineers, which they've established in their 2015 Q. How often and how much would be in the NPDES permit as well, correct? A. Typically. Normally, what an NPDES permit would have in it would be its design flow, a maximum flow, and sometimes they'll do a minimum flow. But generally, for wastewater treatment
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	overrule any mapping that we would potentially use. So we would like to rely on USGS or not USGS, the Army Corps of Engineers making the actual determination. We kind of use the mapping as a as a jumping-off point. THE COURT: And what did you use for this case? THE WITNESS: So we I started with the USGS map. And then whenever we reviewed that compliance order, we found that the Army Corps of Engineers had already determined that it was a jurisdictional water. I also did use something called an Antecedent Precipitation Tool, which is kind of a helpful guide that the Army Corps of Engineers puts out as well. It's publicly available, and it basically takes rainfall information, drought information, and then an input of dates. So you can choose photographs from Google Earth or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 enough influence. And I'm not entirely sure that even if it did discharge, that that would change it as a jurisdictional water. Q. So it has a NPDES permit to discharge, correct? A. Yes. Q. So it would be discharging into the creek? A. Yes. But I'm not entirely sure how much or how often Lame Deer Lagoon discharges. Like I said, that would be something that to determine jurisdictional waters, we would rely on the Corps of Engineers, which they've established in their 2015 Q. How often and how much would be in the NPDES permit as well, correct? A. Typically. Normally, what an NPDES permit would have in it would be its design flow, a maximum flow, and sometimes they'll do a minimum

23 necessarily what the facility is actually

24 discharging at any point in time.

Q. You said the tool that you used to

BRIDGER COURT REPORTERS, INC. (406) 582-0668

25

Tool takes all that information, puts it all

within it, within the stream at that point is

together, and tells you whether or not the flow

23

24

25

65 (Pages 257 to 260)

	Page 261		Page 263
1	determine that, you used pictures from Google	1	out of the Lame Deer Lagoon, as it flows down the
2	Earth, correct?	2	stream, does it self-clean?
3	A. Yes, to show that there's water within	3	A. Well, the point of discharge for any
4	the streambed. So Google Earth is kind of hit or	4	facility, it needs to have met the permit limits at
5	miss. If you're just using it for general aerial	5	the point that it's discharged, where it's sampled.
6	imagery, it's fine. There's lots of other services	6	I don't know that I would say that it self-cleans
7	out there like DigitalGlobe. But I would not use	7	once it goes out into the stream. It should
8	it to determine whether or not a stream is	8	already be treated enough, if they're following
9	perennial, ephemeral, or intermittent.	9	their permit, once it discharges into the waterway.
10	Q. But it is being used with relation to	10	Q. So but you would say if it traveled
11	determining flows, correct?	11	over time, that some of the heavier metals or
12	A. Just based on a capture of the	12	anything would continue to precipitate out or to
13	conditions at that time. It's an actual	13	settle out of the water and become part of the
14	photographic capture of the streambed. What you	14	streambed or precipitate out into the air, correct?
15	are showing was kind of the base map underneath the	15	A. So heavy metals are it's this is
16	photographs, which I would not I would not use	16	going to get really convoluted and scientific. So
17	that.	17	heavy metals is the pollutant concentrations are
18	Q. Okay.	18	dependent on the water quality, on hardness
19	A. The base map does not have any, I don't	19	factors. That's usually what's used to determine a
20	think, scientific backing behind the waterways as	20	permit limit. Heavy metals have a really bad
21	they're depicted on Google Earth's base maps.	21	reputation for being dissolved metals. So once
22	Q. Going back to the bodies of the water	22	they are dissolved into a waterway, they don't
23	issue that was brought up again, is there a in	23	necessarily precipitate out. Some of them do.
24	the recent Supreme well, I guess that would call	24	They may attach to sediment particles and end up in
25	for a legal opinion. Sorry.	25	the sediment of a streambed. But metals as a

1	THE COURT: Well, you can ask, and then I can	1	dissolved content, those stay in the stream as a
2	decide if you want to ask it. Are you talking	2	continuous issue until they're either attached to a
3	about Sackett?	3	sediment particle or they're uptaken by wildlife or
4	MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am.	4	plant life. Dissolved metals stay within a
5	THE WITNESS: Okay.	5	streambed, which is why they're so bad for a
6	BY MR. PIERCE:	6	waterway. You do not want metals within a stream
7	Q. In the recent Sackett decision, the	7	because they stay around. They are persistent.
8	EPA or the Supreme Court ruled that in order for	8	Q. Sure. Okay. And I appreciate the
9	it to be a body of water, it had to be somewhat	9	description. I know you and I get it. I know most
10	near a continuous body of water in the United	10	of the other people probably don't get that. The
11	States; is that correct?	11	reason was you said that it was already treated to
12	A. That is talking about wetlands, which	12	a level before it was ever discharged into Lame
13	this is not a wetland issue.	13	Deer Creek, and then it travels 70 miles to get to
14	Q. But it was talking about bodies of the	14	the Yellowstone River; is that correct?
15	water or waters of the United States, correct?	15	A. Yes, it does.
16	A. In a wetland sense. So the most recent	16	Q. So would you consider there is heavy
17	Supreme Court decision is not changing the	17	risk of contamination in that aspect as it has
18	definition of what would be considered a relatively	18	already been treated and then discharged?
19	permanent water or a traditionally navigable water.	19	A. So I'm going to preface this:
20	So that it's mainly concerned with wetlands.	20	Permitting is not my general background, but I do
21	It's not changing anything that would have to do	21	have a little bit of knowledge in the permitting
22	with how Lame Deer Creek is considered as a	22	aspect.
23	jurisdictional water.	23	So Lame Deer Lagoon, if it is
24	Q. Okay. And when for those who don't	24	discharging within that watershed, likely has a
25	know a whole lot about it, when the effluent comes	25	waste load allocation in within its permit

66 (Pages 261 to 264)

BRIDGER COURT REPORTERS, INC. (406) 582-0668

Page 264

-	065
Page	265

	Page 265		Page 267
1	limits. So that basically says this treatment	1	water that is 70 miles away from an original
2	facility can discharge this much dependent on the	2	discharge point is still considered a body of water
3	quality of the water body and how many other	3	of the United States even given the Sackett
4	dischargers are within that watershed. So there's	4	decision?
5	probably a waste load allocation within that	5	A. Yes. It's continuously connected, so
6	permit.	6	yes.
7	I wouldn't say that it's discharging.	7	MR. PIERCE: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
8	The purpose of a permit is to make sure that the	8	THE COURT: Anything else?
9	effluent that's being discharged is not going to	9	MR. MUEHLBERGER: No, Your Honor.
10	overwhelm a water body in addition to the other	10	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much for
11	discharges within that same watershed.	11	your testimony.
12	Q. Well, it also keeps animals from getting	12	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
13	into the water body and carrying that to other	13	THE COURT: All right. Well, it's quarter to
14	areas, correct?	14	4. Who were you planning to call next? Or is
15	A. Um, I suppose that's true.	15	there someone you want to take out of turn to try
16	Q. And that's the point of vector reduction	16	to utilize some of the time we have? We need to be
17	and pathogen reduction, is that	17	out of here by 5. So, you know, I would say we
18	A. If you're talking about just biosolids.	18	probably have another hour to go before we need to
19	So I assumed that you were talking about the Lame	19	start collecting and leaving.
20	Deer	20	And just to clear up, I was given some
21	Q. Well, no. It actually gets to both	21	information about the opening time. It is not 7.
22	points. We have a situation here where it is	22	We had a couple of points of clarification
23	claimed that the actions that this isn't just a	23	throughout today's hearing. The earliest we could
24	process thing, that the actions could have	24	get started is 8, which I'm happy to do tomorrow
25	contaminated or caused contamination. And this	25	morning. But in any event, who is there
	Page 266		Page 268
1	gets directly to that. So the idea is that you	1	somebody you want to cover or at least start
2	have the authority over this due to the Lame Deer	2	covering?
3	Creek and its discharge into a body of water of the	3	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Your Honor, our plan was to
4	United States, and that's why this is a Clean Water	4	call James Courtney. This was the one that would
5	violation. So if there is no actual harm or damage	5	be through video conference on Teams.
6	that has ever been done, how would this be a Clean	6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	Water violation?	7	MR. MUEHLBERGER: And we feel confident we
8	A. So I think that's kind of a	8	can get through the direct examination, at the very
9	mischaracterization of the purpose of Part 503.	9	least, and possibly even into the cross.
10	So, yes, there is a NPDES permit. It does	10	THE COURT: Okay. Great.
11	discharge into a water of the U.S. Biosolids are	11	MR. MUEHLBERGER: And as to when the court
12	coming from the Lame Deer Wastewater Treatment	12	opens tomorrow morning, we are fine with starting
13	Facility that has a NPDES permit.	13	at 8 or whenever the parties agree.
14	Biosolids don't have to be land applied	14	THE COURT: Okay. Is 8 okay for you?
15	within the same watershed. They can be land	15	MR. PIERCE: That's perfectly fine, Your
16	applied anywhere. They can even be land applied	16	Honor.

biosolids regulations at land application site. 24 MR. PIERCE: Okay. Q. Okay. And so you believe that a body of 25 THE COURT: Or technically 7. Is it 7:30 or

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

open?

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

outside of the state that they were generated in.

biosolids, wherever they're land applied, however

So it doesn't -- it is connected to Lame

Deer Lagoon, yes. But it's more important for the

Part 503's real necessity is to make sure that

close or far they are from a water body, isn't

impacting the environment.

67 (Pages 265 to 268)

THE COURT: Okay. So we'll just make a plan

MR. PIERCE: Just for clarification, the

security is ready to welcome people at 7:30.

building will be open, but the courtroom won't be

THE COURT: Yeah. My understanding is the

to start up at 8 tomorrow morning.

	Page 269		Page 271
1	8? We've got a couple of different answers. It	1	goes quickly.
2	might be 8.	2	THE COURT: We'll keep running the record for
3	MR. PIERCE: So would it be better to do	3	the moment. Thank you for checking.
4	8:30?	4	MS. KACSUR: James? James, can you hear me?
5	THE COURT: It might be 8. So why don't we	5	Would it be possible to turn off all of
6	start at 8:30. I don't know if you need do you	6	the microphones? I think it might cause an echo.
7	need a good bit of time to set up? Do you want to	7	Or would that be an issue?
8	make it 8:30, 8:15? Whatever.	8	THE COURT: Well, she'll need to be able to
9	MR. PIERCE: 8:15 is perfectly fine.	9	hear something in order to record, I think, so
10	THE COURT: Is that good for you all?	10	MS. KACSUR: Okay.
11	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Works for us as well.	11	THE COURT: We can turn off
12	THE COURT: I mean, obviously, I'm flexible.	12	MS. MORENO: Shouldn't we still be able to
13	So if we're all here and you need a few extra	13	hear him?
14	minutes, that's really not a problem.	14	MS. KACSUR: He should be projected in the
15	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thank you.	15	room. Does that change things? We're trying to
16	THE COURT: Okay.	16	get it so that we can hear him everywhere.
17	MR. MUEHLBERGER: So in order to make this	17	THE COURT: Okay. Do we need to just
18	one work, we need the judge to be connected to	18	manually push these off?
19	Teams as well as Mr. Pierce and complainant. And	19	MS. MORENO: On your actual computer, I
20	we are about to send the links to the Team site	20	believe. On your actual computer is where you need
21	now.	21	to turn off the volume.
22	THE COURT: Okay. I'm opening up Teams as we	22	THE COURT: So I should mute myself.
23	speak. Mr. Pierce, do you have the ability to open	23	MS. MORENO: Testing. You still picked me
24	up Teams?	24	up.
25	MR. PIERCE: I do, yes, Your Honor. I'm	25	THE COURT: Okay. Now I'm muted. Let me
	Page 270		Page 272
1	trying to look for the e-mail currently.	1	know when you're ready. I'll have to unmute to
2	I do not have it. Did you e-mail that	2	swear him in.
3	Teams invite?	3	MS. MORENO: Okay.
4	MS. MORENO: I'm getting ready to.	4	THE COURT: Hopefully there won't be an echo.
5	MR. PIERCE: Oh, okay. Perfect.	5	MS. KACSUR: This is recorded.
6	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Ms. Almase and I hope	6	It's not projecting. Have you switched
7	I'm pronouncing that correctly would you also	7	it to this table, Sarah?
8	like the link to the	8	MS. MORENO: It's good.
9	MS. ALMASE: Yes, please.	9	MS. KACSUR: Okay. Can you hear me now,
10	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Okay. And also the court	10	Mr. Courtney?
11	reporter. We can send you a link as well if that	11	THE WITNESS: Yes.
12	helps.	12	THE COURT: Okay. You're not projecting in
13	THE REPORTER: Sure.	13	the room yet. Let me see if I can pick it up. Do
14	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Okay. Thank you.	14	I need to turn my speaker off?
15	THE COURT: Otherwise, do you just need to	15	MS. MORENO: Yes.
16	make sure the mics are picking up the voice?	16	THE COURT: Okay. Hold on. We might be
17	THE REPORTER: Yes.	17	getting past the mic technological experience here.
18	THE COURT: Okay.	18	I muted myself. I just turn the volume off, right?
19	THE REPORTER: I just wasn't sure if you	19	MS. MORENO: Do you need help?
20	wanted to go off the record for the tech	20	THE COURT: I think I'm okay now, right?
21 22	THE COURT: Oh, I don't think this is going to take too long. Do we need to go off the record	21 22	You're not hearing anything? Of course, I need to
22	at all for this or no?	22	swear this gentleman in, so I'll have to undo everything I just did before I do that. But
24	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Well, given that it's	24	there's no feedback now. We're good, right?
25	technology, we'll keep our fingers crossed that it	25	MS. KACSUR: I don't hear anything. If at
			68 (Pages 269 to 272)

	Page 273		Page 275
1	any point you need to speak with him, I think you	1	please?
2	can just unmute yourself and do it whenever you	2	A. Yes. James Courtney; J-A-M-E-S,
3	need to. I think that should work.	3	C-O-U-R-T-N-E-Y.
4	THE COURT: Okay. I have the volume muted as	4	Q. And what is your current job,
5	well.	5	Mr. Courtney?
6	MS. KACSUR: Me as well. I was hoping it	6	A. I'm an engineer.
7	would be projecting through the room.	7	Q. And who do you work for?
8	Mr. Courtney, can you hear me?	8	A. The National Park Service.
9	THE WITNESS: Yes.	9	Q. Have you at any point worked for Indian
10	MS. KACSUR: It's not projecting. Hold on	10	Health Service?
11	just one moment, please.	11	A. Yes.
12	THE COURT: Do you want a few minutes to	12	Q. When and for how long did you work
13	figure this out or	13	there?
14	MS. KACSUR: Yes, please, Your Honor. I	14	A. Worked from December, 2016, to, I
15	apologize.	15	believe, May of 2022.
16	THE COURT: No worries. No worries. All	16	Q. And what was your position with IHS?
17	right. I'm just going to leave the computer up and	17	A. I was an engineer for IHS.
18	as is, and I'll come back in five. Is that do	18	Q. So what does an engineer for IHS do?
19	you think that's enough?	19	A. Various tasks. Design, managing
20	MS. KACSUR: I think that should be enough.	20	projects, like construction management.
21	Thank you.	21	Q. And who was your supervisor when you
22	THE COURT: All right. Great.	22	were at IHS?
23	(Whereupon, a brief	23	A. Jim White.
24	recess was taken.)	24	Q. So an engineer for IHS is the position
25	THE COURT: We can go ahead and get back on	25	that you had in 2018, right?

1	the record.	1	A. Yes.
2	Now can Mr. Courtney hear me?	2	Q. What is the relationship between IHS and
3	MS. KACSUR: You are muted.	3	EPA in your field?
4	THE WITNESS: Yes.	4	A. Indian Health Service often collaborates
5	THE COURT: You can hear me?	5	with EPA to address sanitation deficiencies. So if
6	THE WITNESS: I think I'm hearing you through	6	there's violations on a reservation, IHS looks to
7	a counselor's mic. You still seem to be muted.	7	the EPA to try to get those addressed. Oftentimes,
8	THE COURT: Okay. Well, I won't mess around	8	IHS will provide technical assistance, sometimes
9	with my controls, then, so I don't generate	9	financial reimbursement agreements with the tribe
10	feedback. I can hear you. If you can hear me,	10	to address different sanitation deficiencies.
11	then I'm going to place you under oath. So if	11	Q. So what is the role of IHS when it comes
12	you'd raise your right hand.	12	to wastewater treatment specifically?
13		13	A. So the role of the program that I was
14	JAMES COURTNEY	14	with was a sanitation facilities construction
15	called as a witness on behalf of the complainant,	15	program within Indian Health Service. And the role
16	having been first duly sworn testified as follows:	16	of this program is to improve health of Indians
17		17	through primarily water and wastewater projects.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Thanks very much.	18	Q. Are you familiar with wastewater
19	The witness has been sworn. Please go	19	treatment facilities?
20	ahead.	20	A. Yes.
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION	21	Q. How are you familiar with them?
22	BY MS. KACSUR:	22	A. Both my educational background and work
23	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Courtney. Thank you	23	experience.
24	for joining us today.	24	Q. How often have you worked with
25	Can you state and spell your full name,	25	wastewater treatment facilities?

69 (Pages 273 to 276)

Page 276
L

	Page 277		Page 279
1	A. Fairly consistently. Not my current	1	contaminants. They wanted to cut down the
2	role. But when I was working for Indian Health	2	concentration levels. So there was a project that
3	Service, it was very common to work with both	3	the Indian Health Service was providing funds for
4	community systems and individual on-site wastewater	4	to address the deficiency.
5	treatment systems.	5	Q. And were you involved in that project?
6	Q. Are you familiar with the	6	A. Yes.
7	responsibilities or the duties of someone who	7	Q. Can you describe I guess more generally
8	operates a wastewater treatment facility?	8	any other role you might have had at the Lame Deer
9	A. Yes.	9	POTW or Publicly-Owned Treatment Works?
10	Q. Could you describe some of those	10	A. So, yeah. Besides the project, I've
11	responsibilities and duties?	11	been out there on different occasions just for,
12	A. Yes. So for, say, a plant that's	12	say, when for inspecting it. I guess if there's
13	discharging into a stream, there's reporting	13	like an EPA inspection, I would go out and look at
14	requirements, there's different testing that has to	14	the lagoon. That's primarily to identify issues
15	be done for compliance. On reservations, the	15	that the Indian Health Service can assist with,
16	primacy agency is usually the Environmental	16	assist the tribe with.
17	Protection Agency.	17	Q. Do you know what body of water the Lame
18	Q. Are you familiar with the federal	18	Deer POTW discharges into?
19	regulations regarding biosolids or sewage sludge?	19	A. I believe it discharges into Lame Deer
20	A. Yes, I'm familiar with them.	20	Creek.
21	Q. Do you know what their purpose is?	21	Q. Can you describe the creek for me?
22	A. Yes. The purpose is to keep the public	22	A. It's a creek that I believe runs
23	safe.	23	year-round. It runs through the town of Lame Deer.
24	Q. Do you are you familiar with any of	24	Q. Are you familiar with Sheri Bement?
25	the specific requirements of those regulations?	25	A. Yes. She's the previous general manager
	Page 278		Page 280
1	A. Yes. There's requirements for testing	1	of the Northern Cheyenne Utilities Commission.
2	the soil, the receiving soils for the sludge.	2	Q. What was her role at the Lame Deer POTW?
3	There's requirements for testing the sludge for	3	A. She was basically head of the utility
4	contaminants before it's applied. Yeah. Those are	4	operations, so water and wastewater on the
5	a couple of the requirements of that.	5	reservation. She was supervising different
б	Q. Does IHS get involved in the management	6	personnel that would, say, do checks on the system.
7	of biosolids?	7	I believe she was the contact at one time for EPA.
8	A. So for IHS's involvement with biosolids,	8	Q. Was she an engineer or a technical
9	there can be funding of projects sometimes to	9	specialist like you?
10	address, say, a sanitation deficiency. There can	10	A. She was not.
11	be technical assistance. Those are probably the	11	Q. Do you know Mr. Nathan Pierce?
12	two two primary ways that Indian Health Service	12	A. Could you say that again? You cut out.
13	would get involved in something like that.	13	Sorry.
14	Q. Shifting gears a little bit to this case	14	Q. Yeah. Do you know Mr. Nathan Pierce?
15	specifically. Are you familiar with the Lame Deer	15	A. Yes.
16	Publicly-Owned Treatment Works?	16	Q. And how do you know Mr. Pierce?

A. Yes.

17 Q. What is it, and how are you familiar 18 19 with it? 20 A. So that's a community wastewater system. 21 It consists of wastewater lagoons. So basically 22 ponds that treat the wastewater that discharges

- 23 into a creek at the end of it. I'm familiar with 24 that system because there was a -- an effluent
- 25 deficiency where they're exceeding some

70 (Pages 277 to 280)

A. I believe he was acting as a consultant

previously. He gained involvement in the sludge

Q. This sludge removal effort, how familiar

are you with that project then? Can you describe

for the utility, so I'd see him on different jobs

A. Yes, I'm fairly familiar with it. It

was basically a project that involved removing

removal effort at the lagoon.

BRIDGER COURT REPORTERS, INC. (406) 582-0668

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

it?

Page 281

	Page 281		Page 283
1	settled sludge out of the individual the	1	was being applied to, what that was?
2	wastewater ponds to allow these aeration treatment	2	A. I believe it was mainly agricultural
3	units to be installed. These were units that sat	3	lands. I think he had cows.
4	on the bottom of these ponds. And it had growth	4	MS. KACSUR: Let's take a look at an exhibit.
5	media inside, and it had bubblers, so it would	5	Can we pull up CX45? This is going to be at page
6	increase the treatment of the system to improve the	6	33. Do we have the first page of the exhibit? We
7	discharge.	7	can just go to the top.
8	Q. When was this project performed?	8	BY MS. KACSUR:
9	A. It was performed around 2018.	9	Q. Do you recognize this document?
10	Q. And who funded the project?	10	A. Yes. I believe it's an e-mail from me
11	A. So the Indian Health Service had a	11	to the EPA.
12	reimbursement agreement with the utility for	12	Q. And what is it dated?
13	completing the work.	13	A. It's dated November 20th, 2019.
14	Q. As far as that agreement, who was in	14	Q. And what was the purpose of this e-mail?
15	charge of the sewage sludge removal and application	15	A. I believe it was at the request
16	project?	16	of the EPA was requesting information about
17	A. So my understanding is that the utility	17	activities surrounding the sludge application.
18	contracted with Nathan Pierce to remove the sludge.	18	Q. What all did this e-mail contain?
19	Q. Did the utility provide a lot of	19	A. I believe it contained various
20	oversight or direction during the project?	20	correspondence that I it might have been of
20	A. They provided very minimal oversight.	20	interest to the EPA concerning the supposed 503
21	Q. Who was primarily giving oversight for	22	issues.
23	this project?	23	MS. KACSUR: Can you scroll to page 33 of the
23	A. The work that Nathan Pierce was	24	exhibit.
25	completing was via a contract with the utility. So	25	BY MS. KACSUR:
23	completing was via a contract with the damage 50		DT WO. MACOOK.
	Page 282		Page 284
1	_	1	-
1 2	Page 282 the utility had some oversight for trying to see if the contract would be fulfilled.	1	Q. This is CX45 at page 33. Do you
	the utility had some oversight for trying to see if the contract would be fulfilled.		-
2	the utility had some oversight for trying to see if	2	Q. This is CX45 at page 33. Do you recognize this document?
2 3	 the utility had some oversight for trying to see if the contract would be fulfilled. Q. Can you sorry. Go on. A. Yeah. As far as Indian Health Service 	2 3	Q. This is CX45 at page 33. Do you recognize this document?A. Yes. I believe that's a letter from Adamas Construction to Sheri Bement.
2 3 4	 the utility had some oversight for trying to see if the contract would be fulfilled. Q. Can you - sorry. Go on. A. Yeah. As far as Indian Health Service is involved, we had a reimbursement agreement with 	2 3 4	 Q. This is CX45 at page 33. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. I believe that's a letter from Adamas Construction to Sheri Bement. Q. And how did you obtain this letter?
2 3 4 5	 the utility had some oversight for trying to see if the contract would be fulfilled. Q. Can you sorry. Go on. A. Yeah. As far as Indian Health Service is involved, we had a reimbursement agreement with the utility for the work to be completed. So my 	2 3 4 5	Q. This is CX45 at page 33. Do you recognize this document?A. Yes. I believe that's a letter from Adamas Construction to Sheri Bement.
2 3 4 5 6	 the utility had some oversight for trying to see if the contract would be fulfilled. Q. Can you sorry. Go on. A. Yeah. As far as Indian Health Service is involved, we had a reimbursement agreement with the utility for the work to be completed. So my involvement was to see if that work was being 	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. This is CX45 at page 33. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. I believe that's a letter from Adamas Construction to Sheri Bement. Q. And how did you obtain this letter? A. I think I might have been copied on that
2 3 4 5 6 7	 the utility had some oversight for trying to see if the contract would be fulfilled. Q. Can you sorry. Go on. A. Yeah. As far as Indian Health Service is involved, we had a reimbursement agreement with the utility for the work to be completed. So my 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. This is CX45 at page 33. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. I believe that's a letter from Adamas Construction to Sheri Bement. Q. And how did you obtain this letter? A. I think I might have been copied on that or it was shared with me from the tribe. I'm uncertain.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 the utility had some oversight for trying to see if the contract would be fulfilled. Q. Can you - sorry. Go on. A. Yeah. As far as Indian Health Service is involved, we had a reimbursement agreement with the utility for the work to be completed. So my involvement was to see if that work was being completed according to the agreement that we had with the utility. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. This is CX45 at page 33. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. I believe that's a letter from Adamas Construction to Sheri Bement. Q. And how did you obtain this letter? A. I think I might have been copied on that or it was shared with me from the tribe. I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 the utility had some oversight for trying to see if the contract would be fulfilled. Q. Can you sorry. Go on. A. Yeah. As far as Indian Health Service is involved, we had a reimbursement agreement with the utility for the work to be completed. So my involvement was to see if that work was being completed according to the agreement that we had with the utility. Q. Can you just taking a step 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. This is CX45 at page 33. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. I believe that's a letter from Adamas Construction to Sheri Bement. Q. And how did you obtain this letter? A. I think I might have been copied on that or it was shared with me from the tribe. I'm uncertain. Q. Can I have you read the highlighted text
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 the utility had some oversight for trying to see if the contract would be fulfilled. Q. Can you - sorry. Go on. A. Yeah. As far as Indian Health Service is involved, we had a reimbursement agreement with the utility for the work to be completed. So my involvement was to see if that work was being completed according to the agreement that we had with the utility. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. This is CX45 at page 33. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. I believe that's a letter from Adamas Construction to Sheri Bement. Q. And how did you obtain this letter? A. I think I might have been copied on that or it was shared with me from the tribe. I'm uncertain. Q. Can I have you read the highlighted text on this page?
2 3 6 7 8 9 10	 the utility had some oversight for trying to see if the contract would be fulfilled. Q. Can you sorry. Go on. A. Yeah. As far as Indian Health Service is involved, we had a reimbursement agreement with the utility for the work to be completed. So my involvement was to see if that work was being completed according to the agreement that we had with the utility. Q. Can you just taking a step back describe, I guess, more generally what this project looked like and all of the steps that were 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. This is CX45 at page 33. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. I believe that's a letter from Adamas Construction to Sheri Bement. Q. And how did you obtain this letter? A. I think I might have been copied on that or it was shared with me from the tribe. I'm uncertain. Q. Can I have you read the highlighted text on this page? MS. KACSUR: Please scroll down.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	 the utility had some oversight for trying to see if the contract would be fulfilled. Q. Can you – sorry. Go on. A. Yeah. As far as Indian Health Service is involved, we had a reimbursement agreement with the utility for the work to be completed. So my involvement was to see if that work was being completed according to the agreement that we had with the utility. Q. Can you – just taking a step back – describe, I guess, more generally what this project looked like and all of the steps that were taken in this project? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. This is CX45 at page 33. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. I believe that's a letter from Adamas Construction to Sheri Bement. Q. And how did you obtain this letter? A. I think I might have been copied on that or it was shared with me from the tribe. I'm uncertain. Q. Can I have you read the highlighted text on this page? MS. KACSUR: Please scroll down. THE WITNESS: Yes. "Work will be completed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 the utility had some oversight for trying to see if the contract would be fulfilled. Q. Can you sorry. Go on. A. Yeah. As far as Indian Health Service is involved, we had a reimbursement agreement with the utility for the work to be completed. So my involvement was to see if that work was being completed according to the agreement that we had with the utility. Q. Can you just taking a step back describe, I guess, more generally what this project looked like and all of the steps that were 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. This is CX45 at page 33. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. I believe that's a letter from Adamas Construction to Sheri Bement. Q. And how did you obtain this letter? A. I think I might have been copied on that or it was shared with me from the tribe. I'm uncertain. Q. Can I have you read the highlighted text on this page? MS. KACSUR: Please scroll down. THE WITNESS: Yes. "Work will be completed according to the standards of the Northern Cheyenne
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 the utility had some oversight for trying to see if the contract would be fulfilled. Q. Can you - sorry. Go on. A. Yeah. As far as Indian Health Service is involved, we had a reimbursement agreement with the utility for the work to be completed. So my involvement was to see if that work was being completed according to the agreement that we had with the utility. Q. Can you - just taking a step back describe, I guess, more generally what this project looked like and all of the steps that were taken in this project? A. Yes. So for the sludge removal, I mean, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. This is CX45 at page 33. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. I believe that's a letter from Adamas Construction to Sheri Bement. Q. And how did you obtain this letter? A. I think I might have been copied on that or it was shared with me from the tribe. I'm uncertain. Q. Can I have you read the highlighted text on this page? MS. KACSUR: Please scroll down. THE WITNESS: Yes. "Work will be completed according to the standards of the Northern Cheyenne Tribal Regulations, U.S. Environmental Protection
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 the utility had some oversight for trying to see if the contract would be fulfilled. Q. Can you – sorry. Go on. A. Yeah. As far as Indian Health Service is involved, we had a reimbursement agreement with the utility for the work to be completed. So my involvement was to see if that work was being completed according to the agreement that we had with the utility. Q. Can you – just taking a step back describe, I guess, more generally what this project looked like and all of the steps that were taken in this project? A. Yes. So for the sludge removal, I mean, there's normally, there's different ways to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. This is CX45 at page 33. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. I believe that's a letter from Adamas Construction to Sheri Bement. Q. And how did you obtain this letter? A. I think I might have been copied on that or it was shared with me from the tribe. I'm uncertain. Q. Can I have you read the highlighted text on this page? MS. KACSUR: Please scroll down. THE WITNESS: Yes. "Work will be completed according to the standards of the Northern Cheyenne Tribal Regulations, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, including EPA Part 503 Compliant 40 USC 503
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 the utility had some oversight for trying to see if the contract would be fulfilled. Q. Can you sorry. Go on. A. Yeah. As far as Indian Health Service is involved, we had a reimbursement agreement with the utility for the work to be completed. So my involvement was to see if that work was being completed according to the agreement that we had with the utility. Q. Can you just taking a step back describe, I guess, more generally what this project looked like and all of the steps that were taken in this project? A. Yes. So for the sludge removal, I mean, there's normally, there's different ways to remove sludge. In this case, a dredge was used, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. This is CX45 at page 33. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. I believe that's a letter from Adamas Construction to Sheri Bement. Q. And how did you obtain this letter? A. I think I might have been copied on that or it was shared with me from the tribe. I'm uncertain. Q. Can I have you read the highlighted text on this page? MS. KACSUR: Please scroll down. THE WITNESS: Yes. "Work will be completed according to the standards of the Northern Cheyenne Tribal Regulations, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, including EPA Part 503 Compliant 40 USC 503 et. seq."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 the utility had some oversight for trying to see if the contract would be fulfilled. Q. Can you – sorry. Go on. A. Yeah. As far as Indian Health Service is involved, we had a reimbursement agreement with the utility for the work to be completed. So my involvement was to see if that work was being completed according to the agreement that we had with the utility. Q. Can you – just taking a step back – describe, I guess, more generally what this project looked like and all of the steps that were taken in this project? A. Yes. So for the sludge removal, I mean, there's – normally, there's different ways to remove sludge. In this case, a dredge was used, and that was pumped into different tanks. Then the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. This is CX45 at page 33. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. I believe that's a letter from Adamas Construction to Sheri Bement. Q. And how did you obtain this letter? A. I think I might have been copied on that or it was shared with me from the tribe. I'm uncertain. Q. Can I have you read the highlighted text on this page? MS. KACSUR: Please scroll down. THE WITNESS: Yes. "Work will be completed according to the standards of the Northern Cheyenne Tribal Regulations, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, including EPA Part 503 Compliant 40 USC 503 et. seq." MS. KACSUR: And can I have you go to page 37
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 the utility had some oversight for trying to see if the contract would be fulfilled. Q. Can you – sorry. Go on. A. Yeah. As far as Indian Health Service is involved, we had a reimbursement agreement with the utility for the work to be completed. So my involvement was to see if that work was being completed according to the agreement that we had with the utility. Q. Can you – just taking a step back – describe, I guess, more generally what this project looked like and all of the steps that were taken in this project? A. Yes. So for the sludge removal, I mean, there's – normally, there's different ways to remove sludge. In this case, a dredge was used, and that was pumped into different tanks. Then the sludge was moved from those tanks to a – moved to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. This is CX45 at page 33. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. I believe that's a letter from Adamas Construction to Sheri Bement. Q. And how did you obtain this letter? A. I think I might have been copied on that or it was shared with me from the tribe. I'm uncertain. Q. Can I have you read the highlighted text on this page? MS. KACSUR: Please scroll down. THE WITNESS: Yes. "Work will be completed according to the standards of the Northern Cheyenne Tribal Regulations, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, including EPA Part 503 Compliant 40 USC 503 et. seq." MS. KACSUR: And can I have you go to page 37 of CX45?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 the utility had some oversight for trying to see if the contract would be fulfilled. Q. Can you – sorry. Go on. A. Yeah. As far as Indian Health Service is involved, we had a reimbursement agreement with the utility for the work to be completed. So my involvement was to see if that work was being completed according to the agreement that we had with the utility. Q. Can you – just taking a step back – describe, I guess, more generally what this project looked like and all of the steps that were taken in this project? A. Yes. So for the sludge removal, I mean, there's – normally, there's different ways to remove sludge. In this case, a dredge was used, and that was pumped into different tanks. Then the sludge was moved from those tanks to a moved to lands to be incorporated. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. This is CX45 at page 33. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. I believe that's a letter from Adamas Construction to Sheri Bement. Q. And how did you obtain this letter? A. I think I might have been copied on that or it was shared with me from the tribe. I'm uncertain. Q. Can I have you read the highlighted text on this page? MS. KACSUR: Please scroll down. THE WITNESS: Yes. "Work will be completed according to the standards of the Northern Cheyenne Tribal Regulations, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, including EPA Part 503 Compliant 40 USC 503 et. seq." MS. KACSUR: And can I have you go to page 37 of CX45? BY MS. KACSUR:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 the utility had some oversight for trying to see if the contract would be fulfilled. Q. Can you – sorry. Go on. A. Yeah. As far as Indian Health Service is involved, we had a reimbursement agreement with the utility for the work to be completed. So my involvement was to see if that work was being completed according to the agreement that we had with the utility. Q. Can you – just taking a step back – describe, I guess, more generally what this project looked like and all of the steps that were taken in this project? A. Yes. So for the sludge removal, I mean, there's – normally, there's different ways to remove sludge. In this case, a dredge was used, and that was pumped into different tanks. Then the sludge was moved from those tanks to a moved to lands to be incorporated. Q. Do you know where the sludge was being 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. This is CX45 at page 33. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. I believe that's a letter from Adamas Construction to Sheri Bement. Q. And how did you obtain this letter? A. I think I might have been copied on that or it was shared with me from the tribe. I'm uncertain. Q. Can I have you read the highlighted text on this page? MS. KACSUR: Please scroll down. THE WITNESS: Yes. "Work will be completed according to the standards of the Northern Cheyenne Tribal Regulations, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, including EPA Part 503 Compliant 40 USC 503 et. seq." MS. KACSUR: And can I have you go to page 37 of CX45? BY MS. KACSUR:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 the utility had some oversight for trying to see if the contract would be fulfilled. Q. Can you – sorry. Go on. A. Yeah. As far as Indian Health Service is involved, we had a reimbursement agreement with the utility for the work to be completed. So my involvement was to see if that work was being completed according to the agreement that we had with the utility. Q. Can you – just taking a step back – describe, I guess, more generally what this project looked like and all of the steps that were taken in this project? A. Yes. So for the sludge removal, I mean, there's – normally, there's different ways to remove sludge. In this case, a dredge was used, and that was pumped into different tanks. Then the sludge was moved from those tanks to a moved to lands to be incorporated. Q. Do you know where the sludge was being applied? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. This is CX45 at page 33. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. I believe that's a letter from Adamas Construction to Sheri Bement. Q. And how did you obtain this letter? A. I think I might have been copied on that or it was shared with me from the tribe. I'm uncertain. Q. Can I have you read the highlighted text on this page? MS. KACSUR: Please scroll down. THE WITNESS: Yes. "Work will be completed according to the standards of the Northern Cheyenne Tribal Regulations, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, including EPA Part 503 Compliant 40 USC 503 et. seq." MS. KACSUR: And can I have you go to page 37 of CX45? BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. I believe that's a letter or an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 the utility had some oversight for trying to see if the contract would be fulfilled. Q. Can you – sorry. Go on. A. Yeah. As far as Indian Health Service is involved, we had a reimbursement agreement with the utility for the work to be completed. So my involvement was to see if that work was being completed according to the agreement that we had with the utility. Q. Can you – just taking a step back – describe, I guess, more generally what this project looked like and all of the steps that were taken in this project? A. Yes. So for the sludge removal, I mean, there's – normally, there's different ways to remove sludge. In this case, a dredge was used, and that was pumped into different tanks. Then the sludge was moved from those tanks to a moved to lands to be incorporated. Q. Do you know where the sludge was being applied? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. This is CX45 at page 33. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. I believe that's a letter from Adamas Construction to Sheri Bement. Q. And how did you obtain this letter? A. I think I might have been copied on that or it was shared with me from the tribe. I'm uncertain. Q. Can I have you read the highlighted text on this page? MS. KACSUR: Please scroll down. THE WITNESS: Yes. "Work will be completed according to the standards of the Northern Cheyenne Tribal Regulations, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, including EPA Part 503 Compliant 40 USC 503 et. seq." MS. KACSUR: And can I have you go to page 37 of CX45? BY MS. KACSUR: Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. I believe that's a letter or an e-mail from Adamas Construction to me regarding the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 the utility had some oversight for trying to see if the contract would be fulfilled. Q. Can you – sorry. Go on. A. Yeah. As far as Indian Health Service is involved, we had a reimbursement agreement with the utility for the work to be completed. So my involvement was to see if that work was being completed according to the agreement that we had with the utility. Q. Can you – just taking a step back describe, I guess, more generally what this project looked like and all of the steps that were taken in this project? A. Yes. So for the sludge removal, I mean, there's normally, there's different ways to remove sludge. In this case, a dredge was used, and that was pumped into different tanks. Then the sludge was moved from those tanks to a moved to lands to be incorporated. Q. Do you know where the sludge was being applied? A. It was being applied within Lame Deer or the Northern Cheyenne Reservation on I believe 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. This is CX45 at page 33. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. I believe that's a letter from Adamas Construction to Sheri Bement. Q. And how did you obtain this letter? A. I think I might have been copied on that or it was shared with me from the tribe. I'm uncertain. Q. Can I have you read the highlighted text on this page? MS. KACSUR: Please scroll down. THE WITNESS: Yes. "Work will be completed according to the standards of the Northern Cheyenne Tribal Regulations, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, including EPA Part 503 Compliant 40 USC 503 et. seq." MS. KACSUR: And can I have you go to page 37 of CX45? BY MS. KACSUR: Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. I believe that's a letter or an e-mail from Adamas Construction to me regarding the project.

71 (Pages 281 to 284)

	Page 285		Page 287
1	Q. Was this e-mail part of the set of	1	MS. KACSUR: Can I have you scroll down?
2	documents that you sent to EPA on November 20th,	2	BY MS. KACSUR:
3	2019?	3	Q. And can I have you read the highlighted
4	A. I believe so.	4	line?
5	Q. Can I have you read the highlighted text	5	A. Yes. "We respectfully request payment
6	there?	6	for the pumping, hauling and application of the
7	A. Yes. "Per Adamas Construction and	7	sludge. If your origination would like us to
8	Development's policies and procedures, all land	8	remove additional amounts, please send us an
9	applications will meet or exceed the requirements	9	appropriate change order."
10	of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA,	10	Q. Can you summarize for us what Mr. Pierce
11	Part 503 Compliant 40 USC 503 et. seq."	11	was requesting in this e-mail?
12	Q. This e-mail was sent before the project	12	A. So I believe he was requesting for
13	began, right?	13	IHS to make payment to the utility for the
14	A. I believe yeah, I believe it was	14	sludge removal that he was it seems like he's
15	before the work was commencing.	15	seeking additional payment for additional sludge
16	Q. Based on this statement, who did you	16	removal.
17	believe to be responsible for compliance with the	17	MS. KACSUR: Let's look now at CX9, starting
18	biosolids regulations in Part 503?	18	on page 1.
19	A. I believe Adamas Construction was	19	BY MS. KACSUR:
20	assuming responsibility for 503 compliance.	20	Q. Do you recognize this document?
21	MS. KACSUR: Let's turn now to CX4. And	21	A. Yes. It's a trip report that I produced
22	we'll start at page 1.	22	from a site visit to the application site.
23	BY MS. KACSUR:	23	Q. Did you write this report at or about
24	Q. Do you recognize this document?	24	the time that you visited the application site?
25	A. Yes, yes. It appears to be an e-mail	25	A. Yes.
	Page 286		Page 288
1	from Adamas Construction to me.	1	Q. Do you usually prepare reports like this
2	O. And when is it dated?	2	when you were working for IHS?
3	A. July 13th, 2018.	3	A. Yes. At the time, it was standard to
4	Q. And can I have you read the three	4	write a report every time you visit the field.
5	highlighted lines on this e-mail?	5	Q. Can I have you read the first paragraph
6	A. Yes. "We pumped and dewatered a	6	here that's highlighted?

0	ingingitted lines on tins c man.		Q: Can I have you read the hist paragraph
б	A. Yes. "We pumped and dewatered a	6	here that's highlighted?
7	significant amount of sludge on Wednesday.	7	A. Yes. "A property owner in Lame Deer,
8	We took a nitrate sample from the	8	Tommy Robinson, 406-647-8312, contacted Indian
9	application site and delivered it to Energy Lab in	9	Health Service on August 27th, 2018, to express
10	Billings.	10	dissatisfaction with the sludge application on his
11	We will be on-site today pumping sludge.	11	property from the Lame Deer Lagoon desludging
12	We should be ready to begin hauling and application	12	operation. He stated that the sludge did not seem
13	next week."	13	appropriately spread during the application and
14	Q. Based on this e-mail, who appears to be	14	that this made tilling difficult. The
15	in charge of the removal and application project?	15	subcontractor has also been refusing to provide the
16	A. Adamas Construction.	16	target application rates for the sludge, laboratory
17	MS. KACSUR: Let's turn back now to CX45 at	17	tests, and application logs."
18	page 43. And for the record, the witness has	18	Q. Who is the subcontractor referred to in
19	established he's familiar with CX45.	19	that last sentence?
20	BY MS. KACSUR:	20	A. Adamas Construction.
21	Q. Do you recognize this document?	21	Q. And who was he refusing to give records
22	A. Yes. It's a letter from Adamas	22	to?
23	Construction that was sent to IHS and the utility.	23	A. He wasn't providing the records to the
24	Q. And what is the date of this?	24	utility.
25	A. August 16th, 2018.	25	O. As far as you know, did Adamas or

A. August 16th, 2018.

Q. As far as you know, did Adamas or

72 (Pages 285 to 288)

	Page 289		Page 291
1	Mr. Pierce share records with anybody?	1	entertain an objection. Can you hear me,
2	A. I'm not aware of him sharing the	2	Mr. Courtney? He can't hear me now.
3	records.	3	MS. MORENO: Is your speaker on?
4	MS. KACSUR: Can we scroll to page 4, also in	4	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Unmute your computer.
5	CX9?	5	MS. KACSUR: Unmute your computer.
6	BY MS. KACSUR:	6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	Q. And can I have you read the highlighted		MS. KACSUR: You should be good to go.
8	text here?	8	THE COURT: Can you hear me now?
° 9	A. Yes. "The subcontractor performing	9	THE WITNESS: You're kind of quiet, but I can
		10	still hear.
10	the sludge removal work, Nathan Pierce from		
11	Adamas Construction, 406-697-3022,	11	THE COURT: Okay. Let me make this
12	adamas.mt.406@gmail.com, 16550 Cottontail Trail,	12	adjustment. Is it better now?
13	Shepherd, Montana 59079, is currently claiming that	13	THE WITNESS: Yes.
14	the applied sludge is approximately ten times the	14	THE COURT: Okay. Yeah. I just wanted you
15	original concentration of the sludge removed from	15	to pause because there's an objection I need to
16	the lagoon."	16	address from Mr. Pierce.
17	Q. As far as you know, did Tom Robinson	17	Go ahead, Mr. Pierce, if you want to
18	assist in the application of the sewage sludge?	18	state your objection.
19	A. I'm not aware of him assisting.	19	MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I mean, this is a
20	Q. And who submitted this complaint to IHS?	20	correspondence between my attorney and some other
21	A. I believe the complaint was with a phone	21	person at IHS. Mr. Courtney said that he was aware
22	call with Tommy Robinson where he was complaining	22	the document was forwarded to him. He doesn't seem
23	about the sludge.	23	to be very personally familiar with the document.
24	MS. KACSUR: Let's turn now back to CX45 at	24	And he doesn't have any real, you know, actual
25	page 13.	25	firsthand knowledge that he's providing. The
	Daga 200		
	Page 290		Page 292
1	BY MS. KACSUR:	1	
1 2	BY MS. KACSUR:	1 2	document is already a matter of the record. And if
2	BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Do you recognize this document?	2	document is already a matter of the record. And if we're here just to find facts, then it's already a
2 3	BY MS. KACSUR:Q. Do you recognize this document?A. Let's see. Yeah. It's an e-mail from the HHS regional counsel to I believe Adamas	2 3	document is already a matter of the record. And if we're here just to find facts, then it's already a fact.
2 3 4	BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Do you recognize this document? A. Let's see. Yeah. It's an e-mail from the HHS regional counsel to I believe Adamas Construction's or Nathan Pierce's lawyer.	2 3 4	document is already a matter of the record. And if we're here just to find facts, then it's already a fact. MS. KACSUR: Your Honor, I just wanted
2 3 4 5	BY MS. KACSUR:Q. Do you recognize this document?A. Let's see. Yeah. It's an e-mail from the HHS regional counsel to I believe Adamas	2 3 4 5	document is already a matter of the record. And if we're here just to find facts, then it's already a fact. MS. KACSUR: Your Honor, I just wanted Mr. Courtney to identify the e-mail that
2 3 4 5 6	 BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Do you recognize this document? A. Let's see. Yeah. It's an e-mail from the HHS regional counsel to I believe Adamas Construction's or Nathan Pierce's lawyer. Q. And how did you get ahold of this 	2 3 4 5 6	document is already a matter of the record. And if we're here just to find facts, then it's already a fact. MS. KACSUR: Your Honor, I just wanted Mr. Courtney to identify the e-mail that specifically started at the bottom of page 13. And he's familiar with this e-mail chain, as he said.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Do you recognize this document? A. Let's see. Yeah. It's an e-mail from the HHS regional counsel to I believe Adamas Construction's or Nathan Pierce's lawyer. Q. And how did you get ahold of this e-mail? 	2 3 4 5 6 7	document is already a matter of the record. And if we're here just to find facts, then it's already a fact. MS. KACSUR: Your Honor, I just wanted Mr. Courtney to identify the e-mail that specifically started at the bottom of page 13. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Do you recognize this document? A. Let's see. Yeah. It's an e-mail from the HHS regional counsel to I believe Adamas Construction's or Nathan Pierce's lawyer. Q. And how did you get ahold of this e-mail? A. Um, I believe it was forwarded to me 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	document is already a matter of the record. And if we're here just to find facts, then it's already a fact. MS. KACSUR: Your Honor, I just wanted Mr. Courtney to identify the e-mail that specifically started at the bottom of page 13. And he's familiar with this e-mail chain, as he said. It was forwarded to him by Regional counsel for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Do you recognize this document? A. Let's see. Yeah. It's an e-mail from the HHS regional counsel to I believe Adamas Construction's or Nathan Pierce's lawyer. Q. And how did you get ahold of this e-mail? A. Um, I believe it was forwarded to me just to be aware of the issues around the projects. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	document is already a matter of the record. And if we're here just to find facts, then it's already a fact. MS. KACSUR: Your Honor, I just wanted Mr. Courtney to identify the e-mail that specifically started at the bottom of page 13. And he's familiar with this e-mail chain, as he said. It was forwarded to him by Regional counsel for the agency he worked for at the time regarding a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Do you recognize this document? A. Let's see. Yeah. It's an e-mail from the HHS regional counsel to I believe Adamas Construction's or Nathan Pierce's lawyer. Q. And how did you get ahold of this e-mail? A. Um, I believe it was forwarded to me just to be aware of the issues around the projects. MS. KACSUR: Can we scroll to the bottom of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	document is already a matter of the record. And if we're here just to find facts, then it's already a fact. MS. KACSUR: Your Honor, I just wanted Mr. Courtney to identify the e-mail that specifically started at the bottom of page 13. And he's familiar with this e-mail chain, as he said. It was forwarded to him by Regional counsel for the agency he worked for at the time regarding a project he was involved in.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Do you recognize this document? A. Let's see. Yeah. It's an e-mail from the HHS regional counsel to I believe Adamas Construction's or Nathan Pierce's lawyer. Q. And how did you get ahold of this e-mail? A. Um, I believe it was forwarded to me just to be aware of the issues around the projects. MS. KACSUR: Can we scroll to the bottom of page 13? Can you toggle it, actually, so the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	document is already a matter of the record. And if we're here just to find facts, then it's already a fact. MS. KACSUR: Your Honor, I just wanted Mr. Courtney to identify the e-mail that specifically started at the bottom of page 13. And he's familiar with this e-mail chain, as he said. It was forwarded to him by Regional counsel for the agency he worked for at the time regarding a project he was involved in. THE COURT: Okay. I mean, I guess
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Do you recognize this document? A. Let's see. Yeah. It's an e-mail from the HHS regional counsel to I believe Adamas Construction's or Nathan Pierce's lawyer. Q. And how did you get ahold of this e-mail? A. Um, I believe it was forwarded to me just to be aware of the issues around the projects. MS. KACSUR: Can we scroll to the bottom of page 13? Can you toggle it, actually, so the bottom of page 13 and the top of 14 show? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	document is already a matter of the record. And if we're here just to find facts, then it's already a fact. MS. KACSUR: Your Honor, I just wanted Mr. Courtney to identify the e-mail that specifically started at the bottom of page 13. And he's familiar with this e-mail chain, as he said. It was forwarded to him by Regional counsel for the agency he worked for at the time regarding a project he was involved in. THE COURT: Okay. I mean, I guess Mr. Courtney, go ahead and respond to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Do you recognize this document? A. Let's see. Yeah. It's an e-mail from the HHS regional counsel to I believe Adamas Construction's or Nathan Pierce's lawyer. Q. And how did you get ahold of this e-mail? A. Um, I believe it was forwarded to me just to be aware of the issues around the projects. MS. KACSUR: Can we scroll to the bottom of page 13? Can you toggle it, actually, so the bottom of page 13 and the top of 14 show? BY MS. KACSUR: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	document is already a matter of the record. And if we're here just to find facts, then it's already a fact. MS. KACSUR: Your Honor, I just wanted Mr. Courtney to identify the e-mail that specifically started at the bottom of page 13. And he's familiar with this e-mail chain, as he said. It was forwarded to him by Regional counsel for the agency he worked for at the time regarding a project he was involved in. THE COURT: Okay. I mean, I guess Mr. Courtney, go ahead and respond to the extent I'll overrule the objection. But, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Do you recognize this document? A. Let's see. Yeah. It's an e-mail from the HHS regional counsel to I believe Adamas Construction's or Nathan Pierce's lawyer. Q. And how did you get ahold of this e-mail? A. Um, I believe it was forwarded to me just to be aware of the issues around the projects. MS. KACSUR: Can we scroll to the bottom of page 13? Can you toggle it, actually, so the bottom of page 13 and the top of 14 show? BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Can you describe this e-mail 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	document is already a matter of the record. And if we're here just to find facts, then it's already a fact. MS. KACSUR: Your Honor, I just wanted Mr. Courtney to identify the e-mail that specifically started at the bottom of page 13. And he's familiar with this e-mail chain, as he said. It was forwarded to him by Regional counsel for the agency he worked for at the time regarding a project he was involved in. THE COURT: Okay. I mean, I guess Mr. Courtney, go ahead and respond to the extent I'll overrule the objection. But, you know, respond to the extent that you actually know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Do you recognize this document? A. Let's see. Yeah. It's an e-mail from the HHS regional counsel to I believe Adamas Construction's or Nathan Pierce's lawyer. Q. And how did you get ahold of this e-mail? A. Um, I believe it was forwarded to me just to be aware of the issues around the projects. MS. KACSUR: Can we scroll to the bottom of page 13? Can you toggle it, actually, so the bottom of page 13 and the top of 14 show? BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Can you describe this e-mail correspondence here that was sent to you? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 document is already a matter of the record. And if we're here just to find facts, then it's already a fact. MS. KACSUR: Your Honor, I just wanted Mr. Courtney to identify the e-mail that specifically started at the bottom of page 13. And he's familiar with this e-mail chain, as he said. It was forwarded to him by Regional counsel for the agency he worked for at the time regarding a project he was involved in. THE COURT: Okay. I mean, I guess Mr. Courtney, go ahead and respond to the extent I'll overrule the objection. But, you know, respond to the extent that you actually know or have any personal information. And if it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Do you recognize this document? A. Let's see. Yeah. It's an e-mail from the HHS regional counsel to I believe Adamas Construction's or Nathan Pierce's lawyer. Q. And how did you get ahold of this e-mail? A. Um, I believe it was forwarded to me just to be aware of the issues around the projects. MS. KACSUR: Can we scroll to the bottom of page 13? Can you toggle it, actually, so the bottom of page 13 and the top of 14 show? BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Can you describe this e-mail correspondence here that was sent to you? MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I'm going to object. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	document is already a matter of the record. And if we're here just to find facts, then it's already a fact. MS. KACSUR: Your Honor, I just wanted Mr. Courtney to identify the e-mail that specifically started at the bottom of page 13. And he's familiar with this e-mail chain, as he said. It was forwarded to him by Regional counsel for the agency he worked for at the time regarding a project he was involved in. THE COURT: Okay. I mean, I guess Mr. Courtney, go ahead and respond to the extent I'll overrule the objection. But, you know, respond to the extent that you actually know or have any personal information. And if it's something that was simply forwarded to you, then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Do you recognize this document? A. Let's see. Yeah. It's an e-mail from the HHS regional counsel to I believe Adamas Construction's or Nathan Pierce's lawyer. Q. And how did you get ahold of this e-mail? A. Um, I believe it was forwarded to me just to be aware of the issues around the projects. MS. KACSUR: Can we scroll to the bottom of page 13? Can you toggle it, actually, so the bottom of page 13 and the top of 14 show? BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Can you describe this e-mail correspondence here that was sent to you? MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I'm going to object. It's evidence and it's in the record, but 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	document is already a matter of the record. And if we're here just to find facts, then it's already a fact. MS. KACSUR: Your Honor, I just wanted Mr. Courtney to identify the e-mail that specifically started at the bottom of page 13. And he's familiar with this e-mail chain, as he said. It was forwarded to him by Regional counsel for the agency he worked for at the time regarding a project he was involved in. THE COURT: Okay. I mean, I guess Mr. Courtney, go ahead and respond to the extent I'll overrule the objection. But, you know, respond to the extent that you actually know or have any personal information. And if it's something that was simply forwarded to you, then let me know that, too.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Do you recognize this document? A. Let's see. Yeah. It's an e-mail from the HHS regional counsel to I believe Adamas Construction's or Nathan Pierce's lawyer. Q. And how did you get ahold of this e-mail? A. Um, I believe it was forwarded to me just to be aware of the issues around the projects. MS. KACSUR: Can we scroll to the bottom of page 13? Can you toggle it, actually, so the bottom of page 13 and the top of 14 show? BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Can you describe this e-mail correspondence here that was sent to you? MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I'm going to object. It's evidence and it's in the record, but Mr. Courtney is not a firsthand 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	document is already a matter of the record. And if we're here just to find facts, then it's already a fact. MS. KACSUR: Your Honor, I just wanted Mr. Courtney to identify the e-mail that specifically started at the bottom of page 13. And he's familiar with this e-mail chain, as he said. It was forwarded to him by Regional counsel for the agency he worked for at the time regarding a project he was involved in. THE COURT: Okay. I mean, I guess Mr. Courtney, go ahead and respond to the extent I'll overrule the objection. But, you know, respond to the extent that you actually know or have any personal information. And if it's something that was simply forwarded to you, then let me know that, too. So how familiar are you with this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Do you recognize this document? A. Let's see. Yeah. It's an e-mail from the HHS regional counsel to I believe Adamas Construction's or Nathan Pierce's lawyer. Q. And how did you get ahold of this e-mail? A. Um, I believe it was forwarded to me just to be aware of the issues around the projects. MS. KACSUR: Can we scroll to the bottom of page 13? Can you toggle it, actually, so the bottom of page 13 and the top of 14 show? BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Can you describe this e-mail correspondence here that was sent to you? MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I'm going to object. It's evidence and it's in the record, but Mr. Courtney is not a firsthand THE WITNESS: Yeah. I believe that was 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	document is already a matter of the record. And if we're here just to find facts, then it's already a fact. MS. KACSUR: Your Honor, I just wanted Mr. Courtney to identify the e-mail that specifically started at the bottom of page 13. And he's familiar with this e-mail chain, as he said. It was forwarded to him by Regional counsel for the agency he worked for at the time regarding a project he was involved in. THE COURT: Okay. I mean, I guess Mr. Courtney, go ahead and respond to the extent I'll overrule the objection. But, you know, respond to the extent that you actually know or have any personal information. And if it's something that was simply forwarded to you, then let me know that, too. So how familiar are you with this independently of it having been forwarded to you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Do you recognize this document? A. Let's see. Yeah. It's an e-mail from the HHS regional counsel to I believe Adamas Construction's or Nathan Pierce's lawyer. Q. And how did you get ahold of this e-mail? A. Um, I believe it was forwarded to me just to be aware of the issues around the projects. MS. KACSUR: Can we scroll to the bottom of page 13? Can you toggle it, actually, so the bottom of page 13 and the top of 14 show? BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Can you describe this e-mail correspondence here that was sent to you? MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I'm going to object. It's evidence and it's in the record, but Mr. Courtney is not a firsthand THE WITNESS: Yeah. I believe that was response to the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	document is already a matter of the record. And if we're here just to find facts, then it's already a fact. MS. KACSUR: Your Honor, I just wanted Mr. Courtney to identify the e-mail that specifically started at the bottom of page 13. And he's familiar with this e-mail chain, as he said. It was forwarded to him by Regional counsel for the agency he worked for at the time regarding a project he was involved in. THE COURT: Okay. I mean, I guess Mr. Courtney, go ahead and respond to the extent I'll overrule the objection. But, you know, respond to the extent that you actually know or have any personal information. And if it's something that was simply forwarded to you, then let me know that, too. So how familiar are you with this independently of it having been forwarded to you? THE WITNESS: I my only involvement was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Do you recognize this document? A. Let's see. Yeah. It's an e-mail from the HHS regional counsel to I believe Adamas Construction's or Nathan Pierce's lawyer. Q. And how did you get ahold of this e-mail? A. Um, I believe it was forwarded to me just to be aware of the issues around the projects. MS. KACSUR: Can we scroll to the bottom of page 13? Can you toggle it, actually, so the bottom of page 13 and the top of 14 show? BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Can you describe this e-mail correspondence here that was sent to you? MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I'm going to object. It's evidence and it's in the record, but Mr. Courtney is not a firsthand THE WITNESS: Yeah. I believe that was response to the MS. KACSUR: Mr. Courtney. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 document is already a matter of the record. And if we're here just to find facts, then it's already a fact. MS. KACSUR: Your Honor, I just wanted Mr. Courtney to identify the e-mail that specifically started at the bottom of page 13. And he's familiar with this e-mail chain, as he said. It was forwarded to him by Regional counsel for the agency he worked for at the time regarding a project he was involved in. THE COURT: Okay. I mean, I guess Mr. Courtney, go ahead and respond to the extent I'll overrule the objection. But, you know, respond to the extent that you actually know or have any personal information. And if it's something that was simply forwarded to you, then let me know that, too. So how familiar are you with this independently of it having been forwarded to you? THE WITNESS: I my only involvement was that I was aware of the e-mail by it being
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Do you recognize this document? A. Let's see. Yeah. It's an e-mail from the HHS regional counsel to I believe Adamas Construction's or Nathan Pierce's lawyer. Q. And how did you get ahold of this e-mail? A. Um, I believe it was forwarded to me just to be aware of the issues around the projects. MS. KACSUR: Can we scroll to the bottom of page 13? Can you toggle it, actually, so the bottom of page 13 and the top of 14 show? BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Can you describe this e-mail correspondence here that was sent to you? MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I'm going to object. It's evidence and it's in the record, but Mr. Courtney is not a firsthand THE WITNESS: Yeah. I believe that was response to the MS. KACSUR: Mr. Courtney. THE WITNESS: regional counsel's e-mail 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	document is already a matter of the record. And if we're here just to find facts, then it's already a fact. MS. KACSUR: Your Honor, I just wanted Mr. Courtney to identify the e-mail that specifically started at the bottom of page 13. And he's familiar with this e-mail chain, as he said. It was forwarded to him by Regional counsel for the agency he worked for at the time regarding a project he was involved in. THE COURT: Okay. I mean, I guess Mr. Courtney, go ahead and respond to the extent I'll overrule the objection. But, you know, respond to the extent that you actually know or have any personal information. And if it's something that was simply forwarded to you, then let me know that, too. So how familiar are you with this independently of it having been forwarded to you? THE WITNESS: I my only involvement was that I was aware of the e-mail by it being forwarded. So I don't think I was copied on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Do you recognize this document? A. Let's see. Yeah. It's an e-mail from the HHS regional counsel to I believe Adamas Construction's or Nathan Pierce's lawyer. Q. And how did you get ahold of this e-mail? A. Um, I believe it was forwarded to me just to be aware of the issues around the projects. MS. KACSUR: Can we scroll to the bottom of page 13? Can you toggle it, actually, so the bottom of page 13 and the top of 14 show? BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Can you describe this e-mail correspondence here that was sent to you? MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I'm going to object. It's evidence and it's in the record, but Mr. Courtney is not a firsthand THE WITNESS: Yeah. I believe that was response to the MS. KACSUR: Mr. Courtney. THE WITNESS: regional counsel's e-mail from the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	document is already a matter of the record. And if we're here just to find facts, then it's already a fact. MS. KACSUR: Your Honor, I just wanted Mr. Courtney to identify the e-mail that specifically started at the bottom of page 13. And he's familiar with this e-mail chain, as he said. It was forwarded to him by Regional counsel for the agency he worked for at the time regarding a project he was involved in. THE COURT: Okay. I mean, I guess Mr. Courtney, go ahead and respond to the extent I'll overrule the objection. But, you know, respond to the extent that you actually know or have any personal information. And if it's something that was simply forwarded to you, then let me know that, too. So how familiar are you with this independently of it having been forwarded to you? THE WITNESS: I my only involvement was that I was aware of the e-mail by it being forwarded. So I don't think I was copied on the e-mail originally, no.

73 (Pages 289 to 292)

	Page 293		Page 295
1	THE WITNESS: I believe it was shared with me	1	project and prohibited him from using any NCUC
2	just because it's concerning the project. So it	2	workforce and equipment on June 21st, 2018, making
3	was something that management thought I should be	3	the primary project contractor."
4	aware of and the resulting any issues surrounding	4	Q. Based on this e-mail from Mr. Pierce's
5	the project.	5	attorney to IHS's attorney, who appears to have
6	THE COURT: And I think you touched on this	6	supervised and directed the sludge application
7	earlier in your testimony. But why would why	7	project?
8	would they want to keep you apprised with regard to	8	A. It appears to be Nathan Pierce.
9	the project, its status, any issues, that type of	9	MS. KACSUR: Can we scroll to page 1 of this
10	thing?	10	same exhibit.
11	THE WITNESS: I believe at the time, Adamas	11	BY MS. KACSUR:
12	Construction was seeking payment for the work	12	Q. Can I have you identify the e-mail that
13	still. I think I think on this correspondence	13	starts in the middle of this page?
14	as well there were marks on it, if I recall	14	A. Yes. I believe that's an e-mail from me
15	correctly. So, I mean, it was pertinent to it	15	to the EPA about yes. It's information
16	was pertinent information to a project engineer	16	pertinent to the 503 the potential 503 issues
17	because it was a potential payment that needed to	17	that the EPA was concerned about.
18	be made to the utility.	18	Q. And can I have you read all of the
19	THE COURT: And I think you made reference	19	highlighted text here? Oh, I'm sorry. Preliminary
20	already of a reimbursable agreement between IHS and	20	question: Can I have you identify the date of this
21	the utility?	21	e-mail?
22 23	THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you.	22 23	A. Yes. It's November 20th, 2019.
			Q. And now can I have you read the
24	Go ahead.	24	highlighted text here?
			-
24	Go ahead.	24	highlighted text here?
24	Go ahead. BY MS. KACSUR: Page 294	24	highlighted text here? A. Yes. "Attached is information described Page 296
24 25	Go ahead. BY MS. KACSUR: Page 294 Q. Mr. Courtney, can I have you identify	24 25	highlighted text here? A. Yes. "Attached is information described Page 296 in my e-mail below. Additional information is
24 25 1	Go ahead. BY MS. KACSUR: Page 294	24 25 1	highlighted text here? A. Yes. "Attached is information described Page 296 in my e-mail below. Additional information is attached that may be relevant to your filing.
24 25 1 2	Go ahead. BY MS. KACSUR: Page 294 Q. Mr. Courtney, can I have you identify who sent this e-mail starting at the bottom of page	24 25 1 2	highlighted text here? A. Yes. "Attached is information described Page 296 in my e-mail below. Additional information is
24 25 1 2 3	Go ahead. BY MS. KACSUR: Page 294 Q. Mr. Courtney, can I have you identify who sent this e-mail starting at the bottom of page 13?	24 25 1 2 3	highlighted text here? A. Yes. "Attached is information described Page 296 in my e-mail below. Additional information is attached that may be relevant to your filing. "The Adamas site safety plan also
24 25 1 2 3 4	Go ahead. BY MS. KACSUR: Page 294 Q. Mr. Courtney, can I have you identify who sent this e-mail starting at the bottom of page 13? A. Yeah. I believe that's Nathan Pierce's	24 25 1 2 3 4	highlighted text here? A. Yes. "Attached is information described Page 296 in my e-mail below. Additional information is attached that may be relevant to your filing. "The Adamas site safety plan also indicates responsibility for following 503 for the
24 25 1 2 3 4 5	Go ahead. BY MS. KACSUR: Page 294 Q. Mr. Courtney, can I have you identify who sent this e-mail starting at the bottom of page 13? A. Yeah. I believe that's Nathan Pierce's lawyer in response to Regional counsel's e-mail.	24 25 1 2 3 4 5	highlighted text here? A. Yes. "Attached is information described Page 296 in my e-mail below. Additional information is attached that may be relevant to your filing. "The Adamas site safety plan also indicates responsibility for following 503 for the project, attached in "Site Safety Plan Adamas,"
24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6	Go ahead. BY MS. KACSUR: Page 294 Q. Mr. Courtney, can I have you identify who sent this e-mail starting at the bottom of page 13? A. Yeah. I believe that's Nathan Pierce's lawyer in response to Regional counsel's e-mail. MS. KACSUR: Can you scroll down?	24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6	highlighted text here? A. Yes. "Attached is information described Page 296 in my e-mail below. Additional information is attached that may be relevant to your filing. "The Adamas site safety plan also indicates responsibility for following 503 for the project, attached in "Site Safety Plan Adamas," page 6. "An e-mail from Nathan Pierce on April 30, 2018 indicates Adamas Construction would
24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Go ahead. BY MS. KACSUR: Page 294 Q. Mr. Courtney, can I have you identify who sent this e-mail starting at the bottom of page 13? A. Yeah. I believe that's Nathan Pierce's lawyer in response to Regional counsel's e-mail. MS. KACSUR: Can you scroll down? BY MS. KACSUR: Q. And can I have you read the text that's highlighted?	24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	highlighted text here? A. Yes. "Attached is information described Page 296 in my e-mail below. Additional information is attached that may be relevant to your filing. "The Adamas site safety plan also indicates responsibility for following 503 for the project, attached in "Site Safety Plan Adamas," page 6. "An e-mail from Nathan Pierce on April 30, 2018 indicates Adamas Construction would perform the application and adhere to 503
24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Go ahead. BY MS. KACSUR: Page 294 Q. Mr. Courtney, can I have you identify who sent this e-mail starting at the bottom of page 13? A. Yeah. I believe that's Nathan Pierce's lawyer in response to Regional counsel's e-mail. MS. KACSUR: Can you scroll down? BY MS. KACSUR: Q. And can I have you read the text that's	24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	highlighted text here? A. Yes. "Attached is information described Page 296 in my e-mail below. Additional information is attached that may be relevant to your filing. "The Adamas site safety plan also indicates responsibility for following 503 for the project, attached in "Site Safety Plan Adamas," page 6. "An e-mail from Nathan Pierce on April 30, 2018 indicates Adamas Construction would perform the application and adhere to 503 requirements, attached in 'Re: Map for Lagoon
24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Go ahead. BY MS. KACSUR: Page 294 Q. Mr. Courtney, can I have you identify who sent this e-mail starting at the bottom of page 13? A. Yeah. I believe that's Nathan Pierce's lawyer in response to Regional counsel's e-mail. MS. KACSUR: Can you scroll down? BY MS. KACSUR: Q. And can I have you read the text that's highlighted? A. Yes. "My client's point is that as circumstances evolved they became the primary	24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	highlighted text here? A. Yes. "Attached is information described Page 296 in my e-mail below. Additional information is attached that may be relevant to your filing. "The Adamas site safety plan also indicates responsibility for following 503 for the project, attached in "Site Safety Plan Adamas," page 6. "An e-mail from Nathan Pierce on April 30, 2018 indicates Adamas Construction would perform the application and adhere to 503 requirements, attached in 'Re: Map for Lagoon Project.'
24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Go ahead. BY MS. KACSUR: Page 294 Q. Mr. Courtney, can I have you identify who sent this e-mail starting at the bottom of page 13? A. Yeah. I believe that's Nathan Pierce's lawyer in response to Regional counsel's e-mail. MS. KACSUR: Can you scroll down? BY MS. KACSUR: Q. And can I have you read the text that's highlighted? A. Yes. "My client's point is that as circumstances evolved they became the primary project contractor, but I understand your	24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	highlighted text here? A. Yes. "Attached is information described Page 296 in my e-mail below. Additional information is attached that may be relevant to your filing. "The Adamas site safety plan also indicates responsibility for following 503 for the project, attached in "Site Safety Plan Adamas," page 6. "An e-mail from Nathan Pierce on April 30, 2018 indicates Adamas Construction would perform the application and adhere to 503 requirements, attached in 'Re: Map for Lagoon Project.' "The schedule submitted by Nathan Pierce
24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Go ahead. BY MS. KACSUR: Page 294 Q. Mr. Courtney, can I have you identify who sent this e-mail starting at the bottom of page 13? A. Yeah. I believe that's Nathan Pierce's lawyer in response to Regional counsel's e-mail. MS. KACSUR: Can you scroll down? BY MS. KACSUR: Q. And can I have you read the text that's highlighted? A. Yes. "My client's point is that as circumstances evolved they became the primary project contractor, but I understand your insistence" "instances making this distinction.	24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	highlighted text here? A. Yes. "Attached is information described Page 296 in my e-mail below. Additional information is attached that may be relevant to your filing. "The Adamas site safety plan also indicates responsibility for following 503 for the project, attached in "Site Safety Plan Adamas," page 6. "An e-mail from Nathan Pierce on April 30, 2018 indicates Adamas Construction would perform the application and adhere to 503 requirements, attached in 'Re: Map for Lagoon Project.' "The schedule submitted by Nathan Pierce includes 'Begin biosolids/sludge application' and
24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Go ahead. BY MS. KACSUR: Page 294 Q. Mr. Courtney, can I have you identify who sent this e-mail starting at the bottom of page 13? A. Yeah. I believe that's Nathan Pierce's lawyer in response to Regional counsel's e-mail. MS. KACSUR: Can you scroll down? BY MS. KACSUR: Q. And can I have you read the text that's highlighted? A. Yes. "My client's point is that as circumstances evolved they became the primary project contractor, but I understand your insistence" "instances making this distinction. It seems from the language of the 'bid packet'	24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	highlighted text here? A. Yes. "Attached is information described Page 296 in my e-mail below. Additional information is attached that may be relevant to your filing. "The Adamas site safety plan also indicates responsibility for following 503 for the project, attached in "Site Safety Plan Adamas," page 6. "An e-mail from Nathan Pierce on April 30, 2018 indicates Adamas Construction would perform the application and adhere to 503 requirements, attached in 'Re: Map for Lagoon Project.' "The schedule submitted by Nathan Pierce includes 'Begin biosolids/sludge application' and 'Land application complete' as milestones, attached
24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Go ahead. BY MS. KACSUR: Page 294 Q. Mr. Courtney, can I have you identify who sent this e-mail starting at the bottom of page 13? A. Yeah. I believe that's Nathan Pierce's lawyer in response to Regional counsel's e-mail. MS. KACSUR: Can you scroll down? BY MS. KACSUR: Q. And can I have you read the text that's highlighted? A. Yes. "My client's point is that as circumstances evolved they became the primary project contractor, but I understand your insistence" "instances making this distinction. It seems from the language of the 'bid packet' BI16N39, Section 00710, General Conditions, Article	24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	highlighted text here? A. Yes. "Attached is information described Page 296 in my e-mail below. Additional information is attached that may be relevant to your filing. "The Adamas site safety plan also indicates responsibility for following 503 for the project, attached in "Site Safety Plan Adamas," page 6. "An e-mail from Nathan Pierce on April 30, 2018 indicates Adamas Construction would perform the application and adhere to 503 requirements, attached in 'Re: Map for Lagoon Project.' "The schedule submitted by Nathan Pierce includes 'Begin biosolids/sludge application' and 'Land application complete' as milestones, attached in 'Updated Schedule.'
24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Go ahead. BY MS. KACSUR: Page 294 Q. Mr. Courtney, can I have you identify who sent this e-mail starting at the bottom of page 13? A. Yeah. I believe that's Nathan Pierce's lawyer in response to Regional counsel's e-mail. MS. KACSUR: Can you scroll down? BY MS. KACSUR: Q. And can I have you read the text that's highlighted? A. Yes. "My client's point is that as circumstances evolved they became the primary project contractor, but I understand your insistence" "instances making this distinction. It seems from the language of the 'bid packet' BI16N39, Section 00710, General Conditions, Article 22.2, stating that," in quotes, 'The contractor	24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	highlighted text here? A. Yes. "Attached is information described Page 296 in my e-mail below. Additional information is attached that may be relevant to your filing. "The Adamas site safety plan also indicates responsibility for following 503 for the project, attached in "Site Safety Plan Adamas," page 6. "An e-mail from Nathan Pierce on April 30, 2018 indicates Adamas Construction would perform the application and adhere to 503 requirements, attached in 'Re: Map for Lagoon Project.' "The schedule submitted by Nathan Pierce includes 'Begin biosolids/sludge application' and 'Land application complete' as milestones, attached in 'Updated Schedule.' "An e-mail from Nathan Pierce on July 9,
24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Go ahead. BY MS. KACSUR: Page 294 Q. Mr. Courtney, can I have you identify who sent this e-mail starting at the bottom of page 13? A. Yeah. I believe that's Nathan Pierce's lawyer in response to Regional counsel's e-mail. MS. KACSUR: Can you scroll down? BY MS. KACSUR: Q. And can I have you read the text that's highlighted? A. Yes. "My client's point is that as circumstances evolved they became the primary project contractor, but I understand your insistence" "instances making this distinction. It seems from the language of the 'bid packet' BI16N39, Section 00710, General Conditions, Article	24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	highlighted text here? A. Yes. "Attached is information described Page 296 in my e-mail below. Additional information is attached that may be relevant to your filing. "The Adamas site safety plan also indicates responsibility for following 503 for the project, attached in "Site Safety Plan Adamas," page 6. "An e-mail from Nathan Pierce on April 30, 2018 indicates Adamas Construction would perform the application and adhere to 503 requirements, attached in 'Re: Map for Lagoon Project.' "The schedule submitted by Nathan Pierce includes 'Begin biosolids/sludge application' and 'Land application complete' as milestones, attached in 'Updated Schedule.'

25 16, 2018, requesting payment for application of the responsible for all the work performed under the

pre-construction meeting minutes signed by James

responsible for all work, then NCUC and IHS made

and equipment,' as well as the May 18th IHS

Courtney stating, 'I reiterated that NCUC was

only my client, his workforce and equipment

responsible for the work,' that NCUC was

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BRIDGER COURT REPORTERS, INC. (406) 582-0668

19

20

21

22

23

24

74 (Pages 293 to 296)

"An e-mail from Nathan Pierce on August

attached 'Update Lame Deer Sludge Removal.'

land application of the sludge, attached, 'Re:

"An e-mail from Nathan Pierce on July

16, 2018, stating that Adamas would be beginning

Sludge Application on Tom Robinson Property.'

	Page 297		Page 299
1	sludge, attached, 'Lame Deer Lagoon Project.'"	1	Price Construction Agreement, White and Bement had
2	Q. Based on your statements in this e-mail,	2	actual knowledge of and intended that Adamas such
3	what was your impression in the fall of 2019 about	3	Fixed Price Construction Agreement was a component
4	Mr. Pierce's role in the sludge application	4	of the agreement with Adamas pursuant to which
5	project?	5	Adamas would perform the removal and land
6	A. My impression of his role is that	6	application of the biosolids pursuant to the
7	he he was responsible for following 503 and	7	agreement in exchange for the \$239,000 provided for
8	wasn't.	8	under the agreement."
9	MS. KACSUR: Let's turn now to CX49, first	9	Q. Based on these statements by Mr. Pierce,
10	page.	10	who appears to have been responsible for the sludge
11	BY MS. KACSUR:	11	removal and application project?
12	Q. Do you recognize this document?	12	A. It appears that Nathan Pierce is
13	A. Yes. That appears to be an e-mail from	13	responsible because he's seeking payment for the
14	me to the EPA.	14	work from the utility.
15	O. And when was it sent?	15	Q. Are you familiar with Michelle Pierce?
16	A. November 21st, 2019.	16	A. Yes.
17	Q. And what was the purpose of this e-mail?	17	Q. Was she involved in this project?
18	A. Just for additional information that the	18	A. I'm not sure what her involvement was.
19	EPA that is rolled into the EPA 503 concerns.	19	She I believe she attended at least a couple
20	MS. KACSUR: Let's look at page 7 now.	20	meetings, but I'm not sure what her specific role
21	BY MS. KACSUR:	21	was.
22	Q. Are you familiar with this document?	22	Q. Last question: Did Mr. Pierce hold
23	A. Yes. I believe that's a letter from	23	himself out to be in charge of Adamas Construction?
24	Senator Daines to the Indian Health Service	24	A. Yes.
25	Billings area office.	25	Q. Thank you, Mr. Courtney.
	Page 298		Page 300
1	Page 298 Q. Can I have you read the highlighted text	1	Page 300 MS. KACSUR: I have no further questions.
1 2		1 2	
	Q. Can I have you read the highlighted text		MS. KACSUR: I have no further questions.
2	Q. Can I have you read the highlighted text there?	2	MS. KACSUR: I have no further questions. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Pierce, I assume
2 3	Q. Can I have you read the highlighted text there?A. Yes. "Enclosed is a copy of	2 3	MS. KACSUR: I have no further questions. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Pierce, I assume you're going to need more than five minutes.
2 3 4	Q. Can I have you read the highlighted text there?A. Yes. "Enclosed is a copy of correspondence I have received from my constituent,	2 3 4	MS. KACSUR: I have no further questions. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Pierce, I assume you're going to need more than five minutes. MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am.
2 3 4 5	 Q. Can I have you read the highlighted text there? A. Yes. "Enclosed is a copy of correspondence I have received from my constituent, Mr. Nathan Pierce, regarding his concern of 	2 3 4 5	MS. KACSUR: I have no further questions. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Pierce, I assume you're going to need more than five minutes. MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: So why don't we go ahead I
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Can I have you read the highlighted text there? A. Yes. "Enclosed is a copy of correspondence I have received from my constituent, Mr. Nathan Pierce, regarding his concern of projects for the Northern Cheyenne Reservation 	2 3 4 5 6	MS. KACSUR: I have no further questions. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Pierce, I assume you're going to need more than five minutes. MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: So why don't we go ahead I think this would probably be a good time to break
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Can I have you read the highlighted text there? A. Yes. "Enclosed is a copy of correspondence I have received from my constituent, Mr. Nathan Pierce, regarding his concern of projects for the Northern Cheyenne Reservation involving an agreement with the Northern Cheyenne 	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. KACSUR: I have no further questions. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Pierce, I assume you're going to need more than five minutes. MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: So why don't we go ahead I think this would probably be a good time to break for today. Mr. Courtney, we'll need to pick up tomorrow morning. Are you prepared for that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Can I have you read the highlighted text there? A. Yes. "Enclosed is a copy of correspondence I have received from my constituent, Mr. Nathan Pierce, regarding his concern of projects for the Northern Cheyenne Reservation involving an agreement with the Northern Cheyenne Utilities Commission.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. KACSUR: I have no further questions. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Pierce, I assume you're going to need more than five minutes. MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: So why don't we go ahead I think this would probably be a good time to break for today. Mr. Courtney, we'll need to pick up
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Can I have you read the highlighted text there? A. Yes. "Enclosed is a copy of correspondence I have received from my constituent, Mr. Nathan Pierce, regarding his concern of projects for the Northern Cheyenne Reservation involving an agreement with the Northern Cheyenne Utilities Commission. MS. KACSUR: And let's scroll to the next 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. KACSUR: I have no further questions. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Pierce, I assume you're going to need more than five minutes. MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: So why don't we go ahead I think this would probably be a good time to break for today. Mr. Courtney, we'll need to pick up tomorrow morning. Are you prepared for that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Can I have you read the highlighted text there? A. Yes. "Enclosed is a copy of correspondence I have received from my constituent, Mr. Nathan Pierce, regarding his concern of projects for the Northern Cheyenne Reservation involving an agreement with the Northern Cheyenne Utilities Commission. MS. KACSUR: And let's scroll to the next page. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. KACSUR: I have no further questions. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Pierce, I assume you're going to need more than five minutes. MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: So why don't we go ahead I think this would probably be a good time to break for today. Mr. Courtney, we'll need to pick up tomorrow morning. Are you prepared for that. THE WITNESS: I didn't get any of that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Can I have you read the highlighted text there? A. Yes. "Enclosed is a copy of correspondence I have received from my constituent, Mr. Nathan Pierce, regarding his concern of projects for the Northern Cheyenne Reservation involving an agreement with the Northern Cheyenne Utilities Commission. MS. KACSUR: And let's scroll to the next page. BY MS. KACSUR: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. KACSUR: I have no further questions. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Pierce, I assume you're going to need more than five minutes. MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: So why don't we go ahead I think this would probably be a good time to break for today. Mr. Courtney, we'll need to pick up tomorrow morning. Are you prepared for that. THE WITNESS: I didn't get any of that. Sorry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Can I have you read the highlighted text there? A. Yes. "Enclosed is a copy of correspondence I have received from my constituent, Mr. Nathan Pierce, regarding his concern of projects for the Northern Cheyenne Reservation involving an agreement with the Northern Cheyenne Utilities Commission. MS. KACSUR: And let's scroll to the next page. BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Can I have you read the highlighted text 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. KACSUR: I have no further questions. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Pierce, I assume you're going to need more than five minutes. MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: So why don't we go ahead I think this would probably be a good time to break for today. Mr. Courtney, we'll need to pick up tomorrow morning. Are you prepared for that. THE WITNESS: I didn't get any of that. Sorry. THE COURT: Oh, yeah. Sorry. Mr. Courtney, we're going to need to recess for today and reach you tomorrow for cross. So we'll be getting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Can I have you read the highlighted text there? A. Yes. "Enclosed is a copy of correspondence I have received from my constituent, Mr. Nathan Pierce, regarding his concern of projects for the Northern Cheyenne Reservation involving an agreement with the Northern Cheyenne Utilities Commission. MS. KACSUR: And let's scroll to the next page. BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Can I have you read the highlighted text there? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. KACSUR: I have no further questions. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Pierce, I assume you're going to need more than five minutes. MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: So why don't we go ahead I think this would probably be a good time to break for today. Mr. Courtney, we'll need to pick up tomorrow morning. Are you prepared for that. THE WITNESS: I didn't get any of that. Sorry. THE COURT: Oh, yeah. Sorry. Mr. Courtney, we're going to need to recess for today and reach
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Can I have you read the highlighted text there? A. Yes. "Enclosed is a copy of correspondence I have received from my constituent, Mr. Nathan Pierce, regarding his concern of projects for the Northern Cheyenne Reservation involving an agreement with the Northern Cheyenne Utilities Commission. MS. KACSUR: And let's scroll to the next page. BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Can I have you read the highlighted text there? A. Yes. "On May 11, 2018, the Northern 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. KACSUR: I have no further questions. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Pierce, I assume you're going to need more than five minutes. MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: So why don't we go ahead I think this would probably be a good time to break for today. Mr. Courtney, we'll need to pick up tomorrow morning. Are you prepared for that. THE WITNESS: I didn't get any of that. Sorry. THE COURT: Oh, yeah. Sorry. Mr. Courtney, we're going to need to recess for today and reach you tomorrow for cross. So we'll be getting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Can I have you read the highlighted text there? A. Yes. "Enclosed is a copy of correspondence I have received from my constituent, Mr. Nathan Pierce, regarding his concern of projects for the Northern Cheyenne Reservation involving an agreement with the Northern Cheyenne Utilities Commission. MS. KACSUR: And let's scroll to the next page. BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Can I have you read the highlighted text there? A. Yes. "On May 11, 2018, the Northern Cheyenne Utilities Commission, NCUC, memorialized 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. KACSUR: I have no further questions. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Pierce, I assume you're going to need more than five minutes. MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: So why don't we go ahead I think this would probably be a good time to break for today. Mr. Courtney, we'll need to pick up tomorrow morning. Are you prepared for that. THE WITNESS: I didn't get any of that. Sorry. THE COURT: Oh, yeah. Sorry. Mr. Courtney, we're going to need to recess for today and reach you tomorrow for cross. So we'll be getting started early. Are you available will you be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Can I have you read the highlighted text there? A. Yes. "Enclosed is a copy of correspondence I have received from my constituent, Mr. Nathan Pierce, regarding his concern of projects for the Northern Cheyenne Reservation involving an agreement with the Northern Cheyenne Utilities Commission. MS. KACSUR: And let's scroll to the next page. BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Can I have you read the highlighted text there? A. Yes. "On May 11, 2018, the Northern Cheyenne Utilities Commission, NCUC, memorialized some of the terms of the agreement in a 'Fixed 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. KACSUR: I have no further questions. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Pierce, I assume you're going to need more than five minutes. MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: So why don't we go ahead I think this would probably be a good time to break for today. Mr. Courtney, we'll need to pick up tomorrow morning. Are you prepared for that. THE WITNESS: I didn't get any of that. Sorry. THE COURT: Oh, yeah. Sorry. Mr. Courtney, we're going to need to recess for today and reach you tomorrow for cross. So we'll be getting started early. Are you available will you be available around 8:15 or 8:30 to pick up where we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Can I have you read the highlighted text there? A. Yes. "Enclosed is a copy of correspondence I have received from my constituent, Mr. Nathan Pierce, regarding his concern of projects for the Northern Cheyenne Reservation involving an agreement with the Northern Cheyenne Utilities Commission. MS. KACSUR: And let's scroll to the next page. BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Can I have you read the highlighted text there? A. Yes. "On May 11, 2018, the Northern Cheyenne Utilities Commission, NCUC, memorialized some of the terms of the agreement with the SFC under 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. KACSUR: I have no further questions. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Pierce, I assume you're going to need more than five minutes. MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: So why don't we go ahead I think this would probably be a good time to break for today. Mr. Courtney, we'll need to pick up tomorrow morning. Are you prepared for that. THE WITNESS: I didn't get any of that. Sorry. THE COURT: Oh, yeah. Sorry. Mr. Courtney, we're going to need to recess for today and reach you tomorrow for cross. So we'll be getting started early. Are you available will you be available around 8:15 or 8:30 to pick up where we left off?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Can I have you read the highlighted text there? A. Yes. "Enclosed is a copy of correspondence I have received from my constituent, Mr. Nathan Pierce, regarding his concern of projects for the Northern Cheyenne Reservation involving an agreement with the Northern Cheyenne Utilities Commission. MS. KACSUR: And let's scroll to the next page. BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Can I have you read the highlighted text there? A. Yes. "On May 11, 2018, the Northern Cheyenne Utilities Commission, NCUC, memorialized some of the terms of the agreement in a 'Fixed Price Construction Agreement' with the SFC under which biosolids were to be removed from the Lame 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. KACSUR: I have no further questions. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Pierce, I assume you're going to need more than five minutes. MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: So why don't we go ahead I think this would probably be a good time to break for today. Mr. Courtney, we'll need to pick up tomorrow morning. Are you prepared for that. THE WITNESS: I didn't get any of that. Sorry. THE COURT: Oh, yeah. Sorry. Mr. Courtney, we're going to need to recess for today and reach you tomorrow for cross. So we'll be getting started early. Are you available will you be available around 8:15 or 8:30 to pick up where we left off? THE WITNESS: Yes, yes. I'll be available
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Can I have you read the highlighted text there? A. Yes. "Enclosed is a copy of correspondence I have received from my constituent, Mr. Nathan Pierce, regarding his concern of projects for the Northern Cheyenne Reservation involving an agreement with the Northern Cheyenne Utilities Commission. MS. KACSUR: And let's scroll to the next page. BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Can I have you read the highlighted text there? A. Yes. "On May 11, 2018, the Northern Cheyenne Utilities Commission, NCUC, memorialized some of the terms of the agreement in a 'Fixed Price Construction Agreement' with the SFC under which biosolids were to be removed from the Lame Deer Wastewater Treatment Facility to certain 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. KACSUR: I have no further questions. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Pierce, I assume you're going to need more than five minutes. MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: So why don't we go ahead I think this would probably be a good time to break for today. Mr. Courtney, we'll need to pick up tomorrow morning. Are you prepared for that. THE WITNESS: I didn't get any of that. Sorry. THE COURT: Oh, yeah. Sorry. Mr. Courtney, we're going to need to recess for today and reach you tomorrow for cross. So we'll be getting started early. Are you available will you be available around 8:15 or 8:30 to pick up where we left off? THE WITNESS: Yes, yes. I'll be available tomorrow morning.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Can I have you read the highlighted text there? A. Yes. "Enclosed is a copy of correspondence I have received from my constituent, Mr. Nathan Pierce, regarding his concern of projects for the Northern Cheyenne Reservation involving an agreement with the Northern Cheyenne Utilities Commission. MS. KACSUR: And let's scroll to the next page. BY MS. KACSUR: 0. Can I have you read the highlighted text there? A. Yes. "On May 11, 2018, the Northern Cheyenne Utilities Commission, NCUC, memorialized some of the terms of the agreement in a 'Fixed Price Construction Agreement' with the SFC under which biosolids were to be removed from the Lame Deer Wastewater Treatment Facility to certain agricultural lands, the 'Sludge Project.'" 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. KACSUR: I have no further questions. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Pierce, I assume you're going to need more than five minutes. MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: So why don't we go ahead I think this would probably be a good time to break for today. Mr. Courtney, we'll need to pick up tomorrow morning. Are you prepared for that. THE WITNESS: I didn't get any of that. Sorry. THE COURT: Oh, yeah. Sorry. Mr. Courtney, we're going to need to recess for today and reach you tomorrow for cross. So we'll be getting started early. Are you available will you be available around 8:15 or 8:30 to pick up where we left off? THE WITNESS: Yes, yes. I'll be available tomorrow morning. THE COURT: Okay. Perfect.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Can I have you read the highlighted text there? A. Yes. "Enclosed is a copy of correspondence I have received from my constituent, Mr. Nathan Pierce, regarding his concern of projects for the Northern Cheyenne Reservation involving an agreement with the Northern Cheyenne Utilities Commission. MS. KACSUR: And let's scroll to the next page. BY MS. KACSUR: A. Yes. "On May 11, 2018, the Northern Cheyenne Utilities Commission, NCUC, memorialized some of the terms of the agreement in a 'Fixed Price Construction Agreement' with the SFC under which biosolids were to be removed from the Lame Deer Wastewater Treatment Facility to certain agricultural lands, the 'Sludge Project."" MS. KACSUR: And can we scroll down? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. KACSUR: I have no further questions. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Pierce, I assume you're going to need more than five minutes. MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: So why don't we go ahead I think this would probably be a good time to break for today. Mr. Courtney, we'll need to pick up tomorrow morning. Are you prepared for that. THE WITNESS: I didn't get any of that. Sorry. THE COURT: Oh, yeah. Sorry. Mr. Courtney, we're going to need to recess for today and reach you tomorrow for cross. So we'll be getting started early. Are you available will you be available around 8:15 or 8:30 to pick up where we left off? THE WITNESS: Yes, yes. I'll be available tomorrow morning. THE COURT: Okay. Perfect. MS. KACSUR: Does that work? I know you're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Can I have you read the highlighted text there? A. Yes. "Enclosed is a copy of correspondence I have received from my constituent, Mr. Nathan Pierce, regarding his concern of projects for the Northern Cheyenne Reservation involving an agreement with the Northern Cheyenne Utilities Commission. MS. KACSUR: And let's scroll to the next page. BY MS. KACSUR: A. Yes. "On May 11, 2018, the Northern Cheyenne Utilities Commission, NCUC, memorialized some of the terms of the agreement in a 'Fixed Price Construction Agreement' with the SFC under which biosolids were to be removed from the Lame Deer Wastewater Treatment Facility to certain agricultural lands, the 'Sludge Project." MS. KACSUR: And can we scroll down? BY MS. KACSUR: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. KACSUR: I have no further questions. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Pierce, I assume you're going to need more than five minutes. MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: So why don't we go ahead I think this would probably be a good time to break for today. Mr. Courtney, we'll need to pick up tomorrow morning. Are you prepared for that. THE WITNESS: I didn't get any of that. Sorry. THE COURT: Oh, yeah. Sorry. Mr. Courtney, we're going to need to recess for today and reach you tomorrow for cross. So we'll be getting started early. Are you available will you be available around 8:15 or 8:30 to pick up where we left off? THE WITNESS: Yes, yes. I'll be available tomorrow morning. THE COURT: Okay. Perfect. MS. KACSUR: Does that work? I know you're in a different time zone than us. That works for

75 (Pages 297 to 300)

MS. KACSUR: Okay.

BRIDGER COURT REPORTERS, INC. (406) 582-0668

25

25

A. Yes. "At the time they signed the Fixed

Pag	re	30)1

L

	Page 301		Page 303
1	THE COURT: Yeah. I'm referring to local	1	MR. PIERCE: And Mrs. Kleffner.
2	time. I'm not sure where you're located. But	2	THE COURT: Well, I guess, Mr. Muehlberger,
3	local time for Billings, Montana. Is that okay?	3	I'll give you a moment to respond to that. I think
4	MS. KACSUR: For 8:15 for Mountain Time,	4	I actually already know the answer to it, but you
5	that's for Billings, Montana, that works for you?	5	go ahead.
6	THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes, that's fine.	6	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Ms. Kleffner is
7	THE COURT: All right. Let me turn this off	7	representing the agency as the compliance officer,
8	so that I don't continue to echo. Then what we'll	8	so EPA's position is that she should stay
9	do is we'll go ahead and recess for this evening.	9	throughout the proceedings. EPA does not object
10	And we'll pick back up tomorrow morning, and you	10	with sequestering Mr. Sprague.
11	can begin with your cross.	11	MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, although she's a
12	MR. PIERCE: Thank you, Your Honor.	12	compliance officer for the EPA, she is here as a
13	THE COURT: All right. Thank you all very	13	witness, and some of the issues that we're going to
14	much.	14	be discussing with this housekeeping matter has
15	(Whereupon, the proceedings recessed for	15	directly to do with her.
16	the day at 4:41 p.m.)	16	THE COURT: Yeah, and I understand. But
17	MORNING SESSION, WEDNESDAY AUGUST 23, 2023	17	under our rules, the agency is permitted to have a
18	(Whereupon, the hearing convened at 8:30	18	party representative with them throughout the
19	a.m., with all interested parties present, and the	19	process. So they're allowed to choose who's going
20	following proceedings were had:)	20	to function in that role, and that's Ms. Kleffner.
21	THE COURT: Okay. We can go ahead and get on	21	So she can remain throughout.
22	record, please.	22	Mr. Sprague, I'm happy to go ahead and
23	All right. Good morning everybody.	23	invoke that at this point. And he can I think
24	We're here for Day 2 of the hearing involving	24	the other gentleman is simply observing, right?
25	Adamas or Adamas?	25	MR. MUEHLBERGER: (Nods head.)
	Page 302		Page 304
1		1	-
1 2	Page 302 MR. PIERCE: Adamas. THE COURT: Adamas Construction and	1 2	Page 304 THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Sprague can certainly be excused.
	MR. PIERCE: Adamas.		THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Sprague can
2	MR. PIERCE: Adamas. THE COURT: Adamas Construction and	2	THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Sprague can certainly be excused.
2 3	MR. PIERCE: Adamas. THE COURT: Adamas Construction and Development Services, PLLC, and Nathan Pierce,	2 3	THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Sprague can certainly be excused. MR. PIERCE: We don't need to excuse
2 3 4	MR. PIERCE: Adamas. THE COURT: Adamas Construction and Development Services, PLLC, and Nathan Pierce, respondents. I'll just repeat the docket number,	2 3 4	THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Sprague can certainly be excused. MR. PIERCE: We don't need to excuse Mr. Sprague.
2 3 4 5	MR. PIERCE: Adamas. THE COURT: Adamas Construction and Development Services, PLLC, and Nathan Pierce, respondents. I'll just repeat the docket number, CWA-07-2019-0262.	2 3 4 5	THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Sprague can certainly be excused. MR. PIERCE: We don't need to excuse Mr. Sprague. THE COURT: Okay. It was just Ms. Kleffner?
2 3 4 5 6	MR. PIERCE: Adamas. THE COURT: Adamas Construction and Development Services, PLLC, and Nathan Pierce, respondents. I'll just repeat the docket number, CWA-07-2019-0262. Today is Wednesday, August 23rd, and as	2 3 4 5 6	THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Sprague can certainly be excused. MR. PIERCE: We don't need to excuse Mr. Sprague. THE COURT: Okay. It was just Ms. Kleffner? MR. PIERCE: Yeah, I didn't want to
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. PIERCE: Adamas. THE COURT: Adamas Construction and Development Services, PLLC, and Nathan Pierce, respondents. I'll just repeat the docket number, CWA-07-2019-0262. Today is Wednesday, August 23rd, and as I mentioned, Day 2 of the hearing.	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Sprague can certainly be excused. MR. PIERCE: We don't need to excuse Mr. Sprague. THE COURT: Okay. It was just Ms. Kleffner? MR. PIERCE: Yeah, I didn't want to individualize single out anybody individually.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. PIERCE: Adamas. THE COURT: Adamas Construction and Development Services, PLLC, and Nathan Pierce, respondents. I'll just repeat the docket number, CWA-07-2019-0262. Today is Wednesday, August 23rd, and as I mentioned, Day 2 of the hearing. We left off yesterday with a cross for Mr. Pierce to begin the cross of Mr. James Courtney. And it's 8:30 in the morning.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Sprague can certainly be excused. MR. PIERCE: We don't need to excuse Mr. Sprague. THE COURT: Okay. It was just Ms. Kleffner? MR. PIERCE: Yeah, I didn't want to individualize single out anybody individually. But being as how we're at this point, I have direct evidence that would refute what Ms. Kleffner has said.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. PIERCE: Adamas. THE COURT: Adamas Construction and Development Services, PLLC, and Nathan Pierce, respondents. I'll just repeat the docket number, CWA-07-2019-0262. Today is Wednesday, August 23rd, and as I mentioned, Day 2 of the hearing. We left off yesterday with a cross for Mr. Pierce to begin the cross of Mr. James Courtney. And it's 8:30 in the morning. We're ready to get started, I assume, unless	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Sprague can certainly be excused. MR. PIERCE: We don't need to excuse Mr. Sprague. THE COURT: Okay. It was just Ms. Kleffner? MR. PIERCE: Yeah, I didn't want to individualize single out anybody individually. But being as how we're at this point, I have direct evidence that would refute what Ms. Kleffner has said. THE COURT: Yeah. And that's fine. I mean,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. PIERCE: Adamas. THE COURT: Adamas Construction and Development Services, PLLC, and Nathan Pierce, respondents. I'll just repeat the docket number, CWA-07-2019-0262. Today is Wednesday, August 23rd, and as I mentioned, Day 2 of the hearing. We left off yesterday with a cross for Mr. Pierce to begin the cross of Mr. James Courtney. And it's 8:30 in the morning. We're ready to get started, I assume, unless there's anything we need to take care of in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Sprague can certainly be excused. MR. PIERCE: We don't need to excuse Mr. Sprague. THE COURT: Okay. It was just Ms. Kleffner? MR. PIERCE: Yeah, I didn't want to individualize single out anybody individually. But being as how we're at this point, I have direct evidence that would refute what Ms. Kleffner has said. THE COURT: Yeah. And that's fine. I mean, I appreciate the position. It's just it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. PIERCE: Adamas. THE COURT: Adamas Construction and Development Services, PLLC, and Nathan Pierce, respondents. I'll just repeat the docket number, CWA-07-2019-0262. Today is Wednesday, August 23rd, and as I mentioned, Day 2 of the hearing. We left off yesterday with a cross for Mr. Pierce to begin the cross of Mr. James Courtney. And it's 8:30 in the morning. We're ready to get started, I assume, unless there's anything we need to take care of in advance. The parties are all here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Sprague can certainly be excused. MR. PIERCE: We don't need to excuse Mr. Sprague. THE COURT: Okay. It was just Ms. Kleffner? MR. PIERCE: Yeah, I didn't want to individualize single out anybody individually. But being as how we're at this point, I have direct evidence that would refute what Ms. Kleffner has said. THE COURT: Yeah. And that's fine. I mean, I appreciate the position. It's just it's allowed under our rules. So you would then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. PIERCE: Adamas. THE COURT: Adamas Construction and Development Services, PLLC, and Nathan Pierce, respondents. I'll just repeat the docket number, CWA-07-2019-0262. Today is Wednesday, August 23rd, and as I mentioned, Day 2 of the hearing. We left off yesterday with a cross for Mr. Pierce to begin the cross of Mr. James Courtney. And it's 8:30 in the morning. We're ready to get started, I assume, unless there's anything we need to take care of in advance. The parties are all here. From complainant, anything to address	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Sprague can certainly be excused. MR. PIERCE: We don't need to excuse Mr. Sprague. THE COURT: Okay. It was just Ms. Kleffner? MR. PIERCE: Yeah, I didn't want to individualize single out anybody individually. But being as how we're at this point, I have direct evidence that would refute what Ms. Kleffner has said. THE COURT: Yeah. And that's fine. I mean, I appreciate the position. It's just it's allowed under our rules. So you would then MR. PIERCE: I withdraw the request to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. PIERCE: Adamas. THE COURT: Adamas Construction and Development Services, PLLC, and Nathan Pierce, respondents. I'll just repeat the docket number, CWA-07-2019-0262. Today is Wednesday, August 23rd, and as I mentioned, Day 2 of the hearing. We left off yesterday with a cross for Mr. Pierce to begin the cross of Mr. James Courtney. And it's 8:30 in the morning. We're ready to get started, I assume, unless there's anything we need to take care of in advance. The parties are all here. From complainant, anything to address before we begin?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Sprague can certainly be excused. MR. PIERCE: We don't need to excuse Mr. Sprague. THE COURT: Okay. It was just Ms. Kleffner? MR. PIERCE: Yeah, I didn't want to individualize single out anybody individually. But being as how we're at this point, I have direct evidence that would refute what Ms. Kleffner has said. THE COURT: Yeah. And that's fine. I mean, I appreciate the position. It's just it's allowed under our rules. So you would then MR. PIERCE: I withdraw the request to sequester.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. PIERCE: Adamas. THE COURT: Adamas Construction and Development Services, PLLC, and Nathan Pierce, respondents. I'll just repeat the docket number, CWA-07-2019-0262. Today is Wednesday, August 23rd, and as I mentioned, Day 2 of the hearing. We left off yesterday with a cross for Mr. Pierce to begin the cross of Mr. James Courtney. And it's 8:30 in the morning. We're ready to get started, I assume, unless there's anything we need to take care of in advance. The parties are all here. From complainant, anything to address before we begin? MR. MUEHLBERGER: No, Your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Sprague can certainly be excused. MR. PIERCE: We don't need to excuse Mr. Sprague. THE COURT: Okay. It was just Ms. Kleffner? MR. PIERCE: Yeah, I didn't want to individualize single out anybody individually. But being as how we're at this point, I have direct evidence that would refute what Ms. Kleffner has said. THE COURT: Yeah. And that's fine. I mean, I appreciate the position. It's just it's allowed under our rules. So you would then MR. PIERCE: I withdraw the request to sequester. THE COURT: You withdraw it. Okay. All
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. PIERCE: Adamas. THE COURT: Adamas Construction and Development Services, PLLC, and Nathan Pierce, respondents. I'll just repeat the docket number, CWA-07-2019-0262. Today is Wednesday, August 23rd, and as I mentioned, Day 2 of the hearing. We left off yesterday with a cross for Mr. Pierce to begin the cross of Mr. James Courtney. And it's 8:30 in the morning. We're ready to get started, I assume, unless there's anything we need to take care of in advance. The parties are all here. From complainant, anything to address before we begin? MR. MUEHLBERGER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And for Mr. Pierce?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Sprague can certainly be excused. MR. PIERCE: We don't need to excuse Mr. Sprague. THE COURT: Okay. It was just Ms. Kleffner? MR. PIERCE: Yeah, I didn't want to individualize single out anybody individually. But being as how we're at this point, I have direct evidence that would refute what Ms. Kleffner has said. THE COURT: Yeah. And that's fine. I mean, I appreciate the position. It's just it's allowed under our rules. So you would then MR. PIERCE: I withdraw the request to sequester. THE COURT: You withdraw it. Okay. All right. Very good. Let's go ahead, I guess then.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. PIERCE: Adamas. THE COURT: Adamas Construction and Development Services, PLLC, and Nathan Pierce, respondents. I'll just repeat the docket number, CWA-07-2019-0262. Today is Wednesday, August 23rd, and as I mentioned, Day 2 of the hearing. We left off yesterday with a cross for Mr. Pierce to begin the cross of Mr. James Courtney. And it's 8:30 in the morning. We're ready to get started, I assume, unless there's anything we need to take care of in advance. The parties are all here. From complainant, anything to address before we begin? MR. MUEHLBERGER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And for Mr. Pierce? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. And, in fact,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Sprague can certainly be excused. MR. PIERCE: We don't need to excuse Mr. Sprague. THE COURT: Okay. It was just Ms. Kleffner? MR. PIERCE: Yeah, I didn't want to individualize single out anybody individually. But being as how we're at this point, I have direct evidence that would refute what Ms. Kleffner has said. THE COURT: Yeah. And that's fine. I mean, I appreciate the position. It's just it's allowed under our rules. So you would then MR. PIERCE: I withdraw the request to sequester. THE COURT: You withdraw it. Okay. All right. Very good. Let's go ahead, I guess then. Anything else before I get started?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. PIERCE: Adamas. THE COURT: Adamas Construction and Development Services, PLLC, and Nathan Pierce, respondents. I'll just repeat the docket number, CWA-07-2019-0262. Today is Wednesday, August 23rd, and as I mentioned, Day 2 of the hearing. We left off yesterday with a cross for Mr. Pierce to begin the cross of Mr. James Courtney. And it's 8:30 in the morning. We're ready to get started, I assume, unless there's anything we need to take care of in advance. The parties are all here. From complainant, anything to address before we begin? MR. MUEHLBERGER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And for Mr. Pierce? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. And, in fact, I would ask that we sequester the witnesses for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Sprague can certainly be excused. MR. PIERCE: We don't need to excuse Mr. Sprague. THE COURT: Okay. It was just Ms. Kleffner? MR. PIERCE: Yeah, I didn't want to individualize single out anybody individually. But being as how we're at this point, I have direct evidence that would refute what Ms. Kleffner has said. THE COURT: Yeah. And that's fine. I mean, I appreciate the position. It's just it's allowed under our rules. So you would then MR. PIERCE: I withdraw the request to sequester. THE COURT: You withdraw it. Okay. All right. Very good. Let's go ahead, I guess then. Anything else before I get started? MR. PIERCE: No, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. PIERCE: Adamas. THE COURT: Adamas Construction and Development Services, PLLC, and Nathan Pierce, respondents. I'll just repeat the docket number, CWA-07-2019-0262. Today is Wednesday, August 23rd, and as I mentioned, Day 2 of the hearing. We left off yesterday with a cross for Mr. Pierce to begin the cross of Mr. James Courtney. And it's 8:30 in the morning. We're ready to get started, I assume, unless there's anything we need to take care of in advance. The parties are all here. From complainant, anything to address before we begin? MR. MUEHLBERGER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And for Mr. Pierce? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. And, in fact, I would ask that we sequester the witnesses for this portion, if we could.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Sprague can certainly be excused. MR. PIERCE: We don't need to excuse Mr. Sprague. THE COURT: Okay. It was just Ms. Kleffner? MR. PIERCE: Yeah, I didn't want to individualize single out anybody individually. But being as how we're at this point, I have direct evidence that would refute what Ms. Kleffner has said. THE COURT: Yeah. And that's fine. I mean, I appreciate the position. It's just it's allowed under our rules. So you would then MR. PIERCE: I withdraw the request to sequester. THE COURT: You withdraw it. Okay. All right. Very good. Let's go ahead, I guess then. Anything else before I get started? MR. PIERCE: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Let's go ahead and I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. PIERCE: Adamas. THE COURT: Adamas Construction and Development Services, PLLC, and Nathan Pierce, respondents. I'll just repeat the docket number, CWA-07-2019-0262. Today is Wednesday, August 23rd, and as I mentioned, Day 2 of the hearing. We left off yesterday with a cross for Mr. Pierce to begin the cross of Mr. James Courtney. And it's 8:30 in the morning. We're ready to get started, I assume, unless there's anything we need to take care of in advance. The parties are all here. From complainant, anything to address before we begin? MR. MUEHLBERGER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And for Mr. Pierce? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. And, in fact, I would ask that we sequester the witnesses for this portion, if we could. THE COURT: And that would be Mr. Sprague?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Sprague can certainly be excused. MR. PIERCE: We don't need to excuse Mr. Sprague. THE COURT: Okay. It was just Ms. Kleffner? MR. PIERCE: Yeah, I didn't want to individualize single out anybody individually. But being as how we're at this point, I have direct evidence that would refute what Ms. Kleffner has said. THE COURT: Yeah. And that's fine. I mean, I appreciate the position. It's just it's allowed under our rules. So you would then MR. PIERCE: I withdraw the request to sequester. THE COURT: You withdraw it. Okay. All right. Very good. Let's go ahead, I guess then. Anything else before I get started? MR. PIERCE: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Let's go ahead and I guess well, first I need to log into Teams
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. PIERCE: Adamas. THE COURT: Adamas Construction and Development Services, PLLC, and Nathan Pierce, respondents. I'll just repeat the docket number, CWA-07-2019-0262. Today is Wednesday, August 23rd, and as I mentioned, Day 2 of the hearing. We left off yesterday with a cross for Mr. Pierce to begin the cross of Mr. James Courtney. And it's 8:30 in the morning. We're ready to get started, I assume, unless there's anything we need to take care of in advance. The parties are all here. From complainant, anything to address before we begin? MR. MUEHLBERGER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And for Mr. Pierce? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. And, in fact, I would ask that we sequester the witnesses for this portion, if we could. THE COURT: And that would be Mr. Sprague? Is it Sprague or Sprague?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Sprague can certainly be excused. MR. PIERCE: We don't need to excuse Mr. Sprague. THE COURT: Okay. It was just Ms. Kleffner? MR. PIERCE: Yeah, I didn't want to individualize single out anybody individually. But being as how we're at this point, I have direct evidence that would refute what Ms. Kleffner has said. THE COURT: Yeah. And that's fine. I mean, I appreciate the position. It's just it's allowed under our rules. So you would then MR. PIERCE: I withdraw the request to sequester. THE COURT: You withdraw it. Okay. All right. Very good. Let's go ahead, I guess then. Anything else before I get started? MR. PIERCE: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Let's go ahead and I guess well, first I need to log into Teams because Mr. Courtney is going to be appearing by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. PIERCE: Adamas. THE COURT: Adamas Construction and Development Services, PLLC, and Nathan Pierce, respondents. I'll just repeat the docket number, CWA-07-2019-0262. Today is Wednesday, August 23rd, and as I mentioned, Day 2 of the hearing. We left off yesterday with a cross for Mr. Pierce to begin the cross of Mr. James Courtney. And it's 8:30 in the morning. We're ready to get started, I assume, unless there's anything we need to take care of in advance. The parties are all here. From complainant, anything to address before we begin? MR. MUEHLBERGER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And for Mr. Pierce? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. And, in fact, I would ask that we sequester the witnesses for this portion, if we could. THE COURT: And that would be Mr. Sprague? Is it Sprague or Sprague? MR. SPRAGUE: Sprague.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Sprague can certainly be excused. MR. PIERCE: We don't need to excuse Mr. Sprague. THE COURT: Okay. It was just Ms. Kleffner? MR. PIERCE: Yeah, I didn't want to individualize single out anybody individually. But being as how we're at this point, I have direct evidence that would refute what Ms. Kleffner has said. THE COURT: Yeah. And that's fine. I mean, I appreciate the position. It's just it's allowed under our rules. So you would then MR. PIERCE: I withdraw the request to sequester. THE COURT: You withdraw it. Okay. All right. Very good. Let's go ahead, I guess then. Anything else before I get started? MR. PIERCE: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Let's go ahead and I guess well, first I need to log into Teams because Mr. Courtney is going to be appearing by Teams, right? Are we still doing this the same way
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. PIERCE: Adamas. THE COURT: Adamas Construction and Development Services, PLLC, and Nathan Pierce, respondents. I'll just repeat the docket number, CWA-07-2019-0262. Today is Wednesday, August 23rd, and as I mentioned, Day 2 of the hearing. We left off yesterday with a cross for Mr. Pierce to begin the cross of Mr. James Courtney. And it's 8:30 in the morning. We're ready to get started, I assume, unless there's anything we need to take care of in advance. The parties are all here. From complainant, anything to address before we begin? MR. MUEHLBERGER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And for Mr. Pierce? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. And, in fact, I would ask that we sequester the witnesses for this portion, if we could. THE COURT: And that would be Mr. Sprague? Is it Sprague or Sprague? MR. SPRAGUE: Sprague. THE COURT: Sprague. That would be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Sprague can certainly be excused. MR. PIERCE: We don't need to excuse Mr. Sprague. THE COURT: Okay. It was just Ms. Kleffner? MR. PIERCE: Yeah, I didn't want to individualize single out anybody individually. But being as how we're at this point, I have direct evidence that would refute what Ms. Kleffner has said. THE COURT: Yeah. And that's fine. I mean, I appreciate the position. It's just it's allowed under our rules. So you would then MR. PIERCE: I withdraw the request to sequester. THE COURT: You withdraw it. Okay. All right. Very good. Let's go ahead, I guess then. Anything else before I get started? MR. PIERCE: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Let's go ahead and I guess well, first I need to log into Teams because Mr. Courtney is going to be appearing by Teams, right? Are we still doing this the same way we did yesterday?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. PIERCE: Adamas. THE COURT: Adamas Construction and Development Services, PLLC, and Nathan Pierce, respondents. I'll just repeat the docket number, CWA-07-2019-0262. Today is Wednesday, August 23rd, and as I mentioned, Day 2 of the hearing. We left off yesterday with a cross for Mr. Pierce to begin the cross of Mr. James Courtney. And it's 8:30 in the morning. We're ready to get started, I assume, unless there's anything we need to take care of in advance. The parties are all here. From complainant, anything to address before we begin? MR. MUEHLBERGER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And for Mr. Pierce? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. And, in fact, I would ask that we sequester the witnesses for this portion, if we could. THE COURT: And that would be Mr. Sprague? Is it Sprague or Sprague? MR. SPRAGUE: Sprague.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Sprague can certainly be excused. MR. PIERCE: We don't need to excuse Mr. Sprague. THE COURT: Okay. It was just Ms. Kleffner? MR. PIERCE: Yeah, I didn't want to individualize single out anybody individually. But being as how we're at this point, I have direct evidence that would refute what Ms. Kleffner has said. THE COURT: Yeah. And that's fine. I mean, I appreciate the position. It's just it's allowed under our rules. So you would then MR. PIERCE: I withdraw the request to sequester. THE COURT: You withdraw it. Okay. All right. Very good. Let's go ahead, I guess then. Anything else before I get started? MR. PIERCE: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Let's go ahead and I guess well, first I need to log into Teams because Mr. Courtney is going to be appearing by Teams, right? Are we still doing this the same way

76 (Pages 301 to 304)

	Page 305		Page 307
1	want to make sure I'm not making this more	1	MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. I'm logged in.
2	difficult with my settings on my computer. I need	2	THE COURT: Okay, great. All right. So I'll
3	to be able to periodically chime in. For example,	3	go ahead and join. And let me turn this I'm
4	at the outset, I need to remind the witness he's	4	going to turn my mic from the courtroom off. Okay.
5	still under oath from yesterday. And there were	5	It's connecting. Okay. So I've joined the
6	some issues yesterday. It might have been my	6	meeting. And you want me to unmute my mic?
7	fault, but I muted my mic and I also took the	7	MS. MORENO: On the computer.
8	volume and muted it in order to not have any	8	THE COURT: On the computer. Okay.
9	feedback whatsoever. I noticed that when I change	9	Mr. Courtney, can you hear me?
10	the volume, Mr. Courtney could then hear me. There	10	THE WITNESS: Yes.
11	was a little feedback, but it was manageable. I	11	THE COURT: Great. Thank you very much for
12	think when I tried to unmute myself that was when	12	the technical support that happened behind the
13	there were just terrible echoes going on. And I	13	scenes before you came on. We're going to get
14	don't know if some of that's attributable to the	14	started with there's a little bit of feedback.
15	projection and the sound in the courtroom or not.	15	MR. PIERCE: I believe that's mine, Your
16	But any ideas as to what I should or shouldn't do	16	Honor. I'm turning my speaker down.
17	to minimize that kind of disruption?	17	THE COURT: Okay. All right. We're going to
18	MS. MORENO: I think that if you keep your	18	get started with Day 2. We left off with
19	volume your mic and the settings on your	19	Mr. Pierce being able to start his
20	computer off, he should be able to hear you through	20	cross-examination of you, Mr. Courtney. I just
21	the mics in the courtroom as well we'll be able to	21	want to remind you that you are still under oath
22	hear him.	22	from yesterday. So your testimony today will be
23	THE COURT: Yeah. That was my hope, although	23	sworn just as it was yesterday. And I'm going to
24	that didn't happen yesterday. I had to	24	give Mr. Pierce the chance to begin his
25	actually as I said, what I did was I changed my	25	cross-examination.
	Page 306		Page 308
1	Page 306 volume on the speaker on my computer so that it	1	Page 308 MR. PIERCE: Thank you, Your Honor.
1 2		1 2	_
	volume on the speaker on my computer so that it		MR. PIERCE: Thank you, Your Honor.
2	volume on the speaker on my computer so that it wasn't zero, zeroed out, and then he could hear me.	2	MR. PIERCE: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Courtney, can you see me?
2 3	volume on the speaker on my computer so that it wasn't zero, zeroed out, and then he could hear me. I don't really understand the mechanics of it all.	2 3	MR. PIERCE: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Courtney, can you see me? THE WITNESS: Yes.
2 3 4	volume on the speaker on my computer so that it wasn't zero, zeroed out, and then he could hear me. I don't really understand the mechanics of it all. But, I mean, do you think the projection should	2 3 4	MR. PIERCE: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Courtney, can you see me? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: One second, Mr. Pierce. All
2 3 4 5	volume on the speaker on my computer so that it wasn't zero, zeroed out, and then he could hear me. I don't really understand the mechanics of it all. But, I mean, do you think the projection should pick me up? Because we can try that first, and then if he can't hear me, I can MS. MORENO: I believe it should.	2 3 4 5	MR. PIERCE: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Courtney, can you see me? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: One second, Mr. Pierce. All right. The court reporter was just letting me know she's unable to see the witness. But I don't think she really I just asked if she needed to for any
2 3 4 5 6	volume on the speaker on my computer so that it wasn't zero, zeroed out, and then he could hear me. I don't really understand the mechanics of it all. But, I mean, do you think the projection should pick me up? Because we can try that first, and then if he can't hear me, I can	2 3 4 5 6	MR. PIERCE: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Courtney, can you see me? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: One second, Mr. Pierce. All right. The court reporter was just letting me know she's unable to see the witness. But I don't think
2 3 4 5 6 7	volume on the speaker on my computer so that it wasn't zero, zeroed out, and then he could hear me. I don't really understand the mechanics of it all. But, I mean, do you think the projection should pick me up? Because we can try that first, and then if he can't hear me, I can MS. MORENO: I believe it should. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, we'll give that a whirl. Let me just sign in here. So	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. PIERCE: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Courtney, can you see me? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: One second, Mr. Pierce. All right. The court reporter was just letting me know she's unable to see the witness. But I don't think she really I just asked if she needed to for any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	volume on the speaker on my computer so that it wasn't zero, zeroed out, and then he could hear me. I don't really understand the mechanics of it all. But, I mean, do you think the projection should pick me up? Because we can try that first, and then if he can't hear me, I can MS. MORENO: I believe it should. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, we'll give that a whirl. Let me just sign in here. So I'll leave my mic on here and see if that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. PIERCE: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Courtney, can you see me? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: One second, Mr. Pierce. All right. The court reporter was just letting me know she's unable to see the witness. But I don't think she really I just asked if she needed to for any reason for the court reporting. She does not. I
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	volume on the speaker on my computer so that it wasn't zero, zeroed out, and then he could hear me. I don't really understand the mechanics of it all. But, I mean, do you think the projection should pick me up? Because we can try that first, and then if he can't hear me, I can MS. MORENO: I believe it should. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, we'll give that a whirl. Let me just sign in here. So I'll leave my mic on here and see if that doesn't I think at one point, I think I shut	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. PIERCE: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Courtney, can you see me? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: One second, Mr. Pierce. All right. The court reporter was just letting me know she's unable to see the witness. But I don't think she really I just asked if she needed to for any reason for the court reporting. She does not. I can see him.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	volume on the speaker on my computer so that it wasn't zero, zeroed out, and then he could hear me. I don't really understand the mechanics of it all. But, I mean, do you think the projection should pick me up? Because we can try that first, and then if he can't hear me, I can MS. MORENO: I believe it should. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, we'll give that a whirl. Let me just sign in here. So I'll leave my mic on here and see if that doesn't I think at one point, I think I shut this off, too. So maybe leaving my mic on here	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. PIERCE: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Courtney, can you see me? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: One second, Mr. Pierce. All right. The court reporter was just letting me know she's unable to see the witness. But I don't think she really I just asked if she needed to for any reason for the court reporting. She does not. I can see him. MS. MORENO: Just a minute.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	volume on the speaker on my computer so that it wasn't zero, zeroed out, and then he could hear me. I don't really understand the mechanics of it all. But, I mean, do you think the projection should pick me up? Because we can try that first, and then if he can't hear me, I can MS. MORENO: I believe it should. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, we'll give that a whirl. Let me just sign in here. So I'll leave my mic on here and see if that doesn't I think at one point, I think I shut this off, too. So maybe leaving my mic on here will suffice. We'll try that first and see how	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. PIERCE: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Courtney, can you see me? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: One second, Mr. Pierce. All right. The court reporter was just letting me know she's unable to see the witness. But I don't think she really I just asked if she needed to for any reason for the court reporting. She does not. I can see him. MS. MORENO: Just a minute. MS. KACSUR: Your Honor, we Sarah and I had the same issue. We've left the meeting and rejoined, and we were able to see.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	volume on the speaker on my computer so that it wasn't zero, zeroed out, and then he could hear me. I don't really understand the mechanics of it all. But, I mean, do you think the projection should pick me up? Because we can try that first, and then if he can't hear me, I can MS. MORENO: I believe it should. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, we'll give that a whirl. Let me just sign in here. So I'll leave my mic on here and see if that doesn't I think at one point, I think I shut this off, too. So maybe leaving my mic on here will suffice. We'll try that first and see how that works.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. PIERCE: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Courtney, can you see me? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: One second, Mr. Pierce. All right. The court reporter was just letting me know she's unable to see the witness. But I don't think she really I just asked if she needed to for any reason for the court reporting. She does not. I can see him. MS. MORENO: Just a minute. MS. KACSUR: Your Honor, we Sarah and I had the same issue. We've left the meeting and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	volume on the speaker on my computer so that it wasn't zero, zeroed out, and then he could hear me. I don't really understand the mechanics of it all. But, I mean, do you think the projection should pick me up? Because we can try that first, and then if he can't hear me, I can MS. MORENO: I believe it should. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, we'll give that a whirl. Let me just sign in here. So I'll leave my mic on here and see if that doesn't I think at one point, I think I shut this off, too. So maybe leaving my mic on here will suffice. We'll try that first and see how that works. MS. MORENO: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. PIERCE: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Courtney, can you see me? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: One second, Mr. Pierce. All right. The court reporter was just letting me know she's unable to see the witness. But I don't think she really I just asked if she needed to for any reason for the court reporting. She does not. I can see him. MS. MORENO: Just a minute. MS. KACSUR: Your Honor, we Sarah and I had the same issue. We've left the meeting and rejoined, and we were able to see. MS. MORENO: Can you hang on just for a minute?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	volume on the speaker on my computer so that it wasn't zero, zeroed out, and then he could hear me. I don't really understand the mechanics of it all. But, I mean, do you think the projection should pick me up? Because we can try that first, and then if he can't hear me, I can MS. MORENO: I believe it should. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, we'll give that a whirl. Let me just sign in here. So I'll leave my mic on here and see if that doesn't I think at one point, I think I shut this off, too. So maybe leaving my mic on here will suffice. We'll try that first and see how that works. MS. MORENO: Okay. THE COURT: Is that what you're thinking?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. PIERCE: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Courtney, can you see me? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: One second, Mr. Pierce. All right. The court reporter was just letting me know she's unable to see the witness. But I don't think she really I just asked if she needed to for any reason for the court reporting. She does not. I can see him. MS. MORENO: Just a minute. MS. KACSUR: Your Honor, we Sarah and I had the same issue. We've left the meeting and rejoined, and we were able to see. MS. MORENO: Can you hang on just for a minute? THE COURT: Yeah. Mr. Courtney now just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	volume on the speaker on my computer so that it wasn't zero, zeroed out, and then he could hear me. I don't really understand the mechanics of it all. But, I mean, do you think the projection should pick me up? Because we can try that first, and then if he can't hear me, I can MS. MORENO: I believe it should. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, we'll give that a whirl. Let me just sign in here. So I'll leave my mic on here and see if that doesn't I think at one point, I think I shut this off, too. So maybe leaving my mic on here will suffice. We'll try that first and see how that works. MS. MORENO: Okay. THE COURT: Is that what you're thinking? MS. MORENO: Well, we were going to suggest	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. PIERCE: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Courtney, can you see me? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: One second, Mr. Pierce. All right. The court reporter was just letting me know she's unable to see the witness. But I don't think she really I just asked if she needed to for any reason for the court reporting. She does not. I can see him. MS. MORENO: Just a minute. MS. KACSUR: Your Honor, we Sarah and I had the same issue. We've left the meeting and rejoined, and we were able to see. MS. MORENO: Can you hang on just for a minute? THE COURT: Yeah. Mr. Courtney now just disappeared. I can see Mr. Pierce, but I can't see
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	volume on the speaker on my computer so that it wasn't zero, zeroed out, and then he could hear me. I don't really understand the mechanics of it all. But, I mean, do you think the projection should pick me up? Because we can try that first, and then if he can't hear me, I can MS. MORENO: I believe it should. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, we'll give that a whirl. Let me just sign in here. So I'll leave my mic on here and see if that doesn't I think at one point, I think I shut this off, too. So maybe leaving my mic on here will suffice. We'll try that first and see how that works. MS. MORENO: Okay. THE COURT: Is that what you're thinking? MS. MORENO: Well, we were going to suggest that you try your mic on your computer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. PIERCE: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Courtney, can you see me? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: One second, Mr. Pierce. All right. The court reporter was just letting me know she's unable to see the witness. But I don't think she really I just asked if she needed to for any reason for the court reporting. She does not. I can see him. MS. MORENO: Just a minute. MS. KACSUR: Your Honor, we Sarah and I had the same issue. We've left the meeting and rejoined, and we were able to see. MS. MORENO: Can you hang on just for a minute? THE COURT: Yeah. Mr. Courtney now just disappeared. I can see Mr. Pierce, but I can't see Mr. Courtney anymore. Should I leave and reconnect
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	volume on the speaker on my computer so that it wasn't zero, zeroed out, and then he could hear me. I don't really understand the mechanics of it all. But, I mean, do you think the projection should pick me up? Because we can try that first, and then if he can't hear me, I can MS. MORENO: I believe it should. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, we'll give that a whirl. Let me just sign in here. So I'll leave my mic on here and see if that doesn't I think at one point, I think I shut this off, too. So maybe leaving my mic on here will suffice. We'll try that first and see how that works. MS. MORENO: Okay. THE COURT: Is that what you're thinking? MS. MORENO: Well, we were going to suggest that you try your mic on your computer. THE COURT: Instead of this?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. PIERCE: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Courtney, can you see me? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: One second, Mr. Pierce. All right. The court reporter was just letting me know she's unable to see the witness. But I don't think she really I just asked if she needed to for any reason for the court reporting. She does not. I can see him. MS. MORENO: Just a minute. MS. KACSUR: Your Honor, we Sarah and I had the same issue. We've left the meeting and rejoined, and we were able to see. MS. MORENO: Can you hang on just for a minute? THE COURT: Yeah. Mr. Courtney now just disappeared. I can see Mr. Pierce, but I can't see Mr. Courtney anymore. Should I leave and reconnect as well? Okay. I can see him in the big screen.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 volume on the speaker on my computer so that it wasn't zero, zeroed out, and then he could hear me. I don't really understand the mechanics of it all. But, I mean, do you think the projection should pick me up? Because we can try that first, and then if he can't hear me, I can MS. MORENO: I believe it should. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, we'll give that a whirl. Let me just sign in here. So I'll leave my mic on here and see if that doesn't I think at one point, I think I shut this off, too. So maybe leaving my mic on here will suffice. We'll try that first and see how that works. MS. MORENO: Okay. THE COURT: Is that what you're thinking? MS. MORENO: Well, we were going to suggest that you try your mic on your computer. THE COURT: Instead of this? MS. MORENO: Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. PIERCE: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Courtney, can you see me? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: One second, Mr. Pierce. All right. The court reporter was just letting me know she's unable to see the witness. But I don't think she really I just asked if she needed to for any reason for the court reporting. She does not. I can see him. MS. MORENO: Just a minute. MS. KACSUR: Your Honor, we Sarah and I had the same issue. We've left the meeting and rejoined, and we were able to see. MS. MORENO: Can you hang on just for a minute? THE COURT: Yeah. Mr. Courtney now just disappeared. I can see Mr. Pierce, but I can't see Mr. Courtney anymore. Should I leave and reconnect as well? Okay. I can see him in the big screen. That's fine. I can see him on this monitor. As
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 volume on the speaker on my computer so that it wasn't zero, zeroed out, and then he could hear me. I don't really understand the mechanics of it all. But, I mean, do you think the projection should pick me up? Because we can try that first, and then if he can't hear me, I can MS. MORENO: I believe it should. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, we'll give that a whirl. Let me just sign in here. So I'll leave my mic on here and see if that doesn't I think at one point, I think I shut this off, too. So maybe leaving my mic on here will suffice. We'll try that first and see how that works. MS. MORENO: Okay. THE COURT: Is that what you're thinking? MS. MORENO: Well, we were going to suggest that you try your mic on your computer. THE COURT: Instead of this? MS. MORENO: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. I can do that. Okay. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. PIERCE: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Courtney, can you see me? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: One second, Mr. Pierce. All right. The court reporter was just letting me know she's unable to see the witness. But I don't think she really I just asked if she needed to for any reason for the court reporting. She does not. I can see him. MS. MORENO: Just a minute. MS. KACSUR: Your Honor, we Sarah and I had the same issue. We've left the meeting and rejoined, and we were able to see. MS. MORENO: Can you hang on just for a minute? THE COURT: Yeah. Mr. Courtney now just disappeared. I can see Mr. Pierce, but I can't see Mr. Courtney anymore. Should I leave and reconnect as well? Okay. I can see him in the big screen. That's fine. I can see him on this monitor. As long as I can see him somewhere, we're fine. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	volume on the speaker on my computer so that it wasn't zero, zeroed out, and then he could hear me. I don't really understand the mechanics of it all. But, I mean, do you think the projection should pick me up? Because we can try that first, and then if he can't hear me, I can MS. MORENO: I believe it should. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, we'll give that a whirl. Let me just sign in here. So I'll leave my mic on here and see if that doesn't I think at one point, I think I shut this off, too. So maybe leaving my mic on here will suffice. We'll try that first and see how that works. MS. MORENO: Okay. THE COURT: Is that what you're thinking? MS. MORENO: Well, we were going to suggest that you try your mic on your computer. THE COURT: Instead of this? MS. MORENO: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. I can do that. Okay. So I am in Teams. I accepted the invite, so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. PIERCE: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Courtney, can you see me? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: One second, Mr. Pierce. All right. The court reporter was just letting me know she's unable to see the witness. But I don't think she really I just asked if she needed to for any reason for the court reporting. She does not. I can see him. MS. MORENO: Just a minute. MS. KACSUR: Your Honor, we Sarah and I had the same issue. We've left the meeting and rejoined, and we were able to see. MS. MORENO: Can you hang on just for a minute? THE COURT: Yeah. Mr. Courtney now just disappeared. I can see Mr. Pierce, but I can't see Mr. Courtney anymore. Should I leave and reconnect as well? Okay. I can see him in the big screen. That's fine. I can see him on this monitor. As long as I can see him somewhere, we're fine. I just need to be able to see him.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 volume on the speaker on my computer so that it wasn't zero, zeroed out, and then he could hear me. I don't really understand the mechanics of it all. But, I mean, do you think the projection should pick me up? Because we can try that first, and then if he can't hear me, I can MS. MORENO: I believe it should. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, we'll give that a whirl. Let me just sign in here. So I'll leave my mic on here and see if that doesn't I think at one point, I think I shut this off, too. So maybe leaving my mic on here will suffice. We'll try that first and see how that works. MS. MORENO: Okay. THE COURT: Is that what you're thinking? MS. MORENO: Well, we were going to suggest that you try your mic on your computer. THE COURT: Instead of this? MS. MORENO: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. I can do that. Okay. So I am in Teams. I accepted the invite, so I can join now. I'm assuming everybody 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. PIERCE: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Courtney, can you see me? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: One second, Mr. Pierce. All right. The court reporter was just letting me know she's unable to see the witness. But I don't think she really I just asked if she needed to for any reason for the court reporting. She does not. I can see him. MS. MORENO: Just a minute. MS. KACSUR: Your Honor, we Sarah and I had the same issue. We've left the meeting and rejoined, and we were able to see. MS. MORENO: Can you hang on just for a minute? THE COURT: Yeah. Mr. Courtney now just disappeared. I can see Mr. Pierce, but I can't see Mr. Courtney anymore. Should I leave and reconnect as well? Okay. I can see him in the big screen. That's fine. I can see him on this monitor. As long as I can see him somewhere, we're fine. I just need to be able to see him. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, are you ready?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	volume on the speaker on my computer so that it wasn't zero, zeroed out, and then he could hear me. I don't really understand the mechanics of it all. But, I mean, do you think the projection should pick me up? Because we can try that first, and then if he can't hear me, I can MS. MORENO: I believe it should. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, we'll give that a whirl. Let me just sign in here. So I'll leave my mic on here and see if that doesn't I think at one point, I think I shut this off, too. So maybe leaving my mic on here will suffice. We'll try that first and see how that works. MS. MORENO: Okay. THE COURT: Is that what you're thinking? MS. MORENO: Well, we were going to suggest that you try your mic on your computer. THE COURT: Instead of this? MS. MORENO: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. I can do that. Okay. So I am in Teams. I accepted the invite, so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. PIERCE: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Courtney, can you see me? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: One second, Mr. Pierce. All right. The court reporter was just letting me know she's unable to see the witness. But I don't think she really I just asked if she needed to for any reason for the court reporting. She does not. I can see him. MS. MORENO: Just a minute. MS. KACSUR: Your Honor, we Sarah and I had the same issue. We've left the meeting and rejoined, and we were able to see. MS. MORENO: Can you hang on just for a minute? THE COURT: Yeah. Mr. Courtney now just disappeared. I can see Mr. Pierce, but I can't see Mr. Courtney anymore. Should I leave and reconnect as well? Okay. I can see him in the big screen. That's fine. I can see him on this monitor. As long as I can see him somewhere, we're fine. I just need to be able to see him.

77 (Pages 305 to 308)

	Page 309		Page 311
1	MR. PIERCE: This would be Tom Robinson.	1	A. Can you explain what you mean by
2	THE COURT: Oh, okay. All right. Great.	2	"number"?
3	Okay. Go right ahead, Mr. Pierce.	3	Q. So, typically, when you have a bid
4	MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor.	4	contract and/or a fixed price agreement, you guys
5	Hello, James. Can you hear me?	5	have a BI number that comes with that; is that
6	THE WITNESS: Yes.	6	correct?
7	MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I'm not able to hear	7	A. There's a project number. That's not
8	him.	8	really that's not specific to that's based on
9	James, can you hear me now?	9	the projects for the effort. It's not for the
10	THE WITNESS: Yes.	10	reimbursement agreement. But it can be referenced
11	THE COURT: My mic I just muted my mic.	11	by bids. That's based on the project. So there
12	It was unmuted because that was how he was able to	12	can be different reimbursement agreements for the
13	hear me. But should I turn this on?	13	tribe based on the overarching projects.
14	TECH: No, ma'am.	14	Q. So in the fixed price agreement between
15	THE COURT: So I just muted now, and it's	15	IHS and NCUC, was there any lab results included
16	TECH: Let's try the house mic. Test 1, 2.	16	with that fixed price agreement that IHS had
17	John, can you hear us? James. Sorry. James, can	17	conducted?
18	you hear us?	18	A. I believe there was there was some
19	THE WITNESS: Yes, I can hear you.	19	background information that I included, some tests
20	TECH: My apologies.	20	that were done previously.
21	THE COURT: Mr. Courtney, can you hear me?	21	Q. So you
22	THE WITNESS: Yes, I can hear you.	22	A. I believe there I'm sorry.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you so much,	23	Q. No. Go ahead. I cut you off.
24	Michael. Thanks for your help. I think we're good	24	A. Yeah. I believe there was some tests
25	now. I'm all muted, and I think everything is	25	with the lagoon sludge. And I think there might
	5 210		5 210
	Page 310		Page 312
1	working through the courtroom now and I'm not	1	have also been some tests of the application side.
2	hearing feedback. So fingers crossed. Okay.	2	Q. So IHS conducted some tests. Did you
3	Finally, please go ahead, Mr. Pierce.	3	come up with an agronomic rate, or did IHS come up
4	CROSS-EXAMINATION	4	with an agronomic rate in the bid packet?
5	BY MR. PIERCE:	5	A. I I don't recall.
6	Q. Good morning, James. And thank you for	6	Q. If I was able to show you that fixed
7	being here. I got some quick questions for you.	7	price agreement, would you be able to recall at
8	Can you explain to us yesterday you	8	that point?
9	touched a little bit on the SFC and sort of their	9	A. I I may.
10	mission in the fact that they funded projects. Is	10	MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I would ask that I
11	that the only thing that the SFC does is fund	11	be able to show Mr. Courtney a copy of that fixed
12	projects?	12	price agreement. It is a public record, and I'd
13	A. No. The SFC program also provides	13	like to be able to recall his memory with that if

78 (Pages 309 to 312)

14 technical assistance to different tribes. 14 possible. 15 THE COURT: Absolutely. Go right ahead. 15 Q. So technical assistance. So you 16 MS. MORENO: You're going to have to share 16 administer contracts for them; is that correct? 17 17 your screen. A. So for this situation, this was a 18 18 MR. PIERCE: So share it through the Teams reimbursement-type agreement with the utility. So part? 19 19 this was not a contract. 20 MS. MORENO: Yes. 20 Q. So was there a fixed price agreement 21 that was entered into from IHS to NCUC? 21 MR. PIERCE: Okay. 22 22 MS. MORENO: Right here. A. There was an agreement to reimburse 23 23 BY MR. PIERCE: based on fixed prices from the utility. 24 24 Q. So do you recall what the number of that Q. Are you able to see it now, 25 25 Mr. Courtney? fixed price agreement was?

	Page 313		Page 315
1	A. I can see myself. I can't see the	1	portion?
2	document.	2	A. After "nitrogen available from
3	Q. Can you read what this document says,	3	biosolids"?
4	the first page?	4	Q. So does this document state right below
5	A. Yes. "Fixed Price Construction	5	the line at the heading, "Biosolid application
6	Agreement between Northern Cheyenne Utilities	6	rate, center pivot area"?
7	Commission, NCUC, the Billings Area Indian Health	7	A. Yes. Like I said previously, I believe
8	Service, Sanitation Facilities Construction, SFC,	8	this was for estimating purposes. If I recall
9	for Lame Deer Lagoon, Cell 2 sludge removal."	9	correctly, I believe that was stated in the
10	Q. So you would agree that this is a fixed	10	document that estimates are provided. But
11	price agreement between the Northern Cheyenne	11	it's for IHS wasn't assuming responsibility for
12	Utility Commission and the Billings Area Indian	12	exact quantities.
13	Health Services, correct?	13	Q. Sure. Sure. And it says the site
14	A. Yes. It appears to be the reimbursement	14	there, Robinson pivot; is that correct?
15	agreement.	15	A. Yes.
16	Q. So if we scroll down here in this	16	Q. Can you read the bolded area just below
17	reimbursement agreement, did you is there land	17	the line on the header?
18	identified in this reimbursement agreement for	18	A. The "Biosolids application rates, grass
19	the	19	area."
20	A. I believe there was I believe Tommy	20	Q. And at the very bottom of this, there's
21	Robinson's site was suggested for a proposed	21	a max sludge application rate. Can you tell us
22	application site. But I don't believe it was	22	what that application rate is?
23	restricted to that site specifically.	23	A. 23,950 gallons per acre.
24	Q. So you identified Tom Robinson's pivot	24	Q. Is this considered an agronomic rate?
25	wheel line in the sorry. Excuse me.	25	A. Yeah. It appears to be an amount of

Б 211

	Page 314		Page 316
1	Can you tell us what this particular	1	volume of sludge that you can apply per acre.
2	document is right here?	2	Q. So you would agree that this is an
3	A. It appears to be an analytical summary	3	agronomic rate?
4	report from Energy Labs from Billings, Montana.	4	A. I don't know what the exact definition
5	Q. And who is the person who submitted this	5	of agronomic rate is, but that appears to be
6	analytical the tests for this analytical report?	6	gallons of sludge supplied per acre.
7	A. Yeah. I believe that's submitted by the	7	Q. So up above here, there's some
8	SFC program. I believe the purpose was to	8	calculations with regards to nitrogen to the soil,
9	establish allowing estimates to be made for what	9	to all of the things that would be required to
10	are my costs as far as for the work to be completed	10	develop an agronomic rate, correct?
11	for this. I believe that was the purpose of the	11	A. They appear to be calculations to
12	testing.	12	establish an estimate.
13	Q. And did you turn these documents over to	13	Q. Excellent. So even if it's just an
14	the EPA with your report?	14	estimated agronomic rate, you would agree that
15	A. I don't recall.	15	those calculations seem to be trying to get towards
16	Q. Okay. Moving on.	16	what would be an estimated application rate?
17	Can you tell us what the bolded portion	17	A. So this was for an application rate for
18	of this states?	18	what was tested in a lagoon. So for the for
19	A. Are you talking about the headings like	19	the this application in question in this
20	client project's web I.D.?	20	hearing, that sludge was concentrated, to my
21	Q. How about this portion right here that	21	knowledge, or there was a claim that it was
22	my cursor is moving across?	22	concentrated. So these calculations really don't
23	A. I can't see your cursor on that screen.	23	apply to something that's been altered. So that's
24	Q. Okay. So just below the line there, it	24	something that's important to point out.
25	states, "Biosolids." Can you finish reading that	25	THE COURT: Okay. But I think you need to

79 (Pages 313 to 316)

	Page 317		Page 319
1	also directly respond to Mr. Pierce's question with	1	was specifying how the work was to be done
2	that qualification noted. In direct response to	2	rate-wise. I believe it's just for estimating
3	his question, what is your answer, Mr. Courtney?	3	purposes.
4	THE WITNESS: This is for sludge that was	4	Q. So it's for the estimating purpose that
5	taken directly out of the lagoon and not processed.	5	if somebody is looking at a bid packet, they would
б	But my understanding is is that Adamas	6	be able to estimate how much sludge and how what
7	Construction was claiming that the sludge in the	7	the application rate is to a property; is that
8	lagoon was processed concentrated, so these rates	8	correct?
9	are not really applicable to the work that's	9	A. Yes, that seems correct. It's for
10	being that's in question here because we're	10	establishing prices. But it's up to the the
11	talking about a different kind of sludge.	11	person performing the work is responsible for
12	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Pierce, you can either	12	establishing the rates. So it's just an estimate
13	follow up with the same question or ask something	13	that's provided.
14	else.	14	Q. Well, let's talk about your estimates
15	MR. PIERCE: Yeah. I'll just sort of	15	real quick. How did you determine the amount of
16	rephrase a little bit, Your Honor.	16	sludge that was in the sewer lagoon ponds?
17	THE COURT: Okay.	17	A. I guess I have an objection to that
18	BY MR. PIERCE:	18	because these aren't my estimates.
19	Q. Mr. Courtney, if the sludge had not been	19	MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, he's a witness.
20	altered, would you consider this to be an agronomic	20	THE COURT: Yeah. If you're unable to answer
21	rate?	21	for some reason, you can explain that. But I guess
22	MS. KACSUR: I have an objection, Your	22	I would say you need to respond to the question,
23	Honor	23	but certainly qualify it as you need to based on
24	THE COURT: Yes.	24	your knowledge.
25	MS. KACSUR: to the relevance of these lab	25	THE WITNESS: Right. Yeah. So these aren't

Page 318

1	results based on the date of these lab results.	1	my estimates.
2	THE COURT: Based on the date?	2	BY MR. PIERCE:
3	MS. KACSUR: Yes, ma'am.	3	Q. Well, weren't you there when they were
4	THE COURT: What is the date?	4	collected?
5	MS. KACSUR: These lab results say they were	5	A. When the samples were collected, I don't
б	taken in June of 26, I believe, of 2017. This was	6	think so. Not per this this document.
7	over a year prior to the application project.	7	Q. Were you working for IHS for the SFC
8	MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, the relevance here	8	department when this particular document was
9	is is that the government witness has attempted to	9	created and these samples were taken?
10	say that no agronomic rate was ever provided. This	10	A. I believe so.
11	is clearly an agronomic rate, and it actually is	11	Q. So you were part of the team
12	higher than the rate in Mr. Robinson's contract.	12	A. But I
13	So it's actually going directly to the case at	13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	hand.	14	THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of I
15	THE COURT: I'm going to overrule the	15	don't I don't think I was out there when the
16	objection. You can go ahead and rephrase or not	16	samples were collected. I think I got out of the
17	rephrase. Just repeat your question, if you would,	17	project after the fact.
18	so I remember what you just asked.	18	BY MR. PIERCE:
19	BY MR. PIERCE:	19	Q. So who was the project engineer in
20	Q. Mr. Courtney, if the sludge had not been	20	charge of this particular project in question?
21	altered, do you agree that this application rate	21	A. For the sludge removal effort, I was
22	would be considered an agronomic rate?	22	assigned as the project engineer.
23	A. I believe this was just a this was to	23	Q. So as the project engineer, you didn't
24	be provided to allow estimates to be made for the	24	have any information or knowledge of how the
25	work to be completed. So I don't believe that IHS	25	samples were collected of the project that you were

80 (Pages 317 to 320)

Page 320

	Page 321		Page 323
1	in charge of?	1	Q. Did you and I exchange e-mails regarding
2	A. The documentation in the packet seems	2	the math for the concentration on the lagoons?
3	self-explanatory. The I believe the my	3	A. I recall e-mails being sent discussing
4	understanding is that the samples were collected	4	the math.
5	by by my guess is by Todd Rydquist, the engineer	5	Q. And did we also include lab samples?
6	at SFC, the Billings SFC department.	6	A. I believe yes, I believe we discussed
7	Q. Okay. Let's move on to did you	7	total solids sampling.
8	collect samples during the sludge removal project?	8	Q. Were those lab samples ever turned over
9	A. I collected samples for total solids due	9	to the EPA as part of this case?
10	to a claim that the sludge was concentrated.	10	A. I I don't recall.
11	Q. And how	11	MR. PIERCE: Can I get CX25, please? We have
12	A. To verify those claims.	12	to display it up there.
13	Q. How did you collect those samples?	13	MS. PIERCE: I don't think it's on.
14	A. So I collected them with a sludge judge	14	BY MR. PIERCE:
15	because that seemed to be the only way to collect	15	Q. James, so you worked on the Northern
16	the sludge from the tanks that the sludge was on.	16	Cheyenne Reservation since 2016. Are you aware of
17	Q. And so did myself or anybody else object	17	any other times where sludge was applied to
18	to the use of a sludge judge?	18	Mr. Robinson's field?
19	A. I believe after the fact, there was an	19	A. I don't recall. I wasn't involved in
20	objection, but not during the collection. I	20	the other reference.
21	believe you were present during the collection of	21	Q. So you didn't tell me you remembered him
22	the samples.	22	hauling sludge from the lagoons with his tractor to
23	Q. Did you write an e-mail to EPA, Erin	23	his field?
24	Kleffner, requesting clarification on the use of a	24	A. I don't recall that.
25	sludge judge?	25	MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, we have a bit of a
	Page 322		Page 324
1	A. Yes, I believe so.	1	technical problem.
2	Q. And in that e-mail, did she tell you	2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	that a sludge judge should not be used as it only	3	MR. PIERCE: Should we take maybe a
4	measures the freeboard?	4	five-minute break to get it figured out?
5	A. I recall the message. I believe that	5	THE COURT: Sure.
6 7	was I I disagree with that assessment.	6	MR. PIERCE: Thank you. THE COURT: Sure. Do you need do you need
	Q. Sure. But you did receive that message?		Michael's assistance?
8 9	A. Yes.	8	
	Q. Did you ever relay that information to		MR. PIERCE: I believe so. The problem is is
10 11	NCUC or anybody else at IHS? A. Yes, with a SFC program. I believe the	10 11	we need to display something, and it's not it would have to be on my computer, and I don't have
12	other engineers were aware of them.	12	it on my computer.
13	Q. But did you inform NCUC?	13	THE COURT: Oh, okay. So you need to do
14	A. I I don't recall.	14	the use the projection to do it?
14	Q. Okay. And so when that all began,	15	MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. And I need for
16	didn't that actually start a dispute as to how much	16	James to be able to see that.
17	sludge was pumped from the lagoons?	17	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, I don't
18	A. I don't believe that started the	18	know if that's doable, but find out. We can call
19	dispute. I believe the dispute was the claim	19	Michael, the gentleman who was here before that was
	concentrations were unrealistic for how sludge can	20	helping us out
		1	MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am.
20		21	
20 21	be concentrated.	21 22	
20 21 22	be concentrated. Q. So there was a dispute over the amount	22	THE COURT: and/or somebody else and see
20 21	be concentrated. Q. So there was a dispute over the amount of the sludge, correct?		THE COURT: and/or somebody else and see if that's how that would work.
20 21 22 23	be concentrated. Q. So there was a dispute over the amount of the sludge, correct? A. There was a disagreement with what was	22 23	THE COURT: and/or somebody else and see if that's how that would work. MR. PIERCE: Okay.
20 21 22 23 24	be concentrated. Q. So there was a dispute over the amount of the sludge, correct?	22 23 24	THE COURT: and/or somebody else and see if that's how that would work.

	Page 325		Page 327
1	minutes. If it takes longer, it's fine. And we'll	1	prior to the contract commencing about the
2	just come back.	2	application of sludge to his site or with IHS, I
3	MR. PIERCE: Thank you.	3	should say?
4	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Courtney, just hang in	4	A. I believe he yeah, I believe he was
5	there while we take care of some technical issues.	5	in contact with IHS previously.
6	But I'm going to off the record and exit the	6	Q. Excellent.
7	hearing room.		So can you read to us the, I guess,
8	THE WITNESS: Okay.	8	first declaration of this agreement?
9	(Whereupon, a brief	9	THE COURT: And just for clarification,
10	recess was taken.)	10	you're on RX5, correct?
11	THE COURT: Back on record?		MR. PIERCE: Yeah, sorry, Your Honor. RX5,
12	THE REPORTER: Yes.	12	et. 1.
13	THE COURT: Great.	13	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
14	Mr. Courtney, we are back. And	14	THE WITNESS: Do you want me to read the
15	Mr. Pierce will continue with cross.	15	highlighted part or the whole paragraph?
16	MR. PIERCE: Thank you, Your Honor.	16	MR. PIERCE: The whole paragraph, please.
17	BY MR. PIERCE:	17	THE WITNESS: Can you scroll up a little bit?
18	Q. James, can you still hear me?	18	Because you want me to read the first it's kind
19	A. Yes.	19	of cutting off.
20	Q. Okay. Thank you.	20	MR. PIERCE: So where it starts, "First."
21	So on this max application rate, we have	21	THE WITNESS: The top line is cut off on my
22	23,950. Do you recognize or can you tell us	22	end. Can you scroll up just a little bit?
23	what this document is?	23	MR. PIERCE: Sure. I'm completely scrolled
24	A. I don't believe that document was shared	24	to the top.
25	with me previously, but I'm just seeing it for I	25	THE WITNESS: Yeah. It's lagging on my end.
	while the previously, but I injust seeing it for 1		THE WITTLESS. Team. It's lagging on my cite.
	D 200		
	Page 326		Page 328
1	Page 326 think this is the first time I've seen it. I think	1	Page 328 I can see the second line. Okay. Yeah, it's fine
1 2	2	1 2	5
	think this is the first time I've seen it. I think		I can see the second line. Okay. Yeah, it's fine
2	think this is the first time I've seen it. I think it's an agreement between Tom Robinson and Adamas	2	I can see the second line. Okay. Yeah, it's fine right here.
2 3	think this is the first time I've seen it. I think it's an agreement between Tom Robinson and Adamas Construction.	2 3	I can see the second line. Okay. Yeah, it's fine right here. "First, the subcontractor agrees to
2 3 4	think this is the first time I've seen it. I thinkit's an agreement between Tom Robinson and AdamasConstruction.Q. So isn't it true that IHS required that	2 3 4	I can see the second line. Okay. Yeah, it's fine right here. "First, the subcontractor agrees to furnish all material and perform all work necessary
2 3 4 5	 think this is the first time I've seen it. I think it's an agreement between Tom Robinson and Adamas Construction. Q. So isn't it true that IHS required that a site for application be secured before they 	2 3 4 5	I can see the second line. Okay. Yeah, it's fine right here. "First, the subcontractor agrees to furnish all material and perform all work necessary to complete the" "receive and apply biosolid
2 3 4 5 6	 think this is the first time I've seen it. I think it's an agreement between Tom Robinson and Adamas Construction. Q. So isn't it true that IHS required that a site for application be secured before they initiated the fixed price agreement? 	2 3 4 5 6	I can see the second line. Okay. Yeah, it's fine right here. "First, the subcontractor agrees to furnish all material and perform all work necessary to complete the" "receive and apply biosolid sludge from the frack tanks located at the Lame
2 3 4 5 6 7	 think this is the first time I've seen it. I think it's an agreement between Tom Robinson and Adamas Construction. Q. So isn't it true that IHS required that a site for application be secured before they initiated the fixed price agreement? A. Can you restate your question? Or are 	2 3 4 5 6 7	I can see the second line. Okay. Yeah, it's fine right here. "First, the subcontractor agrees to furnish all material and perform all work necessary to complete the" "receive and apply biosolid sludge from the frack tanks located at the Lame Deer Lagoons in Lame Deer, Montana, at an agronomic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 think this is the first time I've seen it. I think it's an agreement between Tom Robinson and Adamas Construction. Q. So isn't it true that IHS required that a site for application be secured before they initiated the fixed price agreement? A. Can you restate your question? Or are you asking 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I can see the second line. Okay. Yeah, it's fine right here. "First, the subcontractor agrees to furnish all material and perform all work necessary to complete the" "receive and apply biosolid sludge from the frack tanks located at the Lame Deer Lagoons in Lame Deer, Montana, at an agronomic rate and haul it to the barley field with pivot
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 think this is the first time I've seen it. I think it's an agreement between Tom Robinson and Adamas Construction. Q. So isn't it true that IHS required that a site for application be secured before they initiated the fixed price agreement? A. Can you restate your question? Or are you asking Q. So did IHS require that a land 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I can see the second line. Okay. Yeah, it's fine right here. "First, the subcontractor agrees to furnish all material and perform all work necessary to complete the" "receive and apply biosolid sludge from the frack tanks located at the Lame Deer Lagoons in Lame Deer, Montana, at an agronomic rate and haul it to the barley field with pivot line owned or leased by Tom Robinson in compliance
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 think this is the first time I've seen it. I think it's an agreement between Tom Robinson and Adamas Construction. Q. So isn't it true that IHS required that a site for application be secured before they initiated the fixed price agreement? A. Can you restate your question? Or are you asking Q. So did IHS require that a land application site be identified in an agreement 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I can see the second line. Okay. Yeah, it's fine right here. "First, the subcontractor agrees to furnish all material and perform all work necessary to complete the" "receive and apply biosolid sludge from the frack tanks located at the Lame Deer Lagoons in Lame Deer, Montana, at an agronomic rate and haul it to the barley field with pivot line owned or leased by Tom Robinson in compliance with U.S. 40 EPA 503 regulations. The
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 think this is the first time I've seen it. I think it's an agreement between Tom Robinson and Adamas Construction. Q. So isn't it true that IHS required that a site for application be secured before they initiated the fixed price agreement? A. Can you restate your question? Or are you asking Q. So did IHS require that a land application site be identified in an agreement entered in with the landowner before the fixed 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I can see the second line. Okay. Yeah, it's fine right here. "First, the subcontractor agrees to furnish all material and perform all work necessary to complete the" "receive and apply biosolid sludge from the frack tanks located at the Lame Deer Lagoons in Lame Deer, Montana, at an agronomic rate and haul it to the barley field with pivot line owned or leased by Tom Robinson in compliance with U.S. 40 EPA 503 regulations. The subcontractor further agrees to prep the field and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 think this is the first time I've seen it. I think it's an agreement between Tom Robinson and Adamas Construction. Q. So isn't it true that IHS required that a site for application be secured before they initiated the fixed price agreement? A. Can you restate your question? Or are you asking Q. So did IHS require that a land application site be identified in an agreement entered in with the landowner before the fixed price agreement was made? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I can see the second line. Okay. Yeah, it's fine right here. "First, the subcontractor agrees to furnish all material and perform all work necessary to complete the" "receive and apply biosolid sludge from the frack tanks located at the Lame Deer Lagoons in Lame Deer, Montana, at an agronomic rate and haul it to the barley field with pivot line owned or leased by Tom Robinson in compliance with U.S. 40 EPA 503 regulations. The subcontractor further agrees to prep the field and till the sludge incorporating it into the soil
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 think this is the first time I've seen it. I think it's an agreement between Tom Robinson and Adamas Construction. Q. So isn't it true that IHS required that a site for application be secured before they initiated the fixed price agreement? A. Can you restate your question? Or are you asking Q. So did IHS require that a land application site be identified in an agreement entered in with the landowner before the fixed price agreement was made? A. I don't believe that was a requirement. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I can see the second line. Okay. Yeah, it's fine right here. "First, the subcontractor agrees to furnish all material and perform all work necessary to complete the" "receive and apply biosolid sludge from the frack tanks located at the Lame Deer Lagoons in Lame Deer, Montana, at an agronomic rate and haul it to the barley field with pivot line owned or leased by Tom Robinson in compliance with U.S. 40 EPA 503 regulations. The subcontractor further agrees to prep the field and till the sludge incorporating it into the soil within six hour. Must apply 50 acres at a max
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 think this is the first time I've seen it. I think it's an agreement between Tom Robinson and Adamas Construction. Q. So isn't it true that IHS required that a site for application be secured before they initiated the fixed price agreement? A. Can you restate your question? Or are you asking Q. So did IHS require that a land application site be identified in an agreement entered in with the landowner before the fixed price agreement was made? A. I don't believe that was a requirement. I for the work to be done. I think if the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I can see the second line. Okay. Yeah, it's fine right here. "First, the subcontractor agrees to furnish all material and perform all work necessary to complete the" "receive and apply biosolid sludge from the frack tanks located at the Lame Deer Lagoons in Lame Deer, Montana, at an agronomic rate and haul it to the barley field with pivot line owned or leased by Tom Robinson in compliance with U.S. 40 EPA 503 regulations. The subcontractor further agrees to prep the field and till the sludge incorporating it into the soil within six hour. Must apply 50 acres at a max application rate of 22,000 gallons per acres."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 think this is the first time I've seen it. I think it's an agreement between Tom Robinson and Adamas Construction. Q. So isn't it true that IHS required that a site for application be secured before they initiated the fixed price agreement? A. Can you restate your question? Or are you asking Q. So did IHS require that a land application site be identified in an agreement entered in with the landowner before the fixed price agreement was made? A. I don't believe that was a requirement. I for the work to be done. I think if the sludge was taken to a say, a dump, that would 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I can see the second line. Okay. Yeah, it's fine right here. "First, the subcontractor agrees to furnish all material and perform all work necessary to complete the" "receive and apply biosolid sludge from the frack tanks located at the Lame Deer Lagoons in Lame Deer, Montana, at an agronomic rate and haul it to the barley field with pivot line owned or leased by Tom Robinson in compliance with U.S. 40 EPA 503 regulations. The subcontractor further agrees to prep the field and till the sludge incorporating it into the soil within six hour. Must apply 50 acres at a max application rate of 22,000 gallons per acres." BY MR. PIERCE:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 think this is the first time I've seen it. I think it's an agreement between Tom Robinson and Adamas Construction. Q. So isn't it true that IHS required that a site for application be secured before they initiated the fixed price agreement? A. Can you restate your question? Or are you asking Q. So did IHS require that a land application site be identified in an agreement entered in with the landowner before the fixed price agreement was made? A. I don't believe that was a requirement. I for the work to be done. I think if the sludge was taken to a say, a dump, that would have been an equal product. So I don't I don't 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I can see the second line. Okay. Yeah, it's fine right here. "First, the subcontractor agrees to furnish all material and perform all work necessary to complete the" "receive and apply biosolid sludge from the frack tanks located at the Lame Deer Lagoons in Lame Deer, Montana, at an agronomic rate and haul it to the barley field with pivot line owned or leased by Tom Robinson in compliance with U.S. 40 EPA 503 regulations. The subcontractor further agrees to prep the field and till the sludge incorporating it into the soil within six hour. Must apply 50 acres at a max application rate of 22,000 gallons per acres." BY MR. PIERCE: Q. So you would agree that that 22,000
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 think this is the first time I've seen it. I think it's an agreement between Tom Robinson and Adamas Construction. Q. So isn't it true that IHS required that a site for application be secured before they initiated the fixed price agreement? A. Can you restate your question? Or are you asking Q. So did IHS require that a land application site be identified in an agreement entered in with the landowner before the fixed price agreement was made? A. I don't believe that was a requirement. I for the work to be done. I think if the sludge was taken to a say, a dump, that would have been an equal product. So I don't I don't believe that was a requirement to my knowledge. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I can see the second line. Okay. Yeah, it's fine right here. "First, the subcontractor agrees to furnish all material and perform all work necessary to complete the" "receive and apply biosolid sludge from the frack tanks located at the Lame Deer Lagoons in Lame Deer, Montana, at an agronomic rate and haul it to the barley field with pivot line owned or leased by Tom Robinson in compliance with U.S. 40 EPA 503 regulations. The subcontractor further agrees to prep the field and till the sludge incorporating it into the soil within six hour. Must apply 50 acres at a max application rate of 22,000 gallons per acres." BY MR. PIERCE: Q. So you would agree that that 22,000 gallons per acre is actually lower than your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 think this is the first time I've seen it. I think it's an agreement between Tom Robinson and Adamas Construction. Q. So isn't it true that IHS required that a site for application be secured before they initiated the fixed price agreement? A. Can you restate your question? Or are you asking Q. So did IHS require that a land application site be identified in an agreement entered in with the landowner before the fixed price agreement was made? A. I don't believe that was a requirement. I for the work to be done. I think if the sludge was taken to a say, a dump, that would have been an equal product. So I don't I don't believe that was a requirement to my knowledge. Q. So did you send an e-mail to Nathan 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I can see the second line. Okay. Yeah, it's fine right here. "First, the subcontractor agrees to furnish all material and perform all work necessary to complete the" "receive and apply biosolid sludge from the frack tanks located at the Lame Deer Lagoons in Lame Deer, Montana, at an agronomic rate and haul it to the barley field with pivot line owned or leased by Tom Robinson in compliance with U.S. 40 EPA 503 regulations. The subcontractor further agrees to prep the field and till the sludge incorporating it into the soil within six hour. Must apply 50 acres at a max application rate of 22,000 gallons per acres." BY MR. PIERCE: Q. So you would agree that that 22,000 gallons per acre is actually lower than your estimate of 23,000?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 think this is the first time I've seen it. I think it's an agreement between Tom Robinson and Adamas Construction. Q. So isn't it true that IHS required that a site for application be secured before they initiated the fixed price agreement? A. Can you restate your question? Or are you asking Q. So did IHS require that a land application site be identified in an agreement entered in with the landowner before the fixed price agreement was made? A. I don't believe that was a requirement. I for the work to be done. I think if the sludge was taken to a say, a dump, that would have been an equal product. So I don't I don't believe that was a requirement to my knowledge. Q. So did you send an e-mail to Nathan Pierce or Adamas Construction stating that Tom 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I can see the second line. Okay. Yeah, it's fine right here. "First, the subcontractor agrees to furnish all material and perform all work necessary to complete the" "receive and apply biosolid sludge from the frack tanks located at the Lame Deer Lagoons in Lame Deer, Montana, at an agronomic rate and haul it to the barley field with pivot line owned or leased by Tom Robinson in compliance with U.S. 40 EPA 503 regulations. The subcontractor further agrees to prep the field and till the sludge incorporating it into the soil within six hour. Must apply 50 acres at a max application rate of 22,000 gallons per acres." BY MR. PIERCE: Q. So you would agree that that 22,000 gallons per acre is actually lower than your estimate of 23,000? A. That wasn't an estimate that I was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 think this is the first time I've seen it. I think it's an agreement between Tom Robinson and Adamas Construction. Q. So isn't it true that IHS required that a site for application be secured before they initiated the fixed price agreement? A. Can you restate your question? Or are you asking Q. So did IHS require that a land application site be identified in an agreement entered in with the landowner before the fixed price agreement was made? A. I don't believe that was a requirement. I for the work to be done. I think if the sludge was taken to a say, a dump, that would have been an equal product. So I don't I don't believe that was a requirement to my knowledge. Q. So did you send an e-mail to Nathan Pierce or Adamas Construction stating that Tom Robinson still wanted to receive the sludge on his 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I can see the second line. Okay. Yeah, it's fine right here. "First, the subcontractor agrees to furnish all material and perform all work necessary to complete the" "receive and apply biosolid sludge from the frack tanks located at the Lame Deer Lagoons in Lame Deer, Montana, at an agronomic rate and haul it to the barley field with pivot line owned or leased by Tom Robinson in compliance with U.S. 40 EPA 503 regulations. The subcontractor further agrees to prep the field and till the sludge incorporating it into the soil within six hour. Must apply 50 acres at a max application rate of 22,000 gallons per acres." BY MR. PIERCE: Q. So you would agree that that 22,000 gallons per acre is actually lower than your estimate of 23,000? A. That wasn't an estimate that I was producing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 think this is the first time I've seen it. I think it's an agreement between Tom Robinson and Adamas Construction. Q. So isn't it true that IHS required that a site for application be secured before they initiated the fixed price agreement? A. Can you restate your question? Or are you asking Q. So did IHS require that a land application site be identified in an agreement entered in with the landowner before the fixed price agreement was made? A. I don't believe that was a requirement. I for the work to be done. I think if the sludge was taken to a say, a dump, that would have been an equal product. So I don't I don't believe that was a requirement to my knowledge. Q. So did you send an e-mail to Nathan Pierce or Adamas Construction stating that Tom Robinson still wanted to receive the sludge on his property? A. I don't recall that e-mail specifically, but I there may have been an e-mail just to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I can see the second line. Okay. Yeah, it's fine right here. "First, the subcontractor agrees to furnish all material and perform all work necessary to complete the" "receive and apply biosolid sludge from the frack tanks located at the Lame Deer Lagoons in Lame Deer, Montana, at an agronomic rate and haul it to the barley field with pivot line owned or leased by Tom Robinson in compliance with U.S. 40 EPA 503 regulations. The subcontractor further agrees to prep the field and till the sludge incorporating it into the soil within six hour. Must apply 50 acres at a max application rate of 22,000 gallons per acres." BY MR. PIERCE: Q. So you would agree that that 22,000 gallons per acre is actually lower than your estimate of 23,000? A. That wasn't an estimate that I was producing. Q. Excuse me. Let me rephrase that. That IHS produced? A. Like I said previously, this the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 think this is the first time I've seen it. I think it's an agreement between Tom Robinson and Adamas Construction. Q. So isn't it true that IHS required that a site for application be secured before they initiated the fixed price agreement? A. Can you restate your question? Or are you asking Q. So did IHS require that a land application site be identified in an agreement entered in with the landowner before the fixed price agreement. I - for the work to be done. I think if the sludge was taken to a say, a dump, that would have been an equal product. So I don't I don't believe that was a requirement to my knowledge. Q. So did you send an e-mail to Nathan Pierce or Adamas Construction stating that Tom Robinson still wanted to receive the sludge on his property? A. I don't recall that e-mail specifically, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I can see the second line. Okay. Yeah, it's fine right here. "First, the subcontractor agrees to furnish all material and perform all work necessary to complete the" "receive and apply biosolid sludge from the frack tanks located at the Lame Deer Lagoons in Lame Deer, Montana, at an agronomic rate and haul it to the barley field with pivot line owned or leased by Tom Robinson in compliance with U.S. 40 EPA 503 regulations. The subcontractor further agrees to prep the field and till the sludge incorporating it into the soil within six hour. Must apply 50 acres at a max application rate of 22,000 gallons per acres." BY MR. PIERCE: Q. So you would agree that that 22,000 gallons per acre is actually lower than your estimate of 23,000? A. That wasn't an estimate that I was producing. Q. Excuse me. Let me rephrase that. That IHS produced?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 think this is the first time I've seen it. I think it's an agreement between Tom Robinson and Adamas Construction. Q. So isn't it true that IHS required that a site for application be secured before they initiated the fixed price agreement? A. Can you restate your question? Or are you asking Q. So did IHS require that a land application site be identified in an agreement entered in with the landowner before the fixed price agreement was made? A. I don't believe that was a requirement. I for the work to be done. I think if the sludge was taken to a say, a dump, that would have been an equal product. So I don't I don't believe that was a requirement to my knowledge. Q. So did you send an e-mail to Nathan Pierce or Adamas Construction stating that Tom Robinson still wanted to receive the sludge on his property? A. I don't recall that e-mail specifically, but I there may have been an e-mail just to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I can see the second line. Okay. Yeah, it's fine right here. "First, the subcontractor agrees to furnish all material and perform all work necessary to complete the" "receive and apply biosolid sludge from the frack tanks located at the Lame Deer Lagoons in Lame Deer, Montana, at an agronomic rate and haul it to the barley field with pivot line owned or leased by Tom Robinson in compliance with U.S. 40 EPA 503 regulations. The subcontractor further agrees to prep the field and till the sludge incorporating it into the soil within six hour. Must apply 50 acres at a max application rate of 22,000 gallons per acres." BY MR. PIERCE: Q. So you would agree that that 22,000 gallons per acre is actually lower than your estimate of 23,000? A. That wasn't an estimate that I was producing. Q. Excuse me. Let me rephrase that. That IHS produced? A. Like I said previously, this the

82 (Pages 325 to 328)

	Page 329		Page 331
1	A. Yes.	1	here are changed. The contractor said that he
2	Q just a quick "yes" or "no."	2	concentrated to ten times the original
3	THE COURT: So the question is, if I'm	3	concentration in the lagoon. So the estimated
4	following this, whether this highlighted line that	4	rates from the reimbursement agreement assume no
5	you just read is at a rate that is	5	concentration of the sludge, but yeah. Sorry.
6	MR. PIERCE: Less than their	6	BY MR. PIERCE:
7	THE COURT: less than what was addressed	7	Q. So, Mr. Courtney, was this agreement
8	in the bid document?	8	made before the application process began?
9	MR. PIERCE: In the fixed price agreement,	9	A. I it would seem so.
10	yes, Your Honor.	10	Q. So, realistically, before any of
11	THE COURT: Okay. That the witness indicated	11	the work began, this agreement was made with
12	was an estimate. But nonetheless, you're asking	12	Mr. Robinson?
13	whether that is less than the rate in that	13	A. I don't think I was privy to that
14	estimate	14	agreement. But I believe that the agreement would
15	MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am.	15	have been made before an application was started.
16	THE WITNESS: in the bid?	16	Q. Can you read the second declaration in
17	MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am.	17	this contract?
18	THE COURT: Okay. Can you respond to that,	18	A. Yes. "The subcontractor agrees to
19	Mr. Courtney?	19	promptly begin said work as soon as notified by
20	THE WITNESS: I would say I can't compare the	20	said contractors. Estimated begin date is August
21	rates because the sludge is altered. So it's	21	8, 2018. Subcontractor will furnish contractor
22	MR. PIERCE: Mr. Courtney	22	with logs for each day of application."
23	THE WITNESS: I don't think it's you're	23	Q. So you would agree that in this document
24	not comparing the same thing.	24	that is signed by Tom Robinson, he agreed to supply
25	///	25	Adamas Construction with logs of each day of
	Page 330		Page 332
1	BY MR. PIERCE:	1	application; is that correct?

Q is 22,000 gallons less than 23,000	2	A. It appears so from that text.
		ri. it appears so from that text.
gallons, yes or no?	3	Q. Perfect. Thank you.
A. I believe I believe the number is	4	Can you are you is this e-mail to
less. But we're comparing different quantities	5	you from Sheri Bement?
Q. Thank you.	6	A. I believe so.
A to the different	7	Q. Can you give us the date of this e-mail?
THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. I'm not getting	8	A. May 9th, 2018.
the end of the witness.	9	Q. And can you read the highlighted
THE COURT: Yeah. Don't let him finish	10	portion, please?
his answer.	11	A. Yes. "This is to confirm that all land
MR. PIERCE: I apologize.	12	applications will meet or exceed the requirements
THE COURT: If you need to follow up, it's	13	of U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA, Part
fine. But the transcript will be a disaster if	14	503 Compliant 40 USC 503 et. seq, Montana
there's talk over, so	15	Department of Environmental Quality, DEQ, including
MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am.	16	DEQ Circular 2, Chapter 80 to 89, and will
THE COURT: Go ahead and finish,	17	demonstrate compliance with applicable laws, rules,
Mr. Courtney.	18	and regulations to include, but not limited to, the
THE WITNESS: So the gallons that are being	19	Montana Water Quality Act non-degradation and
described here are allegedly different because the	20	hazardous waste disposal requirements, Title 75,
contractor was claiming that the sludge was	21	Chapter 5, MCA, and the Federal Water Pollution
concentrated. So I I have a hard time answering	22	Control Act, 33 USC 1251 et. seq."
that question because it's comparing different	23	Q. And you would agree that this e-mail is
things. That's why I can't simply answer "yes" or	24	NCUC General Manager Sheri Bement directly stating
"no" because the gallons that are being described	25	to you that she would comply with all federal laws
	 less. But we're comparing different quantities Q. Thank you. A to the different THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. I'm not getting the end of the witness. THE COURT: Yeah. Don't let him finish his answer. MR. PIERCE: I apologize. THE COURT: If you need to follow up, it's fine. But the transcript will be a disaster if there's talk over, so MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: Go ahead and finish, Mr. Courtney. THE WITNESS: So the gallons that are being described here are allegedly different because the contractor was claiming that the sludge was concentrated. So I I have a hard time answering that question because it's comparing different things. That's why I can't simply answer "yes" or 	A. I believe or hor4A. I believe I believe the number is4less. But we're comparing different quantities5Q. Thank you.6A to the different7THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. I'm not getting8the end of the witness.9THE COURT: Yeah. Don't let him finish10his answer.11MR. PIERCE: I apologize.12THE COURT: If you need to follow up, it's13fine. But the transcript will be a disaster if14there's talk over, so15MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am.16THE COURT: Go ahead and finish,17Mr. Courtney.18THE WITNESS: So the gallons that are being19described here are allegedly different because the20concentrated. So I I have a hard time answering22things. That's why I can't simply answer "yes" or24

83 (Pages 329 to 332)

	Page 333		Page 335
1	and regulations; is that correct?	1	sludge removal on NCUC and Adamas Construction
2	A. I believe it's saying that the work that	2	itself."
3	would be done would comply with the regulations	3	Q. And what's the date of this e-mail?
4	just because the reimbursement agreement had	4	A. I believe it is August 24th, 2018.
5	stipulations that 503 needed to be followed for	5	Q. And Mr. Killsback identifies NCUC as the
6	reimbursement to be made to the utility.	6	entity responsible for performing all work; is that
7	Q. Sure. And	7	correct?
8	THE COURT: Hold on one second. Mr. Pierce,	8	A. As far as as far as a tribal entity
9	before you move on, I just wanted you to scroll	9	that was waiting the reimbursement agreement, they
10	down to identify the RX number on that e-mail.	10	had a responsibility for following that agreement
11	MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am.	11	to get reimbursed.
12	THE COURT: I think it's at the bottom of the	12	Q. Can I have you read the highlighted
13	document, the Gmail, compliance with 503.	13	portion again?
14	MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. Where did we go	14	A. Yes. "I have informed you that NCUC is
15	here?	15	responsible for the performance of the sludge
16	THE COURT: It was all the way to the right	16	removal and Adamas Construction as a subcontractor.
17	when you had them on the bottom. All the way to	17	I represented the NCUC's interest in trying to
18	the right.	18	complete the project and subsequently engage in
19	MR. PIERCE: Gmail compliance?	19	attempting to resolve the calculation of overall
20	THE COURT: And just scroll. I think it's at	20	sludge removal on NCUC and Adamas Construction
21	the very bottom.	21	itself" or "Construction's behalf."
22	MR. PIERCE: It's RX25, Your Honor.	22	Q. So the attorney for NCUC is recognizing
23	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.	23	that Adamas Construction is a subcontractor in that
24	MR. PIERCE: Et. 1. I apologize.	24	sentence; is that correct?
25	///	25	A. Yes.

Page 334

1 BY MR. PIERCE: 1 Q. And he also states that NCUC is 2 2 O. James, can you -- was NCUC the main responsible for the performance of the sludge 3 3 contractor in the fixed price agreement? removal, correct? 4 A. I would say no because it was a 4 A. I believe that's stated. 5 5 reimbursement agreement. Q. Okay. Thank you. 6 Q. So NCUC was not the main contractor. Is б THE COURT: Before you -- we need the RX 7 7 that your position? number at the bottom just to orient. 8 A. I believe it might be inaccurate to call 8 MR. PIERCE: RX26, et. 1, Your Honor. 9 9 them a contractor. THE COURT: Okay. 10 10 MR. PIERCE: RX27, et. 1, Your Honor. Q. Okay. Can you read the highlighted 11 portion of this e-mail -- or excuse me -- who's 11 THE COURT: Okay. 12 12 BY MR. PIERCE: this e-mail from? 13 A. I believe Dion Killsback, the NCUC 13 Q. Can you -- Mr. Courtney, do you 14 14 recognize that this e-mail is from Mr. Killsback as attorney. 15 O. And Jim White and IHS is CCed on this, 15 well? 16 16 correct? A. Yes. 17 A. I believe so. 17 Q. And can you read the date on this for 18 18 Q. And so can you read the highlighted us, please? 19 19 A. Yes. August 29th, 2018. portion for us? 20 20 A. Yes. "I have informed you that the NCUC Q. So can you please read the highlighted 21 is responsible for the performance of the sludge 21 portion? 22 22 A. Yes. "Adamas Construction is no longer removal and Adamas Construction as a subcontractor. 23 I represented the NCUC's interest in trying to 23 a contractor/consultant for NCUC. Adamas 24 complete the project and subsequently engaged in 24 Construction, its employees and subcontractors are attempting to resolve the calculation of overall 25 25 not permitted to be on NCUC properties and are not

84 (Pages 333 to 336)

Page 336

	Page 337		Page 339
1	permitted to be conducting any work."	1	the EPA. I believe my outreach to the EPA was in
2	Q. So you would agree that, again, Adamas	2	response to Adamas Construction trying to seek
3	Construction was recognized as a consultant, but	3	payment from NCUC despite apparent 503 violations,
4	NCUC had control over the facilities?	4	and that outreach to the EPA was to consult the
5	A. As far as being a utility, they had	5	primacy agency so they could weigh in to 503
б	control over their facilities.	6	compliance.
7	Q. Mr. Courtney, do you recognize this as	7	Q. Did you send a report to the EPA after
8	being from the Indian Health Services?	8	Tom Robinson had contacted you regarding the sludge
9	A. Yes. That appears to be a letter to	9	application on his field?
10	Senator Daines from Indian Health Service.	10	A. I believe I sent the EPA a trip report.
11	Q. Okay. Perfect.	11	THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. Can you repeat
12	MR. PIERCE: And, Your Honor, we're at RX28,	12	that?
13	et. 1.	13	THE COURT: Could you repeat that,
14	THE COURT: Okay.	14	Mr. Courtney?
15	BY MR. PIERCE:	15	THE WITNESS: Yes. I believe I sent the EPA
16	Q. And who's this signed by?	16	a trip report after visiting the Tom Robinson
17	A. Bryce Redgrave. He's the Indian Health	17	property.
18	Service area director for the Billings area.	18	BY MR. PIERCE:
19	Q. And do you know him personally?	19	Q. So you made a report to the EPA; is that
20	A. Not on a personal basis, but he worked	20	correct?
21	in the same office.	21	A. That's incorrect. That was a trip
22	Q. Okay. Perfect.	22	report that was common practice for site visits.
23	And so can you read the highlighted	23	That was just relevant to the 503 question.
24	portion of what he directly states to Senator Steve	24	Q. And was that report used to initiate the
25	Daines?	25	complaint against Adamas or Nathan Pierce?
	Page 338		Page 340
1	A. Yes. "The Indian Health Service, IHS,	1	MS. KACSUR: Objection, Your Honor. No
2	entered into a project agreement with the Northern	2	personal knowledge of when the EPA used this.
3	Cheyenne Utility Commission, NCUC, to complete the	3	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Courtney, do you
4	lagoon renovation project. The project agreement	4	actually know? Do you have the knowledge to be
5	was executed by the IHS and NCUC with an effective	5	able to respond to this question or not?
	-		

date of May 11th, 2018. NCUC then entered into a	6	THE WITNESS: I do not. I can't speak to
separate contract with Adamas on May 15th, 2018, to	7	what the EPA used the documents for.
perform much of the required work. It is important	8	THE COURT: Okay. Sustained.
to know that there is not a contract between IHS	9	MR. PIERCE: Moving on, Your Honor.

MR. PIERCE: Moving on, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Okay. 10

and Haamast Daring the performance of the sorid, a		
dispute arose regarding the amount of sludge that	11	BY MR. PIERCE:
Adamas had pumped from the lagoons."	12	Q. Mr. Courtney, can you tell us who George
Q. And so you would agree that that dispute	13	Cummins is?
from the amount of sludge that was pumped came from	14	A. Yes. He was the construction inspector
the estimation that was in the frack tanks; is that	15	for the SFC program.
correct?	16	Q. And so was he tasked with inspecting the
A. Yes. I believe the what Adamas was	17	project daily?
claiming was in the frack tanks seemed to be	18	A. He was tasked with inspections. But
unrealistic to what such could be concentrated to.	19	there were there was issues with coordinating
I believe that was the dispute.	20	inspections due to an updated schedule not being
Q. Okay. And so you would you would	21	shared.
admit that there was a dispute that arose. Did	22	Q. So you would agree that there was a
that dispute arise before you made your complaint	23	member of IHS who was tasked with inspections and
to the EPA?	24	performed inspections?

A. I don't believe I made a complaint to

and Adamas. During the performance of this work, a

б

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

85 (Pages 337 to 340)

A. Yes, his was to inspect.

BRIDGER COURT REPORTERS, INC. (406) 582-0668

25

	Page 341		Page 343
1	Q. Is George Cummins related to Tom	1	Nathan Pierce the main contractor for this job?
2	Robinson?	2	A. I I don't believe so.
3	A. I can't speak to that.	3	Q. If you learned that they had, would
4	Q. Okay.	4	that excuse me.
5	MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I believe at this	5	So if they had made that agreement, are
6	time, I don't have any well, give me one second.	6	you aware of whether they followed through with
7	THE COURT: Okay. I have one quick follow-up	7	that agreement?
8	if you want me to ask in the meanwhile.	8	A. There would be no ability to make an
9	MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am.	9	agreement directly with Adamas Construction between
10	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Courtney, I just	10	IHS and Adamas because the reimbursement agreement
11	wanted to ask if you're able to just elaborate. I	11	was with the utility.
12	know earlier when you were looking at the bid	12	Q. So the reimbursement agreement was with
13	document, you made reference to altered sludge.	13	the utility. So Adamas was required to go through
14	THE WITNESS: Yes.	14	the utility for payment, correct?
15	THE COURT: Can you tell me what examples of	15	A. I believe Adamas Construction was paid
16	that alteration would be? I mean, are we	16	by the utility for this work.
17	talking as somebody not in this industry that	17	Q. So their payment came from the person
18	doesn't really understand a lot of the details	18	who was contracted by IHS to do the work. That
19	about it, would altered mean dewatering, for	19	would be NCUC, correct?
20	example, or is there something else?	20	A. I wouldn't call it contracting. I'd say
21	THE WITNESS: Yes.	21	that's incorrect.
22	THE COURT: Okay. So dewatering is an	22	MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, going back to the
23	example. Is there anything else that would	23	fixed price agreement.
24	constitute altering that you are aware of that	24	BY MR. PIERCE:
25	might relate to your prior answer about the sludge	25	Q. Mr. Courtney, would you believe that
	Page 342		
	rage 342		Page 344
1	_	1	5
1 2	having been altered? THE WITNESS: I'm only aware of it being	1 2	Page 344 this fixed price agreement sorry. So are you saying that this fixed price
	having been altered?		this fixed price agreement sorry.
2	having been altered? THE WITNESS: I'm only aware of it being	2	this fixed price agreement sorry. So are you saying that this fixed price
2 3	having been altered? THE WITNESS: I'm only aware of it being dehydrated for the claim that it was water was	2 3	this fixed price agreement sorry. So are you saying that this fixed price agreement is not a contract between IHS and NCUC to
2 3 4	having been altered? THE WITNESS: I'm only aware of it being dehydrated for the claim that it was water was taken out of the sludge.	2 3 4	this fixed price agreement sorry. So are you saying that this fixed price agreement is not a contract between IHS and NCUC to perform work?
2 3 4 5	having been altered? THE WITNESS: I'm only aware of it being dehydrated for the claim that it was water was taken out of the sludge. THE COURT: The dewatering term?	2 3 4 5	this fixed price agreement sorry. So are you saying that this fixed price agreement is not a contract between IHS and NCUC to perform work? A. I believe it's a reimbursement
2 3 4 5 6	having been altered? THE WITNESS: I'm only aware of it being dehydrated for the claim that it was water was taken out of the sludge. THE COURT: The dewatering term? THE WITNESS: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6	 this fixed price agreement sorry. So are you saying that this fixed price agreement is not a contract between IHS and NCUC to perform work? A. I believe it's a reimbursement agreement.
2 3 4 5 6 7	having been altered? THE WITNESS: I'm only aware of it being dehydrated for the claim that it was water was taken out of the sludge. THE COURT: The dewatering term? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thanks very	2 3 4 5 6 7	 this fixed price agreement sorry. So are you saying that this fixed price agreement is not a contract between IHS and NCUC to perform work? A. I believe it's a reimbursement agreement. Q. You believe, but you don't know whether
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	having been altered? THE WITNESS: I'm only aware of it being dehydrated for the claim that it was water was taken out of the sludge. THE COURT: The dewatering term? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thanks very much. That's all I wanted to clarify. Please go ahead, Mr. Pierce, if you have anything else.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 this fixed price agreement sorry. So are you saying that this fixed price agreement is not a contract between IHS and NCUC to perform work? A. I believe it's a reimbursement agreement. Q. You believe, but you don't know whether it is or it isn't? A. I I believe it is not a contract. Q. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	having been altered? THE WITNESS: I'm only aware of it being dehydrated for the claim that it was water was taken out of the sludge. THE COURT: The dewatering term? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thanks very much. That's all I wanted to clarify. Please go ahead, Mr. Pierce, if you have anything else. MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 this fixed price agreement sorry. So are you saying that this fixed price agreement is not a contract between IHS and NCUC to perform work? A. I believe it's a reimbursement agreement. Q. You believe, but you don't know whether it is or it isn't? A. I I believe it is not a contract. Q. Okay. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I think that covers
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	having been altered? THE WITNESS: I'm only aware of it being dehydrated for the claim that it was water was taken out of the sludge. THE COURT: The dewatering term? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thanks very much. That's all I wanted to clarify. Please go ahead, Mr. Pierce, if you have anything else. MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. BY MR. PIERCE:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 this fixed price agreement sorry. So are you saying that this fixed price agreement is not a contract between IHS and NCUC to perform work? A. I believe it's a reimbursement agreement. Q. You believe, but you don't know whether it is or it isn't? A. I I believe it is not a contract. Q. Okay. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I think that covers my questions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	having been altered? THE WITNESS: I'm only aware of it being dehydrated for the claim that it was water was taken out of the sludge. THE COURT: The dewatering term? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thanks very much. That's all I wanted to clarify. Please go ahead, Mr. Pierce, if you have anything else. MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Courtney, the sludge removal project	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 this fixed price agreement sorry. So are you saying that this fixed price agreement is not a contract between IHS and NCUC to perform work? A. I believe it's a reimbursement agreement. Q. You believe, but you don't know whether it is or it isn't? A. I I believe it is not a contract. Q. Okay. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I think that covers my questions. THE COURT: Okay. And the reference to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	having been altered? THE WITNESS: I'm only aware of it being dehydrated for the claim that it was water was taken out of the sludge. THE COURT: The dewatering term? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thanks very much. That's all I wanted to clarify. Please go ahead, Mr. Pierce, if you have anything else. MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Courtney, the sludge removal project wasn't the only project that Adamas and myself	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 this fixed price agreement sorry. So are you saying that this fixed price agreement is not a contract between IHS and NCUC to perform work? A. I believe it's a reimbursement agreement. Q. You believe, but you don't know whether it is or it isn't? A. I I believe it is not a contract. Q. Okay. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I think that covers my questions. THE COURT: Okay. And the reference to the fixed price agreement, just for clarity for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	having been altered? THE WITNESS: I'm only aware of it being dehydrated for the claim that it was water was taken out of the sludge. THE COURT: The dewatering term? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thanks very much. That's all I wanted to clarify. Please go ahead, Mr. Pierce, if you have anything else. MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Courtney, the sludge removal project wasn't the only project that Adamas and myself worked on on the Northern Cheyenne Reservation; is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 this fixed price agreement sorry. So are you saying that this fixed price agreement is not a contract between IHS and NCUC to perform work? A. I believe it's a reimbursement agreement. Q. You believe, but you don't know whether it is or it isn't? A. I I believe it is not a contract. Q. Okay. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I think that covers my questions. THE COURT: Okay. And the reference to the fixed price agreement, just for clarity for the transcript, it's also been referred to as the bid
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 having been altered? THE WITNESS: I'm only aware of it being dehydrated for the claim that it was water was taken out of the sludge. THE COURT: The dewatering term? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thanks very much. That's all I wanted to clarify. Please go ahead, Mr. Pierce, if you have anything else. MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Courtney, the sludge removal project wasn't the only project that Adamas and myself worked on on the Northern Cheyenne Reservation; is that correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 this fixed price agreement sorry. So are you saying that this fixed price agreement is not a contract between IHS and NCUC to perform work? A. I believe it's a reimbursement agreement. Q. You believe, but you don't know whether it is or it isn't? A. I I believe it is not a contract. Q. Okay. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I think that covers my questions. THE COURT: Okay. And the reference to the fixed price agreement, just for clarity for the transcript, it's also been referred to as the bid document.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 having been altered? THE WITNESS: I'm only aware of it being dehydrated for the claim that it was water was taken out of the sludge. THE COURT: The dewatering term? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thanks very much. That's all I wanted to clarify. Please go ahead, Mr. Pierce, if you have anything else. MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Courtney, the sludge removal project wasn't the only project that Adamas and myself worked on on the Northern Cheyenne Reservation; is that correct? A. I believe there was some involvement 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 this fixed price agreement sorry. So are you saying that this fixed price agreement is not a contract between IHS and NCUC to perform work? A. I believe it's a reimbursement agreement. Q. You believe, but you don't know whether it is or it isn't? A. I I believe it is not a contract. Q. Okay. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I think that covers my questions. THE COURT: Okay. And the reference to the fixed price agreement, just for clarity for the transcript, it's also been referred to as the bid document. MR. PIERCE: It's all of the documents and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 having been altered? THE WITNESS: I'm only aware of it being dehydrated for the claim that it was water was taken out of the sludge. THE COURT: The dewatering term? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thanks very much. That's all I wanted to clarify. Please go ahead, Mr. Pierce, if you have anything else. MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Courtney, the sludge removal project wasn't the only project that Adamas and myself worked on on the Northern Cheyenne Reservation; is that correct? A. I believe there was some involvement being a consultant for the utility. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 this fixed price agreement sorry. So are you saying that this fixed price agreement is not a contract between IHS and NCUC to perform work? A. I believe it's a reimbursement agreement. Q. You believe, but you don't know whether it is or it isn't? A. I I believe it is not a contract. Q. Okay. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I think that covers my questions. THE COURT: Okay. And the reference to the fixed price agreement, just for clarity for the transcript, it's also been referred to as the bid document. MR. PIERCE: It's all of the documents and everything in here would also be contained in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 having been altered? THE WITNESS: I'm only aware of it being dehydrated for the claim that it was water was taken out of the sludge. THE COURT: The dewatering term? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thanks very much. That's all I wanted to clarify. Please go ahead, Mr. Pierce, if you have anything else. MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Courtney, the sludge removal project wasn't the only project that Adamas and myself worked on on the Northern Cheyenne Reservation; is that correct? A. I believe there was some involvement being a consultant for the utility. Q. And so you said yesterday that you felt 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 this fixed price agreement sorry. So are you saying that this fixed price agreement is not a contract between IHS and NCUC to perform work? A. I believe it's a reimbursement agreement. Q. You believe, but you don't know whether it is or it isn't? A. I I believe it is not a contract. Q. Okay. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I think that covers my questions. THE COURT: Okay. And the reference to the fixed price agreement, just for clarity for the transcript, it's also been referred to as the bid document. MR. PIERCE: It's all of the documents and everything in here would also be contained in the bid packet.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 having been altered? THE WITNESS: I'm only aware of it being dehydrated for the claim that it was water was taken out of the sludge. THE COURT: The dewatering term? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thanks very much. That's all I wanted to clarify. Please go ahead, Mr. Pierce, if you have anything else. MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Courtney, the sludge removal project wasn't the only project that Adamas and myself worked on on the Northern Cheyenne Reservation; is that correct? A. I believe there was some involvement being a consultant for the utility. Q. And so you said yesterday that you felt that my role or Adamas's role was a consultant 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 this fixed price agreement sorry. So are you saying that this fixed price agreement is not a contract between IHS and NCUC to perform work? A. I believe it's a reimbursement agreement. Q. You believe, but you don't know whether it is or it isn't? A. I I believe it is not a contract. Q. Okay. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I think that covers my questions. THE COURT: Okay. And the reference to the fixed price agreement, just for clarity for the transcript, it's also been referred to as the bid document. MR. PIERCE: It's all of the documents and everything in here would also be contained in the bid packet. THE COURT: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 having been altered? THE WITNESS: I'm only aware of it being dehydrated for the claim that it was water was taken out of the sludge. THE COURT: The dewatering term? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thanks very much. That's all I wanted to clarify. Please go ahead, Mr. Pierce, if you have anything else. MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Courtney, the sludge removal project wasn't the only project that Adamas and myself worked on on the Northern Cheyenne Reservation; is that correct? A. I believe there was some involvement being a consultant for the utility. Q. And so you said yesterday that you felt that my role or Adamas's role was a consultant to the utility; is that correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 this fixed price agreement sorry. So are you saying that this fixed price agreement is not a contract between IHS and NCUC to perform work? A. I believe it's a reimbursement agreement. Q. You believe, but you don't know whether it is or it isn't? A. I I believe it is not a contract. Q. Okay. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I think that covers my questions. THE COURT: Okay. And the reference to the fixed price agreement, just for clarity for the transcript, it's also been referred to as the bid document. MR. PIERCE: It's all of the documents and everything in here would also be contained in the bid packet. THE COURT: Okay. MR. PIERCE: So actually, can I have James
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 having been altered? THE WITNESS: I'm only aware of it being dehydrated for the claim that it was water was taken out of the sludge. THE COURT: The dewatering term? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thanks very much. That's all I wanted to clarify. Please go ahead, Mr. Pierce, if you have anything else. MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Courtney, the sludge removal project wasn't the only project that Adamas and myself worked on on the Northern Cheyenne Reservation; is that correct? A. I believe there was some involvement being a consultant for the utility. A. I believe that Adamas's role was a consultant to the utility; is that correct? A. I believe that Adamas had a or Nathan 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 this fixed price agreement sorry. So are you saying that this fixed price agreement is not a contract between IHS and NCUC to perform work? A. I believe it's a reimbursement agreement. Q. You believe, but you don't know whether it is or it isn't? A. I I believe it is not a contract. Q. Okay. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I think that covers my questions. THE COURT: Okay. And the reference to the fixed price agreement, just for clarity for the transcript, it's also been referred to as the bid document. MR. PIERCE: It's all of the documents and everything in here would also be contained in the bid packet. THE COURT: Okay. MR. PIERCE: So actually, can I have James just explain to us sort of how that process works?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 having been altered? THE WITNESS: I'm only aware of it being dehydrated for the claim that it was water was taken out of the sludge. THE COURT: The dewatering term? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thanks very much. That's all I wanted to clarify. Please go ahead, Mr. Pierce, if you have anything else. MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Courtney, the sludge removal project wasn't the only project that Adamas and myself worked on on the Northern Cheyenne Reservation; is that correct? A. I believe there was some involvement being a consultant for the utility. A. I believe that Adamas's role was a consultant to the utility; is that correct? A. I believe that Adamas had a or Nathan Pierce/Adamas had multiple roles with the utility. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 this fixed price agreement sorry. So are you saying that this fixed price agreement is not a contract between IHS and NCUC to perform work? A. I believe it's a reimbursement agreement. Q. You believe, but you don't know whether it is or it isn't? A. I I believe it is not a contract. Q. Okay. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I think that covers my questions. THE COURT: Okay. And the reference to the fixed price agreement, just for clarity for the transcript, it's also been referred to as the bid document. MR. PIERCE: It's all of the documents and everything in here would also be contained in the bid packet. THE COURT: Okay. MR. PIERCE: So actually, can I have James just explain to us sort of how that process works? THE COURT: Sure. So this document, then,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 having been altered? THE WITNESS: I'm only aware of it being dehydrated for the claim that it was water was taken out of the sludge. THE COURT: The dewatering term? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thanks very much. That's all I wanted to clarify. Please go ahead, Mr. Pierce, if you have anything else. MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Courtney, the sludge removal project wasn't the only project that Adamas and myself worked on on the Northern Cheyenne Reservation; is that correct? A. I believe there was some involvement being a consultant for the utility. A. I believe that Adamas's role was a consultant to the utility; is that correct? A. I believe that Adamas had a or Nathan 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 this fixed price agreement sorry. So are you saying that this fixed price agreement is not a contract between IHS and NCUC to perform work? A. I believe it's a reimbursement agreement. Q. You believe, but you don't know whether it is or it isn't? A. I I believe it is not a contract. Q. Okay. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I think that covers my questions. THE COURT: Okay. And the reference to the fixed price agreement, just for clarity for the transcript, it's also been referred to as the bid document. MR. PIERCE: It's all of the documents and everything in here would also be contained in the bid packet. THE COURT: Okay. MR. PIERCE: So actually, can I have James just explain to us sort of how that process works?

86 (Pages 341 to 344)

	Page 345		Page 347
1	available, the best way to refer to that would be?	1	THE COURT: Absolutely. That kind of feeds
2	MR. PIERCE: The fixed price agreement.	2	into a quick inquiry I had, and that is whether
3	THE COURT: Okay.	3	or not you have any you, as in complainant,
4	MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor.	4	would have any desire to be able to refer to it at
5	THE COURT: Okay.	5	all after this hearing or you know, I mean,
6	BY MR. PIERCE:	6	Mr. Pierce used it to refresh the witness's
7	Q. So, James, could you just for	7	recollection, but there was a good bit of testimony
8	everybody here in the room who isn't a part of	8	about it. So being that it's publicly available,
9	this, can you just sort of give us a quick rundown	9	if you two want to be able to refer to it, you
10	of how these projects come about, what a bid packet	10	know, in briefing or anything, let me know.
11	is, and what the fixed price agreement does in	11	Otherwise, I'll just leave it alone.
12	relation to that?	12	MS. KACSUR: May I have just one moment, Your
13	A. Yes, of course. So for a document like	13	Honor?
14	this, basically, it's it's part of the	14	THE COURT: Sure. But let me also, while
15	reimbursement agreement, like I described, and it's	15	you're doing that, inquire of the URL to respond to
16	for establishing prices that will get reimbursed to	16	directly.
17	the utility. So just to make sure, say say more	17	MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. I'm looking
18	of a quantity is removed, it establishes prices	18	for that
19	that could be reimbursed on. So that's the main	19	THE REPORTER: "Looking for that"? I'm
20	purpose of these documents.	20	sorry, what'd you say? "I'm looking for that"?
21	Q. So – but can you explain to us what a	21	MR. PIERCE: I'm looking for the document.
22	bid packet is?	22	THE COURT: I think you might have said
23	A. I believe that's a document to establish	23	"post-haste." Yeah. I don't think it's getting
24	prices.	24	picked up. It might be the mic. But that might be
25	Q. So a bid packet is not a packet that's	25	because of all the
	Page 346		Page 348
1	put together for contractors to look at and	1	MR. PIERCE: I believe I have my mic off,
2	evaluate to try to come up with a price	2	Your Honor.
3	determination?	3	MS. KACSUR: Your Honor, we're prepared to
4	A. In this case, I would say that's not	4	enter this as Joint Exhibit Number 2 if the
5	what it was used for.	5	respondents are also okay with that.
6	Q. Is it normally used for that?	6	MR. PIERCE: Perfect, Your Honor.
7	A. I I don't have the data to speak to	7	THE COURT: Okay. We just need a
8	that. For these situations, this is common	8	Mr. Pierce, do you have a hard copy of it?
9	practice.	9	MR. PIERCE: I have an electronic copy I can
10	Q. So do you know what a bid packet is?	10	send to everybody, Your Honor.
11	A. I believe a bid packet is a document	11	THE COURT: Well, if I can impose
12	that establishes prices for quantities related to	12	on unless you're able to create the PDF and
13	work.	13	attach the exhibit number Ms. Moreno, are you
14	Q. So a contractor, would they use that in	14	able to do that?
15	order to develop a bid for a job?	15	MR. PIERCE: I can do that, Your Honor.
16	A. I believe those are also used by	16	THE COURT: Okay. If you can do that, then
17	contractors, yes.	17	that's great. And just go ahead and give it JX2.
18	MR. PIERCE: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.	18	And since both sides want it, it will be admitted
19	James, thank you for your testimony.	19	as Joint Exhibit 2. And you can just circulate it
20	THE WITNESS: Yep. You're welcome.	20	to complainant, to the court reporter, and then if
21	THE COURT: Ms. Kacsur, did you have some	21	you just send a copy to Ms. Almase.
22	redirect?	22	MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am.
23	MS. KACSUR: Yes, Your Honor. May I also	23	THE COURT: And then she will transmit to me.
24	request the URL to this publically available	24	MR. PIERCE: Okay. Perfect.
25	document?	25	THE COURT: So I'll have kind of a working
			87 (Pages 345 to 348)

	Page 349		Page 351
1	copy, really. The court reporter has the official	1	results show?
2	record. But this way, we all have it to work off	2	THE COURT: And while you're scrolling, I
3	of.	3	just want to quickly identify for the record
4	(Whereupon, there was echoing in the room.)	4	it's the pages aren't individually numbered. So
5	MS. KACSUR: Sorry for that.	5	I think we can just work off of the physical page,
6	THE COURT: Well, I think if you take the	6	which seems to line up with the PDF. And that is a
7	volume on your computer and you put it all the way	7	total of 44 pages in that document of JX2.
8	down and just work off the mic on the podium, maybe	8	BY MS. KACSUR:
9	we'll be okay. Sounds better already.	9	Q. I am asking Mr. Courtney to look
10	MS. KACSUR: Mr. Courtney, can you hear me?	10	at it's page 30 of 44 here. Can you please read
11	I apologize, Your Honor.	11	the date that this laboratory analytical report was
12	THE COURT: That's okay. Can you use the	12	made?
13	podium mic or no?	13	A. The report date is June 13th, 2017.
14	MS. KACSUR: I'm afraid that that will create	14	Q. And what was the date of the application
15	echoes whenever you want to speak to him. Let me	15	project that we've been talking about? When was
16	reverse this really quickly.	16	that?
17	THE COURT: Okay.	17	A. It was in the summer of 2018.
18	MS. KACSUR: Okay. Testing. That's I	18	Q. Can these test results from 2017 be used
19	don't think that's from my microphone.	19	as an accurate representation of information for
20	Mr. Pierce, would you mind muting yours	20	the 2018 project?
21	for just a moment?	21	A. I believe the 503 requirements have a
22	MR. PIERCE: Sure.	22	one-year expiration for lab results.
23	How's that?	23	Q. Would these be an accurate
24	MS. KACSUR: It doesn't say that you're muted	24	representation, in your opinion, of the contents of
25	yet, your microphone being muted.	25	the sludge in the summer of 2018?
	Page 350		Page 352
1	THE COURT: Although I'm not hearing any	1	A. I don't believe so, because the

THE COURT: Although I'm not hearing any A. I don't believe so, because the 1 1 2 feedback. 2 contractor was claiming that the sludge was 3 3 MS. KACSUR: My computer is muted. I'm concentrated. Q. To your knowledge, was Tom Robinson a 4 afraid if I'm muted then --4 5 5 THE COURT: Okay. I'll stay out of it and party to this contract, or this bid packet, I 6 leave everyone who's younger than me in the room to б should sav? 7 7 figure it out. A. I don't believe so. 8 8 MS. KACSUR: Okay. Mr. Courtney, can you Q. Was Ernie Sprague a party to this? 9 9 hear me? A. I don't believe so. 10 THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes, I can hear you. 10 Q. And why was this -- why was the ultimate 11 MS. KACSUR: Great. I think this should 11 contract between NCUC and IHS, why didn't that 12 work. 12 include Adamas Construction? 13 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 13 A. Well, it was not a contract. It was a BY MS. KACSUR: 14 14 reimbursement agreement. So the utility was 15 Q. Mr. Courtney, you were asked today to 15 allowed to use different means to achieve the work. 16 look at some lab results on that publicly available 16 They chose to contract with Adamas Construction. 17 17 document. Do you remember any date of those lab Q. Would IHS have been able to pay Adamas 18 18 results? Construction directly for the work that was 19 19 A. Not off the top of my head. performed? A. IHS would not be able to pay Adamas 20 MS. KACSUR: Can we show -- have we received 20 21 that link? Can we show those lab results? 21 Construction for any of this work. 22 22 MS. MORENO: Uh-huh. Q. And why is that? 23 THE COURT: So we're in JX2, correct? 23 A. Because IHS had no contract with Adamas 24 MS. KACSUR: Yes, Your Honor. 24 Construction. 25 25 Can I have you scroll until those Q. Did IHS prepare or apply the sludge?

88 (Pages 349 to 352)

	Page 353		Page 355
1	A. IHS did not prepare or apply any sludge.	1	MS. KACSUR: And can we scroll back down to
2	Q. So IHS would not have been required to	2	the next page.
3	maintain any records under Part 503, right? A. I believe that IHS would not have to	3	BY MS. KACSUR:
4		4	Q. And when was this agreement sent
5	enter report requirements that was not for work	5	according to this document?
6 7	that it was not performing itself.	6 7	A. May 11th, 2018.
-	Q. So why did IHS ultimately take samples	1	MS. KACSUR: Can I have you show CX7, please?
8	of the sludge?	8	BY MS. KACSUR:
9	A. So for the bid packet, it was to	9	Q. What does this document appear to be?
10	establish quantities. So to for the utility to	10 11	A. It appears to be a contract between Tom Robinson and Adamas Construction.
11 12	have an idea what it's going to cost for what they need to be reimbursed by, it allows an estimate to	12	MS. KACSUR: And can we scroll to the next
13	be made for what the reimbursement would be. It	13	
14		14	page. Oh, I'm sorry. Please go back to the first
14	could be higher or it could be lower. But	15	page. BY MS. KACSUR:
16	that's general practice were before work starts you have an estimate for what the quantities are	16	Q. What is the date of this agreement?
17	going to be. I believe that was motivation for the	17	A. It appears to be August 8, 2018.
18	testing.	18	Q. So the date of this agreement was after
19	MS. KACSUR: I would now like to show CX29.	19	the agreement between NCUC and IHS, right?
20	Can we please scroll to page 5? Can you scroll	20	A. It appears to be so.
20	down just a bit more, please? Thank you.	20	MS. KACSUR: Let's now look at CX45, et. 32,
22	BY MS. KACSUR:	22	page 32.
23	Q. Mr. Courtney, were you asked if the	23	BY MS. KACSUR:
24	contract between Tom Robinson and Adamas	24	Q. Were you asked earlier to read from an
25	Construction was signed before the contract between	25	e-mail wherein NCUC asserted they were responsible
	Construction was signed before the contract between		
	Page 354		Page 356
1	Page 354 IHS and NCUC was signed?	1	
1 2		1	Page 356 for compliance with 503? A. Yes. I believe that was only relevant
	IHS and NCUC was signed?		for compliance with 503?
2	IHS and NCUC was signed? A. I don't believe the contract between	2	for compliance with 503? A. Yes. I believe that was only relevant
2 3	IHS and NCUC was signed? A. I don't believe the contract between Adamas Construction and Tom Robinson was shared	2 3	for compliance with 503? A. Yes. I believe that was only relevant to the reimbursement as far as their
2 3 4	IHS and NCUC was signed? A. I don't believe the contract between Adamas Construction and Tom Robinson was shared with me previously.	2 3 4	for compliance with 503? A. Yes. I believe that was only relevant to the reimbursement as far as their responsibility as a responsibility for the work
2 3 4 5	IHS and NCUC was signed?A. I don't believe the contract betweenAdamas Construction and Tom Robinson was shared with me previously.Q. Can I have you let me rephrase.	2 3 4 5	for compliance with 503? A. Yes. I believe that was only relevant to the reimbursement as far as their responsibility as a responsibility for the work to comply with 503 to get reimbursed.
2 3 4 5 6	 IHS and NCUC was signed? A. I don't believe the contract between Adamas Construction and Tom Robinson was shared with me previously. Q. Can I have you let me rephrase. Do you recognize what this document 	2 3 4 5 6	 for compliance with 503? A. Yes. I believe that was only relevant to the reimbursement as far as their responsibility as a responsibility for the work to comply with 503 to get reimbursed. Q. Can I have you just read the first
2 3 4 5 6 7	 IHS and NCUC was signed? A. I don't believe the contract between Adamas Construction and Tom Robinson was shared with me previously. Q. Can I have you let me rephrase. Do you recognize what this document might be? We can scroll to the top a few pages if 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 for compliance with 503? A. Yes. I believe that was only relevant to the reimbursement as far as their responsibility as a responsibility for the work to comply with 503 to get reimbursed. Q. Can I have you just read the first sentence of this document? I'm sorry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 IHS and NCUC was signed? A. I don't believe the contract between Adamas Construction and Tom Robinson was shared with me previously. Q. Can I have you let me rephrase. Do you recognize what this document might be? We can scroll to the top a few pages if necessary. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 for compliance with 503? A. Yes. I believe that was only relevant to the reimbursement as far as their responsibility as a responsibility for the work to comply with 503 to get reimbursed. Q. Can I have you just read the first sentence of this document? I'm sorry. A. Yes. Q. I will back up just a bit. Do you recognize this document?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 IHS and NCUC was signed? A. I don't believe the contract between Adamas Construction and Tom Robinson was shared with me previously. Q. Can I have you let me rephrase. Do you recognize what this document might be? We can scroll to the top a few pages if necessary. A. Yeah. Yes. I believe that was establishing prices for work for reimbursement to the tribe. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 for compliance with 503? A. Yes. I believe that was only relevant to the reimbursement as far as their responsibility as a responsibility for the work to comply with 503 to get reimbursed. Q. Can I have you just read the first sentence of this document? I'm sorry. A. Yes. Q. I will back up just a bit. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. It appears to be an e-mail from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 IHS and NCUC was signed? A. I don't believe the contract between Adamas Construction and Tom Robinson was shared with me previously. Q. Can I have you let me rephrase. Do you recognize what this document might be? We can scroll to the top a few pages if necessary. A. Yeah. Yes. I believe that was establishing prices for work for reimbursement to the tribe. MS. KACSUR: Can you go to I think it's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 for compliance with 503? A. Yes. I believe that was only relevant to the reimbursement as far as their responsibility as a responsibility for the work to comply with 503 to get reimbursed. Q. Can I have you just read the first sentence of this document? I'm sorry. A. Yes. Q. I will back up just a bit. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. It appears to be an e-mail from Adamas Construction to Sheri Bement, the general
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 IHS and NCUC was signed? A. I don't believe the contract between Adamas Construction and Tom Robinson was shared with me previously. Q. Can I have you let me rephrase. Do you recognize what this document might be? We can scroll to the top a few pages if necessary. A. Yeah. Yes. I believe that was establishing prices for work for reimbursement to the tribe. MS. KACSUR: Can you go to I think it's page up a couple pages. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 for compliance with 503? A. Yes. I believe that was only relevant to the reimbursement as far as their responsibility as a responsibility for the work to comply with 503 to get reimbursed. Q. Can I have you just read the first sentence of this document? I'm sorry. A. Yes. Q. I will back up just a bit. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. It appears to be an e-mail from Adamas Construction to Sheri Bement, the general manager of the NCUC at the time, dated April 21st,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 IHS and NCUC was signed? A. I don't believe the contract between Adamas Construction and Tom Robinson was shared with me previously. Q. Can I have you let me rephrase. Do you recognize what this document might be? We can scroll to the top a few pages if necessary. A. Yeah. Yes. I believe that was establishing prices for work for reimbursement to the tribe. MS. KACSUR: Can you go to I think it's page up a couple pages. MS. MORENO: Up? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 for compliance with 503? A. Yes. I believe that was only relevant to the reimbursement as far as their responsibility as a responsibility for the work to comply with 503 to get reimbursed. Q. Can I have you just read the first sentence of this document? I'm sorry. A. Yes. Q. I will back up just a bit. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. It appears to be an e-mail from Adamas Construction to Sheri Bement, the general manager of the NCUC at the time, dated April 21st, 2018.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 IHS and NCUC was signed? A. I don't believe the contract between Adamas Construction and Tom Robinson was shared with me previously. Q. Can I have you let me rephrase. Do you recognize what this document might be? We can scroll to the top a few pages if necessary. A. Yeah. Yes. I believe that was establishing prices for work for reimbursement to the tribe. MS. KACSUR: Can you go to I think it's page up a couple pages. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 for compliance with 503? A. Yes. I believe that was only relevant to the reimbursement as far as their responsibility as a responsibility for the work to comply with 503 to get reimbursed. Q. Can I have you just read the first sentence of this document? I'm sorry. A. Yes. Q. I will back up just a bit. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. It appears to be an e-mail from Adamas Construction to Sheri Bement, the general manager of the NCUC at the time, dated April 21st, 2018. Q. And how did you get this e-mail?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 IHS and NCUC was signed? A. I don't believe the contract between Adamas Construction and Tom Robinson was shared with me previously. Q. Can I have you let me rephrase. Do you recognize what this document might be? We can scroll to the top a few pages if necessary. A. Yeah. Yes. I believe that was establishing prices for work for reimbursement to the tribe. MS. KACSUR: Can you go to I think it's page up a couple pages. MS. MORENO: Up? MS. KACSUR: Uh-huh. Can you stop on this page. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 for compliance with 503? A. Yes. I believe that was only relevant to the reimbursement as far as their responsibility as a responsibility for the work to comply with 503 to get reimbursed. Q. Can I have you just read the first sentence of this document? I'm sorry. A. Yes. Q. I will back up just a bit. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. It appears to be an e-mail from Adamas Construction to Sheri Bement, the general manager of the NCUC at the time, dated April 21st, 2018. Q. And how did you get this e-mail? A. I was copied on it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 IHS and NCUC was signed? A. I don't believe the contract between Adamas Construction and Tom Robinson was shared with me previously. Q. Can I have you let me rephrase. Do you recognize what this document might be? We can scroll to the top a few pages if necessary. A. Yeah. Yes. I believe that was establishing prices for work for reimbursement to the tribe. MS. KACSUR: Can you go to I think it's page up a couple pages. MS. MORENO: Up? MS. KACSUR: Uh-huh. Can you stop on this page. BY MS. KACSUR: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 for compliance with 503? A. Yes. I believe that was only relevant to the reimbursement as far as their responsibility as a responsibility for the work to comply with 503 to get reimbursed. Q. Can I have you just read the first sentence of this document? I'm sorry. A. Yes. Q. I will back up just a bit. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. It appears to be an e-mail from Adamas Construction to Sheri Bement, the general manager of the NCUC at the time, dated April 21st, 2018. Q. And how did you get this e-mail? A. I was copied on it. Q. Can I have you read the first sentence
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 IHS and NCUC was signed? A. I don't believe the contract between Adamas Construction and Tom Robinson was shared with me previously. Q. Can I have you let me rephrase. Do you recognize what this document might be? We can scroll to the top a few pages if necessary. A. Yeah. Yes. I believe that was establishing prices for work for reimbursement to the tribe. MS. KACSUR: Can you go to I think it's page up a couple pages. MS. MORENO: Up? MS. KACSUR: Uh-huh. Can you stop on this page. BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Do you recognize this document, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 for compliance with 503? A. Yes. I believe that was only relevant to the reimbursement as far as their responsibility as a responsibility for the work to comply with 503 to get reimbursed. Q. Can I have you just read the first sentence of this document? I'm sorry. A. Yes. Q. I will back up just a bit. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. It appears to be an e-mail from Adamas Construction to Sheri Bement, the general manager of the NCUC at the time, dated April 21st, 2018. Q. And how did you get this e-mail? A. I was copied on it. Q. Can I have you read the first sentence of this e-mail?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 IHS and NCUC was signed? A. I don't believe the contract between Adamas Construction and Tom Robinson was shared with me previously. Q. Can I have you let me rephrase. Do you recognize what this document might be? We can scroll to the top a few pages if necessary. A. Yeah. Yes. I believe that was establishing prices for work for reimbursement to the tribe. MS. KACSUR: Can you go to I think it's page up a couple pages. MS. MORENO: Up? MS. KACSUR: Uh-huh. Can you stop on this page. BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Do you recognize this document, Mr. Courtney? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 for compliance with 503? A. Yes. I believe that was only relevant to the reimbursement as far as their responsibility as a responsibility for the work to comply with 503 to get reimbursed. Q. Can I have you just read the first sentence of this document? I'm sorry. A. Yes. Q. I will back up just a bit. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. It appears to be an e-mail from Adamas Construction to Sheri Bement, the general manager of the NCUC at the time, dated April 21st, 2018. Q. And how did you get this e-mail? A. I was copied on it. Q. Can I have you read the first sentence of this e-mail? A. Yes. Please find the attached letter
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 IHS and NCUC was signed? A. I don't believe the contract between Adamas Construction and Tom Robinson was shared with me previously. Q. Can I have you let me rephrase. Do you recognize what this document might be? We can scroll to the top a few pages if necessary. A. Yeah. Yes. I believe that was establishing prices for work for reimbursement to the tribe. MS. KACSUR: Can you go to I think it's page up a couple pages. MS. MORENO: Up? MS. KACSUR: Uh-huh. Can you stop on this page. BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Do you recognize this document, Mr. Courtney? A. Yes. That appears to be texts 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 for compliance with 503? A. Yes. I believe that was only relevant to the reimbursement as far as their responsibility as a responsibility for the work to comply with 503 to get reimbursed. Q. Can I have you just read the first sentence of this document? I'm sorry. A. Yes. Q. I will back up just a bit. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. It appears to be an e-mail from Adamas Construction to Sheri Bement, the general manager of the NCUC at the time, dated April 21st, 2018. Q. And how did you get this e-mail? A. I was copied on it. Q. Can I have you read the first sentence of this e-mail? A. Yes. Please find the attached letter describing our compliance with federal, tribal, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 IHS and NCUC was signed? A. I don't believe the contract between Adamas Construction and Tom Robinson was shared with me previously. Q. Can I have you let me rephrase. Do you recognize what this document might be? We can scroll to the top a few pages if necessary. A. Yeah. Yes. I believe that was establishing prices for work for reimbursement to the tribe. MS. KACSUR: Can you go to I think it's page up a couple pages. MS. MORENO: Up? MS. KACSUR: Uh-huh. Can you stop on this page. BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Do you recognize this document, Mr. Courtney? A. Yes. That appears to be texts concerning the agreement between NCUC and the SFC. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 for compliance with 503? A. Yes. I believe that was only relevant to the reimbursement as far as their responsibility as a responsibility for the work to comply with 503 to get reimbursed. Q. Can I have you just read the first sentence of this document? I'm sorry. A. Yes. Q. I will back up just a bit. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. It appears to be an e-mail from Adamas Construction to Sheri Bement, the general manager of the NCUC at the time, dated April 21st, 2018. Q. And how did you get this e-mail? A. I was copied on it. Q. Can I have you read the first sentence of this e-mail? A. Yes. Please find the attached letter describing our compliance with federal, tribal, and state laws during this project as well as a quick
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 IHS and NCUC was signed? A. I don't believe the contract between Adamas Construction and Tom Robinson was shared with me previously. Q. Can I have you let me rephrase. Do you recognize what this document might be? We can scroll to the top a few pages if necessary. A. Yeah. Yes. I believe that was establishing prices for work for reimbursement to the tribe. MS. KACSUR: Can you go to I think it's page up a couple pages. MS. MORENO: Up? MS. KACSUR: Uh-huh. Can you stop on this page. BY MS. KACSUR: Do you recognize this document, Mr. Courtney? A. Yes. That appears to be texts concerning the agreement between NCUC and the SFC. Q. You said a text? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 for compliance with 503? A. Yes. I believe that was only relevant to the reimbursement as far as their responsibility as a responsibility for the work to comply with 503 to get reimbursed. Q. Can I have you just read the first sentence of this document? I'm sorry. A. Yes. Q. I will back up just a bit. Do you recognize this document? M. Yes. It appears to be an e-mail from Adamas Construction to Sheri Bement, the general manager of the NCUC at the time, dated April 21st, 2018. Q. And how did you get this e-mail? A. I was copied on it. Q. Can I have you read the first sentence of this e-mail? A. Yes. Please find the attached letter describing our compliance with federal, tribal, and state laws during this project as well as a quick outline of our project approach, as requested by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 IHS and NCUC was signed? A. I don't believe the contract between Adamas Construction and Tom Robinson was shared with me previously. Q. Can I have you let me rephrase. Do you recognize what this document might be? We can scroll to the top a few pages if necessary. A. Yeah. Yes. I believe that was establishing prices for work for reimbursement to the tribe. MS. KACSUR: Can you go to I think it's page up a couple pages. MS. MORENO: Up? MS. KACSUR: Uh-huh. Can you stop on this page. BY MS. KACSUR: Do you recognize this document, Mr. Courtney? A. Yes. That appears to be texts concerning the agreement between NCUC and the SFC. Q. You said a text? A. Well, yeah. Part of the agreement for 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 for compliance with 503? A. Yes. I believe that was only relevant to the reimbursement as far as their responsibility as a responsibility for the work to comply with 503 to get reimbursed. Q. Can I have you just read the first sentence of this document? I'm sorry. A. Yes. Q. I will back up just a bit. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. It appears to be an e-mail from Adamas Construction to Sheri Bement, the general manager of the NCUC at the time, dated April 21st, 2018. Q. And how did you get this e-mail? A. I was copied on it. Q. Can I have you read the first sentence of this e-mail? A. Yes. Please find the attached letter describing our compliance with federal, tribal, and state laws during this project as well as a quick outline of our project approach, as requested by the IHS team during our meeting on April 20th,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 IHS and NCUC was signed? A. I don't believe the contract between Adamas Construction and Tom Robinson was shared with me previously. Q. Can I have you let me rephrase. Do you recognize what this document might be? We can scroll to the top a few pages if necessary. A. Yeah. Yes. I believe that was establishing prices for work for reimbursement to the tribe. MS. KACSUR: Can you go to I think it's page up a couple pages. MS. MORENO: Up? MS. KACSUR: Uh-huh. Can you stop on this page. BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Do you recognize this document, Mr. Courtney? A. Yes. That appears to be texts concerning the agreement between NCUC and the SFC. Q. You said a text? A. Well, yeah. Part of the agreement for the the reimbursement agreement between IHS and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 for compliance with 503? A. Yes. I believe that was only relevant to the reimbursement as far as their responsibility as a responsibility for the work to comply with 503 to get reimbursed. Q. Can I have you just read the first sentence of this document? I'm sorry. A. Yes. Q. I will back up just a bit. Do you recognize this document? M. Yes. It appears to be an e-mail from Adamas Construction to Sheri Bernent, the general manager of the NCUC at the time, dated April 21st, 2018. Q. And how did you get this e-mail? A. I was copied on it. Q. Can I have you read the first sentence of this e-mail? A. Yes. Please find the attached letter describing our compliance with federal, tribal, and state laws during this project as well as a quick outline of our project approach, as requested by the IHS team during our meeting on April 20th, 2018.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 IHS and NCUC was signed? A. I don't believe the contract between Adamas Construction and Tom Robinson was shared with me previously. Q. Can I have you let me rephrase. Do you recognize what this document might be? We can scroll to the top a few pages if necessary. A. Yeah. Yes. I believe that was establishing prices for work for reimbursement to the tribe. MS. KACSUR: Can you go to I think it's page up a couple pages. MS. MORENO: Up? MS. KACSUR: Uh-huh. Can you stop on this page. BY MS. KACSUR: Do you recognize this document, Mr. Courtney? A. Yes. That appears to be texts concerning the agreement between NCUC and the SFC. Q. You said a text? A. Well, yeah. Part of the agreement for 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 for compliance with 503? A. Yes. I believe that was only relevant to the reimbursement as far as their responsibility as a responsibility for the work to comply with 503 to get reimbursed. Q. Can I have you just read the first sentence of this document? I'm sorry. A. Yes. Q. I will back up just a bit. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. It appears to be an e-mail from Adamas Construction to Sheri Bement, the general manager of the NCUC at the time, dated April 21st, 2018. Q. And how did you get this e-mail? A. I was copied on it. Q. Can I have you read the first sentence of this e-mail? A. Yes. Please find the attached letter describing our compliance with federal, tribal, and state laws during this project as well as a quick outline of our project approach, as requested by the IHS team during our meeting on April 20th,

BRIDGER COURT REPORTERS, INC. (406) 582-0668

89 (Pages 353 to 356)

	Page 357		Page 359
1	same exhibit.	1	than just inspecting?
2	BY MS. KACSUR:	2	A. I don't believe so.
3	Q. Do you recognize this document?	3	Q. Does anything shared with you today
4	A. Yes. This is an e-mail sent by Adamas	4	change your assessment that the respondents were in
5	Construction to me dated April 30th, 2018.	5	charge of the application project?
6	Q. And can I have you excuse me can I	6	A. I I don't think I've seen anything
7	have you read the first sentence of the second	7	that made it seem that, yeah, they weren't in
8	paragraph?	8	control of the work.
9	A. Yes. Per Adamas Construction and	9	Q. Thank you.
10	Development's policies, procedures, all land	10	MS. KACSUR: No further questions, Your
11	applications will meet or exceed the requirements	11	Honor.
12	of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA,	12	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
13	Part 503 Compliant 40 USC 503 et. seq. Montana	13	MR. PIERCE: Mr. Courtney, can you hear me?
14	Q. I'll stop you right there. I think	14	Mr. Courtney, can you hear me?
15	that's a really long sentence, and I don't think we	15	THE WITNESS: I can't hear anything.
16	need you to read any more from it. Thank you for	16	MR. PIERCE: Let's try this. Can you hear me
17	reading that.	17	now? Can you hear me now?
18	So NCUC initially said they were	18	THE WITNESS: (No response.)
19	responsible for the project?	19	MR. PIERCE: Hello?
20	A. They were responsible for the work being	20	THE WITNESS: (Shakes head.)
21	completed in a way that they can be reimbursed by	21	MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I'm sorry.
22	IHS. So that's NCUC's only responsibility. So if	22	Mr. Courtney, can you hear me now?
23	the work wasn't adhering to the reimbursement	23	THE WITNESS: I'm still not getting any auto.
24	agreement, they wouldn't be reimbursed. That was	24	MR. PIERCE: Let's try it here. Hello.
25	the agreement that was made with NCUC.	25	Test, test, test, test, test, test, test.
	Page 358		Page 360
1	Page 358 Q. Who was actually in control of the	1	Page 360 James, can you hear me?
1 2	Q. Who was actually in control of the project?	1 2	James, can you hear me? THE WITNESS: Yes.
	Q. Who was actually in control of the project?A. I believe for this work, NCUC contracted		James, can you hear me? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. PIERCE: Okay. Perfect. Sorry for the
2	Q. Who was actually in control of the project?	2	James, can you hear me? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. PIERCE: Okay. Perfect. Sorry for the delay.
2 3 4 5	Q. Who was actually in control of the project?A. I believe for this work, NCUC contracted with Adamas Construction to complete sludge removal work.	2 3 4 5	James, can you hear me? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. PIERCE: Okay. Perfect. Sorry for the delay. RECROSS-EXAMINATION
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Who was actually in control of the project? A. I believe for this work, NCUC contracted with Adamas Construction to complete sludge removal work. Q. And Adamas Construction asserted that 	2 3 4 5 6	James, can you hear me? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. PIERCE: Okay. Perfect. Sorry for the delay. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE:
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Who was actually in control of the project? A. I believe for this work, NCUC contracted with Adamas Construction to complete sludge removal work. Q. And Adamas Construction asserted that they would comply with Part 503? 	2 3 4 5 6 7	James, can you hear me? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. PIERCE: Okay. Perfect. Sorry for the delay. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. In the document that in your previous
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Who was actually in control of the project? A. I believe for this work, NCUC contracted with Adamas Construction to complete sludge removal work. Q. And Adamas Construction asserted that they would comply with Part 503? A. That would appear to be so. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	James, can you hear me? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. PIERCE: Okay. Perfect. Sorry for the delay. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. In the document that in your previous testimony you said that Ernie Sprague and Tom
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Who was actually in control of the project? A. I believe for this work, NCUC contracted with Adamas Construction to complete sludge removal work. Q. And Adamas Construction asserted that they would comply with Part 503? A. That would appear to be so. Q. Earlier, you were asked to read an 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	James, can you hear me? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. PIERCE: Okay. Perfect. Sorry for the delay. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. In the document that in your previous testimony you said that Ernie Sprague and Tom Robinson was not a part of this project; is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Who was actually in control of the project? A. I believe for this work, NCUC contracted with Adamas Construction to complete sludge removal work. Q. And Adamas Construction asserted that they would comply with Part 503? A. That would appear to be so. Q. Earlier, you were asked to read an e-mail I'm sorry. Let me phrase that as a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	James, can you hear me? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. PIERCE: Okay. Perfect. Sorry for the delay. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. In the document that in your previous testimony you said that Ernie Sprague and Tom Robinson was not a part of this project; is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Who was actually in control of the project? A. I believe for this work, NCUC contracted with Adamas Construction to complete sludge removal work. Q. And Adamas Construction asserted that they would comply with Part 503? A. That would appear to be so. Q. Earlier, you were asked to read an e-mail I'm sorry. Let me phrase that as a question. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	James, can you hear me? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. PIERCE: Okay. Perfect. Sorry for the delay. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. In the document that in your previous testimony you said that Ernie Sprague and Tom Robinson was not a part of this project; is that correct? A. I believe what I was stating was I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Who was actually in control of the project? A. I believe for this work, NCUC contracted with Adamas Construction to complete sludge removal work. Q. And Adamas Construction asserted that they would comply with Part 503? A. That would appear to be so. Q. Earlier, you were asked to read an e-mail I'm sorry. Let me phrase that as a question. Were you earlier asked to read an e-mail 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	James, can you hear me? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. PIERCE: Okay. Perfect. Sorry for the delay. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. In the document that in your previous testimony you said that Ernie Sprague and Tom Robinson was not a part of this project; is that correct? A. I believe what I was stating was I didn't see contracts for what their role was.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Who was actually in control of the project? A. I believe for this work, NCUC contracted with Adamas Construction to complete sludge removal work. Q. And Adamas Construction asserted that they would comply with Part 503? A. That would appear to be so. Q. Earlier, you were asked to read an e-mail I'm sorry. Let me phrase that as a question. Were you earlier asked to read an e-mail stating where Adamas said they were no longer a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	James, can you hear me? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. PIERCE: Okay. Perfect. Sorry for the delay. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. In the document that in your previous testimony you said that Ernie Sprague and Tom Robinson was not a part of this project; is that correct? A. I believe what I was stating was I didn't see contracts for what their role was. Q. Okay. And would you agree that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Who was actually in control of the project? A. I believe for this work, NCUC contracted with Adamas Construction to complete sludge removal work. Q. And Adamas Construction asserted that they would comply with Part 503? A. That would appear to be so. Q. Earlier, you were asked to read an e-mail I'm sorry. Let me phrase that as a question. Were you earlier asked to read an e-mail stating where Adamas said they were no longer a contractor of NCUC? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	James, can you hear me? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. PIERCE: Okay. Perfect. Sorry for the delay. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. In the document that in your previous testimony you said that Ernie Sprague and Tom Robinson was not a part of this project; is that correct? A. I believe what I was stating was I didn't see contracts for what their role was. Q. Okay. And would you agree that Mr. Robinson's pivot line was identified in that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Who was actually in control of the project? A. I believe for this work, NCUC contracted with Adamas Construction to complete sludge removal work. Q. And Adamas Construction asserted that they would comply with Part 503? A. That would appear to be so. Q. Earlier, you were asked to read an e-mail I'm sorry. Let me phrase that as a question. Were you earlier asked to read an e-mail stating where Adamas said they were no longer a contractor of NCUC? A. Yes, I believe so. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	James, can you hear me? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. PIERCE: Okay. Perfect. Sorry for the delay. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. In the document that in your previous testimony you said that Ernie Sprague and Tom Robinson was not a part of this project; is that correct? A. I believe what I was stating was I didn't see contracts for what their role was. Q. Okay. And would you agree that Mr. Robinson's pivot line was identified in that fixed price agreement that I showed you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Who was actually in control of the project? A. I believe for this work, NCUC contracted with Adamas Construction to complete sludge removal work. Q. And Adamas Construction asserted that they would comply with Part 503? A. That would appear to be so. Q. Earlier, you were asked to read an e-mail I'm sorry. Let me phrase that as a question. Were you earlier asked to read an e-mail stating where Adamas said they were no longer a contractor of NCUC? A. Yes, I believe so. Q. Was that e-mail sent after the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	James, can you hear me? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. PIERCE: Okay. Perfect. Sorry for the delay. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. In the document that in your previous testimony you said that Ernie Sprague and Tom Robinson was not a part of this project; is that correct? A. I believe what I was stating was I didn't see contracts for what their role was. Q. Okay. And would you agree that Mr. Robinson's pivot line was identified in that fixed price agreement that I showed you? A. I believe that might have been mentioned
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Who was actually in control of the project? A. I believe for this work, NCUC contracted with Adamas Construction to complete sludge removal work. Q. And Adamas Construction asserted that they would comply with Part 503? A. That would appear to be so. Q. Earlier, you were asked to read an e-mail I'm sorry. Let me phrase that as a question. Were you earlier asked to read an e-mail stating where Adamas said they were no longer a contractor of NCUC? A. Yes, I believe so. Q. Was that e-mail sent after the preparation application project was completed? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	James, can you hear me? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. PIERCE: Okay. Perfect. Sorry for the delay. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. In the document that in your previous testimony you said that Ernie Sprague and Tom Robinson was not a part of this project; is that correct? A. I believe what I was stating was I didn't see contracts for what their role was. Q. Okay. And would you agree that Mr. Robinson's pivot line was identified in that fixed price agreement that I showed you? A. I believe that might have been mentioned as an option, but I don't think a method was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Who was actually in control of the project? A. I believe for this work, NCUC contracted with Adamas Construction to complete sludge removal work. Q. And Adamas Construction asserted that they would comply with Part 503? A. That would appear to be so. Q. Earlier, you were asked to read an e-mail e- I'm sorry. Let me phrase that as a question. Were you earlier asked to read an e-mail stating where Adamas said they were no longer a contractor of NCUC? A. Yes, I believe so. Q. Was that e-mail sent after the preparation application project was completed? A. I believe that was I believe it 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	James, can you hear me? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. PIERCE: Okay. Perfect. Sorry for the delay. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. In the document that in your previous testimony you said that Ernie Sprague and Tom Robinson was not a part of this project; is that correct? A. I believe what I was stating was I didn't see contracts for what their role was. Q. Okay. And would you agree that Mr. Robinson's pivot line was identified in that fixed price agreement that I showed you? A. I believe that might have been mentioned as an option, but I don't think a method was specified explicitly in that agreement.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Who was actually in control of the project? A. I believe for this work, NCUC contracted with Adamas Construction to complete sludge removal work. Q. And Adamas Construction asserted that they would comply with Part 503? A. That would appear to be so. Q. Earlier, you were asked to read an e-mail e- I'm sorry. Let me phrase that as a question. Were you earlier asked to read an e-mail stating where Adamas said they were no longer a contractor of NCUC? A. Yes, I believe so. Q. Was that e-mail sent after the preparation application project was completed? A. I believe that was I believe it was at that time, it was incomplete. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	James, can you hear me? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. PIERCE: Okay. Perfect. Sorry for the delay. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. In the document that in your previous testimony you said that Ernie Sprague and Tom Robinson was not a part of this project; is that correct? A. I believe what I was stating was I didn't see contracts for what their role was. Q. Okay. And would you agree that Mr. Robinson's pivot line was identified in that fixed price agreement that I showed you? A. I believe that might have been mentioned as an option, but I don't think a method was specified explicitly in that agreement. Q. But you agreed that it did say "Robinson
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Who was actually in control of the project? A. I believe for this work, NCUC contracted with Adamas Construction to complete sludge removal work. Q. And Adamas Construction asserted that they would comply with Part 503? A. That would appear to be so. Q. Earlier, you were asked to read an e-mail I'm sorry. Let me phrase that as a question. Were you earlier asked to read an e-mail stating where Adamas said they were no longer a contractor of NCUC? A. Yes, I believe so. Q. Was that e-mail sent after the preparation application project was completed? A. I believe that was I believe it was at that time, it was incomplete. Q. Can you please describe for me George 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	James, can you hear me? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. PIERCE: Okay. Perfect. Sorry for the delay. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. In the document that in your previous testimony you said that Ernie Sprague and Tom Robinson was not a part of this project; is that correct? A. I believe what I was stating was I didn't see contracts for what their role was. Q. Okay. And would you agree that Mr. Robinson's pivot line was identified in that fixed price agreement that I showed you? A. I believe that might have been mentioned as an option, but I don't think a method was specified explicitly in that agreement. Q. But you agreed that it did say "Robinson pivot line" on that document?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Who was actually in control of the project? A. I believe for this work, NCUC contracted with Adamas Construction to complete sludge removal work. Q. And Adamas Construction asserted that they would comply with Part 503? A. That would appear to be so. Q. Earlier, you were asked to read an e-mail I'm sorry. Let me phrase that as a question. Were you earlier asked to read an e-mail stating where Adamas said they were no longer a contractor of NCUC? A. Yes, I believe so. Q. Was that e-mail sent after the preparation application project was completed? A. I believe that was I believe it was at that time, it was incomplete. Q. Can you please describe for me George Cummins' role in this project? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 James, can you hear me? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. PIERCE: Okay. Perfect. Sorry for the delay. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. In the document that in your previous testimony you said that Ernie Sprague and Tom Robinson was not a part of this project; is that correct? A. I believe what I was stating was I didn't see contracts for what their role was. Q. Okay. And would you agree that Mr. Robinson's pivot line was identified in that fixed price agreement that I showed you? A. I believe that might have been mentioned as an option, but I don't think a method was specified explicitly in that agreement. Q. But you agreed that it did say "Robinson pivot line" on that document? A. I believe so.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Who was actually in control of the project? A. I believe for this work, NCUC contracted with Adamas Construction to complete sludge removal work. Q. And Adamas Construction asserted that they would comply with Part 503? A. That would appear to be so. Q. Earlier, you were asked to read an e-mail - I'm sorry. Let me phrase that as a question. Were you earlier asked to read an e-mail stating where Adamas said they were no longer a contractor of NCUC? A. Yes, I believe so. Q. Was that e-mail sent after the preparation application project was completed? A. I believe that was I believe it was at that time, it was incomplete. Q. Can you please describe for me George Cummins' role in this project? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 James, can you hear me? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. PIERCE: Okay. Perfect. Sorry for the delay. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. In the document that in your previous testimony you said that Ernie Sprague and Tom Robinson was not a part of this project; is that correct? A. I believe what I was stating was I didn't see contracts for what their role was. Q. Okay. And would you agree that Mr. Robinson's pivot line was identified in that fixed price agreement that I showed you? A. I believe that might have been mentioned as an option, but I don't think a method was specified explicitly in that agreement. Q. But you agreed that it did say "Robinson pivot line" on that document? A. I believe so. Q. Okay. And then you also testified that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Who was actually in control of the project? A. I believe for this work, NCUC contracted with Adamas Construction to complete sludge removal work. Q. And Adamas Construction asserted that they would comply with Part 503? A. That would appear to be so. Q. Earlier, you were asked to read an e-mail I'm sorry. Let me phrase that as a question. Were you earlier asked to read an e-mail stating where Adamas said they were no longer a contractor of NCUC? A. Yes, I believe so. Q. Was that e-mail sent after the preparation application project was completed? A. I believe that was I believe it was at that time, it was incomplete. Q. Can you please describe for me George Cummins' role in this project? A. So George Cummins, he was a construction inspector for the SFC program. His role was to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	James, can you hear me? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. PIERCE: Okay. Perfect. Sorry for the delay. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. In the document that in your previous testimony you said that Ernie Sprague and Tom Robinson was not a part of this project; is that correct? A. I believe what I was stating was I didn't see contracts for what their role was. Q. Okay. And would you agree that Mr. Robinson's pivot line was identified in that fixed price agreement that I showed you? A. I believe that might have been mentioned as an option, but I don't think a method was specified explicitly in that agreement. Q. But you agreed that it did say "Robinson pivot line" on that document? A. I believe so. Q. Okay. And then you also testified that you hadn't been shown anything that you would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Who was actually in control of the project? A. I believe for this work, NCUC contracted with Adamas Construction to complete sludge removal work. Q. And Adamas Construction asserted that they would comply with Part 503? A. That would appear to be so. Q. Earlier, you were asked to read an e-mail - I'm sorry. Let me phrase that as a question. Were you earlier asked to read an e-mail stating where Adamas said they were no longer a contractor of NCUC? A. Yes, I believe so. Q. Was that e-mail sent after the preparation application project was completed? A. I believe that was I believe it was at that time, it was incomplete. Q. Can you please describe for me George Cummins' role in this project? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 James, can you hear me? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. PIERCE: Okay. Perfect. Sorry for the delay. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. In the document that in your previous testimony you said that Ernie Sprague and Tom Robinson was not a part of this project; is that correct? A. I believe what I was stating was I didn't see contracts for what their role was. Q. Okay. And would you agree that Mr. Robinson's pivot line was identified in that fixed price agreement that I showed you? A. I believe that might have been mentioned as an option, but I don't think a method was specified explicitly in that agreement. Q. But you agreed that it did say "Robinson pivot line" on that document? A. I believe so. Q. Okay. And then you also testified that

90 (Pages 357 to 360)

Dag	~	3	6	1
Page	e	3	о	1

Page 364

1	A. I believe because NCUC had a contract	1	this document from Mr., I believe, Redgrave?
2	with Adamas Construction, that the work completed		A. I don't I'm not sure if I was shared
3	by Adamas Construction under that contract is	3	that previously. I don't remember seeing that.
	5	4	
4	that it's unchanged in my mind. So yeah, the	1 -	MR. PIERCE: RX28, Your Honor.
5	work under the contract with NCUC, that's yeah,	5	THE COURT: Hold on. You were speaking over
6	my opinion has not changed about that.	6	him.
7	Q. Do you recognize this document here,	7	MR. PIERCE: Sorry.
8	Mr. Courtney?	8	THE COURT: So let's begin again.
9	A. I can't see it up.	9	So you just identified this document as
10	MS. MORENO: You need to share.	10	RX28.
11	MR. PIERCE: Oh, shoot.	11	MR. PIERCE: RX28.
12	THE COURT: And then also just identify the	12	THE COURT: Yeah. And just go ahead and
13	exhibit number, Mr. Pierce.	13	restate your question then so that Mr. Courtney can
14	MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. So we're dealing	14	answer fully.
15	with RX2, et. 1, Your Honor.	15	BY MR. PIERCE:
16	THE COURT: Thank you.	16	Q. So, Mr. Courtney, previously I had
17	BY MR. PIERCE:	17	shared this document with Mr. Redgrave with you,
18	Q. Mr. Courtney, can you see the document	18	correct?
19	now?	19	A. Yes.
20	A. I cannot.	20	Q. And can you read the first line of the
21	MS. MORENO: Go back to share.	21	highlighted portion? Or excuse me the first two
22	BY MR. PIERCE:	22	lines?
23	Q. How about now?	23	A. Yes. Yes, of course. Indian Health
24	A. Yes, I can see it.	24	Service, IHS, entered into a project agreement with
25	Q. And is this document from you?	25	the Northern Cheyenne Utility Commission, NCUC, to

Page 362

1	A. It is, yes.	1	complete the lagoon renovation project. The
2	Q. Can you read to us the second bullet	2	project agreement was executed by the IHS and NCUC
3	point that you wrote on this document?	3	with an effective date of May 11th, 2018. NCUC
4	A. Yes. "I reiterated that NCUC is	4	then entered into a separate contract with Adamas
5	responsible for the sludge removal work and that	5	on May 15th, 2018, to perform much of the required
6	IHS's relationship isn't with Adamas, PLLC, for	6	work. It is important to note that there is no
7	this project."	7	contract between IHS and Adamas. During
8	Q. So you would admit that you state	8	performance
9	directly in this document that NCUC's responsible	9	Q. Sorry. Go ahead.
10	for all sludge removal work, correct?	10	A. "During performance of this work" you
11	A. They're responsible for the work being	11	keep interrupting me "During performance of this
12	completed according to the reimbursement agreement.	12	work, a dispute arose regarding the amount of
13	Q. So what did you mean by responsible for	13	sludge that Adamas had pumped from the lagoon."
14	sludge removal work, and IHS's relationship isn't	14	Q. So does this document seem to indicate
15	with Adamas Construction on this project?	15	from IHS that NCUC was the contractor in charge of
16	A. Yeah. So what that's stating is is that	16	the project?
17	the utility would not be reimbursed for the work if	17	A. It's indicating that the reimbursement
18	it's not adhering to that agreement. So if work	18	agreement was with NCUC, that there was a
19	doesn't comply with that agreement, which included	19	disagreement with the sludge application or the
20	the 503 stipulation, IHS would not pay. I mean,	20	sludge concentrations around the reimbursement
21	that's basically what that's stating.	21	agreement.
22	Q. Sure.	22	Q. Can you actually show us where it says
23	A. And it's just reiterating that we don't	23	anywhere about reimbursement?
24	have a relationship with contractors that they use.	24	A. Um, I it's been a while since I've
25	Q. Sure. And then can do you remember	25	seen the document, so I wouldn't be able to I'm

91 (Pages 361 to 364)

	Page 365		Page 367
1	not sure where that would be mentioned or if it is	1	of your case?
2	mentioned explicitly.	2	MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am.
3	Q. So if it's not mentioned, then do you	3	THE COURT: Okay. So I think it's out of
4	have any documents that would support your	4	turn, but recognizing the subpoena being effective
5	allegation that this was simply a reimbursement	5	only today, I think we're going to need to hop
6	contract?	6	around a little bit just for practicality purposes.
7	A. Not not currently, because I don't	7	So that sort of feeds into my next
8	work for Indian Health Service again, so or	8	question. Ordinarily, a witness would be
9	currently.	9	questioned by the party calling them. That would
10	Q. Okay.	10	be the direct testimony. There would be the
11	MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I think that does	11	opportunity for cross by the opposing side. You're
12	it.	12	familiar with that. I mean, we can follow that if
13	THE COURT: Okay.	13	that would be simplest for both sides. Complainant
14	MR. PIERCE: Thank you.	14	could call Mr. Robinson first, and we could go
15	THE COURT: Thank you. Okay. Anything else,	15	through that process. And then he could stay on
16	then, for Mr. Courtney, or can I go ahead and	16	the stand, and you could continue with your
17	release him fully from the hearing and	17	presentation for him.
18	availability?	18	If we're not that terribly concerned
19	MS. KACSUR: Nothing from complainant, Your	19	about scope, we can just have Mr. Robinson take the
20	Honor.	20	stand and both of you ask him whatever questions
21	THE COURT: And you're all finished too,	21	you want and then any follow-up, if you will, to
22	Mr. Pierce?	22	that. And I'm happy to allow as much rotation as
23	MR. PIERCE: I am, yes, Your Honor.	23	is necessary for both of you, both sides, to be
24	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Courtney, thanks	24	able to fully elicit the testimony in question
25	very much for your availability and your testimony	25	about any of it as you wish.
	Page 366		Page 368

1	today and for patience while we navigated some	1	But it's up to both of you. I want it
2	technical issues. Have a good day. Bye-bye.	2	to be simplest for you all. I can obviously keep
3	Okay. Quick housekeeping note, order of	3	track of things. But how would you what would
4	witnesses type of thing. I understand that	4	be the easiest way for you all to proceed with
5	Mr. Robinson is here and under subpoena. That	5	regard to the kind of scope order of testimony
б	subpoena is for today and today only, so And I	6	thing?
7	also believe that it was of interest to both	7	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Your Honor, may we have a
8	parties to be able to call him as a witness. So I	8	15-minute conference to discuss this matter?
9	guess I would inquire whether it makes sense to go	9	THE COURT: Uh-huh.
10	ahead and get that testimony now. I don't want to	10	MR. MUEHLBERGER: And then I'll have an
11	interfere with the presentation of the Region's	11	answer for you when we return.
12	case, but while he's here and available, it seems	12	THE COURT: Sure. Yeah, no problem. Either
13	like this would be a good time to go ahead and get	13	way, he'll be on the stand to cover it however you
14	that testimony. Do you agree or	14	all want to cover it.
15	MR. MUEHLBERGER: We do. We do agree, Your	15	MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I'm perfectly fine
16	Honor.	16	with just going through the process as you
17	THE COURT: Okay.	17	suggested of a rotation. I don't know that I would
18	MR. MUEHLBERGER: We'd like to have a	18	necessarily need to call Mr. Robinson separate from
19	ten-minute break before we proceed. But we would	19	the testimony that we could get with a normal
20	be prepared to call Tom Robinson after that.	20	examination, a cross-examination.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Perfect.	21	THE COURT: Okay. Okay. So I guess just
22	Here's the other quick question that I	22	consider that during the break, and even if you all
23	have. Mr. Pierce, I'm working off of an	23	want to confer at all amongst yourselves. That may
24	understanding or assumption, maybe, that you, too,	24	not be necessary, given what you just said. But
25	wanted to be able to question Mr. Robinson as part	25	whatever is simplest for you is fine with me.

92 (Pages 365 to 368)

Page 371
MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thank you.
init wolfillblittelitte mank you.
DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:
Q. Mr. Robinson, thank you for attending
today.
For the record, could you please state
and spell your name.
A. Tom Robinson; T-O-M, R-O-B-I-N-S-O-N.
Q. Thank you.
Mr. Robinson, did you till sewage sludge
into property controlled by you in 2018?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Okay. Is the land that this sewage
sludge was tilled into, would you describe that as
agricultural land?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Can you tell us what is grown on
that land?
A. Oh, I've got alfalfa right now growing
on there.
Q. Okay. And in 2018, was that the same?
A. No. It was barley.
Q. Thank you.
Mr. Robinson, did you receive agronomic
Page 372
rate information from Mr. Pierce or Adamas
Construction before you tilled the sewage sludge in
2018?
A. Can you repeat that again?
Q. Sure. Did you receive agronomic rate
information from Mr. Robinson before or excuse
me from Mr. Pierce before you tilled the sewage
sludge into the land?
A. No, I never.
Q. Okay.
MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thank you. No further
MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thank you. No further questions.
-
questions.
questions. CROSS-EXAMINATION
questions. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE:
questions. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Robinson, you just testified here
questions. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Robinson, you just testified here today that you were not given an agronomic rate.
questions. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Robinson, you just testified here today that you were not given an agronomic rate. Do you recognize
 questions. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Robinson, you just testified here today that you were not given an agronomic rate. Do you recognize MR. PIERCE: Thank you.
questions. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Robinson, you just testified here today that you were not given an agronomic rate. Do you recognize MR. PIERCE: Thank you. BY MR. PIERCE:
 questions. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Robinson, you just testified here today that you were not given an agronomic rate. Do you recognize MR. PIERCE: Thank you. BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Do you recognize this document that's up
 questions. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Robinson, you just testified here today that you were not given an agronomic rate. Do you recognize MR. PIERCE: Thank you. BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Do you recognize this document that's up on the screen?
 questions. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Robinson, you just testified here today that you were not given an agronomic rate. Do you recognize MR. PIERCE: Thank you. BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Do you recognize this document that's up on the screen? A. Yes.

93 (Pages 369 to 372)

1	Page 373		Page 375
	Q. Can you read me the highlighted portion?	1	be placed, and then you tilled it?
2	A. "Must apply to 50 acres at a max	2	A. Yes.
3	application rate of 22,000 gallons per acre."	3	Q. Is this the first time you've applied
4	Q. Would you consider that to be an	4	sludge to your property?
5	agronomic rate?	5	A. No, it wasn't.
6	A. Yes.	6	Q. Okay. So there was a
7	Q. So you would admit that you were given	7	previous previous time where the lagoons were
8	an agronomic rate?	8	renovated in Lame Deer?
9	A. Yes, I was. I didn't know what he was	9	A. Yes.
10	talking about.	10	
11	Q. Okay, perfect. Right on.	11	Q. And you applied sludge at that time to
12	And do you remember when we first	12	your property as well? A. Yes.
	-		
13	commenced this project, did you get ahold of James	13	Q. Okay. Real quick, was I on scene while
14	Courtney at all from IHS?	14	you and Ernie were doing this work?
15	A. No, I don't think so.	15	A. Oh, I seen you a couple times there.
16	Q. Did you contact James Courtney regarding	16	Q. Sure. But was I there for the primary
17	a complaint to the sludge being applied to your	17	time that you guys were doing the work?
18	land?	18	A. No.
19	A. No.	19	Q. Okay. And you would agree in this in
20	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Objection, Your Honor.	20	this second paragraph here excuse me. You would
21	This is beyond the scope of the direct.	21	also agree that in this document, you also agreed
22	THE COURT: Well, this sort of feeds into	22	to provide daily logs on that second declaration,
23	some of what I was getting at earlier.	23	last line, correct?
24	Technically, you are absolutely correct,	24	A. Where's that at?
25	Mr. Muehlberger. And it is a sustainable	25	Q. So if we look down here where it says
	Page 374		Page 376
1	objection. But I'm going to allow the additional	1	second
2	testimony even though it is beyond the scope	2	A. Okay.
3	because I do believe earlier on in the process,	3	Q and then, "The subcontractor agrees
4	Mr. Pierce had identified Mr. Robinson as a	4	to promptly begin." And then the second line
5	witness. And that was kind it kind of even fed	5	there, that says, "Subcontractor will furnish
6	into my decision about the subpoena was that he	6	contractor with logs for each day of application."
7	seemed material to both parties.	7	Is that correct?
8	So I'm going to allow that even though	8	A. Yes.
	you are technically correct and it's a sustainable	9	Q. So you would admit that you agreed to
9			
9 10	objection otherwise.	10	provide those logs?
	objection otherwise. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Understood, Your Honor.	10 11	A. Yes.
10	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Understood, Your Honor.	1	A. Yes.
10 11	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Understood, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.	11	A. Yes. Q. Did you provide those logs to me?
10 11 12	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Understood, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Please go ahead.	11 12	A. Yes.Q. Did you provide those logs to me?A. No, I never.
10 11 12 13 14	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Understood, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Please go ahead. MR. PIERCE: You can answer the question now,	11 12 13 14	 A. Yes. Q. Did you provide those logs to me? A. No, I never. Q. Okay.
10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Understood, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Please go ahead. MR. PIERCE: You can answer the question now, Mr. Robinson.	11 12 13 14 15	 A. Yes. Q. Did you provide those logs to me? A. No, I never. Q. Okay. MR. PIERCE: That's all I have, Your Honor.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Understood, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Please go ahead. MR. PIERCE: You can answer the question now, Mr. Robinson. THE WITNESS: What was that now?	11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Yes. Q. Did you provide those logs to me? A. No, I never. Q. Okay. MR. PIERCE: That's all I have, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Did you just a little
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Understood, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Please go ahead. MR. PIERCE: You can answer the question now, Mr. Robinson. THE WITNESS: What was that now? BY MR. PIERCE:	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Yes. Q. Did you provide those logs to me? A. No, I never. Q. Okay. MR. PIERCE: That's all I have, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Did you just a little follow-up. Did you create those logs?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Understood, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Please go ahead. MR. PIERCE: You can answer the question now, Mr. Robinson. THE WITNESS: What was that now? BY MR. PIERCE: Q. So you did not make a complaint to	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yes. Q. Did you provide those logs to me? A. No, I never. Q. Okay. MR. PIERCE: That's all I have, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Did you just a little follow-up. Did you create those logs? THE WITNESS: Yes.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Understood, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Please go ahead. MR. PIERCE: You can answer the question now, Mr. Robinson. THE WITNESS: What was that now? BY MR. PIERCE: Q. So you did not make a complaint to James Courtney about sludge on your property	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Yes. Q. Did you provide those logs to me? A. No, I never. Q. Okay. MR. PIERCE: That's all I have, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Did you just a little follow-up. Did you create those logs? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Did you supply them to
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Understood, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Please go ahead. MR. PIERCE: You can answer the question now, Mr. Robinson. THE WITNESS: What was that now? BY MR. PIERCE: Q. So you did not make a complaint to James Courtney about sludge on your property A. No.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Yes. Q. Did you provide those logs to me? A. No, I never. Q. Okay. MR. PIERCE: That's all I have, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Did you just a little follow-up. Did you create those logs? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Did you supply them to anyone?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Understood, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Please go ahead. MR. PIERCE: You can answer the question now, Mr. Robinson. THE WITNESS: What was that now? BY MR. PIERCE: Q. So you did not make a complaint to James Courtney about sludge on your property A. No. Q is that correct?	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Yes. Q. Did you provide those logs to me? A. No, I never. Q. Okay. MR. PIERCE: That's all I have, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Did you just a little follow-up. Did you create those logs? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Did you supply them to anyone? THE WITNESS: No. The job never was done.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Understood, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Please go ahead. MR. PIERCE: You can answer the question now, Mr. Robinson. THE WITNESS: What was that now? BY MR. PIERCE: Q. So you did not make a complaint to James Courtney about sludge on your property A. No. Q is that correct? A. No.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes. Q. Did you provide those logs to me? A. No, I never. Q. Okay. MR. PIERCE: That's all I have, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Did you just a little follow-up. Did you create those logs? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Did you supply them to anyone? THE WITNESS: No. The job never was done. BY MR. PIERCE:
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Understood, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Please go ahead. MR. PIERCE: You can answer the question now, Mr. Robinson. THE WITNESS: What was that now? BY MR. PIERCE: Q. So you did not make a complaint to James Courtney about sludge on your property A. No. Q is that correct? A. No. Q. So did you work with directly with	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes. Q. Did you provide those logs to me? A. No, I never. Q. Okay. MR. PIERCE: That's all I have, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Did you just a little follow-up. Did you create those logs? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Did you supply them to anyone? THE WITNESS: No. The job never was done. BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Did the EPA ask you for those logs?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Understood, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Please go ahead. MR. PIERCE: You can answer the question now, Mr. Robinson. THE WITNESS: What was that now? BY MR. PIERCE: Q. So you did not make a complaint to James Courtney about sludge on your property A. No. Q is that correct? A. No.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes. Q. Did you provide those logs to me? A. No, I never. Q. Okay. MR. PIERCE: That's all I have, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Did you just a little follow-up. Did you create those logs? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Did you supply them to anyone? THE WITNESS: No. The job never was done. BY MR. PIERCE:

	Doco 277		Doco 270
	Page 377		Page 379
1	A. No.	1	MR. MUEHLBERGER: That works, Your Honor.
2	Q. Do you feel that the EPA's information	2	THE COURT: Okay. All right. So we've
3	request was legitimately looking for information?	3	identified it. So just have a look over that,
4	A. Say that again.	4	Mr. Robinson, and then I'm going to let the parties
5	Q. Do you believe that the information	5	follow up with questions. And if I have any, I'll
6	request from the EPA was looking for a way to	6	jump in.
7	protect the property, or were they just simply	7	THE WITNESS: Okay.
8	looking?	8	THE COURT: Did you look at both sides? Is
9	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Objection, Your Honor.	9	it two-sided?
10	Calls for speculation.	10	THE WITNESS: There are two sides.
11	THE COURT: I'll sustain that objection. I	11	THE COURT: Yeah. Take your time.
12	think and actually, I was going to ask one of	12	THE WITNESS: Okay.
13	you or do it myself. Can you pull up that	13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	information request that was sent to Mr. Robinson?	14	BY MR. PIERCE:
15	I think it would be helpful for him to have it up	15	Q. Based upon what we've seen and heard
16	on the screen. It was referred to as having been	16	here today, do you believe that that is an accurate
17	certified by him, so I think we need to cover that	17	record still?
18	while he's here and available to testify.	18	A. Yes.
19	MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. I'll have to	19	Q. So you believe that the answer that you
20	look through the exhibits and figure out exactly	20	were not provided an agronomic rate is correct?
21	THE COURT: Here. Hold on one second. I	21	A. No.
22	think I might be able to help. I have it noted	22	Q. Okay. Okay. I think that one quick
23	as I think it's CX41. Is that right? I'm	23	question: Who's George Cummins?
24	talking about the one with the responses for	24	A. He's an IHS inspector.
25	Mr. Robinson.	25	Q. And then are you guys related?
	Page 378		Page 380
1			
1	MR. PIERCE: Yes.	1	A. No.
1 2	MR. PIERCE: Yes. THE COURT: I have that as CX41; is that	1 2	A. No.Q. Okay. And so was he on scene at all
2	THE COURT: I have that as CX41; is that right? MS. KACSUR: Correct, Your Honor.	2	Q. Okay. And so was he on scene at all
2 3	THE COURT: I have that as CX41; is that right?	2 3	Q. Okay. And so was he on scene at all when this project was being done?
2 3 4	THE COURT: I have that as CX41; is that right? MS. KACSUR: Correct, Your Honor.	2 3 4	Q. Okay. And so was he on scene at all when this project was being done? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5	THE COURT: I have that as CX41; is that right? MS. KACSUR: Correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay, yeah. That's what I was	2 3 4 5	 Q. Okay. And so was he on scene at all when this project was being done? A. Yes. Q. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6	THE COURT: I have that as CX41; is that right? MS. KACSUR: Correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay, yeah. That's what I was referring to.	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Okay. And so was he on scene at all when this project was being done? A. Yes. Q. Okay. MR. PIERCE: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7	THE COURT: I have that as CX41; is that right? MS. KACSUR: Correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay, yeah. That's what I was referring to. MR. PIERCE: So what do I do here?	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Okay. And so was he on scene at all when this project was being done? A. Yes. Q. Okay. MR. PIERCE: Thank you. THE COURT: I just want to hop in with a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE COURT: I have that as CX41; is that right? MS. KACSUR: Correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay, yeah. That's what I was referring to. MR. PIERCE: So what do I do here? THE COURT: You know, you might be able to just hold it up electronically or even hand it to him. But we need to just make sure it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Okay. And so was he on scene at all when this project was being done? A. Yes. Q. Okay. MR. PIERCE: Thank you. THE COURT: I just want to hop in with a question, I guess. Let me just pull it up here quickly. Bear with me a second. Okay. Mr. Robinson, so you know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE COURT: I have that as CX41; is that right? MS. KACSUR: Correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay, yeah. That's what I was referring to. MR. PIERCE: So what do I do here? THE COURT: You know, you might be able to just hold it up electronically or even hand it to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Okay. And so was he on scene at all when this project was being done? A. Yes. Q. Okay. MR. PIERCE: Thank you. THE COURT: I just want to hop in with a question, I guess. Let me just pull it up here quickly. Bear with me a second. Okay. Mr. Robinson, so you know, examining having examined this document, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE COURT: I have that as CX41; is that right? MS. KACSUR: Correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay, yeah. That's what I was referring to. MR. PIERCE: So what do I do here? THE COURT: You know, you might be able to just hold it up electronically or even hand it to him. But we need to just make sure it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Okay. And so was he on scene at all when this project was being done? A. Yes. Q. Okay. MR. PIERCE: Thank you. THE COURT: I just want to hop in with a question, I guess. Let me just pull it up here quickly. Bear with me a second. Okay. Mr. Robinson, so you know, examining having examined this document, I understood your testimony in response to
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE COURT: I have that as CX41; is that right? MS. KACSUR: Correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay, yeah. That's what I was referring to. MR. PIERCE: So what do I do here? THE COURT: You know, you might be able to just hold it up electronically or even hand it to him. But we need to just make sure it's the probably working off the electronic is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Okay. And so was he on scene at all when this project was being done? A. Yes. Q. Okay. MR. PIERCE: Thank you. THE COURT: I just want to hop in with a question, I guess. Let me just pull it up here quickly. Bear with me a second. Okay. Mr. Robinson, so you know, examining having examined this document, I understood your testimony in response to Mr. Pierce's question about that asked about the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE COURT: I have that as CX41; is that right? MS. KACSUR: Correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay, yeah. That's what I was referring to. MR. PIERCE: So what do I do here? THE COURT: You know, you might be able to just hold it up electronically or even hand it to him. But we need to just make sure it's the probably working off the electronic is really the best. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Okay. And so was he on scene at all when this project was being done? A. Yes. Q. Okay. MR. PIERCE: Thank you. THE COURT: I just want to hop in with a question, I guess. Let me just pull it up here quickly. Bear with me a second. Okay. Mr. Robinson, so you know, examining having examined this document, I understood your testimony in response to Mr. Pierce's question about that asked about the agronomic rate. Going over this document, is there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE COURT: I have that as CX41; is that right? MS. KACSUR: Correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay, yeah. That's what I was referring to. MR. PIERCE: So what do I do here? THE COURT: You know, you might be able to just hold it up electronically or even hand it to him. But we need to just make sure it's the probably working off the electronic is really the best. Okay. So can you just move that so I can see	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Okay. And so was he on scene at all when this project was being done? A. Yes. Q. Okay. MR. PIERCE: Thank you. THE COURT: I just want to hop in with a question, I guess. Let me just pull it up here quickly. Bear with me a second. Okay. Mr. Robinson, so you know, examining having examined this document, I understood your testimony in response to Mr. Pierce's question about that asked about the agronomic rate. Going over this document, is there anything else on it that was not answered
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE COURT: I have that as CX41; is that right? MS. KACSUR: Correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay, yeah. That's what I was referring to. MR. PIERCE: So what do I do here? THE COURT: You know, you might be able to just hold it up electronically or even hand it to him. But we need to just make sure it's the probably working off the electronic is really the best. Okay. So can you just move that so I can see the CX number on there, Mr. Pierce, and we can just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Okay. And so was he on scene at all when this project was being done? A. Yes. Q. Okay. MR. PIERCE: Thank you. THE COURT: I just want to hop in with a question, I guess. Let me just pull it up here quickly. Bear with me a second. Okay. Mr. Robinson, so you know, examining having examined this document, I understood your testimony in response to Mr. Pierce's question about that asked about the agronomic rate. Going over this document, is there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE COURT: I have that as CX41; is that right? MS. KACSUR: Correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay, yeah. That's what I was referring to. MR. PIERCE: So what do I do here? THE COURT: You know, you might be able to just hold it up electronically or even hand it to him. But we need to just make sure it's the probably working off the electronic is really the best. Okay. So can you just move that so I can see the CX number on there, Mr. Pierce, and we can just clear that up for the record. Is it marked?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Okay. And so was he on scene at all when this project was being done? A. Yes. Q. Okay. MR. PIERCE: Thank you. THE COURT: I just want to hop in with a question, I guess. Let me just pull it up here quickly. Bear with me a second. Okay. Mr. Robinson, so you know, examining having examined this document, I understood your testimony in response to Mr. Pierce's question about that asked about the agronomic rate. Going over this document, is there anything else on it that was not answered
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE COURT: I have that as CX41; is that right? MS. KACSUR: Correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay, yeah. That's what I was referring to. MR. PIERCE: So what do I do here? THE COURT: You know, you might be able to just hold it up electronically or even hand it to him. But we need to just make sure it's the probably working off the electronic is really the best. Okay. So can you just move that so I can see the CX number on there, Mr. Pierce, and we can just clear that up for the record. Is it marked? MR. PIERCE: CX41.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Okay. And so was he on scene at all when this project was being done? A. Yes. Q. Okay. MR. PIERCE: Thank you. THE COURT: I just want to hop in with a question, I guess. Let me just pull it up here quickly. Bear with me a second. Okay. Mr. Robinson, so you know, examining having examined this document, I understood your testimony in response to Mr. Pierce's question about that asked about the agronomic rate. Going over this document, is there anything else on it that was not answered accurately or truthfully, whether intentional or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE COURT: I have that as CX41; is that right? MS. KACSUR: Correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay, yeah. That's what I was referring to. MR. PIERCE: So what do I do here? THE COURT: You know, you might be able to just hold it up electronically or even hand it to him. But we need to just make sure it's the probably working off the electronic is really the best. Okay. So can you just move that so I can see the CX number on there, Mr. Pierce, and we can just clear that up for the record. Is it marked? MR. PIERCE: CX41. THE COURT: Yeah. Where is that showing?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Okay. And so was he on scene at all when this project was being done? A. Yes. Q. Okay. MR. PIERCE: Thank you. THE COURT: I just want to hop in with a question, I guess. Let me just pull it up here quickly. Bear with me a second. Okay. Mr. Robinson, so you know, examining having examined this document, I understood your testimony in response to Mr. Pierce's question about that asked about the agronomic rate. Going over this document, is there anything else on it that was not answered accurately or truthfully, whether intentional or not, but just not accurate? Any other answers you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE COURT: I have that as CX41; is that right? MS. KACSUR: Correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay, yeah. That's what I was referring to. MR. PIERCE: So what do I do here? THE COURT: You know, you might be able to just hold it up electronically or even hand it to him. But we need to just make sure it's the probably working off the electronic is really the best. Okay. So can you just move that so I can see the CX number on there, Mr. Pierce, and we can just clear that up for the record. Is it marked? MR. PIERCE: CX41. THE COURT: Yeah. Where is that showing? There we go.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Okay. And so was he on scene at all when this project was being done? A. Yes. Q. Okay. MR. PIERCE: Thank you. THE COURT: I just want to hop in with a question, I guess. Let me just pull it up here quickly. Bear with me a second. Okay. Mr. Robinson, so you know, examining having examined this document, I understood your testimony in response to Mr. Pierce's question about that asked about the agronomic rate. Going over this document, is there anything else on it that was not answered accurately or truthfully, whether intentional or not, but just not accurate? Any other answers you gave that were not accurate?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE COURT: I have that as CX41; is that right? MS. KACSUR: Correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay, yeah. That's what I was referring to. MR. PIERCE: So what do I do here? THE COURT: You know, you might be able to just hold it up electronically or even hand it to him. But we need to just make sure it's the probably working off the electronic is really the best. Okay. So can you just move that so I can see the CX number on there, Mr. Pierce, and we can just clear that up for the record. Is it marked? MR. PIERCE: CX41. THE COURT: Yeah. Where is that showing? There we go. MR. PIERCE: Right there, Your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Okay. And so was he on scene at all when this project was being done? A. Yes. Q. Okay. MR. PIERCE: Thank you. THE COURT: I just want to hop in with a question, I guess. Let me just pull it up here quickly. Bear with me a second. Okay. Mr. Robinson, so you know, examining having examined this document, I understood your testimony in response to Mr. Pierce's question about that asked about the agronomic rate. Going over this document, is there anything else on it that was not answered accurately or truthfully, whether intentional or not, but just not accurate? Any other answers you gave that were not accurate?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE COURT: I have that as CX41; is that right? MS. KACSUR: Correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay, yeah. That's what I was referring to. MR. PIERCE: So what do I do here? THE COURT: You know, you might be able to just hold it up electronically or even hand it to him. But we need to just make sure it's the probably working off the electronic is really the best. Okay. So can you just move that so I can see the CX number on there, Mr. Pierce, and we can just clear that up for the record. Is it marked? MR. PIERCE: CX41. THE COURT: Yeah. Where is that showing? There we go. MR. PIERCE: Right there, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. So CX41. We're at page 1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Okay. And so was he on scene at all when this project was being done? A. Yes. Q. Okay. MR. PIERCE: Thank you. THE COURT: I just want to hop in with a question, I guess. Let me just pull it up here quickly. Bear with me a second. Okay. Mr. Robinson, so you know, examining having examined this document, I understood your testimony in response to Mr. Pierce's question about that asked about the agronomic rate. Going over this document, is there anything else on it that was not answered accurately or truthfully, whether intentional or not, but just not accurate? Any other answers you gave that were not accurate? THE WITNESS: No. THE COURT: Okay. I'm just going to leave it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE COURT: I have that as CX41; is that right? MS. KACSUR: Correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay, yeah. That's what I was referring to. MR. PIERCE: So what do I do here? THE COURT: You know, you might be able to just hold it up electronically or even hand it to him. But we need to just make sure it's the probably working off the electronic is really the best. Okay. So can you just move that so I can see the CX number on there, Mr. Pierce, and we can just clear that up for the record. Is it marked? MR. PIERCE: CX41. THE COURT: Yeah. Where is that showing? There we go. MR. PIERCE: Right there, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. So CX41. We're at page 1 of 3. You know, I'm wondering if it might be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Okay. And so was he on scene at all when this project was being done? A. Yes. Q. Okay. MR. PIERCE: Thank you. THE COURT: I just want to hop in with a question, I guess. Let me just pull it up here quickly. Bear with me a second. Okay. Mr. Robinson, so you know, examining having examined this document, I understood your testimony in response to Mr. Pierce's question about that asked about the agronomic rate. Going over this document, is there anything else on it that was not answered accurately or truthfully, whether intentional or not, but just not accurate? Any other answers you gave that were not accurate? THE WITNESS: No. THE COURT: Okay. I'm just going to leave it there for right now. I'd rather defer to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE COURT: I have that as CX41; is that right? MS. KACSUR: Correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay, yeah. That's what I was referring to. MR. PIERCE: So what do I do here? THE COURT: You know, you might be able to just hold it up electronically or even hand it to him. But we need to just make sure it's the probably working off the electronic is really the best. Okay. So can you just move that so I can see the CX number on there, Mr. Pierce, and we can just clear that up for the record. Is it marked? MR. PIERCE: CX41. THE COURT: Yeah. Where is that showing? There we go. MR. PIERCE: Right there, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. So CX41. We're at page 1 of 3. You know, I'm wondering if it might be simpler now that we've identified the specific	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Okay. And so was he on scene at all when this project was being done? A. Yes. Q. Okay. MR. PIERCE: Thank you. THE COURT: I just want to hop in with a question, I guess. Let me just pull it up here quickly. Bear with me a second. Okay. Mr. Robinson, so you know, examining having examined this document, I understood your testimony in response to Mr. Pierce's question about that asked about the agronomic rate. Going over this document, is there anything else on it that was not answered accurately or truthfully, whether intentional or not, but just not accurate? Any other answers you gave that were not accurate? THE WITNESS: No. THE COURT: Okay. I'm just going to leave it there for right now. I'd rather defer to the parties for any follow-up. So go ahead,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE COURT: I have that as CX41; is that right? MS. KACSUR: Correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay, yeah. That's what I was referring to. MR. PIERCE: So what do I do here? THE COURT: You know, you might be able to just hold it up electronically or even hand it to him. But we need to just make sure it's the probably working off the electronic is really the best. Okay. So can you just move that so I can see the CX number on there, Mr. Pierce, and we can just clear that up for the record. Is it marked? MR. PIERCE: CX41. THE COURT: Yeah. Where is that showing? There we go. MR. PIERCE: Right there, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. So CX41. We're at page 1 of 3. You know, I'm wondering if it might be simpler now that we've identified the specific document. Does anybody object if he just it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Okay. And so was he on scene at all when this project was being done? A. Yes. Q. Okay. MR. PIERCE: Thank you. THE COURT: I just want to hop in with a question, I guess. Let me just pull it up here quickly. Bear with me a second. Okay. Mr. Robinson, so you know, examining having examined this document, I understood your testimony in response to Mr. Pierce's question about that asked about the agronomic rate. Going over this document, is there anything else on it that was not answered accurately or truthfully, whether intentional or not, but just not accurate? Any other answers you gave that were not accurate? THE WITNESS: No. THE COURT: Okay. I'm just going to leave it there for right now. I'd rather defer to the parties for any follow-up. So go ahead, Mr. Muehlberger.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE COURT: I have that as CX41; is that right? MS. KACSUR: Correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay, yeah. That's what I was referring to. MR. PIERCE: So what do I do here? THE COURT: You know, you might be able to just hold it up electronically or even hand it to him. But we need to just make sure it's the probably working off the electronic is really the best. Okay. So can you just move that so I can see the CX number on there, Mr. Pierce, and we can just clear that up for the record. Is it marked? MR. PIERCE: CX41. THE COURT: Yeah. Where is that showing? There we go. MR. PIERCE: Right there, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. So CX41. We're at page 1 of 3. You know, I'm wondering if it might be simpler now that we've identified the specific document. Does anybody object if he just it might be easier for Mr. Robinson to simply hold it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Okay. And so was he on scene at all when this project was being done? A. Yes. Q. Okay. MR. PIERCE: Thank you. THE COURT: I just want to hop in with a question, I guess. Let me just pull it up here quickly. Bear with me a second. Okay. Mr. Robinson, so you know, examining having examined this document, I understood your testimony in response to Mr. Pierce's question about that asked about the agronomic rate. Going over this document, is there anything else on it that was not answered accurately or truthfully, whether intentional or not, but just not accurate? Any other answers you gave that were not accurate? THE WITNESS: No. THE COURT: Okay. I'm just going to leave it there for right now. I'd rather defer to the parties for any follow-up. So go ahead, Mr. Muehlberger.

	Page 381		Page 383
1	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	1	you. I'm sorry, could we go to page 6, please, of
2	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	2	Complainant's Exhibit 30?
3	Q. I think I'd like to start with	3	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:
4	Complainant's Exhibit 41 again, which I think is	4	Q. Let me start by asking, Mr. Robinson,
5	the document that you have in your hand. Is that	5	did you receive this letter from EPA in November of
6	right, Mr. Robinson?	6	2019?
7	A. Yes.	7	A. Yes, I did.
8	Q. Okay. I'd like to take a look at page	8	Q. Okay. And could you please read after
9	2. So this would be CX41 et. 2. Could you flip	9	it says No. 5?
10	that over?	10	A. "If you developed or maintained or have
11	A. (Witness complies.)	11	in your possession the following records regarding
12	Q. Could you please read the statement that	12	the land application of sludge-related materials
13	begins with "I certify under penalty"?	13	during August of 2018, provide the information
14	A. "I certify under penalty of law that	14	listed below: The street address" address or
15	this statement is, to the best of my knowledge and	15	legal description of the location; the dates upon
16	belief, to be true, accurate, and complete. I'm	16	which the location was used for land application
17	aware that there are significant penalties and	17	of" I don't know, what does that say,
18	submitting false information or statements,	18	biosolids "the number of acres upon which
19	including the possibility of fines or imprisonment	19	biosolids were land applied; the number of loads
20	for knowing violations."	20	applied; a description of how the site restrictions
21	Q. And then did you sign this document,	21	of 40 CFR were met; and the annual application
22	Mr. Robinson?	22	rates of biosolids as calculated."
23	A. Yes.	23	Q. Thank you.
24	Q. Could we go back to page 1 of this? Go	24	Mr. Robinson, are you familiar with the
25	ahead and flip that back over. Can we go down to	25	biosolid regulations that EPA are you familiar
	Page 382		Dama 204
	Pade 382		
-			Page 384
1	Question 4, please?	1	with Part 503, which are biosolids regulations?
2	Question 4, please? A. (Witness complies.)	2	with Part 503, which are biosolids regulations? A. No.
2 3	Question 4, please?A. (Witness complies.)Q. So the question asks if you were given	2 3	with Part 503, which are biosolids regulations? A. No. Q. Okay. Did Mr. Pierce explain to you
2 3 4	Question 4, please? A. (Witness complies.) Q. So the question asks if you were given information about the agronomic rate of land	2 3 4	 with Part 503, which are biosolids regulations? A. No. Q. Okay. Did Mr. Pierce explain to you about the recordkeeping requirements under the
2 3 4 5	 Question 4, please? A. (Witness complies.) Q. So the question asks if you were given information about the agronomic rate of land application of the pollutants contained in the 	2 3 4 5	 with Part 503, which are biosolids regulations? A. No. Q. Okay. Did Mr. Pierce explain to you about the recordkeeping requirements under the biosolids regulations connected to this land
2 3 4 5 6	Question 4, please? A. (Witness complies.) Q. So the question asks if you were given information about the agronomic rate of land application of the pollutants contained in the sludge that was land applied on or about August	2 3 4 5 6	 with Part 503, which are biosolids regulations? A. No. Q. Okay. Did Mr. Pierce explain to you about the recordkeeping requirements under the biosolids regulations connected to this land application project in 2018?
2 3 4 5 6 7	Question 4, please? A. (Witness complies.) Q. So the question asks if you were given information about the agronomic rate of land application of the pollutants contained in the sludge that was land applied on or about August 22nd, 2018. Did you circle "no"?	2 3 4 5 6 7	 with Part 503, which are biosolids regulations? A. No. Q. Okay. Did Mr. Pierce explain to you about the recordkeeping requirements under the biosolids regulations connected to this land application project in 2018? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Question 4, please? A. (Witness complies.) Q. So the question asks if you were given information about the agronomic rate of land application of the pollutants contained in the sludge that was land applied on or about August 22nd, 2018. Did you circle "no"? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 with Part 503, which are biosolids regulations? A. No. Q. Okay. Did Mr. Pierce explain to you about the recordkeeping requirements under the biosolids regulations connected to this land application project in 2018? A. No. Q. Did Mr. Pierce talk to you about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Question 4, please? A. (Witness complies.) Q. So the question asks if you were given information about the agronomic rate of land application of the pollutants contained in the sludge that was land applied on or about August 22nd, 2018. Did you circle "no"? A. Yes. Q. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 with Part 503, which are biosolids regulations? A. No. Q. Okay. Did Mr. Pierce explain to you about the recordkeeping requirements under the biosolids regulations connected to this land application project in 2018? A. No. Q. Did Mr. Pierce talk to you about creating records concerning management practices of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Question 4, please? A. (Witness complies.) Q. So the question asks if you were given information about the agronomic rate of land application of the pollutants contained in the sludge that was land applied on or about August 22nd, 2018. Did you circle "no"? A. Yes. Q. Okay. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I think now I'd like to go	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 with Part 503, which are biosolids regulations? A. No. Q. Okay. Did Mr. Pierce explain to you about the recordkeeping requirements under the biosolids regulations connected to this land application project in 2018? A. No. Q. Did Mr. Pierce talk to you about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Question 4, please? A. (Witness complies.) Q. So the question asks if you were given information about the agronomic rate of land application of the pollutants contained in the sludge that was land applied on or about August 22nd, 2018. Did you circle "no"? A. Yes. Q. Okay. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I think now I'd like to go to Complainant's Exhibit 30, please.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 with Part 503, which are biosolids regulations? A. No. Q. Okay. Did Mr. Pierce explain to you about the recordkeeping requirements under the biosolids regulations connected to this land application project in 2018? A. No. Q. Did Mr. Pierce talk to you about creating records concerning management practices of the sewage sludge? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Question 4, please? A. (Witness complies.) Q. So the question asks if you were given information about the agronomic rate of land application of the pollutants contained in the sludge that was land applied on or about August 22nd, 2018. Did you circle "no"? A. Yes. Q. Okay. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I think now I'd like to go to Complainant's Exhibit 30, please. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 with Part 503, which are biosolids regulations? A. No. Q. Okay. Did Mr. Pierce explain to you about the recordkeeping requirements under the biosolids regulations connected to this land application project in 2018? A. No. Q. Did Mr. Pierce talk to you about creating records concerning management practices of the sewage sludge? A. No. Q. Did he talk to you about creating
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Question 4, please? A. (Witness complies.) Q. So the question asks if you were given information about the agronomic rate of land application of the pollutants contained in the sludge that was land applied on or about August 22nd, 2018. Did you circle "no"? A. Yes. Q. Okay. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I think now I'd like to go to Complainant's Exhibit 30, please.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 with Part 503, which are biosolids regulations? A. No. Q. Okay. Did Mr. Pierce explain to you about the recordkeeping requirements under the biosolids regulations connected to this land application project in 2018? A. No. Q. Did Mr. Pierce talk to you about creating records concerning management practices of the sewage sludge? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Question 4, please? A. (Witness complies.) Q. So the question asks if you were given information about the agronomic rate of land application of the pollutants contained in the sludge that was land applied on or about August 22nd, 2018. Did you circle "no"? A. Yes. Q. Okay. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I think now I'd like to go to Complainant's Exhibit 30, please. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Mr. Robinson, do you recognize this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 with Part 503, which are biosolids regulations? A. No. Q. Okay. Did Mr. Pierce explain to you about the recordkeeping requirements under the biosolids regulations connected to this land application project in 2018? A. No. Q. Did Mr. Pierce talk to you about creating records concerning management practices of the sewage sludge? A. No. Q. Did he talk to you about creating records concerning site restrictions for sewage
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Question 4, please? A. (Witness complies.) Q. So the question asks if you were given information about the agronomic rate of land application of the pollutants contained in the sludge that was land applied on or about August 22nd, 2018. Did you circle "no"? A. Yes. Q. Okay. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I think now I'd like to go to Complainant's Exhibit 30, please. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Mr. Robinson, do you recognize this document?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 with Part 503, which are biosolids regulations? A. No. Q. Okay. Did Mr. Pierce explain to you about the recordkeeping requirements under the biosolids regulations connected to this land application project in 2018? A. No. Q. Did Mr. Pierce talk to you about creating records concerning management practices of the sewage sludge? A. No. Q. Did he talk to you about creating records concerning site restrictions for sewage sludge?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Question 4, please? A. (Witness complies.) Q. So the question asks if you were given information about the agronomic rate of land application of the pollutants contained in the sludge that was land applied on or about August 22nd, 2018. Did you circle "no"? A. Yes. Q. Okay. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I think now I'd like to go to Complainant's Exhibit 30, please. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Mr. Robinson, do you recognize this document? A. No, I don't.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 with Part 503, which are biosolids regulations? A. No. Q. Okay. Did Mr. Pierce explain to you about the recordkeeping requirements under the biosolids regulations connected to this land application project in 2018? A. No. Q. Did Mr. Pierce talk to you about creating records concerning management practices of the sewage sludge? A. No. Q. Did he talk to you about creating records concerning site restrictions for sewage sludge? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Question 4, please? A. (Witness complies.) Q. So the question asks if you were given information about the agronomic rate of land application of the pollutants contained in the sludge that was land applied on or about August 22nd, 2018. Did you circle "no"? A. Yes. Q. Okay. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I think now I'd like to go to Complainant's Exhibit 30, please. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Mr. Robinson, do you recognize this document? A. No, I don't. Q. Okay. Let's start at the top there. Is 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 with Part 503, which are biosolids regulations? A. No. Q. Okay. Did Mr. Pierce explain to you about the recordkeeping requirements under the biosolids regulations connected to this land application project in 2018? A. No. Q. Did Mr. Pierce talk to you about creating records concerning management practices of the sewage sludge? A. No. Q. Did he talk to you about creating records concerning site restrictions for sewage sludge? A. No. Q. Did he talk to you about creating
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Question 4, please? A. (Witness complies.) Q. So the question asks if you were given information about the agronomic rate of land application of the pollutants contained in the sludge that was land applied on or about August 22nd, 2018. Did you circle "no"? A. Yes. Q. Okay. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I think now I'd like to go to Complainant's Exhibit 30, please. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Mr. Robinson, do you recognize this document? A. No, I don't. Q. Okay. Let's start at the top there. Is this a letter from the U.S. EPA Region 7 to you? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 with Part 503, which are biosolids regulations? A. No. Q. Okay. Did Mr. Pierce explain to you about the recordkeeping requirements under the biosolids regulations connected to this land application project in 2018? A. No. Q. Did Mr. Pierce talk to you about creating records concerning management practices of the sewage sludge? A. No. Q. Did he talk to you about creating records concerning site restrictions for sewage sludge? A. No. Q. Did he talk to you about creating records concerning site restrictions for sewage sludge? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Question 4, please? A. (Witness complies.) Q. So the question asks if you were given information about the agronomic rate of land application of the pollutants contained in the sludge that was land applied on or about August 22nd, 2018. Did you circle "no"? A. Yes. Q. Okay. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I think now I'd like to go to Complainant's Exhibit 30, please. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Mr. Robinson, do you recognize this document? A. No, I don't. Q. Okay. Let's start at the top there. Is this a letter from the U.S. EPA Region 7 to you? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 with Part 503, which are biosolids regulations? A. No. Q. Okay. Did Mr. Pierce explain to you about the recordkeeping requirements under the biosolids regulations connected to this land application project in 2018? A. No. Q. Did Mr. Pierce talk to you about creating records concerning management practices of the sewage sludge? A. No. Q. Did he talk to you about creating records concerning site restrictions for sewage sludge? A. No. Q. Did he talk to you about creating records for vector attraction concerning sewage sludge?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Question 4, please? A. (Witness complies.) Q. So the question asks if you were given information about the agronomic rate of land application of the pollutants contained in the sludge that was land applied on or about August 22nd, 2018. Did you circle "no"? A. Yes. Q. Okay. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I think now I'd like to go to Complainant's Exhibit 30, please. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Mr. Robinson, do you recognize this document? A. No, I don't. Q. Okay. Let's start at the top there. Is this a letter from the U.S. EPA Region 7 to you? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And is it dated November 14th, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 with Part 503, which are biosolids regulations? A. No. Q. Okay. Did Mr. Pierce explain to you about the recordkeeping requirements under the biosolids regulations connected to this land application project in 2018? A. No. Q. Did Mr. Pierce talk to you about creating records concerning management practices of the sewage sludge? A. No. Q. Did he talk to you about creating records concerning site restrictions for sewage sludge? A. No. Q. Did he talk to you about creating records for vector attraction concerning sewage sludge? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Question 4, please? A. (Witness complies.) Q. So the question asks if you were given information about the agronomic rate of land application of the pollutants contained in the sludge that was land applied on or about August 22nd, 2018. Did you circle "no"? A. Yes. Q. Okay. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I think now I'd like to go to Complainant's Exhibit 30, please. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Mr. Robinson, do you recognize this document? A. No, I don't. Q. Okay. Let's start at the top there. Is this a letter from the U.S. EPA Region 7 to you? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And is it dated November 14th, 2019? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 with Part 503, which are biosolids regulations? A. No. Q. Okay. Did Mr. Pierce explain to you about the recordkeeping requirements under the biosolids regulations connected to this land application project in 2018? A. No. Q. Did Mr. Pierce talk to you about creating records concerning management practices of the sewage sludge? A. No. Q. Did he talk to you about creating records concerning site restrictions for sewage sludge? A. No. Q. Did he talk to you about creating records for vector attraction concerning sewage sludge? A. No. M. No. M. No. M. No. MR. MUEHLBERGER: No further questions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Question 4, please? A. (Witness complies.) Q. So the question asks if you were given information about the agronomic rate of land application of the pollutants contained in the sludge that was land applied on or about August 22nd, 2018. Did you circle "no"? A. Yes. Q. Okay. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I think now I'd like to go to Complainant's Exhibit 30, please. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Mr. Robinson, do you recognize this document? A. No, I don't. Q. Okay. Let's start at the top there. Is this a letter from the U.S. EPA Region 7 to you? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And is it dated November 14th, 2019? A. Yes. Q. Okay. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Could we go to page 2 of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 with Part 503, which are biosolids regulations? A. No. Q. Okay. Did Mr. Pierce explain to you about the recordkeeping requirements under the biosolids regulations connected to this land application project in 2018? A. No. Q. Did Mr. Pierce talk to you about creating records concerning management practices of the sewage sludge? A. No. Q. Did he talk to you about creating records concerning site restrictions for sewage sludge? A. No. Q. Did he talk to you about creating records for vector attraction concerning sewage sludge? A. No. M. No. M. No. M. No. MR. MUEHLBERGER: No further questions. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Robinson, is your field open to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Question 4, please? A. (Witness complies.) Q. So the question asks if you were given information about the agronomic rate of land application of the pollutants contained in the sludge that was land applied on or about August 22nd, 2018. Did you circle "no"? A. Yes. Q. Okay. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I think now I'd like to go to Complainant's Exhibit 30, please. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Mr. Robinson, do you recognize this document? A. No, I don't. Q. Okay. Let's start at the top there. Is this a letter from the U.S. EPA Region 7 to you? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And is it dated November 14th, 2019? A. Yes. Q. Okay. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Could we go to page 2 of Complainant's Exhibit 30. Oh, I'm sorry. Let's go 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 with Part 503, which are biosolids regulations? A. No. Q. Okay. Did Mr. Pierce explain to you about the recordkeeping requirements under the biosolids regulations connected to this land application project in 2018? A. No. Q. Did Mr. Pierce talk to you about creating records concerning management practices of the sewage sludge? A. No. Q. Did he talk to you about creating records concerning site restrictions for sewage sludge? A. No. Q. Did he talk to you about creating records for vector attraction concerning sewage sludge? A. No. M. Mo. MR. MUEHLBERGER: No further questions. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Robinson, is your field open to the public or easily accessible?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Question 4, please? A. (Witness complies.) Q. So the question asks if you were given information about the agronomic rate of land application of the pollutants contained in the sludge that was land applied on or about August 22nd, 2018. Did you circle "no"? A. Yes. Q. Okay. MR. MUEHLBERGER: I think now I'd like to go to Complainant's Exhibit 30, please. BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: Q. Mr. Robinson, do you recognize this document? A. No, I don't. Q. Okay. Let's start at the top there. Is this a letter from the U.S. EPA Region 7 to you? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And is it dated November 14th, 2019? A. Yes. Q. Okay. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Could we go to page 2 of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 with Part 503, which are biosolids regulations? A. No. Q. Okay. Did Mr. Pierce explain to you about the recordkeeping requirements under the biosolids regulations connected to this land application project in 2018? A. No. Q. Did Mr. Pierce talk to you about creating records concerning management practices of the sewage sludge? A. No. Q. Did he talk to you about creating records concerning site restrictions for sewage sludge? A. No. Q. Did he talk to you about creating records for vector attraction concerning sewage sludge? A. No. M. No. M. No. M. No. MR. MUEHLBERGER: No further questions. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Robinson, is your field open to the

96 (Pages 381 to 384)

	Page 385		Page 387
1	Q. You live in a very rural area of	1	here. So on this first declaration after it talks
2	Montana; is that correct?	2	about your barley field, could you read the portion
3	A. Yes.	3	right after it says "Tom Robinson"?
4	Q. What's the population of Lame Deer?	4	A. On the first?
5	A. Oh, a couple thousand, I think, maybe.	5	Q. Yeah. In the the first paragraph
6	Q. Okay. And your property actually lies	6	there where it says if you come down and it
7	outside of the town of Lame Deer?	7	says, "barley field with pivot line owned by Tom."
8	A. Yes.	8	A. Yeah.
9	Q. Okay. So there's a long dirt road that	9	Q. Can you read the portion right after
10	had to be traveled in order to get even to your	10	that?
11	field	11	A. "In compliance with US 40 EPA 503
12	A. Yes.	12	regulations."
13	Q that goes through multiple other	13	Q. So would you now admit that
14	fields; is that correct?	14	A. Yes.
15	A. Yes.	15	Q you were given
16	Q. Okay. So, realistically, the public or	16	A. Yes. It's been how many years ago?
17	anybody else couldn't have gained access to your	17	Q. Yes, sir. No, I get that 100 percent.
18	site?	18	And it's been a long time.
19	A. No.	19	MR. PIERCE: Thank you.
20	Q. Okay.	20	THE WITNESS: Yes.
21	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Objection, Your Honor,	21	THE COURT: Anything else for Mr. Robinson?
22	relevance. This is not relevant to either of the	22	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yeah. Your Honor, I know
23	counts in the complaint.	23	this is a little unusual, but I just have a couple
24	THE COURT: What's the relevance, Mr. Pierce?	24	more questions, if that's okay.
25	MR. PIERCE: I'm sorry?	25	THE COURT: It's fine. I think you all
	Page 386		Page 388
1	THE COURT: The relevancy of	1	should cover everything you'd like with
1 2	the accessibility.	2	Mr. Robinson while he's here.
3	MR. PIERCE: The relevancy is they're trying	3	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
4	to make the point of vector reduction and	4	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:
5	contamination possible contamination to humans.	5	Q. Mr. Robinson, Mr. Pierce and Adamas
6	I'm pointing out that that is somewhat of a moot	6	Construction, they were in charge of this sewage
7	point.	7	sludge project, weren't they?
8	THE COURT: Based on remoteness?	8	A. Yes.
9	MR. PIERCE: Based on remoteness and	9	Q. Okay. And Mr. Pierce directed you on
10	inability to access the portion of the field.	10	how you were supposed to apply the sewage sludge to
11	THE COURT: Okay. I'll overrule the	11	the land, correct?
12	objection and allow it.	12	A. All I did is till it in. I didn't apply
13	Did you want to respond any further to	13	it.
14	that with respect to the remoteness or	14	Q. And thank you for that clarification.
15	accessibility of your property?	15	And was that under the direction of Mr. Pierce?
16	THE WITNESS: No.	16	A. Yes.
17	THE COURT: Okay.	17	Q. Thank you.
18	BY MR. PIERCE:	18	MR. MUEHLBERGER: No further questions.
19	Q. In your testimony just a second ago, you	19	THE COURT: You know, just for my benefit, I
20	stated that I never gave you any information	20	think I actually have a little bit of a grasp from
21	regarding 503; is that correct?	21	this from my earlier life agriculture. But when
22	A. Yes.	22	you say "till it in," can you explain what you
23	MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, RX5, et. 1.	23	mean?
24	BY MR. PIERCE:	24	THE WITNESS: Oh. They would put it on. I
25	Q. Mr. Robinson give me just a second	25	would have so many what was it, 45 minutes to
L		1	97 (Pages 385 to 388)

	Page 389		Page 391
1	work it in.	1	was there; is that correct?
2	THE COURT: Into the soil?	2	A. Yes.
3	THE WITNESS: Yes. So I would work it in 4	3	Q. And James Courtney from IHS?
4	inches.	4	A. Yes.
5	THE COURT: Okay.	5	Q. Ernie Sprague from D&R Disposal was
6	THE WITNESS: Till it in.	6	there as well, correct?
7	THE COURT: So you're mixing that sludge	7	A. Not when I was there.
8	THE WITNESS: Yes.	8	Q. Okay. And then the reason for that
9	THE COURT: that's applied to the top of	9	meeting was to sort of go over the parameters for
10	the soil, and you're mixing it into the soil?	10	the construction project; is that correct?
11	THE WITNESS: Yes.	11	A. Yes.
12		12	
	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thanks so		Q. And NCUC was the main contractor; is that correct?
13	much.	13	
14	Go ahead.	14	A. Yes.
15	MR. PIERCE: You know what, Your Honor, I	15	Q. Okay. Was the you earlier stated
16	can't think of the question I was trying to come up	16	that the project was incomplete. Why is that?
17	with. Can I get a five-minute break?	17	A. I don't know.
18	THE COURT: Sure.	18	Q. So it was the project was shut down?
19	MR. PIERCE: Thank you.	19	A. Yes, it was shut down.
20	THE COURT. Yeah. No problem. We'll come	20	Q. And do you know who shut the project
21	back in five.	21	down?
22	Mr. Robinson, you can stay there, or you	22	A. IHS.
23	can just make sure you're back in about five	23	Q. So IHS shut the project down, and NCUC?
24	minutes.	24	A. Yes.
25	THE WITNESS: Okay.	25	Q. Okay. So you said earlier as well in
	Page 390		Page 392
1	rage 550		rage 372
	THE COUDT. Themesure	1	warm tastim and that war tilled the sail than within
1	THE COURT: Thank you.	1	your testimony that you tilled the soil then within
2	(Whereupon, a brief	2	45 minutes and felt that that was a pretty
2 3	(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)	2 3	45 minutes and felt that that was a pretty important thing, the 45 minutes. How did you know
2 3 4	(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Robinson, you can come	2 3 4	45 minutes and felt that that was a pretty important thing, the 45 minutes. How did you know to do that?
2 3 4 5	(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Robinson, you can come back and take the stand. We're back on record.	2 3 4 5	45 minutes and felt that that was a pretty important thing, the 45 minutes. How did you know to do that?A. Oh, I think it was you that told me
2 3 4 5 6	(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Robinson, you can come back and take the stand. We're back on record. Okay. Go right ahead.	2 3 4 5 6	45 minutes and felt that that was a pretty important thing, the 45 minutes. How did you know to do that?A. Oh, I think it was you that told me or I don't recollect.
2 3 4 5 6 7	(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Robinson, you can come back and take the stand. We're back on record. Okay. Go right ahead. MR. PIERCE: Thank you, Your Honor. I	2 3 4 5 6 7	 45 minutes and felt that that was a pretty important thing, the 45 minutes. How did you know to do that? A. Oh, I think it was you that told me or I don't recollect. Q. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Robinson, you can come back and take the stand. We're back on record. Okay. Go right ahead. MR. PIERCE: Thank you, Your Honor. I appreciate you allowing me the break.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 45 minutes and felt that that was a pretty important thing, the 45 minutes. How did you know to do that? A. Oh, I think it was you that told me or I don't recollect. Q. Okay. A. Somebody was there that told me that I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Robinson, you can come back and take the stand. We're back on record. Okay. Go right ahead. MR. PIERCE: Thank you, Your Honor. I appreciate you allowing me the break. THE COURT: Sure. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 45 minutes and felt that that was a pretty important thing, the 45 minutes. How did you know to do that? A. Oh, I think it was you that told me or I don't recollect. Q. Okay. A. Somebody was there that told me that I had to.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Robinson, you can come back and take the stand. We're back on record. Okay. Go right ahead. MR. PIERCE: Thank you, Your Honor. I appreciate you allowing me the break. THE COURT: Sure. RECROSS-EXAMINATION	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 45 minutes and felt that that was a pretty important thing, the 45 minutes. How did you know to do that? A. Oh, I think it was you that told me or I don't recollect. Q. Okay. A. Somebody was there that told me that I had to. Q. Yeah. And that's perfectly fine. Would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Robinson, you can come back and take the stand. We're back on record. Okay. Go right ahead. MR. PIERCE: Thank you, Your Honor. I appreciate you allowing me the break. THE COURT: Sure. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 45 minutes and felt that that was a pretty important thing, the 45 minutes. How did you know to do that? A. Oh, I think it was you that told me or I don't recollect. Q. Okay. A. Somebody was there that told me that I had to. Q. Yeah. And that's perfectly fine. Would it surprise you to learn that the 503 requirements
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Robinson, you can come back and take the stand. We're back on record. Okay. Go right ahead. MR. PIERCE: Thank you, Your Honor. I appreciate you allowing me the break. THE COURT: Sure. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Robinson, were you aware of the IHS	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 45 minutes and felt that that was a pretty important thing, the 45 minutes. How did you know to do that? A. Oh, I think it was you that told me or I don't recollect. Q. Okay. A. Somebody was there that told me that I had to. Q. Yeah. And that's perfectly fine. Would it surprise you to learn that the 503 requirements require that to be done within six hours?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Robinson, you can come back and take the stand. We're back on record. Okay. Go right ahead. MR. PIERCE: Thank you, Your Honor. I appreciate you allowing me the break. THE COURT: Sure. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Robinson, were you aware of the IHS bid packet to start this project?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 45 minutes and felt that that was a pretty important thing, the 45 minutes. How did you know to do that? A. Oh, I think it was you that told me or I don't recollect. Q. Okay. A. Somebody was there that told me that I had to. Q. Yeah. And that's perfectly fine. Would it surprise you to learn that the 503 requirements require that to be done within six hours? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Robinson, you can come back and take the stand. We're back on record. Okay. Go right ahead. MR. PIERCE: Thank you, Your Honor. I appreciate you allowing me the break. THE COURT: Sure. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Robinson, were you aware of the IHS bid packet to start this project? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 45 minutes and felt that that was a pretty important thing, the 45 minutes. How did you know to do that? A. Oh, I think it was you that told me or I don't recollect. Q. Okay. A. Somebody was there that told me that I had to. Q. Yeah. And that's perfectly fine. Would it surprise you to learn that the 503 requirements require that to be done within six hours? A. No. Q. Okay. And you did it within 45?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Robinson, you can come back and take the stand. We're back on record. Okay. Go right ahead. MR. PIERCE: Thank you, Your Honor. I appreciate you allowing me the break. THE COURT: Sure. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Robinson, were you aware of the IHS bid packet to start this project? A. No. Q. Did IHS ever contact you about utilizing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 45 minutes and felt that that was a pretty important thing, the 45 minutes. How did you know to do that? A. Oh, I think it was you that told me or I don't recollect. Q. Okay. A. Somebody was there that told me that I had to. Q. Yeah. And that's perfectly fine. Would it surprise you to learn that the 503 requirements require that to be done within six hours? A. No. Q. Okay. And you did it within 45? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Robinson, you can come back and take the stand. We're back on record. Okay. Go right ahead. MR. PIERCE: Thank you, Your Honor. I appreciate you allowing me the break. THE COURT: Sure. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Robinson, were you aware of the IHS bid packet to start this project? A. No. Q. Did IHS ever contact you about utilizing your land as part of their 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 45 minutes and felt that that was a pretty important thing, the 45 minutes. How did you know to do that? A. Oh, I think it was you that told me or I don't recollect. Q. Okay. A. Somebody was there that told me that I had to. Q. Yeah. And that's perfectly fine. Would it surprise you to learn that the 503 requirements require that to be done within six hours? A. No. Q. Okay. And you did it within 45? A. Yes. Q. So you exceeded the expectations of 503?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Robinson, you can come back and take the stand. We're back on record. Okay. Go right ahead. MR. PIERCE: Thank you, Your Honor. I appreciate you allowing me the break. THE COURT: Sure. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Robinson, were you aware of the IHS bid packet to start this project? A. No. Q. Did IHS ever contact you about utilizing your land as part of their A. Oh, yes. Yes, they did. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 45 minutes and felt that that was a pretty important thing, the 45 minutes. How did you know to do that? A. Oh, I think it was you that told me or I don't recollect. Q. Okay. A. Somebody was there that told me that I had to. Q. Yeah. And that's perfectly fine. Would it surprise you to learn that the 503 requirements require that to be done within six hours? A. No. Q. Okay. And you did it within 45? A. Yes. Q. So you exceeded the expectations of 503? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Robinson, you can come back and take the stand. We're back on record. Okay. Go right ahead. MR. PIERCE: Thank you, Your Honor. I appreciate you allowing me the break. THE COURT: Sure. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Robinson, were you aware of the IHS bid packet to start this project? A. No. Q. Did IHS ever contact you about utilizing your land as part of their A. Oh, yes. Yes, they did. Q. Okay. And I realize it's been a while, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 45 minutes and felt that that was a pretty important thing, the 45 minutes. How did you know to do that? A. Oh, I think it was you that told me or I don't recollect. Q. Okay. A. Somebody was there that told me that I had to. Q. Yeah. And that's perfectly fine. Would it surprise you to learn that the 503 requirements require that to be done within six hours? A. No. Q. Okay. And you did it within 45? A. Yes. Q. So you exceeded the expectations of 503? A. Yes. MR. PIERCE: Perfect. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Robinson, you can come back and take the stand. We're back on record. Okay. Go right ahead. MR. PIERCE: Thank you, Your Honor. I appreciate you allowing me the break. THE COURT: Sure. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Robinson, were you aware of the IHS bid packet to start this project? A. No. Q. Did IHS ever contact you about utilizing your land as part of their A. Oh, yes. Yes, they did. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 45 minutes and felt that that was a pretty important thing, the 45 minutes. How did you know to do that? A. Oh, I think it was you that told me or I don't recollect. Q. Okay. A. Somebody was there that told me that I had to. Q. Yeah. And that's perfectly fine. Would it surprise you to learn that the 503 requirements require that to be done within six hours? A. No. Q. Okay. And you did it within 45? A. Yes. Q. So you exceeded the expectations of 503? A. Yes. MR. PIERCE: Perfect. Thank you. THE COURT: I just want to ask you one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Robinson, you can come back and take the stand. We're back on record. Okay. Go right ahead. MR. PIERCE: Thank you, Your Honor. I appreciate you allowing me the break. THE COURT: Sure. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Robinson, were you aware of the IHS bid packet to start this project? A. No. Q. Did IHS ever contact you about utilizing your land as part of their A. Oh, yes. Yes, they did. Q. Okay. And I realize it's been a while, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 45 minutes and felt that that was a pretty important thing, the 45 minutes. How did you know to do that? A. Oh, I think it was you that told me or I don't recollect. Q. Okay. A. Somebody was there that told me that I had to. Q. Yeah. And that's perfectly fine. Would it surprise you to learn that the 503 requirements require that to be done within six hours? A. No. Q. Okay. And you did it within 45? A. Yes. Q. So you exceeded the expectations of 503? A. Yes. MR. PIERCE: Perfect. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Robinson, you can come back and take the stand. We're back on record. Okay. Go right ahead. MR. PIERCE: Thank you, Your Honor. I appreciate you allowing me the break. THE COURT: Sure. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Robinson, were you aware of the IHS bid packet to start this project? A. No. Q. Did IHS ever contact you about utilizing your land as part of their A. Oh, yes. Yes, they did. Q. Okay. And I realize it's been a while, so the recollection is a little hard there. Don't 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 45 minutes and felt that that was a pretty important thing, the 45 minutes. How did you know to do that? A. Oh, I think it was you that told me or I don't recollect. Q. Okay. A. Somebody was there that told me that I had to. Q. Yeah. And that's perfectly fine. Would it surprise you to learn that the 503 requirements require that to be done within six hours? A. No. Q. Okay. And you did it within 45? A. Yes. Q. So you exceeded the expectations of 503? A. Yes. MR. PIERCE: Perfect. Thank you. THE COURT: I just want to ask you one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Robinson, you can come back and take the stand. We're back on record. Okay. Go right ahead. MR. PIERCE: Thank you, Your Honor. I appreciate you allowing me the break. THE COURT: Sure. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Robinson, were you aware of the IHS bid packet to start this project? A. No. Q. Did IHS ever contact you about utilizing your land as part of their A. Oh, yes. Yes, they did. Q. Okay. And I realize it's been a while, so the recollection is a little hard there. Don't feel bad about that. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 45 minutes and felt that that was a pretty important thing, the 45 minutes. How did you know to do that? A. Oh, I think it was you that told me or I don't recollect. Q. Okay. A. Somebody was there that told me that I had to. Q. Yeah. And that's perfectly fine. Would it surprise you to learn that the 503 requirements require that to be done within six hours? A. No. Q. Okay. And you did it within 45? A. Yes. Q. So you exceeded the expectations of 503? A. Yes. MR. PIERCE: Perfect. Thank you. THE COURT: I just want to ask you one question. Do you remember I understand your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Robinson, you can come back and take the stand. We're back on record. Okay. Go right ahead. MR. PIERCE: Thank you, Your Honor. I appreciate you allowing me the break. THE COURT: Sure. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Robinson, were you aware of the IHS bid packet to start this project? A. No. Q. Did IHS ever contact you about utilizing your land as part of their A. Oh, yes. Yes, they did. Q. Okay. And I realize it's been a while, so the recollection is a little hard there. Don't feel bad about that. So when the project began, there was a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 45 minutes and felt that that was a pretty important thing, the 45 minutes. How did you know to do that? A. Oh, I think it was you that told me or I don't recollect. Q. Okay. A. Somebody was there that told me that I had to. Q. Yeah. And that's perfectly fine. Would it surprise you to learn that the 503 requirements require that to be done within six hours? A. No. Q. Okay. And you did it within 45? A. Yes. Q. So you exceeded the expectations of 503? A. Yes. MR. PIERCE: Perfect. Thank you. THE COURT: I just want to ask you one question. Do you remember I understand your testimony about tilling it into the soil. Do you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Robinson, you can come back and take the stand. We're back on record. Okay. Go right ahead. MR. PIERCE: Thank you, Your Honor. I appreciate you allowing me the break. THE COURT: Sure. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Robinson, were you aware of the IHS bid packet to start this project? A. No. Q. Did IHS ever contact you about utilizing your land as part of their A. Oh, yes. Yes, they did. Q. Okay. And I realize it's been a while, so the recollection is a little hard there. Don't feel bad about that. So when the project began, there was a meeting down at the Northern Cheyenne Utility 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 45 minutes and felt that that was a pretty important thing, the 45 minutes. How did you know to do that? A. Oh, I think it was you that told me or I don't recollect. Q. Okay. A. Somebody was there that told me that I had to. Q. Yeah. And that's perfectly fine. Would it surprise you to learn that the 503 requirements require that to be done within six hours? A. No. Q. Okay. And you did it within 45? A. Yes. Q. So you exceeded the expectations of 503? A. Yes. MR. PIERCE: Perfect. Thank you. THE COURT: I just want to ask you one question. Do you remember I understand your testimony about tilling it into the soil. Do you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Robinson, you can come back and take the stand. We're back on record. Okay. Go right ahead. MR. PIERCE: Thank you, Your Honor. I appreciate you allowing me the break. THE COURT: Sure. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Robinson, were you aware of the IHS bid packet to start this project? A. No. Q. Did IHS ever contact you about utilizing your land as part of their A. Oh, yes. Yes, they did. Q. Okay. And I realize it's been a while, so the recollection is a little hard there. Don't feel bad about that. So when the project began, there was a meeting down at the Northern Cheyenne Utility Commission that you attended; is that correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 45 minutes and felt that that was a pretty important thing, the 45 minutes. How did you know to do that? A. Oh, I think it was you that told me or I don't recollect. Q. Okay. A. Somebody was there that told me that I had to. Q. Yeah. And that's perfectly fine. Would it surprise you to learn that the 503 requirements require that to be done within six hours? A. No. Q. Okay. And you did it within 45? A. Yes. Q. So you exceeded the expectations of 503? A. Yes. MR. PIERCE: Perfect. Thank you. THE COURT: I just want to ask you one question. Do you remember I understand your testimony about tilling it into the soil. Do you remember who literally put it on the surface of the soil so that you could then go in and till it in?

98 (Pages 389 to 392)

	Page 393		Page 395
1	the soil?	1	THE COURT: And how about from you?
2	THE WITNESS: Ernie Sprague.	2	MR. PIERCE: No, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Okay. And do you have any do	3	THE COURT: All right. So he can be released
4	you recall and if you don't, just tell me. It's	4	from the subpoena to go on about his way?
5	fine. I understand it's going back quite some	5	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor.
6	time. Do you recall how often and I say how	6	THE COURT: Mr. Pierce?
7	often because I think you testified that at some	7	MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor.
8	point, you saw Mr. Pierce at your site.	8	THE COURT: All right. Thanks so much for
9	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.	9	appearing today and testifying.
10	THE COURT: I think you might have said a	10	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
11	couple of times?	11	THE COURT: Okay. So it's about 11:25. I
12	THE WITNESS: Yes.	12	think you have one witness left, Mr. Sprague?
13	THE COURT: Okay. So was it just a couple of	13	MR. MUEHLBERGER: That's correct, Your Honor.
14	times that you saw him or do you remember whether	14	THE COURT: Okay. Do you want to get started
15	he was there with any more regularity during the	15	with him or not?
16	course of the project?	16	MR. MUEHLBERGER: One second.
17	THE WITNESS: No. It was just a couple of	17	THE COURT: Okay.
18	times when we first got started.	18	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Complainant would like to
19	THE COURT: Okay. And when you say, "We	19	propose that we take our lunch break now.
20	first got started," tell me what that means in	20	THE COURT: Okay. Was an hour good for
21	terms of the stages of this project.	21	everybody, if we stick with that?
22	THE WITNESS: Oh, he had a big tanker truck	22	MR. MUEHLBERGER: That works.
23	come in, and it had some spray bars on there.	23	THE COURT: Okay, great. So we're at 11:25.
24	THE COURT: Okay.	24	I'm just going to call it 11:30. And how about we
25	THE WITNESS: And he was there. We tried	25	just come back at 12:30, okay?
	Page 394	1	Page 396
			rage 590
1	that first. It wouldn't work. It kept plugging	1	MR. MUEHLBERGER: That sounds good.
1 2	that first. It wouldn't work. It kept plugging up. Then after that he got Ernie Sprague to come	1 2	
	that first. It wouldn't work. It kept plugging up. Then after that he got Ernie Sprague to come in and dump it on.	1	MR. MUEHLBERGER: That sounds good. THE COURT: All right. See you all then. Have a good lunch.
2	that first. It wouldn't work. It kept plugging up. Then after that he got Ernie Sprague to come in and dump it on. THE COURT: Onto the soil?	2 3 4	MR. MUEHLBERGER: That sounds good. THE COURT: All right. See you all then. Have a good lunch. (Whereupon, a lunch recess was from taken
2 3	that first. It wouldn't work. It kept plugging up. Then after that he got Ernie Sprague to come in and dump it on. THE COURT: Onto the soil? THE WITNESS: Yes.	2 3 4 5	MR. MUEHLBERGER: That sounds good. THE COURT: All right. See you all then. Have a good lunch. (Whereupon, a lunch recess was from taken from 11:25 a.m. to 12:32 p.m.)
2 3 4 5 6	that first. It wouldn't work. It kept plugging up. Then after that he got Ernie Sprague to come in and dump it on. THE COURT: Onto the soil? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6	MR. MUEHLBERGER: That sounds good. THE COURT: All right. See you all then. Have a good lunch. (Whereupon, a lunch recess was from taken from 11:25 a.m. to 12:32 p.m.) AFTERNOON SESSION, WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 23, 2023
2 3 4 5 6 7	that first. It wouldn't work. It kept plugging up. Then after that he got Ernie Sprague to come in and dump it on. THE COURT: Onto the soil? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: Because that spray bar kept	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. MUEHLBERGER: That sounds good. THE COURT: All right. See you all then. Have a good lunch. (Whereupon, a lunch recess was from taken from 11:25 a.m. to 12:32 p.m.) AFTERNOON SESSION, WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 23, 2023 (Whereupon, the hearing reconvened at 12:32
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that first. It wouldn't work. It kept plugging up. Then after that he got Ernie Sprague to come in and dump it on. THE COURT: Onto the soil? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: Because that spray bar kept plugging up.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. MUEHLBERGER: That sounds good. THE COURT: All right. See you all then. Have a good lunch. (Whereupon, a lunch recess was from taken from 11:25 a.m. to 12:32 p.m.) AFTERNOON SESSION, WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 23, 2023 (Whereupon, the hearing reconvened at 12:32 p.m., with all interested parties present, and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that first. It wouldn't work. It kept plugging up. Then after that he got Ernie Sprague to come in and dump it on. THE COURT: Onto the soil? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: Because that spray bar kept plugging up. THE COURT: So	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. MUEHLBERGER: That sounds good. THE COURT: All right. See you all then. Have a good lunch. (Whereupon, a lunch recess was from taken from 11:25 a.m. to 12:32 p.m.) AFTERNOON SESSION, WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 23, 2023 (Whereupon, the hearing reconvened at 12:32 p.m., with all interested parties present, and the following proceedings were had:)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that first. It wouldn't work. It kept plugging up. Then after that he got Ernie Sprague to come in and dump it on. THE COURT: Onto the soil? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: Because that spray bar kept plugging up. THE COURT: So THE WITNESS: And he was there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. MUEHLBERGER: That sounds good. THE COURT: All right. See you all then. Have a good lunch. (Whereupon, a lunch recess was from taken from 11:25 a.m. to 12:32 p.m.) AFTERNOON SESSION, WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 23, 2023 (Whereupon, the hearing reconvened at 12:32 p.m., with all interested parties present, and the following proceedings were had:) THE COURT: Okay. We can go ahead and get on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that first. It wouldn't work. It kept plugging up. Then after that he got Ernie Sprague to come in and dump it on. THE COURT: Onto the soil? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: Because that spray bar kept plugging up. THE COURT: So THE WITNESS: And he was there THE WITNESS: And he was there THE COURT: Go ahead.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. MUEHLBERGER: That sounds good. THE COURT: All right. See you all then. Have a good lunch. (Whereupon, a lunch recess was from taken from 11:25 a.m. to 12:32 p.m.) AFTERNOON SESSION, WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 23, 2023 (Whereupon, the hearing reconvened at 12:32 p.m., with all interested parties present, and the following proceedings were had:) THE COURT: Okay. We can go ahead and get on record.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that first. It wouldn't work. It kept plugging up. Then after that he got Ernie Sprague to come in and dump it on. THE COURT: Onto the soil? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: Because that spray bar kept plugging up. THE COURT: So THE WITNESS: And he was there THE WITNESS: And he was there THE COURT: Go ahead. THE WITNESS: when that was going on.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. MUEHLBERGER: That sounds good. THE COURT: All right. See you all then. Have a good lunch. (Whereupon, a lunch recess was from taken from 11:25 a.m. to 12:32 p.m.) AFTERNOON SESSION, WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 23, 2023 (Whereupon, the hearing reconvened at 12:32 p.m., with all interested parties present, and the following proceedings were had:) THE COURT: Okay. We can go ahead and get on record. All right. We're back on record after a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 that first. It wouldn't work. It kept plugging up. Then after that he got Ernie Sprague to come in and dump it on. THE COURT: Onto the soil? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: Because that spray bar kept plugging up. THE COURT: So THE WITNESS: And he was there THE WITNESS: And he was there THE COURT: Go ahead. THE WITNESS: when that was going on. THE COURT: Okay. When Ernie Sprague was 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. MUEHLBERGER: That sounds good. THE COURT: All right. See you all then. Have a good lunch. (Whereupon, a lunch recess was from taken from 11:25 a.m. to 12:32 p.m.) AFTERNOON SESSION, WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 23, 2023 (Whereupon, the hearing reconvened at 12:32 p.m., with all interested parties present, and the following proceedings were had:) THE COURT: Okay. We can go ahead and get on record. All right. We're back on record after a lunch break. It's just I guess about 12:32, give
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that first. It wouldn't work. It kept plugging up. Then after that he got Ernie Sprague to come in and dump it on. THE COURT: Onto the soil? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: Because that spray bar kept plugging up. THE COURT: So THE WITNESS: And he was there THE WITNESS: And he was there THE COURT: Go ahead. THE WITNESS: when that was going on. THE COURT: Okay. When Ernie Sprague was there, too?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. MUEHLBERGER: That sounds good. THE COURT: All right. See you all then. Have a good lunch. (Whereupon, a lunch recess was from taken from 11:25 a.m. to 12:32 p.m.) AFTERNOON SESSION, WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 23, 2023 (Whereupon, the hearing reconvened at 12:32 p.m., with all interested parties present, and the following proceedings were had:) THE COURT: Okay. We can go ahead and get on record. All right. We're back on record after a lunch break. It's just I guess about 12:32, give or take. Parties are all back. And I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 that first. It wouldn't work. It kept plugging up. Then after that he got Ernie Sprague to come in and dump it on. THE COURT: Onto the soil? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: Because that spray bar kept plugging up. THE COURT: So THE WITNESS: And he was there THE WITNESS: And he was there THE COURT: Go ahead. THE WITNESS: when that was going on. THE COURT: Okay. When Ernie Sprague was there, too? THE WITNESS: Yeah. An inspector was there. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. MUEHLBERGER: That sounds good. THE COURT: All right. See you all then. Have a good lunch. (Whereupon, a lunch recess was from taken from 11:25 a.m. to 12:32 p.m.) AFTERNOON SESSION, WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 23, 2023 (Whereupon, the hearing reconvened at 12:32 p.m., with all interested parties present, and the following proceedings were had:) THE COURT: Okay. We can go ahead and get on record. All right. We're back on record after a lunch break. It's just I guess about 12:32, give or take. Parties are all back. And I think we're this is your final witness, complainant?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 that first. It wouldn't work. It kept plugging up. Then after that he got Ernie Sprague to come in and dump it on. THE COURT: Onto the soil? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: Because that spray bar kept plugging up. THE COURT: So THE WITNESS: And he was there THE COURT: Go ahead. THE WITNESS: when that was going on. THE COURT: Okay. When Ernie Sprague was there, too? THE WITNESS: Yeah. An inspector was there. THE COURT: Would that be Mr. Cummins? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. MUEHLBERGER: That sounds good. THE COURT: All right. See you all then. Have a good lunch. (Whereupon, a lunch recess was from taken from 11:25 a.m. to 12:32 p.m.) AFTERNOON SESSION, WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 23, 2023 (Whereupon, the hearing reconvened at 12:32 p.m., with all interested parties present, and the following proceedings were had:) THE COURT: Okay. We can go ahead and get on record. All right. We're back on record after a lunch break. It's just I guess about 12:32, give or take. Parties are all back. And I think we're this is your final witness, complainant? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 that first. It wouldn't work. It kept plugging up. Then after that he got Ernie Sprague to come in and dump it on. THE COURT: Onto the soil? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: Because that spray bar kept plugging up. THE COURT: So THE WITNESS: And he was there THE COURT: Go ahead. THE WITNESS: when that was going on. THE COURT: Okay. When Ernie Sprague was there, too? THE WITNESS: Yeah. An inspector was there. THE COURT: Would that be Mr. Cummins? THE WITNESS: Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. MUEHLBERGER: That sounds good. THE COURT: All right. See you all then. Have a good lunch. (Whereupon, a lunch recess was from taken from 11:25 a.m. to 12:32 p.m.) AFTERNOON SESSION, WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 23, 2023 (Whereupon, the hearing reconvened at 12:32 p.m., with all interested parties present, and the following proceedings were had:) THE COURT: Okay. We can go ahead and get on record. All right. We're back on record after a lunch break. It's just I guess about 12:32, give or take. Parties are all back. And I think we're this is your final witness, complainant? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Sprague. And I know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 that first. It wouldn't work. It kept plugging up. Then after that he got Ernie Sprague to come in and dump it on. THE COURT: Onto the soil? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: Because that spray bar kept plugging up. THE COURT: So THE WITNESS: And he was there THE COURT: Go ahead. THE WITNESS: when that was going on. THE COURT: Okay. When Ernie Sprague was there, too? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE WITNESS: Yes. THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Would that be Mr. Cummins? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Cummins was there, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. MUEHLBERGER: That sounds good. THE COURT: All right. See you all then. Have a good lunch. (Whereupon, a lunch recess was from taken from 11:25 a.m. to 12:32 p.m.) AFTERNOON SESSION, WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 23, 2023 (Whereupon, the hearing reconvened at 12:32 p.m., with all interested parties present, and the following proceedings were had:) THE COURT: Okay. We can go ahead and get on record. All right. We're back on record after a lunch break. It's just I guess about 12:32, give or take. Parties are all back. And I think we're this is your final witness, complainant? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Sprague. And I know that respondents plan to call Mr. Sprague as well.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 that first. It wouldn't work. It kept plugging up. Then after that he got Ernie Sprague to come in and dump it on. THE COURT: Onto the soil? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: Because that spray bar kept plugging up. THE COURT: So THE WITNESS: And he was there THE COURT: Go ahead. THE WITNESS: when that was going on. THE COURT: Okay. When Ernie Sprague was there, too? THE WITNESS: Yeah. An inspector was there. THE COURT: Would that be Mr. Cummins? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Cummins was there, then, when Mr. Sprague put the sludge on top of the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. MUEHLBERGER: That sounds good. THE COURT: All right. See you all then. Have a good lunch. (Whereupon, a lunch recess was from taken from 11:25 a.m. to 12:32 p.m.) AFTERNOON SESSION, WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 23, 2023 (Whereupon, the hearing reconvened at 12:32 p.m., with all interested parties present, and the following proceedings were had:) THE COURT: Okay. We can go ahead and get on record. All right. We're back on record after a lunch break. It's just I guess about 12:32, give or take. Parties are all back. And I think we're this is your final witness, complainant? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Sprague. And I know that respondents plan to call Mr. Sprague as well. If Mr. Sprague were you planning on remaining
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 that first. It wouldn't work. It kept plugging up. Then after that he got Ernie Sprague to come in and dump it on. THE COURT: Onto the soil? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: Because that spray bar kept plugging up. THE COURT: So THE WITNESS: And he was there THE WITNESS: And he was there THE COURT: Go ahead. THE WITNESS: when that was going on. THE COURT: Okay. When Ernie Sprague was there, too? THE WITNESS: Yeah. An inspector was there. THE COURT: Would that be Mr. Cummins? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Cummins was there, then, when Mr. Sprague put the sludge on top of the soil? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. MUEHLBERGER: That sounds good. THE COURT: All right. See you all then. Have a good lunch. (Whereupon, a lunch recess was from taken from 11:25 a.m. to 12:32 p.m.) AFTERNOON SESSION, WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 23, 2023 (Whereupon, the hearing reconvened at 12:32 p.m., with all interested parties present, and the following proceedings were had:) THE COURT: Okay. We can go ahead and get on record. All right. We're back on record after a lunch break. It's just I guess about 12:32, give or take. Parties are all back. And I think we're this is your final witness, complainant? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Sprague. And I know that respondents plan to call Mr. Sprague as well. If Mr. Sprague were you planning on remaining throughout the entire proceeding?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>that first. It wouldn't work. It kept plugging up. Then after that he got Ernie Sprague to come in and dump it on. THE COURT: Onto the soil? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: Because that spray bar kept plugging up. THE COURT: So THE WITNESS: And he was there THE WITNESS: And he was there THE WITNESS: when that was going on. THE COURT: Okay. When Ernie Sprague was there, too? THE WITNESS: Yeah. An inspector was there. THE COURT: Would that be Mr. Cummins? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Cummins was there, then, when Mr. Sprague put the sludge on top of the soil? THE WITNESS: Yes.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. MUEHLBERGER: That sounds good. THE COURT: All right. See you all then. Have a good lunch. (Whereupon, a lunch recess was from taken from 11:25 a.m. to 12:32 p.m.) AFTERNOON SESSION, WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 23, 2023 (Whereupon, the hearing reconvened at 12:32 p.m., with all interested parties present, and the following proceedings were had:) THE COURT: Okay. We can go ahead and get on record. All right. We're back on record after a lunch break. It's just I guess about 12:32, give or take. Parties are all back. And I think we're this is your final witness, complainant? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Sprague. And I know that respondents plan to call Mr. Sprague as well. If Mr. Sprague were you planning on remaining throughout the entire proceeding? THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 that first. It wouldn't work. It kept plugging up. Then after that he got Ernie Sprague to come in and dump it on. THE COURT: Onto the soil? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: Because that spray bar kept plugging up. THE COURT: So THE WITNESS: And he was there THE COURT: Go ahead. THE WITNESS: when that was going on. THE COURT: Okay. When Ernie Sprague was there, too? THE WITNESS: Yeah. An inspector was there. THE COURT: Would that be Mr. Cummins? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Cummins was there, then, when Mr. Sprague put the sludge on top of the soil? THE WITNESS: Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. MUEHLBERGER: That sounds good. THE COURT: All right. See you all then. Have a good lunch. (Whereupon, a lunch recess was from taken from 11:25 a.m. to 12:32 p.m.) AFTERNOON SESSION, WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 23, 2023 (Whereupon, the hearing reconvened at 12:32 p.m., with all interested parties present, and the following proceedings were had:) THE COURT: Okay. We can go ahead and get on record. All right. We're back on record after a lunch break. It's just I guess about 12:32, give or take. Parties are all back. And I think we're this is your final witness, complainant? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Sprague. And I know that respondents plan to call Mr. Sprague as well. If Mr. Sprague were you planning on remaining throughout the entire proceeding? THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: Okay. So if you want, we can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>that first. It wouldn't work. It kept plugging up. Then after that he got Ernie Sprague to come in and dump it on. THE COURT: Onto the soil? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: Because that spray bar kept plugging up. THE COURT: So THE WITNESS: And he was there THE WITNESS: And he was there THE COURT: Go ahead. THE WITNESS: when that was going on. THE COURT: Okay. When Ernie Sprague was there, too? THE WITNESS: Yeah. An inspector was there. THE WITNESS: Yeah. An inspector was there. THE COURT: Would that be Mr. Cummins? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Cummins was there, then, when Mr. Sprague put the sludge on top of the soil? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. That's helpful.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. MUEHLBERGER: That sounds good. THE COURT: All right. See you all then. Have a good lunch. (Whereupon, a lunch recess was from taken from 11:25 a.m. to 12:32 p.m.) AFTERNOON SESSION, WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 23, 2023 (Whereupon, the hearing reconvened at 12:32 p.m., with all interested parties present, and the following proceedings were had:) THE COURT: Okay. We can go ahead and get on record. All right. We're back on record after a lunch break. It's just I guess about 12:32, give or take. Parties are all back. And I think we're this is your final witness, complainant? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Sprague. And I know that respondents plan to call Mr. Sprague as well. If Mr. Sprague were you planning on remaining throughout the entire proceeding? THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: Okay. So if you want, we can just take you can recall him for the respondents
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<pre>that first. It wouldn't work. It kept plugging up. Then after that he got Ernie Sprague to come in and dump it on. THE COURT: Onto the soil? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: Because that spray bar kept plugging up. THE COURT: So THE WITNESS: And he was there THE WITNESS: And he was there THE COURT: Go ahead. THE WITNESS: when that was going on. THE COURT: Okay. When Ernie Sprague was there, too? THE WITNESS: Yeah. An inspector was there. THE WITNESS: Yeas. THE WITNESS: Yes. THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Cummins was there, then, when Mr. Sprague put the sludge on top of the soil? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. That's helpful. Any other questions for Mr. Robinson?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. MUEHLBERGER: That sounds good. THE COURT: All right. See you all then. Have a good lunch. (Whereupon, a lunch recess was from taken from 11:25 a.m. to 12:32 p.m.) AFTERNOON SESSION, WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 23, 2023 (Whereupon, the hearing reconvened at 12:32 p.m., with all interested parties present, and the following proceedings were had:) THE COURT: Okay. We can go ahead and get on record. All right. We're back on record after a lunch break. It's just I guess about 12:32, give or take. Parties are all back. And I think we're this is your final witness, complainant? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Sprague. And I know that respondents plan to call Mr. Sprague as well. If Mr. Sprague were you planning on remaining throughout the entire proceeding? THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: Okay. So if you want, we can just take you can recall him for the respondents when you want to elicit testimony or he can stay on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>that first. It wouldn't work. It kept plugging up. Then after that he got Ernie Sprague to come in and dump it on. THE COURT: Onto the soil? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: Because that spray bar kept plugging up. THE COURT: So THE WITNESS: And he was there THE WITNESS: And he was there THE COURT: Go ahead. THE WITNESS: when that was going on. THE COURT: Okay. When Ernie Sprague was there, too? THE WITNESS: Yeah. An inspector was there. THE WITNESS: Yeah. An inspector was there. THE COURT: Would that be Mr. Cummins? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Cummins was there, then, when Mr. Sprague put the sludge on top of the soil? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. That's helpful.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. MUEHLBERGER: That sounds good. THE COURT: All right. See you all then. Have a good lunch. (Whereupon, a lunch recess was from taken from 11:25 a.m. to 12:32 p.m.) AFTERNOON SESSION, WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 23, 2023 (Whereupon, the hearing reconvened at 12:32 p.m., with all interested parties present, and the following proceedings were had:) THE COURT: Okay. We can go ahead and get on record. All right. We're back on record after a lunch break. It's just I guess about 12:32, give or take. Parties are all back. And I think we're this is your final witness, complainant? MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Sprague. And I know that respondents plan to call Mr. Sprague as well. If Mr. Sprague were you planning on remaining throughout the entire proceeding? THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: Okay. So if you want, we can just take you can recall him for the respondents

99 (Pages 393 to 396)

Pac	ſe	39	7

	Page 397		Page 399
1	scope and all that. I don't mind being far more	1	So once the complainant rests, if you want to
2	relaxed about it, but it's really whatever's the	2	just go ahead and question him first so that he
3	greatest ease for all of you in terms of how you	3	could you know, I suppose depending on how long
4	present your case.	4	the testimony is, so that he could be released
5	MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I think the way that	5	today. Would you be open to that, Mr. Pierce?
6	we handled Mr. Robinson worked fine.	6	MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. I'll do
7	THE COURT: Okay. So he just takes the stand	7	everything I can to accommodate him.
8	once, and you all just ask the questions you want?	8	THE COURT: Okay. How long did you think you
9	MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor.	9	all would be questioning? Do you think it's
10	THE COURT: Okay. Does that work for you	10	reasonable that we could conclude with his
11	all?	11	testimony today if we did it in the normal course,
12	THE WITNESS: That's seems to be just fine.	12	but just took him out of turn with regard to
13	THE COURT: Okay. And for the complainant?	13	respondent's case?
14	MR. MUEHLBERGER: I'm sorry. Could you	14	MS. KACSUR: Yes, Your Honor.
15	please repeat what the process	15	THE COURT: Okay. Well, let's give that a
16	THE COURT: Yeah. No worries. Mr. Pierce	16	whirl and see just so that he doesn't need to be
17	just said that he thought the way it was handled	17	inconvenienced with another day here.
18	for Mr. Robinson was fine; that basically, the	18	Okay. All right. So we'll proceed with
19	witness would just take the stand once and you all	19	complainant calling him on behalf of your case in
20	would ask whatever questions you wanted since	20	the normal course. And then we'll see,
21	you're both calling him. Is that okay with you	21	Mr. Sprague, if then after you complete your
22	all?	22	testimony on behalf of complainant, you can maybe
23	MR. MUEHLBERGER: One minute, Your Honor,	23	just stay right there, and respondents will take
24	please.	24	you out of turn.
25	THE COURT: Sure.	25	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
	Page 398		5 400
	Iuge JJ0		Page 400
1		1	Page 400
1	MS. KACSUR: Your Honor?	1	THE COURT: Okay. Come on up and I'll place
2	MS. KACSUR: Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes.	2	THE COURT: Okay. Come on up and I'll place you under oath.
2 3	MS. KACSUR: Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. MS. KACSUR: The EPA would oh, thank	2 3	THE COURT: Okay. Come on up and I'll place you under oath. ERNIE SPRAGUE,
2 3 4	MS. KACSUR: Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. MS. KACSUR: The EPA would oh, thank you would like to request that we proceed with	2 3 4	THE COURT: Okay. Come on up and I'll place you under oath. ERNIE SPRAGUE, called as a witness on behalf of the complainant,
2 3 4 5	MS. KACSUR: Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. MS. KACSUR: The EPA would oh, thank you would like to request that we proceed with Mr. Sprague, I guess, in a more normal witness	2 3 4 5	THE COURT: Okay. Come on up and I'll place you under oath. ERNIE SPRAGUE,
2 3 4 5 6	MS. KACSUR: Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. MS. KACSUR: The EPA would oh, thank you would like to request that we proceed with Mr. Sprague, I guess, in a more normal witness presentation fashion, if that's all right, since he	2 3 4 5 6	THE COURT: Okay. Come on up and I'll place you under oath. ERNIE SPRAGUE, called as a witness on behalf of the complainant, having being first duly sworn testified as follows:
2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. KACSUR: Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. MS. KACSUR: The EPA would oh, thank you would like to request that we proceed with Mr. Sprague, I guess, in a more normal witness presentation fashion, if that's all right, since he does intend to be here for the remainder of the	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE COURT: Okay. Come on up and I'll place you under oath. ERNIE SPRAGUE, called as a witness on behalf of the complainant, having being first duly sworn testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. KACSUR: Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. MS. KACSUR: The EPA would oh, thank you would like to request that we proceed with Mr. Sprague, I guess, in a more normal witness presentation fashion, if that's all right, since he does intend to be here for the remainder of the hearing.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE COURT: Okay. Come on up and I'll place you under oath. ERNIE SPRAGUE, called as a witness on behalf of the complainant, having being first duly sworn testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. KACSUR: Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. MS. KACSUR: The EPA would oh, thank you would like to request that we proceed with Mr. Sprague, I guess, in a more normal witness presentation fashion, if that's all right, since he does intend to be here for the remainder of the hearing. THE COURT: That's fine.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE COURT: Okay. Come on up and I'll place you under oath. ERNIE SPRAGUE, called as a witness on behalf of the complainant, having being first duly sworn testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat. Okay. Please go ahead, Ms. Kacsur.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. KACSUR: Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. MS. KACSUR: The EPA would oh, thank you would like to request that we proceed with Mr. Sprague, I guess, in a more normal witness presentation fashion, if that's all right, since he does intend to be here for the remainder of the hearing. THE COURT: That's fine. THE WITNESS: I have a question. I don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE COURT: Okay. Come on up and I'll place you under oath. ERNIE SPRAGUE, called as a witness on behalf of the complainant, having being first duly sworn testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat. Okay. Please go ahead, Ms. Kacsur. DIRECT EXAMINATION
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. KACSUR: Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. MS. KACSUR: The EPA would oh, thank you would like to request that we proceed with Mr. Sprague, I guess, in a more normal witness presentation fashion, if that's all right, since he does intend to be here for the remainder of the hearing. THE COURT: That's fine. THE WITNESS: I have a question. I don't plan on being here tomorrow. I've already missed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE COURT: Okay. Come on up and I'll place you under oath. ERNIE SPRAGUE, called as a witness on behalf of the complainant, having being first duly sworn testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat. Okay. Please go ahead, Ms. Kacsur. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. KACSUR:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. KACSUR: Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. MS. KACSUR: The EPA would oh, thank you would like to request that we proceed with Mr. Sprague, I guess, in a more normal witness presentation fashion, if that's all right, since he does intend to be here for the remainder of the hearing. THE COURT: That's fine. THE WITNESS: I have a question. I don't plan on being here tomorrow. I've already missed several days of work. And I'll be here all day	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE COURT: Okay. Come on up and I'll place you under oath. ERNIE SPRAGUE, called as a witness on behalf of the complainant, having being first duly sworn testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat. Okay. Please go ahead, Ms. Kacsur. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Sprague. Can you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. KACSUR: Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. MS. KACSUR: The EPA would oh, thank you would like to request that we proceed with Mr. Sprague, I guess, in a more normal witness presentation fashion, if that's all right, since he does intend to be here for the remainder of the hearing. THE COURT: That's fine. THE WITNESS: I have a question. I don't plan on being here tomorrow. I've already missed several days of work. And I'll be here all day today.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE COURT: Okay. Come on up and I'll place you under oath. ERNIE SPRAGUE, called as a witness on behalf of the complainant, having being first duly sworn testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat. Okay. Please go ahead, Ms. Kacsur. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Sprague. Can you provide and spell your full name, please?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. KACSUR: Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. MS. KACSUR: The EPA would oh, thank you would like to request that we proceed with Mr. Sprague, I guess, in a more normal witness presentation fashion, if that's all right, since he does intend to be here for the remainder of the hearing. THE COURT: That's fine. THE WITNESS: I have a question. I don't plan on being here tomorrow. I've already missed several days of work. And I'll be here all day today. THE COURT: Okay. Well	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE COURT: Okay. Come on up and I'll place you under oath. ERNIE SPRAGUE, called as a witness on behalf of the complainant, having being first duly sworn testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat. Okay. Please go ahead, Ms. Kacsur. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Sprague. Can you provide and spell your full name, please? A. Yes. My name is Ernie Sprague. It's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. KACSUR: Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. MS. KACSUR: The EPA would oh, thank you would like to request that we proceed with Mr. Sprague, I guess, in a more normal witness presentation fashion, if that's all right, since he does intend to be here for the remainder of the hearing. THE COURT: That's fine. THE WITNESS: I have a question. I don't plan on being here tomorrow. I've already missed several days of work. And I'll be here all day today. THE COURT: Okay. Well THE WITNESS: If this goes into tomorrow,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE COURT: Okay. Come on up and I'll place you under oath. ERNIE SPRAGUE, called as a witness on behalf of the complainant, having being first duly sworn testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat. Okay. Please go ahead, Ms. Kacsur. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Sprague. Can you provide and spell your full name, please? A. Yes. My name is Ernie Sprague. It's E-R-N-I-E, S-P-R-A-G-U-E.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. KACSUR: Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. MS. KACSUR: The EPA would oh, thank you would like to request that we proceed with Mr. Sprague, I guess, in a more normal witness presentation fashion, if that's all right, since he does intend to be here for the remainder of the hearing. THE COURT: That's fine. THE WITNESS: I have a question. I don't plan on being here tomorrow. I've already missed several days of work. And I'll be here all day today. THE COURT: Okay. Well THE WITNESS: If this goes into tomorrow, that's fine. But I was supposed to testify	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE COURT: Okay. Come on up and I'll place you under oath. ERNIE SPRAGUE, called as a witness on behalf of the complainant, having being first duly sworn testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat. Okay. Please go ahead, Ms. Kacsur. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Sprague. Can you provide and spell your full name, please? A. Yes. My name is Ernie Sprague. It's E-R-N-I-E, S-P-R-A-G-U-E. Q. Can you describe your line of work,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. KACSUR: Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. MS. KACSUR: The EPA would oh, thank you would like to request that we proceed with Mr. Sprague, I guess, in a more normal witness presentation fashion, if that's all right, since he does intend to be here for the remainder of the hearing. THE COURT: That's fine. THE WITNESS: I have a question. I don't plan on being here tomorrow. I've already missed several days of work. And I'll be here all day today. THE COURT: Okay. Well THE WITNESS: If this goes into tomorrow, that's fine. But I was supposed to testify yesterday. So if we could do it the way we did it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 THE COURT: Okay. Come on up and I'll place you under oath. ERNIE SPRAGUE, called as a witness on behalf of the complainant, having being first duly sworn testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat. Okay. Please go ahead, Ms. Kacsur. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Sprague. Can you provide and spell your full name, please? A. Yes. My name is Ernie Sprague. It's E-R-N-I-E, S-P-R-A-G-U-E. Q. Can you describe your line of work, Mr. Sprague?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. KACSUR: Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. MS. KACSUR: The EPA would oh, thank you would like to request that we proceed with Mr. Sprague, I guess, in a more normal witness presentation fashion, if that's all right, since he does intend to be here for the remainder of the hearing. THE COURT: That's fine. THE WITNESS: I have a question. I don't plan on being here tomorrow. I've already missed several days of work. And I'll be here all day today. THE COURT: Okay. Well THE WITNESS: If this goes into tomorrow, that's fine. But I was supposed to testify yesterday. So if we could do it the way we did it with Mr. Robinson, I would be more than happy.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE COURT: Okay. Come on up and I'll place you under oath. ERNIE SPRAGUE, called as a witness on behalf of the complainant, having being first duly sworn testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat. Okay. Please go ahead, Ms. Kacsur. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Sprague. Can you provide and spell your full name, please? A. Yes. My name is Ernie Sprague. It's E-R-N-I-E, S-P-R-A-G-U-E. Q. Can you describe your line of work, Mr. Sprague? A. Yes. I am a production supervisor at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. KACSUR: Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. MS. KACSUR: The EPA would oh, thank you would like to request that we proceed with Mr. Sprague, I guess, in a more normal witness presentation fashion, if that's all right, since he does intend to be here for the remainder of the hearing. THE COURT: That's fine. THE WITNESS: I have a question. I don't plan on being here tomorrow. I've already missed several days of work. And I'll be here all day today. THE COURT: Okay. Well THE WITNESS: If this goes into tomorrow, that's fine. But I was supposed to testify yesterday. So if we could do it the way we did it with Mr. Robinson, I would be more than happy. THE COURT: Well, I think you know, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 THE COURT: Okay. Come on up and I'll place you under oath. ERNIE SPRAGUE, called as a witness on behalf of the complainant, having being first duly sworn testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat. Okay. Please go ahead, Ms. Kacsur. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Sprague. Can you provide and spell your full name, please? A. Yes. My name is Ernie Sprague. It's E-R-N-I-E, S-P-R-A-G-U-E. Q. Can you describe your line of work, Mr. Sprague? A. Yes. I am a production supervisor at the Colstrip coal mine as my main job. I also own
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. KACSUR: Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. MS. KACSUR: The EPA would oh, thank you would like to request that we proceed with Mr. Sprague, I guess, in a more normal witness presentation fashion, if that's all right, since he does intend to be here for the remainder of the hearing. THE COURT: That's fine. THE WITNESS: I have a question. I don't plan on being here tomorrow. I've already missed several days of work. And I'll be here all day today. THE COURT: Okay. Well THE WITNESS: If this goes into tomorrow, that's fine. But I was supposed to testify yesterday. So if we could do it the way we did it with Mr. Robinson, I would be more than happy. THE COURT: Well, I think you know, I think we might be able to accommodate it for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 THE COURT: Okay. Come on up and I'll place you under oath. ERNIE SPRAGUE, called as a witness on behalf of the complainant, having being first duly sworn testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat. Okay. Please go ahead, Ms. Kacsur. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Sprague. Can you provide and spell your full name, please? A. Yes. My name is Ernie Sprague. It's E-R-N-I-E, S-P-R-A-G-U-E. Q. Can you describe your line of work, Mr. Sprague? A. Yes. I am a production supervisor at the Colstrip coal mine as my main job. I also own and operate D&R Disposal, which is a septic tank
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. KACSUR: Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. MS. KACSUR: The EPA would oh, thank you would like to request that we proceed with Mr. Sprague, I guess, in a more normal witness presentation fashion, if that's all right, since he does intend to be here for the remainder of the hearing. THE COURT: That's fine. THE WITNESS: I have a question. I don't plan on being here tomorrow. I've already missed several days of work. And I'll be here all day today. THE COURT: Okay. Well THE WITNESS: If this goes into tomorrow, that's fine. But I was supposed to testify yesterday. So if we could do it the way we did it with Mr. Robinson, I would be more than happy. THE COURT: Well, I think you know, I think we might be able to accommodate it for everybody, and that we still have a good chunk of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 THE COURT: Okay. Come on up and I'll place you under oath. ERNIE SPRAGUE, called as a witness on behalf of the complainant, having being first duly sworn testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat. Okay. Please go ahead, Ms. Kacsur. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Sprague. Can you provide and spell your full name, please? A. Yes. My name is Ernie Sprague. It's E-R-N-I-E, S-P-R-A-G-U-E. Q. Can you describe your line of work, Mr. Sprague? A. Yes. I am a production supervisor at the Colstrip coal mine as my main job. I also own and operate D&R Disposal, which is a septic tank and a porta-potty rental business.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. KACSUR: Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. MS. KACSUR: The EPA would oh, thank you would like to request that we proceed with Mr. Sprague, I guess, in a more normal witness presentation fashion, if that's all right, since he does intend to be here for the remainder of the hearing. THE COURT: That's fine. THE WITNESS: I have a question. I don't plan on being here tomorrow. I've already missed several days of work. And I'll be here all day today. THE COURT: Okay. Well THE WITNESS: If this goes into tomorrow, that's fine. But I was supposed to testify yesterday. So if we could do it the way we did it with Mr. Robinson, I would be more than happy. THE COURT: Well, I think you know, I think we might be able to accommodate it for everybody, and that we still have a good chunk of time today.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 THE COURT: Okay. Come on up and I'll place you under oath. ERNIE SPRAGUE, called as a witness on behalf of the complainant, having being first duly sworn testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat. Okay. Please go ahead, Ms. Kacsur. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Sprague. Can you provide and spell your full name, please? A. Yes. My name is Ernie Sprague. It's E-R-N-I-E, S-P-R-A-G-U-E. Q. Can you describe your line of work, Mr. Sprague? A. Yes. I am a production supervisor at the Colstrip coal mine as my main job. I also own and operate D&R Disposal, which is a septic tank and a porta-potty rental business. Q. Have you ever worked in the area of land
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. KACSUR: Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. MS. KACSUR: The EPA would oh, thank you would like to request that we proceed with Mr. Sprague, I guess, in a more normal witness presentation fashion, if that's all right, since he does intend to be here for the remainder of the hearing. THE COURT: That's fine. THE WITNESS: I have a question. I don't plan on being here tomorrow. I've already missed several days of work. And I'll be here all day today. THE COURT: Okay. Well THE WITNESS: If this goes into tomorrow, that's fine. But I was supposed to testify yesterday. So if we could do it the way we did it with Mr. Robinson, I would be more than happy. THE COURT: Well, I think you know, I think we might be able to accommodate it for everybody, and that we still have a good chunk of time today. THE WITNESS: Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 THE COURT: Okay. Come on up and I'll place you under oath. ERNIE SPRAGUE, called as a witness on behalf of the complainant, having being first duly sworn testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat. Okay. Please go ahead, Ms. Kacsur. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Sprague. Can you provide and spell your full name, please? A. Yes. My name is Ernie Sprague. It's E-R-N-I-E, S-P-R-A-G-U-E. Q. Can you describe your line of work, Mr. Sprague? A. Yes. I am a production supervisor at the Colstrip coal mine as my main job. I also own and operate D&R Disposal, which is a septic tank and a porta-potty rental business. Q. Have you ever worked in the area of land application of sewage sludge?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. KACSUR: Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. MS. KACSUR: The EPA would oh, thank you would like to request that we proceed with Mr. Sprague, I guess, in a more normal witness presentation fashion, if that's all right, since he does intend to be here for the remainder of the hearing. THE COURT: That's fine. THE WITNESS: I have a question. I don't plan on being here tomorrow. I've already missed several days of work. And I'll be here all day today. THE COURT: Okay. Well THE WITNESS: If this goes into tomorrow, that's fine. But I was supposed to testify yesterday. So if we could do it the way we did it with Mr. Robinson, I would be more than happy. THE COURT: Well, I think you know, I think we might be able to accommodate it for everybody, and that we still have a good chunk of time today.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 THE COURT: Okay. Come on up and I'll place you under oath. ERNIE SPRAGUE, called as a witness on behalf of the complainant, having being first duly sworn testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat. Okay. Please go ahead, Ms. Kacsur. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Sprague. Can you provide and spell your full name, please? A. Yes. My name is Ernie Sprague. It's E-R-N-I-E, S-P-R-A-G-U-E. Q. Can you describe your line of work, Mr. Sprague? A. Yes. I am a production supervisor at the Colstrip coal mine as my main job. I also own and operate D&R Disposal, which is a septic tank and a porta-potty rental business. Q. Have you ever worked in the area of land

100 (Pages 397 to 400)

	Page 401		Page 403
1	A. Before this application, no.	1	one that Mr. Pierce called you about to do, or have
2	Q. Do you know Mr. Nathan Pierce?	2	you done other projects in the past such that you
3	A. Yes, I do.	3	know what a process would be, generally?
4	Q. And how do you know Mr. Pierce?	4	THE WITNESS: I've worked around them, Your
5	A. Well, Mr. Pierce contacted me about the	5	Honor. This is the first one I've actually been
6	job that we're speaking about.	6	on.
7	Q. Can you describe this job that you're	7	THE COURT: Okay. So you feel you have
8	referring to?	8	personal knowledge to be able to
9	A. Yes. It is removal of sludge waste from	9	THE WITNESS: Yeah. I have
10	the Lame Deer Lagoon and transferring it to the	10	THE COURT: speak to what the process is
11	property seven and a half miles west of Lame Deer	11	generally?
12	owned by Northern Cheyenne Reservation and leased	12	THE WITNESS: some knowledge to speak
13	by Tom Robinson.	13	THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. Can you just wait
14	Q. Can you describe for us just in some	14	until the judge is finished?
15	detail all of the steps involved with a project	15	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
16	like this, all of the processes that sewage sludge	16	THE COURT: Yeah. The transcript will be a
17	would go through?	17	mess. I know you've been listening to a lot and
18	A. All the processes that it would go	18	you probably have a lot to say. And I'm going to
19	through or could go through? Because	19	hear everything you want to tell me. We just have
20	Q. Did go through.	20	to do it in a very clear order.
21	A a lot of the testimony is saying	21	So do you feel you have enough personal
22	stuff that it could go through.	22	knowledge to be able to testify about a process
23	Q. I'll correct my question.	23	generally, independent of what happened
24	A. But this is exactly what happened. Mr.	24	particularly here?
25	Adamas	25	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
	Page 402		Page 404
1	THE COURT: Okay. Hold on just one second.	1	THE COURT: Okay. Then I'm going to overrule
2	I think that's what she's about to ask you, but let	2	the objection, and you can go ahead and answer.
3	her rephrase her question.	3	THE WITNESS: Okay. So the sludge or the

2	I think that's what she's about to ask you, but let	2	the objection, and you can go ahead and answer.
3	her rephrase her question.	3	THE WITNESS: Okay. So the sludge or the
4	Go ahead, Ms. Kacsur.	4	lagoons can be pumped out and put into frack tanks
5	MS. KACSUR: What I was getting at was just	5	like Mr. Adamas had done and then spread on the
б	more generally, I guess, what a land application	6	fields in a liquified form, or they can be pumped
7	project looks like.	7	out and put into draining tanks where they can be
8	THE COURT: Not specific to this case?	8	turned into sludge form and then dumped in a dump
9	MS. KACSUR: Not specific to this case, no.	9	like previous witnesses spoke about where that
10	THE WITNESS: So you want me to answer what	10	sewage is in absolute solid form. And it can go to
11	could happen, not what did happen?	11	a Class 2 dump according to the state of Montana.
12	MS. KACSUR: Yes. Let's start with what	12	MS. KACSUR: Thank you.
13	could happen.	13	THE WITNESS: That is not what that was
14	THE WITNESS: Okay. There's several things	14	not solids that my my truck is incapable of
15	that could happen. One	15	hauling solids like that.
16	MR. PIERCE: Your Honor	16	BY MS. KACSUR:
17	THE COURT: Hold on. Hold on. I can't hear	17	Q. Thank you.
18	you.	18	Did you now speaking more
19	MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, it calls for	19	specifically about this project, did you
20	speculation on behalf of the witness. So I object.	20	participate in the preparation of the sewage sludge
21	THE COURT: Okay. I did have kind of a	21	prior to it being transported?
22	threshold question before he responds, potentially.	22	A. No.
23	But I need to rule.	23	Q. Were you sent an information request
24	Was this the only sewage sludge	24	letter from the EPA?
25	application project you've worked on, meaning the	25	A. Yes.

101 (Pages 401 to 404)

	Page 405		Page 407
1	Q. And did you provide a response to that	1	ten-mile-an-hour speed, where to dump, you know,
2	letter?	2	whether it's going to be on pre-tilled or
3	A. Yes, I did.	3	post-tilled
4	MS. KACSUR: Let's show CX42, please.	4	THE COURT: Okay.
5	BY MS. KACSUR:	5	THE WITNESS: stuff.
6	Q. Do you recognize this document?	6	THE COURT: And who gave those instructions?
7	A. Yes, I do.	7	THE WITNESS: George Cummins and Mr. Nathan.
8	Q. What is this document?	8	They were both there.
9	A. This is the response that I sent to the	9	•
10			THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
	EPA for that request.	10	Go ahead, Ms. Kacsur.
11	Q. Can I have you read if we'll go down	11	MS. KACSUR: Thank you.
12	on the page a bit.	12	BY MS. KACSUR:
13	A. Start right there at 8/9?	13	Q. Can we go to the next page, please.
14	Q. Yes, please. Read the highlighted text	14	A. Sure.
15	there.	15	Q. This is also CX42, et. page 3.
16	A. Yes. It says, "On $8/9/19$ " which is a	16	And can I have you read that highlighted
17	typo. It's meant to be 8/9/18 I showed up for	17	line as well?
18	work. I met Nathan with Adamas Construction. He	18	A. Yes. It says, "Nathan and his team were
19	showed me five frack tanks he wanted offloaded.	19	on both ends of the job. I saw them taking samples
20	Within a few minutes, a man arrived and introduced	20	and moving pipe, etc."
21	himself as George Cummins with Indian Health	21	Q. Who did you take directions from during
22	Services and Tribal Utilities Commission, and that	22	this project?
23	he was representing the tribe. There were several	23	A. I took directions from both Nathan
24	other tribal workers, too. Another was James	24	Pierce and George Cummins. George Cummins made
25	Bement from Tribal Utilities. The others I did not	25	it very clear that he was representing I want
	Page 406		Page 408
1	know, and they did not introduce themselves. I	1	
1 2	know, and they did not introduce themselves. I then went to work offloading my first load. After	1	to clarify something here. There's been a lot
	then went to work offloading my first load. After	1 2 3	to clarify something here. There's been a lot of what do I want to say just initials thrown
2	then went to work offloading my first load. After I was loaded, the whole group of us drove out to	2	to clarify something here. There's been a lot of what do I want to say just initials thrown around. NC NCUC, NCUC, ISS [sic], all this. He
2 3 4	then went to work offloading my first load. After I was loaded, the whole group of us drove out to Tom Robinson's place where we found Tom pre-tilling	2 3 4	to clarify something here. There's been a lot of what do I want to say just initials thrown around. NC NCUC, NCUC, ISS [sic], all this. He said that he was in charge of ICUC [sic] and IHS,
2 3	then went to work offloading my first load. After I was loaded, the whole group of us drove out to Tom Robinson's place where we found Tom pre-tilling the field with a tractor and a disk. Some	2 3	to clarify something here. There's been a lot of what do I want to say just initials thrown around. NC NCUC, NCUC, ISS [sic], all this. He said that he was in charge of ICUC [sic] and IHS, he was representing them both, and that Mr. Pierce
2 3 4 5	then went to work offloading my first load. After I was loaded, the whole group of us drove out to Tom Robinson's place where we found Tom pre-tilling the field with a tractor and a disk. Some instructions [sic] were made. I made a test run	2 3 4 5 6	to clarify something here. There's been a lot of what do I want to say just initials thrown around. NC NCUC, NCUC, ISS [sic], all this. He said that he was in charge of ICUC [sic] and IHS, he was representing them both, and that Mr. Pierce was a subcontractor was a contractor. So I
2 3 4 5 6 7	then went to work offloading my first load. After I was loaded, the whole group of us drove out to Tom Robinson's place where we found Tom pre-tilling the field with a tractor and a disk. Some instructions [sic] were made. I made a test run while they walked along beside the truck, and it	2 3 4 5 6 7	to clarify something here. There's been a lot of what do I want to say just initials thrown around. NC NCUC, NCUC, ISS [sic], all this. He said that he was in charge of ICUC [sic] and IHS, he was representing them both, and that Mr. Pierce was a subcontractor was a contractor. So I took and they had a meeting previous that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	then went to work offloading my first load. After I was loaded, the whole group of us drove out to Tom Robinson's place where we found Tom pre-tilling the field with a tractor and a disk. Some instructions [sic] were made. I made a test run while they walked along beside the truck, and it was determined that I should maintain a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to clarify something here. There's been a lot of what do I want to say just initials thrown around. NC NCUC, NCUC, ISS [sic], all this. He said that he was in charge of ICUC [sic] and IHS, he was representing them both, and that Mr. Pierce was a subcontractor was a contractor. So I took and they had a meeting previous that Mr. Tom Robinson spoke about, and Tom said I was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	then went to work offloading my first load. After I was loaded, the whole group of us drove out to Tom Robinson's place where we found Tom pre-tilling the field with a tractor and a disk. Some instructions [sic] were made. I made a test run while they walked along beside the truck, and it was determined that I should maintain a ten-mile-an-hour speed. Some more rules were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to clarify something here. There's been a lot of what do I want to say just initials thrown around. NC NCUC, NCUC, ISS [sic], all this. He said that he was in charge of ICUC [sic] and IHS, he was representing them both, and that Mr. Pierce was a subcontractor was a contractor. So I took and they had a meeting previous that Mr. Tom Robinson spoke about, and Tom said I was not there. He was correct. I was not able to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	then went to work offloading my first load. After I was loaded, the whole group of us drove out to Tom Robinson's place where we found Tom pre-tilling the field with a tractor and a disk. Some instructions [sic] were made. I made a test run while they walked along beside the truck, and it was determined that I should maintain a ten-mile-an-hour speed. Some more rules were given. One was to stay on top of Tom's disk marks.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	to clarify something here. There's been a lot of what do I want to say just initials thrown around. NC NCUC, NCUC, ISS [sic], all this. He said that he was in charge of ICUC [sic] and IHS, he was representing them both, and that Mr. Pierce was a subcontractor was a contractor. So I took and they had a meeting previous that Mr. Tom Robinson spoke about, and Tom said I was not there. He was correct. I was not able to attend that meeting where they went over the rates
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	then went to work offloading my first load. After I was loaded, the whole group of us drove out to Tom Robinson's place where we found Tom pre-tilling the field with a tractor and a disk. Some instructions [sic] were made. I made a test run while they walked along beside the truck, and it was determined that I should maintain a ten-mile-an-hour speed. Some more rules were given. One was to stay on top of Tom's disk marks. That was soon changed to inside his marks. This	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	to clarify something here. There's been a lot of what do I want to say just initials thrown around. NC NCUC, NCUC, ISS [sic], all this. He said that he was in charge of ICUC [sic] and IHS, he was representing them both, and that Mr. Pierce was a subcontractor was a contractor. So I took and they had a meeting previous that Mr. Tom Robinson spoke about, and Tom said I was not there. He was correct. I was not able to attend that meeting where they went over the rates and all who was going to be involved. But when I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	then went to work offloading my first load. After I was loaded, the whole group of us drove out to Tom Robinson's place where we found Tom pre-tilling the field with a tractor and a disk. Some instructions [sic] were made. I made a test run while they walked along beside the truck, and it was determined that I should maintain a ten-mile-an-hour speed. Some more rules were given. One was to stay on top of Tom's disk marks. That was soon changed to inside his marks. This was easy because we were working from the outside	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	to clarify something here. There's been a lot of what do I want to say just initials thrown around. NC NCUC, NCUC, ISS [sic], all this. He said that he was in charge of ICUC [sic] and IHS, he was representing them both, and that Mr. Pierce was a subcontractor was a contractor. So I took and they had a meeting previous that Mr. Tom Robinson spoke about, and Tom said I was not there. He was correct. I was not able to attend that meeting where they went over the rates and all who was going to be involved. But when I showed up on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	then went to work offloading my first load. After I was loaded, the whole group of us drove out to Tom Robinson's place where we found Tom pre-tilling the field with a tractor and a disk. Some instructions [sic] were made. I made a test run while they walked along beside the truck, and it was determined that I should maintain a ten-mile-an-hour speed. Some more rules were given. One was to stay on top of Tom's disk marks. That was soon changed to inside his marks. This was easy because we were working from the outside in.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to clarify something here. There's been a lot of what do I want to say just initials thrown around. NC NCUC, NCUC, ISS [sic], all this. He said that he was in charge of ICUC [sic] and IHS, he was representing them both, and that Mr. Pierce was a subcontractor was a contractor. So I took and they had a meeting previous that Mr. Tom Robinson spoke about, and Tom said I was not there. He was correct. I was not able to attend that meeting where they went over the rates and all who was going to be involved. But when I showed up on THE COURT: Now were you referring to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	then went to work offloading my first load. After I was loaded, the whole group of us drove out to Tom Robinson's place where we found Tom pre-tilling the field with a tractor and a disk. Some instructions [sic] were made. I made a test run while they walked along beside the truck, and it was determined that I should maintain a ten-mile-an-hour speed. Some more rules were given. One was to stay on top of Tom's disk marks. That was soon changed to inside his marks. This was easy because we were working from the outside in. THE COURT: Hold on just one second. You had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to clarify something here. There's been a lot of what do I want to say just initials thrown around. NC NCUC, NCUC, ISS [sic], all this. He said that he was in charge of ICUC [sic] and IHS, he was representing them both, and that Mr. Pierce was a subcontractor was a contractor. So I took and they had a meeting previous that Mr. Tom Robinson spoke about, and Tom said I was not there. He was correct. I was not able to attend that meeting where they went over the rates and all who was going to be involved. But when I showed up on THE COURT: Now were you referring to the pre-construction meeting that you were not at?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	then went to work offloading my first load. After I was loaded, the whole group of us drove out to Tom Robinson's place where we found Tom pre-tilling the field with a tractor and a disk. Some instructions [sic] were made. I made a test run while they walked along beside the truck, and it was determined that I should maintain a ten-mile-an-hour speed. Some more rules were given. One was to stay on top of Tom's disk marks. That was soon changed to inside his marks. This was easy because we were working from the outside in. THE COURT: Hold on just one second. You had said some instructions were made. So I'm reading	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to clarify something here. There's been a lot of what do I want to say just initials thrown around. NC NCUC, NCUC, ISS [sic], all this. He said that he was in charge of ICUC [sic] and IHS, he was representing them both, and that Mr. Pierce was a subcontractor was a contractor. So I took and they had a meeting previous that Mr. Tom Robinson spoke about, and Tom said I was not there. He was correct. I was not able to attend that meeting where they went over the rates and all who was going to be involved. But when I showed up on THE COURT: Now were you referring to the pre-construction meeting that you were not at? THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	then went to work offloading my first load. After I was loaded, the whole group of us drove out to Tom Robinson's place where we found Tom pre-tilling the field with a tractor and a disk. Some instructions [sic] were made. I made a test run while they walked along beside the truck, and it was determined that I should maintain a ten-mile-an-hour speed. Some more rules were given. One was to stay on top of Tom's disk marks. That was soon changed to inside his marks. This was easy because we were working from the outside in. THE COURT: Hold on just one second. You had said some instructions were made. So I'm reading introductions. Is "introductions" a typo?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to clarify something here. There's been a lot of what do I want to say just initials thrown around. NC NCUC, NCUC, ISS [sic], all this. He said that he was in charge of ICUC [sic] and IHS, he was representing them both, and that Mr. Pierce was a subcontractor was a contractor. So I took and they had a meeting previous that Mr. Tom Robinson spoke about, and Tom said I was not there. He was correct. I was not able to attend that meeting where they went over the rates and all who was going to be involved. But when I showed up on THE COURT: Now were you referring to the pre-construction meeting that you were not at? THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. THE COURT: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	then went to work offloading my first load. After I was loaded, the whole group of us drove out to Tom Robinson's place where we found Tom pre-tilling the field with a tractor and a disk. Some instructions [sic] were made. I made a test run while they walked along beside the truck, and it was determined that I should maintain a ten-mile-an-hour speed. Some more rules were given. One was to stay on top of Tom's disk marks. That was soon changed to inside his marks. This was easy because we were working from the outside in. THE COURT: Hold on just one second. You had said some instructions were made. So I'm reading introductions. Is "introductions" a typo? THE WITNESS: Excuse me? Yeah, some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to clarify something here. There's been a lot of what do I want to say just initials thrown around. NC NCUC, NCUC, ISS [sic], all this. He said that he was in charge of ICUC [sic] and IHS, he was representing them both, and that Mr. Pierce was a subcontractor was a contractor. So I took and they had a meeting previous that Mr. Tom Robinson spoke about, and Tom said I was not there. He was correct. I was not able to attend that meeting where they went over the rates and all who was going to be involved. But when I showed up on THE COURT: Now were you referring to the pre-construction meeting that you were not at? THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: I was not I was not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	then went to work offloading my first load. After I was loaded, the whole group of us drove out to Tom Robinson's place where we found Tom pre-tilling the field with a tractor and a disk. Some instructions [sic] were made. I made a test run while they walked along beside the truck, and it was determined that I should maintain a ten-mile-an-hour speed. Some more rules were given. One was to stay on top of Tom's disk marks. That was soon changed to inside his marks. This was easy because we were working from the outside in. THE COURT: Hold on just one second. You had said some instructions were made. So I'm reading introductions. Is "introductions" a typo? THE WITNESS: Excuse me? Yeah, some introductions were made.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to clarify something here. There's been a lot of what do I want to say just initials thrown around. NC NCUC, NCUC, ISS [sic], all this. He said that he was in charge of ICUC [sic] and IHS, he was representing them both, and that Mr. Pierce was a subcontractor was a contractor. So I took and they had a meeting previous that Mr. Tom Robinson spoke about, and Tom said I was not there. He was correct. I was not able to attend that meeting where they went over the rates and all who was going to be involved. But when I showed up on THE COURT: Now were you referring to the pre-construction meeting that you were not at? THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. THE WITNESS: I was not I was not available to attend that because of my other job.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	then went to work offloading my first load. After I was loaded, the whole group of us drove out to Tom Robinson's place where we found Tom pre-tilling the field with a tractor and a disk. Some instructions [sic] were made. I made a test run while they walked along beside the truck, and it was determined that I should maintain a ten-mile-an-hour speed. Some more rules were given. One was to stay on top of Tom's disk marks. That was soon changed to inside his marks. This was easy because we were working from the outside in. THE COURT: Hold on just one second. You had said some instructions were made. So I'm reading introductions. Is "introductions" a typo? THE WITNESS: Excuse me? Yeah, some introductions were made. THE COURT: Okay. So not instructions,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to clarify something here. There's been a lot of what do I want to say just initials thrown around. NC NCUC, NCUC, ISS [sic], all this. He said that he was in charge of ICUC [sic] and IHS, he was representing them both, and that Mr. Pierce was a subcontractor was a contractor. So I took and they had a meeting previous that Mr. Tom Robinson spoke about, and Tom said I was not there. He was correct. I was not able to attend that meeting where they went over the rates and all who was going to be involved. But when I showed up on THE COURT: Now were you referring to the pre-construction meeting that you were not at? THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. THE WITNESS: I was not I was not available to attend that because of my other job. But when I showed up on 8/9, I made sure that I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	then went to work offloading my first load. After I was loaded, the whole group of us drove out to Tom Robinson's place where we found Tom pre-tilling the field with a tractor and a disk. Some instructions [sic] were made. I made a test run while they walked along beside the truck, and it was determined that I should maintain a ten-mile-an-hour speed. Some more rules were given. One was to stay on top of Tom's disk marks. That was soon changed to inside his marks. This was easy because we were working from the outside in. THE COURT: Hold on just one second. You had said some instructions were made. So I'm reading introductions. Is "introductions" a typo? THE WITNESS: Excuse me? Yeah, some introductions were made. THE COURT: Okay. So not instructions, introductions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to clarify something here. There's been a lot of what do I want to say just initials thrown around. NC NCUC, NCUC, ISS [sic], all this. He said that he was in charge of ICUC [sic] and IHS, he was representing them both, and that Mr. Pierce was a subcontractor was a contractor. So I took and they had a meeting previous that Mr. Tom Robinson spoke about, and Tom said I was not there. He was correct. I was not able to attend that meeting where they went over the rates and all who was going to be involved. But when I showed up on THE COURT: Now were you referring to the pre-construction meeting that you were not at? THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: I was not I was not available to attend that because of my other job. But when I showed up on 8/9, I made sure that I didn't touch anything until I had a tribal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 then went to work offloading my first load. After I was loaded, the whole group of us drove out to Tom Robinson's place where we found Tom pre-tilling the field with a tractor and a disk. Some instructions [sic] were made. I made a test run while they walked along beside the truck, and it was determined that I should maintain a ten-mile-an-hour speed. Some more rules were given. One was to stay on top of Tom's disk marks. That was soon changed to inside his marks. This was easy because we were working from the outside in. THE COURT: Hold on just one second. You had said some instructions were made. So I'm reading introductions. Is "introductions" a typo? THE WITNESS: Excuse me? Yeah, some introductions. THE COURT: Okay. So not instructions, introductions. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to clarify something here. There's been a lot of what do I want to say just initials thrown around. NC NCUC, NCUC, ISS [sic], all this. He said that he was in charge of ICUC [sic] and IHS, he was representing them both, and that Mr. Pierce was a subcontractor was a contractor. So I took and they had a meeting previous that Mr. Tom Robinson spoke about, and Tom said I was not there. He was correct. I was not able to attend that meeting where they went over the rates and all who was going to be involved. But when I showed up on THE COURT: Now were you referring to the pre-construction meeting that you were not at? THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: I was not I was not available to attend that because of my other job. But when I showed up on 8/9, I made sure that I didn't touch anything until I had a tribal representative there. I've had enough dealings
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 then went to work offloading my first load. After I was loaded, the whole group of us drove out to Tom Robinson's place where we found Tom pre-tilling the field with a tractor and a disk. Some instructions [sic] were made. I made a test run while they walked along beside the truck, and it was determined that I should maintain a ten-mile-an-hour speed. Some more rules were given. One was to stay on top of Tom's disk marks. That was soon changed to inside his marks. This was easy because we were working from the outside in. THE COURT: Hold on just one second. You had said some instructions were made. So I'm reading introductions. Is "introductions" a typo? THE WITNESS: Excuse me? Yeah, some introductions were made. THE COURT: Okay. So not instructions, introductions. THE WITNESS: No, they were also given instructions. We were also given instructions. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to clarify something here. There's been a lot of what do I want to say just initials thrown around. NC NCUC, NCUC, ISS [sic], all this. He said that he was in charge of ICUC [sic] and IHS, he was representing them both, and that Mr. Pierce was a subcontractor was a contractor. So I took and they had a meeting previous that Mr. Tom Robinson spoke about, and Tom said I was not there. He was correct. I was not able to attend that meeting where they went over the rates and all who was going to be involved. But when I showed up on THE COURT: Now were you referring to the pre-construction meeting that you were not at? THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: I was not I was not available to attend that because of my other job. But when I showed up on 8/9, I made sure that I didn't touch anything until I had a tribal representative there. I've had enough dealings with the tribe to know that you make sure you have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 then went to work offloading my first load. After I was loaded, the whole group of us drove out to Tom Robinson's place where we found Tom pre-tilling the field with a tractor and a disk. Some instructions [sic] were made. I made a test run while they walked along beside the truck, and it was determined that I should maintain a ten-mile-an-hour speed. Some more rules were given. One was to stay on top of Tom's disk marks. That was soon changed to inside his marks. This was easy because we were working from the outside in. THE COURT: Hold on just one second. You had said some instructions were made. So I'm reading introductions. Is "introductions" a typo? THE WITNESS: Excuse me? Yeah, some introductions. THE COURT: Okay. So not instructions, introductions. THE WITNESS: No, they were also given instructions. We were also given instructions. THE COURT: Okay. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to clarify something here. There's been a lot of what do I want to say just initials thrown around. NC NCUC, NCUC, ISS [sic], all this. He said that he was in charge of ICUC [sic] and IHS, he was representing them both, and that Mr. Pierce was a subcontractor was a contractor. So I took and they had a meeting previous that Mr. Tom Robinson spoke about, and Tom said I was not there. He was correct. I was not able to attend that meeting where they went over the rates and all who was going to be involved. But when I showed up on THE COURT: Now were you referring to the pre-construction meeting that you were not at? THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: I was not I was not available to attend that because of my other job. But when I showed up on 8/9, I made sure that I didn't touch anything until I had a tribal representative there. I've had enough dealings with the tribe to know that you make sure you have someone there that is representing them. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 then went to work offloading my first load. After I was loaded, the whole group of us drove out to Tom Robinson's place where we found Tom pre-tilling the field with a tractor and a disk. Some instructions [sic] were made. I made a test run while they walked along beside the truck, and it was determined that I should maintain a ten-mile-an-hour speed. Some more rules were given. One was to stay on top of Tom's disk marks. That was soon changed to inside his marks. This was easy because we were working from the outside in. THE COURT: Hold on just one second. You had said some instructions were made. So I'm reading introductions. Is "introductions" a typo? THE WITNESS: Excuse me? Yeah, some introductions. THE COURT: Okay. So not instructions, introductions. We were also given instructions. THE COURT: Okay. THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: The instructions, like I 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	to clarify something here. There's been a lot of what do I want to say just initials thrown around. NC NCUC, NCUC, ISS [sic], all this. He said that he was in charge of ICUC [sic] and IHS, he was representing them both, and that Mr. Pierce was a subcontractor was a contractor. So I took and they had a meeting previous that Mr. Tom Robinson spoke about, and Tom said I was not there. He was correct. I was not able to attend that meeting where they went over the rates and all who was going to be involved. But when I showed up on THE COURT: Now were you referring to the pre-construction meeting that you were not at? THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. THE WITNESS: I was not I was not available to attend that because of my other job. But when I showed up on 8/9, I made sure that I didn't touch anything until I had a tribal representative there. I've had enough dealings with the tribe to know that you make sure you have someone there that is representing them. And Mr. George Cummins said that he represented both
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 then went to work offloading my first load. After I was loaded, the whole group of us drove out to Tom Robinson's place where we found Tom pre-tilling the field with a tractor and a disk. Some instructions [sic] were made. I made a test run while they walked along beside the truck, and it was determined that I should maintain a ten-mile-an-hour speed. Some more rules were given. One was to stay on top of Tom's disk marks. That was soon changed to inside his marks. This was easy because we were working from the outside in. THE COURT: Hold on just one second. You had said some instructions were made. So I'm reading introductions. Is "introductions" a typo? THE WITNESS: Excuse me? Yeah, some introductions. THE COURT: Okay. So not instructions, introductions. THE WITNESS: No, they were also given instructions. We were also given instructions. THE COURT: Okay. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to clarify something here. There's been a lot of what do I want to say just initials thrown around. NC NCUC, NCUC, ISS [sic], all this. He said that he was in charge of ICUC [sic] and IHS, he was representing them both, and that Mr. Pierce was a subcontractor was a contractor. So I took and they had a meeting previous that Mr. Tom Robinson spoke about, and Tom said I was not there. He was correct. I was not able to attend that meeting where they went over the rates and all who was going to be involved. But when I showed up on THE COURT: Now were you referring to the pre-construction meeting that you were not at? THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: I was not I was not available to attend that because of my other job. But when I showed up on 8/9, I made sure that I didn't touch anything until I had a tribal representative there. I've had enough dealings with the tribe to know that you make sure you have someone there that is representing them. And

102 (Pages 405 to 408)

	Page 409		Page 411
1	BY MS. KACSUR:	1	comes and goes on the land, how far it is away from
2	Q. Was anyone else involved in the project	2	water, how far away it is from cattle, people, what
3	beyond George Cummins and Nathan Pierce?	3	signage you put up, and what your your agronomic
4	A. Yes. There was several people involved.	4	dispersal rate. And I also included a rate with my
5	And I say here in my statement that there was	5	statement here of 19,000 gallons per acre, which is
6	several pickups and other people moving around. I	6	less than what the IHS or Utilities had said of
7	saw other people taking samples. I saw other	7	22,000 and 23,000. So I don't know why my
8	people cleaning out screens for the sewage lagoon,	8	calculations weren't considered, considering that
9	doing daily or weekly maintenance, whatever you	9	they're more stringent.
10	want to call it, where they were cleaning the	10	Q. You mentioned that or you responded
11	screens and taking samples, both on the lagoon side	11	that you took directions from both Mr. Pierce and
12	and on the dump side. Now, I've not seen any of	12	Mr. Cummins, correct?
13	those samples testified yet. Where they've gone, I	13	A. That's correct.
14	don't know.	14	Q. Did at any point Mr. Pierce discuss the
15	THE COURT: I'm sorry to keep interrupting.	15	recordkeeping requirements under Part 503 with you?
16	I just want to make sure that I'm understanding all	16	A. Yes. That was all discussed in a group
17	of this. And so when you say both on the lagoon	17	meeting as we stood there that Tom Robinson was
18	side and on the dump side, can you just elaborate	18	going to keep records, I was going to keep records,
19	for my benefit what you mean?	19	and George Cummins said that he would be collecting
20	THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes, ma'am. The sewage	20	them.
21	lagoon is where the sewage is actually coming from	21	Q. What kinds of records were you asked to
22	the city into a lagoon. It's supposed to be a	22	keep?
23	treatment facility there where it just transfers	23	A. Dump records for the records that I
24	from one lagoon to another to another, and then it	23	haul.
25	dumps into the creek. That is the Lame Deer Creek	25	Q. Can you elaborate on what a dump record
	dumps into the creek. That is the Dame Deer Creek	23	Q. Call you claborate on what a dump record
	Page 410		Page 412
1	that everyone has been talking about. But the dump	1	might contain?
2	site is 7.5 miles away to the west on Tom	2	A. Well, a dump record will contain what
3	Robinson's place.	3	you're hauling, what time you hauled it, what time
4	THE COURT: Okay. So the dump site is	4	you offloaded it, and where you offloaded it.
5	Mr. Robinson's property where this was applied?	5	Q. Did Mr. Pierce ask you to keep records
6	THE WITNESS: That's correct.	6	of management practices?
7	THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Thank you.	7	A. No. I'm not in the management field.
8	Go ahead.	8	Q. Did Mr. Pierce discuss keeping records
9	BY MS. KACSUR:	9	of vector attraction reduction with you?
10	Q. So the people you've just described	10	A. No.
11	taking samples and doing maintenance, are you aware	11	Q. And did Mr. Pierce discuss keeping
12	of who they were or who they worked for?	12	records of site restrictions with you?
13	A. The people that were taking some of the	13	A. No. But we discussed the site
14	samples and doing the maintenance were in vehicles	14	restrictions when we stood in a circle with me, Tom
15	that were UC excuse me utility vehicles.	15	Robinson, Mr. Pierce, and George Cummins.
		1	

that were UC excuse me utility vehicles.	15	Robinson, Mr. Pierce, and George Cummins.
Q. Switching gears a bit.	16	THE COURT: Do you remember what they were,
Are you familiar with the biosolids	17	what the site restrictions were?
regulations found at Part 503 that have been		THE WITNESS: Yes. I was told not to read
discussed?	19	this, but I'm going to read it anyway.
A. Somewhat, yes.	20	THE COURT: Okay. Who told you not to read
Q. What is the extent, I guess, of your	21	it?
familiarity with them?	22	THE WITNESS: I was told I wasn't going to be
A. Well, I know that there's rules you have	23	discussing it.
to apply you have to follow when you're	24	THE COURT: Oh.
applying. Some of that is land restrictions, who	25	THE WITNESS: But I'm going to read it
	1	

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23 24 25

103 (Pages 409 to 412)

Page	413
------	-----

	Page 413		Page 415
1	anyway.	1	THE WITNESS: Right.
2	THE COURT: Okay.	2	THE COURT: So as long as it's relevant to
3	THE WITNESS: It says, "Number 2," it	3	the issues I have to decide, I want you to feel
4	says	4	comfortable sharing them. But out of courtesy to
5	MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, can we get some	5	the parties and the testimony that they want to
6	clarification on that, who told him not to discuss	6	elicit, right now I just want you to answer the
7	it?	7	questions that they're asking you, and then maybe
8	THE WITNESS: Yes. Chris did.	8	I'll follow up or just give you the opportunity to
9	MS. KACSUR: I would like	9	open-endedly share whatever maybe wasn't drawn
10	THE WITNESS: When we when we	10	out
11	discussed when we met on Monday, I was told I	11	THE WITNESS: Okay.
12	was not to read anything other than the	12	THE COURT: from their questions. Okay?
13	highlighted	13	So you have the chance to share what you think is
14	MS. KACSUR: I would like to make just a	14	important as it relates to this case
15	point of clarification, Your Honor. Chris and I	15	THE WITNESS: Okay.
16	made clear that we were going to ask Mr. Sprague to	16	THE COURT: but we need to do this in an
17	read highlighted portions, but we did not at any	17	orderly fashion. So just hang tight for a little
18	point say we did not want him to read the	18	bit and compose your thoughts. And you've got
19	non-highlighted portions.	19	things written down, so I'm assuming you won't
20	MR. PIERCE: Is that your understanding,	20	forget what you want to share. But right now, just
21	Mr. Sprague?	21	respond to the questions by Ms. Kacsur, and we'll
22	THE WITNESS: Yeah. They said that I was	22	get there eventually. Okay.
23	only to read the highlighted portions.	23	Go ahead.
24	THE COURT: Okay.	24	BY MS. KACSUR:
25	MS. KACSUR: That was all we were going to	25	Q. Mr. Sprague, I'd like to invite you to
	Page 414		Page 416
1	Page 414	1	Page 416
1	request of you to read.	1	read the part of your statement that you would like
2	request of you to read. THE WITNESS: That was all they were going to	2	read the part of your statement that you would like to read.
2 3	request of you to read. THE WITNESS: That was all they were going to request of me, but I would like to read the whole	2 3	read the part of your statement that you would like to read. A. Okay. It says you asked me what some
2 3 4	request of you to read. THE WITNESS: That was all they were going to request of me, but I would like to read the whole thing.	2 3 4	read the part of your statement that you would like to read. A. Okay. It says you asked me what some of the restrictions were. Number 2, it says, "Keep
2 3 4 5	request of you to read. THE WITNESS: That was all they were going to request of me, but I would like to read the whole thing. THE COURT: Okay. Well, hold on just a	2 3 4 5	read the part of your statement that you would like to read. A. Okay. It says you asked me what some of the restrictions were. Number 2, it says, "Keep the gates closed so that cattle did not get in or
2 3 4 5 6	request of you to read. THE WITNESS: That was all they were going to request of me, but I would like to read the whole thing. THE COURT: Okay. Well, hold on just a second. You know, I understand what this means	2 3 4 5 6	read the part of your statement that you would like to read.A. Okay. It says you asked me what some of the restrictions were. Number 2, it says, "Keep the gates closed so that cattle did not get in or out." There was also a sign on the gate that had
2 3 4 5	request of you to read. THE WITNESS: That was all they were going to request of me, but I would like to read the whole thing. THE COURT: Okay. Well, hold on just a second. You know, I understand what this means because when you're being called by a party, there	2 3 4 5	 read the part of your statement that you would like to read. A. Okay. It says you asked me what some of the restrictions were. Number 2, it says, "Keep the gates closed so that cattle did not get in or out." There was also a sign on the gate that had "No trespassing." There's no dumping in bad
2 3 4 5 6 7	request of you to read. THE WITNESS: That was all they were going to request of me, but I would like to read the whole thing. THE COURT: Okay. Well, hold on just a second. You know, I understand what this means because when you're being called by a party, there may be particular areas that they want to cover and	2 3 4 5 6 7	read the part of your statement that you would like to read. A. Okay. It says you asked me what some of the restrictions were. Number 2, it says, "Keep the gates closed so that cattle did not get in or out." There was also a sign on the gate that had "No trespassing." There's no dumping in bad weather. Tom and I would control the dump Tom
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	request of you to read. THE WITNESS: That was all they were going to request of me, but I would like to read the whole thing. THE COURT: Okay. Well, hold on just a second. You know, I understand what this means because when you're being called by a party, there may be particular areas that they want to cover and maybe not other areas	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	read the part of your statement that you would like to read. A. Okay. It says you asked me what some of the restrictions were. Number 2, it says, "Keep the gates closed so that cattle did not get in or out." There was also a sign on the gate that had "No trespassing." There's no dumping in bad weather. Tom and I would control the dump Tom would control the dump area by pre-disking. And I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	request of you to read. THE WITNESS: That was all they were going to request of me, but I would like to read the whole thing. THE COURT: Okay. Well, hold on just a second. You know, I understand what this means because when you're being called by a party, there may be particular areas that they want to cover and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	read the part of your statement that you would like to read. A. Okay. It says you asked me what some of the restrictions were. Number 2, it says, "Keep the gates closed so that cattle did not get in or out." There was also a sign on the gate that had "No trespassing." There's no dumping in bad weather. Tom and I would control the dump Tom would control the dump area by pre-disking. And I did not have any contact with the tribe workers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	request of you to read. THE WITNESS: That was all they were going to request of me, but I would like to read the whole thing. THE COURT: Okay. Well, hold on just a second. You know, I understand what this means because when you're being called by a party, there may be particular areas that they want to cover and maybe not other areas THE WITNESS: Right. That they don't want to cover.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	read the part of your statement that you would like to read. A. Okay. It says you asked me what some of the restrictions were. Number 2, it says, "Keep the gates closed so that cattle did not get in or out." There was also a sign on the gate that had "No trespassing." There's no dumping in bad weather. Tom and I would control the dump Tom would control the dump area by pre-disking. And I did not have any contact with the tribe workers after that, only Tom and Nathan. I was also
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	request of you to read. THE WITNESS: That was all they were going to request of me, but I would like to read the whole thing. THE COURT: Okay. Well, hold on just a second. You know, I understand what this means because when you're being called by a party, there may be particular areas that they want to cover and maybe not other areas THE WITNESS: Right. That they don't want to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	read the part of your statement that you would like to read. A. Okay. It says you asked me what some of the restrictions were. Number 2, it says, "Keep the gates closed so that cattle did not get in or out." There was also a sign on the gate that had "No trespassing." There's no dumping in bad weather. Tom and I would control the dump Tom would control the dump area by pre-disking. And I did not have any contact with the tribe workers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	request of you to read. THE WITNESS: That was all they were going to request of me, but I would like to read the whole thing. THE COURT: Okay. Well, hold on just a second. You know, I understand what this means because when you're being called by a party, there may be particular areas that they want to cover and maybe not other areas THE WITNESS: Right. That they don't want to cover. THE COURT: that they maybe don't want to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	read the part of your statement that you would like to read. A. Okay. It says you asked me what some of the restrictions were. Number 2, it says, "Keep the gates closed so that cattle did not get in or out." There was also a sign on the gate that had "No trespassing." There's no dumping in bad weather. Tom and I would control the dump Tom would control the dump area by pre-disking. And I did not have any contact with the tribe workers after that, only Tom and Nathan. I was also directed by Mr. George Cummins through Nathan
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	request of you to read. THE WITNESS: That was all they were going to request of me, but I would like to read the whole thing. THE COURT: Okay. Well, hold on just a second. You know, I understand what this means because when you're being called by a party, there may be particular areas that they want to cover and maybe not other areas THE WITNESS: Right. That they don't want to cover. THE COURT: that they maybe don't want to cover.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	read the part of your statement that you would like to read. A. Okay. It says you asked me what some of the restrictions were. Number 2, it says, "Keep the gates closed so that cattle did not get in or out." There was also a sign on the gate that had "No trespassing." There's no dumping in bad weather. Tom and I would control the dump Tom would control the dump area by pre-disking. And I did not have any contact with the tribe workers after that, only Tom and Nathan. I was also directed by Mr. George Cummins through Nathan that I was not allowed to do any work on weekends,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	request of you to read. THE WITNESS: That was all they were going to request of me, but I would like to read the whole thing. THE COURT: Okay. Well, hold on just a second. You know, I understand what this means because when you're being called by a party, there may be particular areas that they want to cover and maybe not other areas THE WITNESS: Right. That they don't want to cover. THE COURT: that they maybe don't want to cover. THE WITNESS: I understand that. THE WITNESS: I understand that. THE COURT: But but hold on. Hold the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	read the part of your statement that you would like to read. A. Okay. It says you asked me what some of the restrictions were. Number 2, it says, "Keep the gates closed so that cattle did not get in or out." There was also a sign on the gate that had "No trespassing." There's no dumping in bad weather. Tom and I would control the dump Tom would control the dump area by pre-disking. And I did not have any contact with the tribe workers after that, only Tom and Nathan. I was also directed by Mr. George Cummins through Nathan that I was not allowed to do any work on weekends, so Because they had to be there to control it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	request of you to read. THE WITNESS: That was all they were going to request of me, but I would like to read the whole thing. THE COURT: Okay. Well, hold on just a second. You know, I understand what this means because when you're being called by a party, there may be particular areas that they want to cover and maybe not other areas THE WITNESS: Right. That they don't want to cover. THE COURT: that they maybe don't want to cover. THE WITNESS: I understand that. THE COURT: But but hold on. Hold the thought. Both sides are going to be able to draw	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	read the part of your statement that you would like to read. A. Okay. It says you asked me what some of the restrictions were. Number 2, it says, "Keep the gates closed so that cattle did not get in or out." There was also a sign on the gate that had "No trespassing." There's no dumping in bad weather. Tom and I would control the dump Tom would control the dump area by pre-disking. And I did not have any contact with the tribe workers after that, only Tom and Nathan. I was also directed by Mr. George Cummins through Nathan that I was not allowed to do any work on weekends, so Because they had to be there to control it. MS. KACSUR: I'd like to turn now to CX42.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	request of you to read. THE WITNESS: That was all they were going to request of me, but I would like to read the whole thing. THE COURT: Okay. Well, hold on just a second. You know, I understand what this means because when you're being called by a party, there may be particular areas that they want to cover and maybe not other areas THE WITNESS: Right. That they don't want to cover. THE COURT: that they maybe don't want to cover. THE WITNESS: I understand that. THE WITNESS: I understand that. THE COURT: But but hold on. Hold the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	read the part of your statement that you would like to read. A. Okay. It says you asked me what some of the restrictions were. Number 2, it says, "Keep the gates closed so that cattle did not get in or out." There was also a sign on the gate that had "No trespassing." There's no dumping in bad weather. Tom and I would control the dump Tom would control the dump area by pre-disking. And I did not have any contact with the tribe workers after that, only Tom and Nathan. I was also directed by Mr. George Cummins through Nathan that I was not allowed to do any work on weekends, so Because they had to be there to control it. MS. KACSUR: I'd like to turn now to CX42. MS. MORENO: What page?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	request of you to read. THE WITNESS: That was all they were going to request of me, but I would like to read the whole thing. THE COURT: Okay. Well, hold on just a second. You know, I understand what this means because when you're being called by a party, there may be particular areas that they want to cover and maybe not other areas THE WITNESS: Right. That they don't want to cover. THE COURT: that they maybe don't want to cover. THE WITNESS: I understand that. THE COURT: But but hold on. Hold the thought. Both sides are going to be able to draw whatever testimony that they want from you. And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	read the part of your statement that you would like to read. A. Okay. It says you asked me what some of the restrictions were. Number 2, it says, "Keep the gates closed so that cattle did not get in or out." There was also a sign on the gate that had "No trespassing." There's no dumping in bad weather. Tom and I would control the dump Tom would control the dump area by pre-disking. And I did not have any contact with the tribe workers after that, only Tom and Nathan. I was also directed by Mr. George Cummins through Nathan that I was not allowed to do any work on weekends, so Because they had to be there to control it. MS. KACSUR: I'd like to turn now to CX42. MS. MORENO: What page? MS. KACSUR: Page 4.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	request of you to read. THE WITNESS: That was all they were going to request of me, but I would like to read the whole thing. THE COURT: Okay. Well, hold on just a second. You know, I understand what this means because when you're being called by a party, there may be particular areas that they want to cover and maybe not other areas THE WITNESS: Right. That they don't want to cover. THE COURT: that they maybe don't want to cover. THE WITNESS: I understand that. THE WITNESS: I understand that. THE COURT: But but hold on. Hold the thought. Both sides are going to be able to draw whatever testimony that they want from you. And it's very clear to me that there's a good bit you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	read the part of your statement that you would like to read. A. Okay. It says you asked me what some of the restrictions were. Number 2, it says, "Keep the gates closed so that cattle did not get in or out." There was also a sign on the gate that had "No trespassing." There's no dumping in bad weather. Tom and I would control the dump Tom would control the dump area by pre-disking. And I did not have any contact with the tribe workers after that, only Tom and Nathan. I was also directed by Mr. George Cummins through Nathan that I was not allowed to do any work on weekends, so Because they had to be there to control it. MS. KACSUR: I'd like to turn now to CX42. MS. MORENO: What page? MS. KACSUR: Page 4. BY MS. KACSUR:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	request of you to read. THE WITNESS: That was all they were going to request of me, but I would like to read the whole thing. THE COURT: Okay. Well, hold on just a second. You know, I understand what this means because when you're being called by a party, there may be particular areas that they want to cover and maybe not other areas THE WITNESS: Right. That they don't want to cover. THE COURT: that they maybe don't want to cover. THE WITNESS: I understand that. THE WITNESS: I understand that. THE COURT: But but hold on. Hold the thought. Both sides are going to be able to draw whatever testimony that they want from you. And it's very clear to me that there's a good bit you want to share. And as the judge, I want to hear	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 read the part of your statement that you would like to read. A. Okay. It says you asked me what some of the restrictions were. Number 2, it says, "Keep the gates closed so that cattle did not get in or out." There was also a sign on the gate that had "No trespassing." There's no dumping in bad weather. Tom and I would control the dump Tom would control the dump area by pre-disking. And I did not have any contact with the tribe workers after that, only Tom and Nathan. I was also directed by Mr. George Cummins through Nathan that I was not allowed to do any work on weekends, so Because they had to be there to control it. MS. KACSUR: I'd like to turn now to CX42. MS. MORENO: What page? MS. KACSUR: Page 4. BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Do you recognize this document,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	request of you to read. THE WITNESS: That was all they were going to request of me, but I would like to read the whole thing. THE COURT: Okay. Well, hold on just a second. You know, I understand what this means because when you're being called by a party, there may be particular areas that they want to cover and maybe not other areas THE WITNESS: Right. That they don't want to cover. THE COURT: that they maybe don't want to cover. THE WITNESS: I understand that. THE COURT: But but hold on. Hold the thought. Both sides are going to be able to draw whatever testimony that they want from you. And it's very clear to me that there's a good bit you want to share. And as the judge, I want to hear it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 read the part of your statement that you would like to read. A. Okay. It says you asked me what some of the restrictions were. Number 2, it says, "Keep the gates closed so that cattle did not get in or out." There was also a sign on the gate that had "No trespassing." There's no dumping in bad weather. Tom and I would control the dump Tom would control the dump area by pre-disking. And I did not have any contact with the tribe workers after that, only Tom and Nathan. I was also directed by Mr. George Cummins through Nathan that I was not allowed to do any work on weekends, so Because they had to be there to control it. MS. KACSUR: I'd like to turn now to CX42. MS. MORENO: What page? MS. KACSUR: Page 4. BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Do you recognize this document, Mr. Sprague?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	request of you to read. THE WITNESS: That was all they were going to request of me, but I would like to read the whole thing. THE COURT: Okay. Well, hold on just a second. You know, I understand what this means because when you're being called by a party, there may be particular areas that they want to cover and maybe not other areas THE WITNESS: Right. That they don't want to cover. THE COURT: that they maybe don't want to cover. THE WITNESS: I understand that. THE COURT: But but hold on. Hold the thought. Both sides are going to be able to draw whatever testimony that they want from you. And it's very clear to me that there's a good bit you want to share. And as the judge, I want to hear it. THE WITNESS: Okay. Because I haven't I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 read the part of your statement that you would like to read. A. Okay. It says you asked me what some of the restrictions were. Number 2, it says, "Keep the gates closed so that cattle did not get in or out." There was also a sign on the gate that had "No trespassing." There's no dumping in bad weather. Tom and I would control the dump Tom would control the dump area by pre-disking. And I did not have any contact with the tribe workers after that, only Tom and Nathan. I was also directed by Mr. George Cummins through Nathan that I was not allowed to do any work on weekends, so Because they had to be there to control it. MS. KACSUR: I'd like to turn now to CX42. MS. MORENO: What page? MS. KACSUR: Page 4. BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Do you recognize this document, Mr. Sprague? A. Yes, I do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	request of you to read. THE WITNESS: That was all they were going to request of me, but I would like to read the whole thing. THE COURT: Okay. Well, hold on just a second. You know, I understand what this means because when you're being called by a party, there may be particular areas that they want to cover and maybe not other areas THE WITNESS: Right. That they don't want to cover. THE COURT: that they maybe don't want to cover. THE WITNESS: I understand that. THE WITNESS: I understand that. THE COURT: But but hold on. Hold the thought. Both sides are going to be able to draw whatever testimony that they want from you. And it's very clear to me that there's a good bit you want to share. And as the judge, I want to hear it. THE WITNESS: Okay. Because I haven't I have not even started.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 read the part of your statement that you would like to read. A. Okay. It says you asked me what some of the restrictions were. Number 2, it says, "Keep the gates closed so that cattle did not get in or out." There was also a sign on the gate that had "No trespassing." There's no dumping in bad weather. Tom and I would control the dump Tom would control the dump area by pre-disking. And I did not have any contact with the tribe workers after that, only Tom and Nathan. I was also directed by Mr. George Cummins through Nathan that I was not allowed to do any work on weekends, so Because they had to be there to control it. MS. KACSUR: I'd like to turn now to CX42. MS. MORENO: What page? MS. KACSUR: Page 4. BY MS. KACSUR: Q. Do you recognize this document, Mr. Sprague? A. Yes, I do. Q. What is this document?

104 (Pages 413 to 416)

	Page 417		Page 419
1	there?	1	offloaded the truck for them.
2	A. Yes. It says, Subcontractor agrees to	2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	furnish all materials to perform all work necessary	3	THE WITNESS: So
4	to complete the pumping, removal and hauling of	4	THE COURT: Okay. What does that mean
5	biosolid sludge from the frack tanks located at the	5	exactly, you had to offload the truck for them?
6	Lame Deer Lagoons in Lame Deer, Montana, and haul	6	THE WITNESS: Well, solids, biowaste, all
7	it to Tom Robinson's pivot, approximately 15 miles	7	that comes in different liquid forms.
8	roundtrip.	8	THE COURT: Okay.
9	Q. Who is the contractor mentioned in this	9	THE WITNESS: If it's too thick, you're not
10	paragraph?	10	able to pump it, and you're not able to extract it
11	A. Adamas Construction.	11	or move it. So you add water to it, you're able to
12		12	
	Q. And who is the subcontractor?		move it. So their exits were too small for the
13	A. D&R Disposal.	13	sludge to go out of or liquify to go out of. And
14	Q. In reality, when this project was	14	their trailer wasn't set up for application either.
15	happening, did you furnish all material and perform	15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	all work regarding the pumping, removing and	16	THE WITNESS: So they were trying to dump
17	hauling of the sludge?	17	through a cone belly, and every time they opened
18	A. I removed the sludge from the tanks. I	18	it, they were getting sprayed, so
19	did not put the sludge from the lagoon into the	19	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
20	tanks.	20	BY MS. KACSUR:
21	Q. Did anybody else participate in the	21	Q. Who gave you instructions to work with
22	pumping, removal or hauling of the sludge?	22	this other company?
23	A. Yes. There was another company from	23	A. Nathan Pierce asked me if I would help
24	Hardin that had a tanker truck, and they had a hard	24	offload the truck.
25	time offloading. But I do not know the name of	25	MS. KACSUR: Thank you, Your Honor. No
	Page 418		Page 420
1		1	
1 2	that company. They were there for I do know	1	further questions.
2	that company. They were there for I do know that they were there for two days and then left.	2	further questions. THE COURT: Okay.
2 3	that company. They were there for I do knowthat they were there for two days and then left.Q. They were by "there," you mean at the		further questions. THE COURT: Okay. CROSS-EXAMINATION
2 3 4	that company. They were there for I do knowthat they were there for two days and then left.Q. They were by "there," you mean at the application site?	2 3 4	further questions. THE COURT: Okay. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE:
2 3 4 5	 that company. They were there for I do know that they were there for two days and then left. Q. They were by "there," you mean at the application site? A. Yes. They were at the application for 	2 3 4 5	further questions. THE COURT: Okay. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Sprague, you testified that
2 3 4 5 6	 that company. They were there for I do know that they were there for two days and then left. Q. They were by "there," you mean at the application site? A. Yes. They were at the application for two days. 	2 3 4 5 6	further questions. THE COURT: Okay. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Sprague, you testified that IHS you believe IHS had control over the
2 3 4 5 6 7	 that company. They were there for I do know that they were there for two days and then left. Q. They were by "there," you mean at the application site? A. Yes. They were at the application for two days. Q. Do you know who hired that company? 	2 3 4 5 6 7	further questions. THE COURT: Okay. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Sprague, you testified that IHS you believe IHS had control over the project; is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 that company. They were there for I do know that they were there for two days and then left. Q. They were by "there," you mean at the application site? A. Yes. They were at the application for two days. Q. Do you know who hired that company? A. No, I don't. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 further questions. THE COURT: Okay. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Sprague, you testified that IHS you believe IHS had control over the project; is that correct? A. According to George Cummins, he said he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 that company. They were there for I do know that they were there for two days and then left. Q. They were by "there," you mean at the application site? A. Yes. They were at the application for two days. Q. Do you know who hired that company? A. No, I don't. THE COURT: Was that you heard 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 further questions. THE COURT: Okay. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Sprague, you testified that IHS you believe IHS had control over the project; is that correct? A. According to George Cummins, he said he represented IHS and Northern Tribal Utilities.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 that company. They were there for I do know that they were there for two days and then left. Q. They were by "there," you mean at the application site? A. Yes. They were at the application for two days. Q. Do you know who hired that company? A. No, I don't. THE COURT: Was that you heard Mr. Robinson's testimony when he talked about the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 further questions. THE COURT: Okay. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Sprague, you testified that IHS you believe IHS had control over the project; is that correct? A. According to George Cummins, he said he represented IHS and Northern Tribal Utilities. Q. And he said that he was in control of
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	 that company. They were there for I do know that they were there for two days and then left. Q. They were by "there," you mean at the application site? A. Yes. They were at the application for two days. Q. Do you know who hired that company? A. No, I don't. THE COURT: Was that you heard Mr. Robinson's testimony when he talked about the two occurrences, the first time that didn't involve 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 further questions. THE COURT: Okay. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Sprague, you testified that IHS you believe IHS had control over the project; is that correct? A. According to George Cummins, he said he represented IHS and Northern Tribal Utilities. Q. And he said that he was in control of the project?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 that company. They were there for I do know that they were there for two days and then left. Q. They were by "there," you mean at the application site? A. Yes. They were at the application for two days. Q. Do you know who hired that company? A. No, I don't. THE COURT: Was that you heard Mr. Robinson's testimony when he talked about the two occurrences, the first time that didn't involve you. Was that what you're just referring to, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 further questions. THE COURT: Okay. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Sprague, you testified that IHS you believe IHS had control over the project; is that correct? A. According to George Cummins, he said he represented IHS and Northern Tribal Utilities. Q. And he said that he was in control of the project? A. Yes, he said he was in control of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 that company. They were there for I do know that they were there for two days and then left. Q. They were by "there," you mean at the application site? A. Yes. They were at the application for two days. Q. Do you know who hired that company? A. No, I don't. THE COURT: Was that you heard Mr. Robinson's testimony when he talked about the two occurrences, the first time that didn't involve you. Was that what you're just referring to, was that the first time, do you think, or do you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 further questions. THE COURT: Okay. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Sprague, you testified that IHS you believe IHS had control over the project; is that correct? A. According to George Cummins, he said he represented IHS and Northern Tribal Utilities. Q. And he said that he was in control of the project? A. Yes, he said he was in control of the project.
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 that company. They were there for I do know that they were there for two days and then left. Q. They were by "there," you mean at the application site? A. Yes. They were at the application for two days. Q. Do you know who hired that company? A. No, I don't. THE COURT: Was that you heard Mr. Robinson's testimony when he talked about the two occurrences, the first time that didn't involve you. Was that what you're just referring to, was that the first time, do you think, or do you not know? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 further questions. THE COURT: Okay. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Sprague, you testified that IHS you believe IHS had control over the project; is that correct? A. According to George Cummins, he said he represented IHS and Northern Tribal Utilities. Q. And he said that he was in control of the project? A. Yes, he said he was in control of the project. Q. Who is Sheri Bement?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 that company. They were there for I do know that they were there for two days and then left. Q. They were by "there," you mean at the application site? A. Yes. They were at the application for two days. Q. Do you know who hired that company? A. No, I don't. THE COURT: Was that you heard Mr. Robinson's testimony when he talked about the two occurrences, the first time that didn't involve you. Was that what you're just referring to, was that the first time, do you think, or do you not know? THE WITNESS: I was the first one to start 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 further questions. THE COURT: Okay. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Sprague, you testified that IHS you believe IHS had control over the project; is that correct? A. According to George Cummins, he said he represented IHS and Northern Tribal Utilities. Q. And he said that he was in control of the project? A. Yes, he said he was in control of the project. Q. Who is Sheri Bement? A. Sheri Bement is the general manager for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 that company. They were there for I do know that they were there for two days and then left. Q. They were by "there," you mean at the application site? A. Yes. They were at the application for two days. Q. Do you know who hired that company? A. No, I don't. THE COURT: Was that you heard Mr. Robinson's testimony when he talked about the two occurrences, the first time that didn't involve you. Was that what you're just referring to, was that the first time, do you think, or do you not know? THE WITNESS: I was the first one to start pumping out of the tanks 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 further questions. THE COURT: Okay. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Sprague, you testified that IHS you believe IHS had control over the project; is that correct? A. According to George Cummins, he said he represented IHS and Northern Tribal Utilities. Q. And he said that he was in control of the project? A. Yes, he said he was in control of the project. Q. Who is Sheri Bement? A. Sheri Bement is the general manager for the utilities company, or not utilities company,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 that company. They were there for I do know that they were there for two days and then left. Q. They were by "there," you mean at the application site? A. Yes. They were at the application for two days. Q. Do you know who hired that company? A. No, I don't. THE COURT: Was that you heard Mr. Robinson's testimony when he talked about the two occurrences, the first time that didn't involve you. Was that what you're just referring to, was that the first time, do you think, or do you not know? THE WITNESS: I was the first one to start pumping out of the tanks THE COURT: Okay. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 further questions. THE COURT: Okay. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Sprague, you testified that IHS you believe IHS had control over the project; is that correct? A. According to George Cummins, he said he represented IHS and Northern Tribal Utilities. Q. And he said that he was in control of the project? A. Yes, he said he was in control of the project. Q. Who is Sheri Bement? A. Sheri Bement is the general manager for the utilities company or not utilities company, but Northern Cheyenne Utilities.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 that company. They were there for I do know that they were there for two days and then left. Q. They were by "there," you mean at the application site? A. Yes. They were at the application for two days. Q. Do you know who hired that company? A. No, I don't. THE COURT: Was that you heard Mr. Robinson's testimony when he talked about the two occurrences, the first time that didn't involve you. Was that what you're just referring to, was that the first time, do you think, or do you not know? THE WITNESS: I was the first one to start pumping out of the tanks THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: because they were they 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 further questions. THE COURT: Okay. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Sprague, you testified that IHS you believe IHS had control over the project; is that correct? A. According to George Cummins, he said he represented IHS and Northern Tribal Utilities. Q. And he said that he was in control of the project? A. Yes, he said he was in control of the project. Q. Who is Sheri Bement? A. Sheri Bement is the general manager for the utilities company or not utilities company, but Northern Cheyenne Utilities. Q. And did you meet with Sheri?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 that company. They were there for I do know that they were there for two days and then left. Q. They were by "there," you mean at the application site? A. Yes. They were at the application for two days. Q. Do you know who hired that company? A. No, I don't. THE COURT: Was that you heard Mr. Robinson's testimony when he talked about the two occurrences, the first time that didn't involve you. Was that what you're just referring to, was that the first time, do you think, or do you not know? THE WITNESS: I was the first one to start pumping out of the tanks THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: because they were they were full when I started. What Mr. Robinson, I'm 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 further questions. THE COURT: Okay. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Sprague, you testified that IHS you believe IHS had control over the project; is that correct? A. According to George Cummins, he said he represented IHS and Northern Tribal Utilities. Q. And he said that he was in control of the project? A. Yes, he said he was in control of the project. Q. Who is Sheri Bement? A. Sheri Bement is the general manager for the utilities company or not utilities company, but Northern Cheyenne Utilities. Q. And did you meet with Sheri? A. Beforehand, no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 that company. They were there for I do know that they were there for two days and then left. Q. They were by "there," you mean at the application site? A. Yes. They were at the application for two days. Q. Do you know who hired that company? A. No, I don't. THE COURT: Was that you heard Mr. Robinson's testimony when he talked about the two occurrences, the first time that didn't involve you. Was that what you're just referring to, was that the first time, do you think, or do you not know? THE WITNESS: I was the first one to start pumping out of the tanks THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: because they were they were full when I started. What Mr. Robinson, I'm sure, was talking about is when this tanker showed 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 further questions. THE COURT: Okay. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Sprague, you testified that IHS you believe IHS had control over the project; is that correct? A. According to George Cummins, he said he represented IHS and Northern Tribal Utilities. Q. And he said that he was in control of the project? A. Yes, he said he was in control of the project. Q. Who is Sheri Bement? A. Sheri Bement is the general manager for the utilities company or not utilities company, but Northern Cheyenne Utilities. Q. And did you meet with Sheri? A. Beforehand, no. Q. Did you meet with Sheri after?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 that company. They were there for I do know that they were there for two days and then left. Q. They were by "there," you mean at the application site? A. Yes. They were at the application for two days. Q. Do you know who hired that company? A. No, I don't. THE COURT: Was that you heard Mr. Robinson's testimony when he talked about the two occurrences, the first time that didn't involve you. Was that what you're just referring to, was that the first time, do you think, or do you not know? THE WITNESS: I was the first one to start pumping out of the tanks THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: because they were they were full when I started. What Mr. Robinson, I'm sure, was talking about is when this tanker showed up on the they showed up on the 8/16, on August 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 further questions. THE COURT: Okay. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Sprague, you testified that IHS you believe IHS had control over the project; is that correct? A. According to George Cummins, he said he represented IHS and Northern Tribal Utilities. Q. And he said that he was in control of the project? A. Yes, he said he was in control of the project. Q. Who is Sheri Bement? A. Sheri Bement is the general manager for the utilities company or not utilities company, but Northern Cheyenne Utilities. Q. And did you meet with Sheri? A. Beforehand, no. Q. Did you meet with Sheri after? A. I had a I did go meet with Sheri the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 that company. They were there for I do know that they were there for two days and then left. Q. They were by "there," you mean at the application site? A. Yes. They were at the application for two days. Q. Do you know who hired that company? A. No, I don't. THE COURT: Was that you heard Mr. Robinson's testimony when he talked about the two occurrences, the first time that didn't involve you. Was that what you're just referring to, was that the first time, do you think, or do you not know? THE WITNESS: I was the first one to start pumping out of the tanks THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: because they were they were full when I started. What Mr. Robinson, I'm sure, was talking about is when this tanker showed up on the they showed up on the 8/16, on August 16th. And they I don't know how much they 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 further questions. THE COURT: Okay. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Sprague, you testified that IHS you believe IHS had control over the project; is that correct? A. According to George Cummins, he said he represented IHS and Northern Tribal Utilities. Q. And he said that he was in control of the project? A. Yes, he said he was in control of the project. Q. Who is Sheri Bement? A. Sheri Bement is the general manager for the utilities company or not utilities company, but Northern Cheyenne Utilities. Q. And did you meet with Sheri? A. Beforehand, no. Q. Did you meet with Sheri after? A. I had a I did go meet with Sheri the day that one of the utility trucks showed up and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 that company. They were there for I do know that they were there for two days and then left. Q. They were by "there," you mean at the application site? A. Yes. They were at the application for two days. Q. Do you know who hired that company? A. No, I don't. THE COURT: Was that you heard Mr. Robinson's testimony when he talked about the two occurrences, the first time that didn't involve you. Was that what you're just referring to, was that the first time, do you think, or do you not know? THE WITNESS: I was the first one to start pumping out of the tanks THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: because they were they were full when I started. What Mr. Robinson, I'm sure, was talking about is when this tanker showed up on the they showed up on the 8/16, on August 16th. And they I don't know how much they hauled, but I do know that I had talked to the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 further questions. THE COURT: Okay. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Sprague, you testified that IHS you believe IHS had control over the project; is that correct? A. According to George Cummins, he said he represented IHS and Northern Tribal Utilities. Q. And he said that he was in control of the project? A. Yes, he said he was in control of the project. Q. Who is Sheri Bement? A. Sheri Bement is the general manager for the utilities company or not utilities company, but Northern Cheyenne Utilities. Q. And did you meet with Sheri? A. Beforehand, no. Q. Did you meet with Sheri after? A. I had a I did go meet with Sheri the day that one of the utility trucks showed up and locked me out of the lagoon. I was actually
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 that company. They were there for I do know that they were there for two days and then left. Q. They were by "there," you mean at the application site? A. Yes. They were at the application for two days. Q. Do you know who hired that company? A. No, I don't. THE COURT: Was that you heard Mr. Robinson's testimony when he talked about the two occurrences, the first time that didn't involve you. Was that what you're just referring to, was that the first time, do you think, or do you not know? THE WITNESS: I was the first one to start pumping out of the tanks THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: because they were they were full when I started. What Mr. Robinson, I'm sure, was talking about is when this tanker showed up on the they showed up on the 8/16, on August 16th. And they I don't know how much they 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 further questions. THE COURT: Okay. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Mr. Sprague, you testified that IHS you believe IHS had control over the project; is that correct? A. According to George Cummins, he said he represented IHS and Northern Tribal Utilities. Q. And he said that he was in control of the project? A. Yes, he said he was in control of the project. Q. Who is Sheri Bement? A. Sheri Bement is the general manager for the utilities company or not utilities company, but Northern Cheyenne Utilities. Q. And did you meet with Sheri? A. Beforehand, no. Q. Did you meet with Sheri after? A. I had a I did go meet with Sheri the day that one of the utility trucks showed up and

105 (Pages 417 to 420)
	Page 421		Page 423
1	worked hand-in-hand in communicating every day,	1	Go ahead, Mr. Pierce.
2	make sure he was there, make sure I was there, so	2	BY MR. PIERCE:
3	that the field could be disked right after	3	Q. So you say that she locked you out of
4	application.	4	the facility and out of the project. Can you
5	I had left Tom Robinson in the field.	5	explain what that means?
6	As I was heading back to Lame Deer, the	6	A. Well, they physically paddle locked the
7	utilities Northern Cheyenne Utilities pickup	7	gate. And I had hoses that were still left in
8	stopped me and told me that I was to cease work	8	there that belong on my truck so I can do daily
9	immediately and that I was not I was no longer	9	work. And I went right to her office. That's when
10	allowed on the property. So I stopped in and I	10	this whole conversation happened. And I told her
11	spoke with Ms. Sheri Bement where she unleashed on	11	that I was going to access the property and get my
12	me for not having a current TERO card and said that	12	hoses. She told me she would have me arrested. I
13	that was the reason that I was locked off the	13	told her if anyone tried to stop me, I was going to
14	property, and that Adamas Construction did not have	14	hit them in the head with a hammer. And I was that
15	a TERO card, and they were being locked off the	15	dead serious about it.
16	property.	16	Q. Sure.
17	THE COURT: And can you elaborate on what	17	A. I was not about to be bullied.
18	that means, a TERO card?	18	She told me give her one minute. She
19	THE WITNESS: A TERO card, yes. A TERO card,	19	went and got on the phone, called her attorney. I
20	if you do any kind of construction for the	20	was overhearing some of what was said, and her
21	reservation, they have this TERO card. I don't	21	attorney said that I could access the property.
22	remember the that's the acronym, T-E-C-O	22	She then asked me if she could speak to
23	or but what you have to do is you have to pay a	23	me in private, took me around behind the building,
24	penalty to work on the reservation. You also have	24	and asked me if I would be interested in making
25	to hire so many natives if you work on the	25	money with her doing the lagoon project.

1	reservation. And that was her argument for kicking	1	Q. So she asked you to continue the lagoon
2	us off the site, was that there was not enough	2	project with her?
3	natives working.	3	A. Yes. Yes.
4	And I told her I was a one-man band. I	4	Q. And that would have been outside of
5	do not have employees, and I don't have to hire	5	Adamas Construction and myself?
б	anybody, you know. And she told me that I did. I	6	A. Yes.
7	said, "Well, then, they're going to stand around	7	Q. So would you say that she had control of
8	and look at me work, and I'm not doing that." And	8	the project?
9	she said she was bound she was confident that	9	A. Well, Northern Utilities had control of
10	Mr. Pierce was not hiring enough Native Americans	10	the project. But she asked me if I would continue
11	and not paying his TERO fee.	11	pumping, but under her. She wanted to set up her
12	So an example I don't have a TERO	12	own company to hire herself.
13	sheet on me. I quit being a member of TERO over	13	Q. Can you tell me who Jim Bement is?
14	this. I refuse to do work for the tribe anymore.	14	A. Jim Bement is her husband.
15	But an example, at that time I'm going to use	15	Q. And what role did he have?
16	rough numbers here, but like a \$100,000 job, you	16	A. He was on-site. I don't he was
17	would have to pay \$10,000 to TERO along with you	17	on-site in a pickup almost all days.
18	have to hire so many natives for the job. And they	18	Q. Okay. And then
19	keep track of that. And then that just goes into a	19	A. I would like to add one thing.
20	pool. They disburse it out.	20	Q. Sure.
21	Well, Sheri Bement went down she told	21	A. I was on-site a total of eight days,
22	me she went to the office basically to get her cut,	22	Your Honor. Okay. So eight days was the total.
23	and it was not there.	23	And it says right in my statement that I've given,
24	THE COURT: Okay. We'll leave it there for	24	it's from 8/9 to 10/16. I was on-site for eight
25	now. Thank you.	25	days. But if the tanker trailer was there the one

106 (Pages 421 to 424)

Page 424

	1490 123		1490 12,
1	day I was there or if it was the day before, we	1	pulled, like you have a truck that connects to it
2	have nine days total. So with Tom Robinson's	2	and pulls it, or no?
3	testimony that he saw George Cummins just a few	3	THE WITNESS: Well, there is a winch truck
4	times, the whole project took less than ten days.	4	that can hook up to a frack tank and pull it.
5	THE COURT: And I think this is clear. But	5	THE COURT: Okay.
6	your role in this was actually putting the sludge	6	THE WITNESS: What I have is a septic tank
7	on the soil for Mr. Robinson to till in.	7	truck. I have a small and it's a 2500-gallon
8	THE WITNESS: Yes. Transporting it from the	8	tank, but I can only haul 2300 gallons. I have to
9	frack tanks to the field where Mr. Robinson then	9	leave 200 gallons for vacuum pressure. I would
10	tilled it in.	10	remove 2300 gallons out of each one of these tanks
11	THE COURT: Okay. And the frack tanks are	11	and work my way one to one to one. So 20,000 I
12	where the lagoon is?	12	would take at least nine trips to empty one tank.
13	THE WITNESS: Yes. Well, they brought frack	13	Now, when the sludge or when the when
14	tanks into the lagoon. Normally, there would not	14	the bio-sludge was pumped in there from the lagoon,
15	be frack tanks there. They brought frack tanks	15	it had settled in the bottom, and some of it was
16	into the lagoon, and then Adamas Construction	16	too thick for me to pump. So I had to have
17	brought in a dredger. They brought in a big	17	Mr. Pierce fire up his dredger again and re-agitate
18	dredger and filled the frack tanks full of	18	the solids and liquify them. I mean yeah,
19	biosolids. And then it was my responsibility to	19	liquify the solids. So they're not coming out as a
20	then transport it from the frack tanks to the	20	solid. It has to be liquid.
21	field. And it was Mr. Robinson's responsibility to	21	THE COURT: Out of those frack tanks?
22	till it within six hours.	22	THE WITNESS: Yeah, out of those frack
23	THE COURT: From those tanks?	23	tanks. If it's a solid, that has to come out of
24	THE WITNESS: Yes.	24	a either hauled with a dump truck or haul or
25	THE COURT: Okay. And it sounds like in	25	sucked out with a large vac truck that's different
	Page 426		Page 428

1	addition to hauling those tanks to Mr. Robinson's	1
2	property	2
3	THE WITNESS: Hauling the components of the	3
4	tank the volume inside the tanks, yes.	4
5	THE COURT: Okay. It was also then spread on	5
б	top of the soil?	6
7	THE WITNESS: Yes. That's what	7
8	THE COURT: That's what you did?	8
9	THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes.	9
10	THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Sorry, but it helps	10
11	me to just kind of	11
12	MR. PIERCE: No. No, problem, Your Honor.	12
13	THE COURT: understand some of this.	13
14	Because I thought I had an idea of what a frack	14
15	tank was, but I'm not so sure I do anymore. So can	15
16	you just tell me what it is?	16
17	THE WITNESS: Okay.	17
18	THE COURT: Like, kind of visually set this	18
19	up so I can	19
20	THE WITNESS: A frack tank is a large tank.	20
21	It has one wheel in the back. They load it up with	21
22	a winch truck. It's a large rectangle-shaped tank.	22
23	THE COURT: Okay.	23
24	THE WITNESS: It holds 20,000 gallons, so	24
25	THE COURT: Okay. And then is that literally	25

1	than mine. My vac truck only sucks up liquids
2	with, you know, partial solids. And I can't suck
3	up solids.
4	THE COURT: Okay. That was helpful, thank
5	you.
6	Go ahead.
7	BY MR. PIERCE:
8	Q. Mr. Sprague, were you and Tom Robinson
9	the only ones to do the tilling and the spraying?
10	A. Um, yes, to my knowledge. Like I said,
11	that tanker had showed up the day before. Whether
12	they offloaded anything, from my recollection, that
13	truck driver told me they couldn't get the product
14	out of their tank. When I got there in the
15	morning, their tank was still sitting there full,
16	so I offloaded it then.
17	Q. Did you observe myself or anybody from
18	my company do the work of spraying or tilling?
19	A. No, no. I did all the application.
20	Like I said, the tanker transported some of it out
21	there, but I still did the same thing I would have
22	done I did the same thing to the tanker truck
23	that I would have done to the frack tank. I had to
24	suck it out of the tanker truck and then apply it.
25	THE COURT: And that's the spraying you're

107 (Pages 425 to 428)

	Page 429		Page 431
1	talking about?	1	tank a treatment process or a preparation process?
2	THE WITNESS: Yeah. That's spraying, yes.	2	A. It's a preparation process.
3	THE COURT: Okay.	3	Q. Thank you.
4	THE WITNESS: And on the day that I was	4	RE-DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	talking about with Mrs. Bement, when she locked me	5	BY MS. KACSUR:
6	out, I went back in and got my hose. I went uptown	6	Q. Mr. Sprague, we discussed earlier you
7	and got something to eat at the gas station, and I	7	had a contract with Mr. Pierce, correct?
8	ran into Mr. Tom Robinson, and he had no idea. He	8	A. Yes.
9	was asking me when I'm showing up for the next	9	Q. Who gave you that contract for this
10	load, so	10	project?
11	BY MR. PIERCE:	11	A. Who gave me the contract?
12	Q. So Tom Robinson had no idea the project	12	Q. Uh-huh.
13	had been shut down?	13	A. I signed it with Mr. Pierce.
14	A. No. Just like he stated earlier today,	14	Q. Did you draft the contract?
15	he didn't know why it was shut down. When I talked	15	A. No. Mr. Pierce drafted the contract.
16	to him, he had no idea that it had been shut down,	16	Q. So would you say that he hired you for
17	so	17	this project?
18	Q. Okay. Real quick and this will be	18	A. Yes, as a subcontractor.
19	pretty much my last question can you read the	19	Q. Did Sheri Bement give you any directions
20	highlighted portion for us?	20	for this project?
21	A. Right here?	21	A. No.
22	Q. Yes.	22	Q. And the issues with her and with being
23	A. It says, "Land application is spraying	23	locked out happened after the application project,
24	or spreading of sewage sludge onto a land surface,	24	correct? Or mid-application project?
25	the injection of sewage sludge below a land	25	A. Right. It happened during the
	Page 430		Page 432
		1	10.90 101
1	surface, or the incorporation of sewage sludge into	1	application project.
1 2	surface, or the incorporation of sewage sludge into the soil so that the sewage sludge can either	1 2	
			application project.
2	the soil so that the sewage sludge can either	2	application project. MS. KACSUR: Thank you. No further
2 3	the soil so that the sewage sludge can either be" "either condition the soil or fertilize	2 3	application project. MS. KACSUR: Thank you. No further questions. THE COURT: I have a few. How many frack tanks were there?
2 3 4	the soil so that the sewage sludge can either be" "either condition the soil or fertilize crops or vegetation growth in the soil."	2 3 4	application project. MS. KACSUR: Thank you. No further questions. THE COURT: I have a few. How many frack tanks were there? THE WITNESS: Five.
2 3 4 5	 the soil so that the sewage sludge can either be" "either condition the soil or fertilize crops or vegetation growth in the soil." Q. And would you say that you and Tom Robinson meet that description? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5	application project. MS. KACSUR: Thank you. No further questions. THE COURT: I have a few. How many frack tanks were there? THE WITNESS: Five. THE COURT: And do you know who was
2 3 4 5 6	 the soil so that the sewage sludge can either be" "either condition the soil or fertilize crops or vegetation growth in the soil." Q. And would you say that you and Tom Robinson meet that description? 	2 3 4 5 6	application project. MS. KACSUR: Thank you. No further questions. THE COURT: I have a few. How many frack tanks were there? THE WITNESS: Five.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 the soil so that the sewage sludge can either be" "either condition the soil or fertilize crops or vegetation growth in the soil." Q. And would you say that you and Tom Robinson meet that description? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	application project. MS. KACSUR: Thank you. No further questions. THE COURT: I have a few. How many frack tanks were there? THE WITNESS: Five. THE COURT: And do you know who was responsible for the decision to lock you out or terminate the project?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 the soil so that the sewage sludge can either be" "either condition the soil or fertilize crops or vegetation growth in the soil." Q. And would you say that you and Tom Robinson meet that description? A. Yes. Q. Would you say that Adamas meets that description? A. Well, I would say that the land 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	application project. MS. KACSUR: Thank you. No further questions. THE COURT: I have a few. How many frack tanks were there? THE WITNESS: Five. THE COURT: And do you know who was responsible for the decision to lock you out or terminate the project? THE WITNESS: Yeah. Sheri Bement.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 the soil so that the sewage sludge can either be" "either condition the soil or fertilize crops or vegetation growth in the soil." Q. And would you say that you and Tom Robinson meet that description? A. Yes. Q. Would you say that Adamas meets that description? A. Well, I would say that the land application was done properly. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	application project. MS. KACSUR: Thank you. No further questions. THE COURT: I have a few. How many frack tanks were there? THE WITNESS: Five. THE COURT: And do you know who was responsible for the decision to lock you out or terminate the project? THE WITNESS: Yeah. Sheri Bement. THE COURT: And how do you know that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 the soil so that the sewage sludge can either be" "either condition the soil or fertilize crops or vegetation growth in the soil." Q. And would you say that you and Tom Robinson meet that description? A. Yes. Q. Would you say that Adamas meets that description? A. Well, I would say that the land application was done properly. Q. Sure. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	application project. MS. KACSUR: Thank you. No further questions. THE COURT: I have a few. How many frack tanks were there? THE WITNESS: Five. THE COURT: And do you know who was responsible for the decision to lock you out or terminate the project? THE WITNESS: Yeah. Sheri Bement.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 the soil so that the sewage sludge can either be" "either condition the soil or fertilize crops or vegetation growth in the soil." Q. And would you say that you and Tom Robinson meet that description? A. Yes. Q. Would you say that Adamas meets that description? A. Well, I would say that the land application was done properly. Q. Sure. A. And it was definitely done within state 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	application project. MS. KACSUR: Thank you. No further questions. THE COURT: I have a few. How many frack tanks were there? THE WITNESS: Five. THE COURT: And do you know who was responsible for the decision to lock you out or terminate the project? THE WITNESS: Yeah. Sheri Bement. THE COURT: And how do you know that? THE WITNESS: Because she told me. Because I drove
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 the soil so that the sewage sludge can either be" "either condition the soil or fertilize crops or vegetation growth in the soil." Q. And would you say that you and Tom Robinson meet that description? A. Yes. Q. Would you say that Adamas meets that description? A. Well, I would say that the land application was done properly. Q. Sure. A. And it was definitely done within state regulations. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	application project. MS. KACSUR: Thank you. No further questions. THE COURT: I have a few. How many frack tanks were there? THE WITNESS: Five. THE COURT: And do you know who was responsible for the decision to lock you out or terminate the project? THE WITNESS: Yeah. Sheri Bement. THE WITNESS: Yeah. Sheri Bement. THE WITNESS: Because she told me. Because I drove THE COURT: When you had the conversation?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 the soil so that the sewage sludge can either be" "either condition the soil or fertilize crops or vegetation growth in the soil." Q. And would you say that you and Tom Robinson meet that description? A. Yes. Q. Would you say that Adamas meets that description? A. Well, I would say that the land application was done properly. Q. Sure. A. And it was definitely done within state regulations. Q. Sure. So you think that you and Tom 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	application project. MS. KACSUR: Thank you. No further questions. THE COURT: I have a few. How many frack tanks were there? THE WITNESS: Five. THE COURT: And do you know who was responsible for the decision to lock you out or terminate the project? THE WITNESS: Yeah. Sheri Bement. THE COURT: And how do you know that? THE WITNESS: Because she told me. Because I drove THE COURT: When you had the conversation? THE WITNESS: I drove right to her office
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 the soil so that the sewage sludge can either be" "either condition the soil or fertilize crops or vegetation growth in the soil." Q. And would you say that you and Tom Robinson meet that description? A. Yes. Q. Would you say that Adamas meets that description? A. Well, I would say that the land application was done properly. Q. Sure. A. And it was definitely done within state regulations. Q. Sure. So you think that you and Tom Robinson are the ones who meet that description? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	application project. MS. KACSUR: Thank you. No further questions. THE COURT: I have a few. How many frack tanks were there? THE WITNESS: Five. THE COURT: And do you know who was responsible for the decision to lock you out or terminate the project? THE WITNESS: Yeah. Sheri Bement. THE COURT: And how do you know that? THE WITNESS: Because she told me. Because I drove THE COURT: When you had the conversation? THE WITNESS: I drove right to her office the moment they notified me that I was locked out.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 the soil so that the sewage sludge can either be" "either condition the soil or fertilize crops or vegetation growth in the soil." Q. And would you say that you and Tom Robinson meet that description? A. Yes. Q. Would you say that Adamas meets that description? A. Well, I would say that the land application was done properly. Q. Sure. A. And it was definitely done within state regulations. Q. Sure. So you think that you and Tom Robinson are the ones who meet that description? A. Yes. Now 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	application project. MS. KACSUR: Thank you. No further questions. THE COURT: I have a few. How many frack tanks were there? THE WITNESS: Five. THE COURT: And do you know who was responsible for the decision to lock you out or terminate the project? THE WITNESS: Yeah. Sheri Bement. THE COURT: And how do you know that? THE WITNESS: Because she told me. Because I drove THE COURT: When you had the conversation? THE WITNESS: I drove right to her office the moment they notified me that I was locked out. And I went there I went there to get my hose so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 the soil so that the sewage sludge can either be" "either condition the soil or fertilize crops or vegetation growth in the soil." Q. And would you say that you and Tom Robinson meet that description? A. Yes. Q. Would you say that Adamas meets that description? A. Well, I would say that the land application was done properly. Q. Sure. A. And it was definitely done within state regulations. Q. Sure. So you think that you and Tom Robinson are the ones who meet that description? A. Yes. Now Q. Do I meet that description, as I did not 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	application project. MS. KACSUR: Thank you. No further questions. THE COURT: I have a few. How many frack tanks were there? THE WITNESS: Five. THE COURT: And do you know who was responsible for the decision to lock you out or terminate the project? THE WITNESS: Yeah. Sheri Bement. THE COURT: And how do you know that? THE WITNESS: Because she told me. Because I drove THE COURT: When you had the conversation? THE WITNESS: I drove right to her office the moment they notified me that I was locked out. And I went there I went there to get my hose so I could you know, I could do septic systems for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 the soil so that the sewage sludge can either be" "either condition the soil or fertilize crops or vegetation growth in the soil." Q. And would you say that you and Tom Robinson meet that description? A. Yes. Q. Would you say that Adamas meets that description? A. Well, I would say that the land application was done properly. Q. Sure. A. And it was definitely done within state regulations. Q. Sure. So you think that you and Tom Robinson are the ones who meet that description? A. Yes. Now Q. Do I meet that description, as I did not apply or spray? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	application project. MS. KACSUR: Thank you. No further questions. THE COURT: I have a few. How many frack tanks were there? THE WITNESS: Five. THE COURT: And do you know who was responsible for the decision to lock you out or terminate the project? THE WITNESS: Yeah. Sheri Bement. THE COURT: And how do you know that? THE WITNESS: Because she told me. Because I drove THE COURT: When you had the conversation? THE WITNESS: I drove right to her office the moment they notified me that I was locked out. And I went there I went there to get my hose so I could you know, I could do septic systems for residents, stuff like that. And as soon as I went
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 the soil so that the sewage sludge can either be" "either condition the soil or fertilize crops or vegetation growth in the soil." Q. And would you say that you and Tom Robinson meet that description? A. Yes. Q. Would you say that Adamas meets that description? A. Well, I would say that the land application was done properly. Q. Sure. A. And it was definitely done within state regulations. Q. Sure. So you think that you and Tom Robinson are the ones who meet that description? A. Yes. Now Q. Do I meet that description, as I did not apply or spray? A. Well, you did not apply or spray. You 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	application project. MS. KACSUR: Thank you. No further questions. THE COURT: I have a few. How many frack tanks were there? THE WITNESS: Five. THE COURT: And do you know who was responsible for the decision to lock you out or terminate the project? THE WITNESS: Yeah. Sheri Bement. THE COURT: And how do you know that? THE WITNESS: Because she told me. Because I drove THE COURT: When you had the conversation? THE WITNESS: I drove right to her office the moment they notified me that I was locked out. And I went there I went there to get my hose so I could you know, I could do septic systems for residents, stuff like that. And as soon as I went there and noticed the gate was locked, I drove
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 the soil so that the sewage sludge can either be" "either condition the soil or fertilize crops or vegetation growth in the soil." Q. And would you say that you and Tom Robinson meet that description? A. Yes. Q. Would you say that Adamas meets that description? A. Well, I would say that the land application was done properly. Q. Sure. A. And it was definitely done within state regulations. Q. Sure. So you think that you and Tom Robinson are the ones who meet that description? A. Yes. Now Q. Do I meet that description, as I did not apply or spray? A. Well, you did not apply or spray. You sucked it out of the lagoon into the tanks, as I 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	application project. MS. KACSUR: Thank you. No further questions. THE COURT: I have a few. How many frack tanks were there? THE WITNESS: Five. THE COURT: And do you know who was responsible for the decision to lock you out or terminate the project? THE WITNESS: Yeah. Sheri Bement. THE COURT: And how do you know that? THE WITNESS: Because she told me. Because I drove THE COURT: When you had the conversation? THE WITNESS: I drove right to her office the moment they notified me that I was locked out. And I went there I went there to get my hose so I could you know, I could do septic systems for residents, stuff like that. And as soon as I went there and noticed the gate was locked, I drove right to her office, and I got it right from her
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 the soil so that the sewage sludge can either be" "either condition the soil or fertilize crops or vegetation growth in the soil." Q. And would you say that you and Tom Robinson meet that description? A. Yes. Q. Would you say that Adamas meets that description? A. Well, I would say that the land application was done properly. Q. Sure. A. And it was definitely done within state regulations. Q. Sure. So you think that you and Tom Robinson are the ones who meet that description? A. Yes. Now Q. Do I meet that description, as I did not apply or spray? A. Well, you did not apply or spray. You sucked it out of the lagoon into the tanks, as I said before. But Tom Robinson and I did do a land 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	application project. MS. KACSUR: Thank you. No further questions. THE COURT: I have a few. How many frack tanks were there? THE WITNESS: Five. THE COURT: And do you know who was responsible for the decision to lock you out or terminate the project? THE WITNESS: Yeah. Sheri Bement. THE COURT: And how do you know that? THE WITNESS: Because she told me. Because I drove THE COURT: When you had the conversation? THE WITNESS: I drove right to her office the moment they notified me that I was locked out. And I went there I went there to get my hose so I could you know, I could do septic systems for residents, stuff like that. And as soon as I went there and noticed the gate was locked, I drove right to her office, and I got it right from her mouth.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 the soil so that the sewage sludge can either be" "either condition the soil or fertilize crops or vegetation growth in the soil." Q. And would you say that you and Tom Robinson meet that description? A. Yes. Q. Would you say that Adamas meets that description? A. Well, I would say that the land application was done properly. Q. Sure. A. And it was definitely done within state regulations. Q. Sure. So you think that you and Tom Robinson are the ones who meet that description? A. Yes. Now Q. Do I meet that description, as I did not apply or spray? A. Well, you did not apply or spray. You sucked it out of the lagoon into the tanks, as I said before. But Tom Robinson and I did do a land application. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	application project. MS. KACSUR: Thank you. No further questions. THE COURT: I have a few. How many frack tanks were there? THE WITNESS: Five. THE COURT: And do you know who was responsible for the decision to lock you out or terminate the project? THE WITNESS: Yeah. Sheri Bement. THE COURT: And how do you know that? THE WITNESS: Because she told me. Because I drove THE COURT: When you had the conversation? THE WITNESS: I drove right to her office the moment they notified me that I was locked out. And I went there I went there to get my hose so I could you know, I could do septic systems for residents, stuff like that. And as soon as I went there and noticed the gate was locked, I drove right to her office, and I got it right from her mouth. THE COURT: About locking locking it out
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 the soil so that the sewage sludge can either be" "either condition the soil or fertilize crops or vegetation growth in the soil." Q. And would you say that you and Tom Robinson meet that description? A. Yes. Q. Would you say that Adamas meets that description? A. Well, I would say that the land application was done properly. Q. Sure. A. And it was definitely done within state regulations. Q. Sure. So you think that you and Tom Robinson are the ones who meet that description? A. Yes. Now Q. Do I meet that description, as I did not apply or spray? A. Well, you did not apply or spray. You sucked it out of the lagoon into the tanks, as I said before. But Tom Robinson and I did do a land application. Q. In your experience, is sucking biosolids 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 application project. MS. KACSUR: Thank you. No further questions. THE COURT: I have a few. How many frack tanks were there? THE WITNESS: Five. THE COURT: And do you know who was responsible for the decision to lock you out or terminate the project? THE WITNESS: Yeah. Sheri Bement. THE COURT: And how do you know that? THE WITNESS: Because she told me. Because I drove THE COURT: When you had the conversation? THE WITNESS: I drove right to her office the moment they notified me that I was locked out. And I went there I went there to get my hose so I could you know, I could do septic systems for residents, stuff like that. And as soon as I went there and noticed the gate was locked, I drove right to her office, and I got it right from her mouth. THE COURT: About locking locking it out and the reasons you gave?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 the soil so that the sewage sludge can either be" "either condition the soil or fertilize crops or vegetation growth in the soil." Q. And would you say that you and Tom Robinson meet that description? A. Yes. Q. Would you say that Adamas meets that description? A. Well, I would say that the land application was done properly. Q. Sure. A. And it was definitely done within state regulations. Q. Sure. So you think that you and Tom Robinson are the ones who meet that description? A. Yes. Now Q. Do I meet that description, as I did not apply or spray? A. Well, you did not apply or spray. You sucked it out of the lagoon into the tanks, as I said before. But Tom Robinson and I did do a land application. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	application project. MS. KACSUR: Thank you. No further questions. THE COURT: I have a few. How many frack tanks were there? THE WITNESS: Five. THE COURT: And do you know who was responsible for the decision to lock you out or terminate the project? THE WITNESS: Yeah. Sheri Bement. THE COURT: And how do you know that? THE WITNESS: Because she told me. Because I drove THE COURT: When you had the conversation? THE WITNESS: I drove right to her office the moment they notified me that I was locked out. And I went there I went there to get my hose so I could you know, I could do septic systems for residents, stuff like that. And as soon as I went there and noticed the gate was locked, I drove right to her office, and I got it right from her mouth. THE COURT: About locking locking it out

108 (Pages 429 to 432)

	Page 433		Page 435
1	THE COURT: What prompted you to go straight	1	MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am.
2	to her office? How did you know where to go when	2	THE COURT: Okay. So I think we're going to
3	you got locked out?	3	be taking you out of turn once the complainant
4	THE WITNESS: Well, I had an incident with	4	rests with the presentation of their case, and then
5	Mrs. Sheri Bement approximately six months earlier	5	Mr. Pierce will have the chance to ask you
6	than that when she was with an EPA officer. And I	6	questions. But before we get there, is there
7	was dumping in the Birney lagoon for ten septic	7	anything else as it relates to the project that is
8	tanks for the Northern Cheyenne Tribal Housing	8	before me to decide that you want to be sure to
9	Authority, okay? And I had stopped at her	9	tell me about that you haven't already?
10	office which I have done lots of work for	10	THE WITNESS: If it doesn't come up, I will
11	Mrs. Bement before. I had stopped at her office,	11	bring it up later.
12	and I had obtained a dump permit to dump in the	12	THE COURT: Okay. Fair enough. All right.
13	lagoon. Because when people have septic tanks, we	13	So you can just stay right there.
14	dump it out and it has to go somewhere.	14	THE WITNESS: Okay.
15	So at that time, I obtained I prepaid	15	THE COURT: Mr. Pierce, do you want to go
16	for ten septic tanks to be dumped in the lagoon.	16	ahead well, I'm assuming here. Let me ask the
17	And as I was dumping in the lagoon, she happened to	17	plaintiff directly. Are you resting at this point
18	show up with an inspector, and she says that I was	18	with your direct presentation? With your case in
19	illegally dumping, and they wanted to seize my	19	chief, I should say, absent rebuttal.
20	equipment. And I went back so after I got in	20	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor.
21	the discussion with her, I knew I had prepaid the	21	Complainant rests.
22	day before for these. I asked a friend of mine to	22	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much.
23	go to my house, get my get my records, and I	23	Mr. Pierce, then we'll leave
24	drove directly to her office that day, too.	24	Mr. Robinson [sic] up here. And if you would, just
25	THE COURT: And so where I was going with	25	go ahead and ask whatever you'd like to ask on
	Page 434		Page 436
1	this question was more in the sense of I mean, I	1	direct.
2	appreciate some context there with a past	2	MR. PIERCE: Mr. Sprague, yes, ma'am.
3	encounter. But what I'm wondering about is what	3	THE COURT: I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Yes.
4	led you to go to speak to her in particular as	4	Mr. Pierce asking Mr. Sprague.
5	opposed to anybody else in the project.	5	DIRECT EXAMINATION
б	THE WITNESS: Okay. Because I know that	6	BY MR. PIERCE:
7	she's in charge of NCUC.	7	Q. Mr. Sprague, how many years have you
8	THE COURT: Okay.	8	been doing sewer projects?

	5		5
1	this question was more in the sense of I mean, I	1	direct.
2	appreciate some context there with a past	2	MR. PIERCE: Mr. Sprague, yes, ma'am.
3	encounter. But what I'm wondering about is what	3	THE COURT: I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Yes.
4	led you to go to speak to her in particular as	4	Mr. Pierce asking Mr. Sprague.
5	opposed to anybody else in the project.	5	DIRECT EXAMINATION
6	THE WITNESS: Okay. Because I know that	6	BY MR. PIERCE:
7	she's in charge of NCUC.	7	Q. Mr. Sprague, how many years have you
8	THE COURT: Okay.	8	been doing sewer projects?
9	THE WITNESS: She is the manager there.	9	A. Since 2009. Well, I've owned my own
10	THE COURT: Okay. And that's what prompted	10	business since 2009. You're talking about sewer
11	you to go speak to her about this shutdown of the	11	projects, I usually stick to septic.
12	project?	12	Q. Okay.
13	THE WITNESS: Right.	13	A. Residential septic.
14	THE COURT: Okay.	14	Q. So you've worked in the septic and sewer
15	THE WITNESS: Right. So I knew I knew who	15	industry since 2009?
16	to go and contact. I knew who was in charge of the	16	A. Yes. I've owned my own business since
17	site, so I went directly to her office.	17	2009.
18	THE COURT: Okay. I just want to check in	18	Q. Okay. And I think you already touched
19	with the parties quickly. Anything any other	19	on this earlier, but your truck cannot haul solid
20	questions that you have for Mr. Sprague now?	20	sludge; is that correct?
21	MR. PIERCE: On my my.	21	A. That's correct.
22	THE COURT: Re-cross.	22	Q. So it has to be watered down?
23	MR. PRICE: No re-cross or anything, Your	23	A. That's correct.
24	Honor.	24	Q. And you testified that Sheri Bement was
25	THE COURT: Just when you call him?	25	in control. Why wouldn't you come to me when you

109 (Pages 433 to 436)

	Page 437		Page 439
1	got locked out? Why did you go to Sheri?	1	no. But like I said, I have that on my phone if
2	A. Well, you weren't the one locking me	2	you'd like to see it.
3	out. Sheri was the one that was locking me out,	3	BY MR. PIERCE:
4	and she was in control of the site. It's	4	Q. So NCUC controlled the times that you
5	her I've done many business dealings with	5	were able to work?
6	Sheri, and she's the one you have to go through to	6	A. NCUC said that they had to be there to
7	get permission to dump on the site. She's the one	7	inspect when I was working. Otherwise, there
8	you have to get permission to go to access the	8	wouldn't have been a tanker truck called if I could
9	site. As I was saying earlier, when I was accused	9	have worked weekends. But George Cummins said
10	of illegally dumping, her thank goodness her	10	absolutely not. Northern Utilities had to have a
11	secretary had it in her handwriting the day before	11	representative there at all times.
12	where they had approved me to dump on the lagoon.	12	Q. Were you present at negotiations with
13	Which I see the 2017 deal from the EPA on the	13	NCUC, IHS, and Adamas Construction at the IHS
14		14	office in 2019?
	screen yesterday says that they weren't supposed to		
15	be allowing any extra. And that's how I knew.	15	A. Yes, I was.
16	Q. So it seems in your opinion, would you	16	Q. And what was your impression of that
17	say that there's some common violations that occur	17	meeting?
18	with the NCUC in regard to environmental laws?	18	A. My impression of that meeting was IHS
19	A. I think the people at NCUC are in over	19	said that they were in a contract with NCUC for
20	their head. They call on subcontractors at the	20	paying NCUC for work that Adamas Construction had
21	spur of the moment. They've called me in the	21	done, and IHS did not believe that they owed Adamas
22	middle of the night to come help them fix projects.	22	Construction any money because they had only
23	And, you know, they grasp for help wherever they	23	removed three percent solids. Well, they said that
24	can, but by no means when I come and show up and	24	it was only three percent solids in the frack tanks
25	help in the middle of the night am I an operator.	25	when they went and tested them. When they went and
	Page 438		Page 440
1		1	
1	I'm a subcontractor, you know.	1	did the field test site, they went and tested the
2	I'm a subcontractor, you know. Q. And you're touching on that, and that's	2	did the field test site, they went and tested the last remaining frack tank that was left, and they
2 3	I'm a subcontractor, you know. Q. And you're touching on that, and that's a good thing to touch on. Can a subcontractor also	2 3	did the field test site, they went and tested the last remaining frack tank that was left, and they said it only had three percent sludge in it. That
2 3 4	I'm a subcontractor, you know. Q. And you're touching on that, and that's a good thing to touch on. Can a subcontractor also subcontract other people during a contract?	2 3 4	did the field test site, they went and tested the last remaining frack tank that was left, and they said it only had three percent sludge in it. That way, they figured they didn't need to pay
2 3 4 5	I'm a subcontractor, you know. Q. And you're touching on that, and that's a good thing to touch on. Can a subcontractor also subcontract other people during a contract? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5	did the field test site, they went and tested the last remaining frack tank that was left, and they said it only had three percent sludge in it. That way, they figured they didn't need to pay Mr. Adamas because he did not remove enough sludge.
2 3 4 5 6	 I'm a subcontractor, you know. Q. And you're touching on that, and that's a good thing to touch on. Can a subcontractor also subcontract other people during a contract? A. Yes. Q. So it's possible for NCUC to contract me 	2 3 4 5 6	did the field test site, they went and tested the last remaining frack tank that was left, and they said it only had three percent sludge in it. That way, they figured they didn't need to pay Mr. Adamas because he did not remove enough sludge. And on the other hand, they said, "Oh, you're
2 3 4 5 6 7	 I'm a subcontractor, you know. Q. And you're touching on that, and that's a good thing to touch on. Can a subcontractor also subcontract other people during a contract? A. Yes. Q. So it's possible for NCUC to contract me and then for me to subcontract somebody else? 	2 3 4 5 6 7	did the field test site, they went and tested the last remaining frack tank that was left, and they said it only had three percent sludge in it. That way, they figured they didn't need to pay Mr. Adamas because he did not remove enough sludge. And on the other hand, they said, "Oh, you're hauling too much sludge. You know, we're shutting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 I'm a subcontractor, you know. Q. And you're touching on that, and that's a good thing to touch on. Can a subcontractor also subcontract other people during a contract? A. Yes. Q. So it's possible for NCUC to contract me and then for me to subcontract somebody else? A. Yes. That happens all the time. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	did the field test site, they went and tested the last remaining frack tank that was left, and they said it only had three percent sludge in it. That way, they figured they didn't need to pay Mr. Adamas because he did not remove enough sludge. And on the other hand, they said, "Oh, you're hauling too much sludge. You know, we're shutting you down."
2 3 4 5 7 8 9	 I'm a subcontractor, you know. Q. And you're touching on that, and that's a good thing to touch on. Can a subcontractor also subcontract other people during a contract? A. Yes. Q. So it's possible for NCUC to contract me and then for me to subcontract somebody else? A. Yes. That happens all the time. Q. Who's in control at that point, though? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	did the field test site, they went and tested the last remaining frack tank that was left, and they said it only had three percent sludge in it. That way, they figured they didn't need to pay Mr. Adamas because he did not remove enough sludge. And on the other hand, they said, "Oh, you're hauling too much sludge. You know, we're shutting you down." Q. So you would say that IHS wasn't
2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10	 I'm a subcontractor, you know. Q. And you're touching on that, and that's a good thing to touch on. Can a subcontractor also subcontract other people during a contract? A. Yes. Q. So it's possible for NCUC to contract me and then for me to subcontract somebody else? A. Yes. That happens all the time. Q. Who's in control at that point, though? A. Well, NCUC has final say. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	did the field test site, they went and tested the last remaining frack tank that was left, and they said it only had three percent sludge in it. That way, they figured they didn't need to pay Mr. Adamas because he did not remove enough sludge. And on the other hand, they said, "Oh, you're hauling too much sludge. You know, we're shutting you down." Q. So you would say that IHS wasn't consistent in their assessment of whether it was
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	 I'm a subcontractor, you know. Q. And you're touching on that, and that's a good thing to touch on. Can a subcontractor also subcontract other people during a contract? A. Yes. Q. So it's possible for NCUC to contract me and then for me to subcontract somebody else? A. Yes. That happens all the time. Q. Who's in control at that point, though? A. Well, NCUC has final say. Q. And in this case, did they have the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	did the field test site, they went and tested the last remaining frack tank that was left, and they said it only had three percent sludge in it. That way, they figured they didn't need to pay Mr. Adamas because he did not remove enough sludge. And on the other hand, they said, "Oh, you're hauling too much sludge. You know, we're shutting you down." Q. So you would say that IHS wasn't consistent in their assessment of whether it was too much or too little or too thick?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 I'm a subcontractor, you know. Q. And you're touching on that, and that's a good thing to touch on. Can a subcontractor also subcontract other people during a contract? A. Yes. Q. So it's possible for NCUC to contract me and then for me to subcontract somebody else? A. Yes. That happens all the time. Q. Who's in control at that point, though? A. Well, NCUC has final say. Q. And in this case, did they have the final say? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	did the field test site, they went and tested the last remaining frack tank that was left, and they said it only had three percent sludge in it. That way, they figured they didn't need to pay Mr. Adamas because he did not remove enough sludge. And on the other hand, they said, "Oh, you're hauling too much sludge. You know, we're shutting you down." Q. So you would say that IHS wasn't consistent in their assessment of whether it was too much or too little or too thick? A. That's correct. And I also had a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 I'm a subcontractor, you know. Q. And you're touching on that, and that's a good thing to touch on. Can a subcontractor also subcontract other people during a contract? A. Yes. Q. So it's possible for NCUC to contract me and then for me to subcontract somebody else? A. Yes. That happens all the time. Q. Who's in control at that point, though? A. Well, NCUC has final say. Q. And in this case, did they have the final say? A. Yes. On several instances, NCUC 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	did the field test site, they went and tested the last remaining frack tank that was left, and they said it only had three percent sludge in it. That way, they figured they didn't need to pay Mr. Adamas because he did not remove enough sludge. And on the other hand, they said, "Oh, you're hauling too much sludge. You know, we're shutting you down." Q. So you would say that IHS wasn't consistent in their assessment of whether it was too much or too little or too thick? A. That's correct. And I also had a conversation there with let me make sure I get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 I'm a subcontractor, you know. Q. And you're touching on that, and that's a good thing to touch on. Can a subcontractor also subcontract other people during a contract? A. Yes. Q. So it's possible for NCUC to contract me and then for me to subcontract somebody else? A. Yes. That happens all the time. Q. Who's in control at that point, though? A. Well, NCUC has final say. Q. And in this case, did they have the final say? A. Yes. On several instances, NCUC overruled you. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	did the field test site, they went and tested the last remaining frack tank that was left, and they said it only had three percent sludge in it. That way, they figured they didn't need to pay Mr. Adamas because he did not remove enough sludge. And on the other hand, they said, "Oh, you're hauling too much sludge. You know, we're shutting you down." Q. So you would say that IHS wasn't consistent in their assessment of whether it was too much or too little or too thick? A. That's correct. And I also had a conversation there with let me make sure I get his name right James Courtney at that meeting.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 I'm a subcontractor, you know. Q. And you're touching on that, and that's a good thing to touch on. Can a subcontractor also subcontract other people during a contract? A. Yes. Q. So it's possible for NCUC to contract me and then for me to subcontract somebody else? A. Yes. That happens all the time. Q. Who's in control at that point, though? A. Well, NCUC has final say. Q. And in this case, did they have the final say? A. Yes. On several instances, NCUC overruled you. THE COURT: With respect to this project 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	did the field test site, they went and tested the last remaining frack tank that was left, and they said it only had three percent sludge in it. That way, they figured they didn't need to pay Mr. Adamas because he did not remove enough sludge. And on the other hand, they said, "Oh, you're hauling too much sludge. You know, we're shutting you down." Q. So you would say that IHS wasn't consistent in their assessment of whether it was too much or too little or too thick? A. That's correct. And I also had a conversation there with let me make sure I get his name right James Courtney at that meeting. James Courtney spoke about how they did the samples
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 I'm a subcontractor, you know. Q. And you're touching on that, and that's a good thing to touch on. Can a subcontractor also subcontract other people during a contract? A. Yes. Q. So it's possible for NCUC to contract me and then for me to subcontract somebody else? A. Yes. That happens all the time. Q. Who's in control at that point, though? A. Well, NCUC has final say. Q. And in this case, did they have the final say? A. Yes. On several instances, NCUC overruled you. THE COURT: With respect to this project that's before me? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	did the field test site, they went and tested the last remaining frack tank that was left, and they said it only had three percent sludge in it. That way, they figured they didn't need to pay Mr. Adamas because he did not remove enough sludge. And on the other hand, they said, "Oh, you're hauling too much sludge. You know, we're shutting you down." Q. So you would say that IHS wasn't consistent in their assessment of whether it was too much or too little or too thick? A. That's correct. And I also had a conversation there with let me make sure I get his name right James Courtney at that meeting. James Courtney spoke about how they did the samples out of the frack tanks and how they were coming up
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 I'm a subcontractor, you know. Q. And you're touching on that, and that's a good thing to touch on. Can a subcontractor also subcontract other people during a contract? A. Yes. Q. So it's possible for NCUC to contract me and then for me to subcontract somebody else? A. Yes. That happens all the time. Q. Who's in control at that point, though? A. Well, NCUC has final say. Q. And in this case, did they have the final say? A. Yes. On several instances, NCUC overruled you. THE COURT: With respect to this project that's before me? THE WITNESS: Yes, yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	did the field test site, they went and tested the last remaining frack tank that was left, and they said it only had three percent sludge in it. That way, they figured they didn't need to pay Mr. Adamas because he did not remove enough sludge. And on the other hand, they said, "Oh, you're hauling too much sludge. You know, we're shutting you down." Q. So you would say that IHS wasn't consistent in their assessment of whether it was too much or too little or too thick? A. That's correct. And I also had a conversation there with let me make sure I get his name right James Courtney at that meeting. James Courtney spoke about how they did the samples out of the frack tanks and how they were coming up with a he wasn't even saying he was coming up
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 I'm a subcontractor, you know. Q. And you're touching on that, and that's a good thing to touch on. Can a subcontractor also subcontract other people during a contract? A. Yes. Q. So it's possible for NCUC to contract me and then for me to subcontract somebody else? A. Yes. That happens all the time. Q. Who's in control at that point, though? A. Well, NCUC has final say. Q. And in this case, did they have the final say? A. Yes. On several instances, NCUC overruled you. THE COURT: With respect to this project that's before me? THE WITNESS: Yes, yes. THE COURT: And how do you know that? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	did the field test site, they went and tested the last remaining frack tank that was left, and they said it only had three percent sludge in it. That way, they figured they didn't need to pay Mr. Adamas because he did not remove enough sludge. And on the other hand, they said, "Oh, you're hauling too much sludge. You know, we're shutting you down." Q. So you would say that IHS wasn't consistent in their assessment of whether it was too much or too little or too thick? A. That's correct. And I also had a conversation there with let me make sure I get his name right James Courtney at that meeting. James Courtney spoke about how they did the samples out of the frack tanks and how they were coming up with a he wasn't even saying he was coming up
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 I'm a subcontractor, you know. Q. And you're touching on that, and that's a good thing to touch on. Can a subcontractor also subcontract other people during a contract? A. Yes. Q. So it's possible for NCUC to contract me and then for me to subcontract somebody else? A. Yes. That happens all the time. Q. Who's in control at that point, though? A. Well, NCUC has final say. Q. And in this case, did they have the final say? A. Yes. On several instances, NCUC overruled you. THE COURT: With respect to this project that's before me? THE WITNESS: Yes, yes. THE COURT: And how do you know that? THE WITNESS: Because I specifically asked to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	did the field test site, they went and tested the last remaining frack tank that was left, and they said it only had three percent sludge in it. That way, they figured they didn't need to pay Mr. Adamas because he did not remove enough sludge. And on the other hand, they said, "Oh, you're hauling too much sludge. You know, we're shutting you down." Q. So you would say that IHS wasn't consistent in their assessment of whether it was too much or too little or too thick? A. That's correct. And I also had a conversation there with let me make sure I get his name right James Courtney at that meeting. James Courtney spoke about how they did the samples out of the frack tanks and how they were coming up with a he wasn't even saying he was coming up with an agronomic rate. He was just saying that Mr. Adamas' technique for pumping from the lagoon
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 I'm a subcontractor, you know. Q. And you're touching on that, and that's a good thing to touch on. Can a subcontractor also subcontract other people during a contract? A. Yes. Q. So it's possible for NCUC to contract me and then for me to subcontract somebody else? A. Yes. That happens all the time. Q. Who's in control at that point, though? A. Well, NCUC has final say. Q. And in this case, did they have the final say? A. Yes. On several instances, NCUC overruled you. THE COURT: With respect to this project that's before me? THE WITNESS: Yes, yes. THE COURT: And how do you know that? THE WITNESS: Because I specifically asked to work weekends. Mr. Adamas told me I could. And 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	did the field test site, they went and tested the last remaining frack tank that was left, and they said it only had three percent sludge in it. That way, they figured they didn't need to pay Mr. Adamas because he did not remove enough sludge. And on the other hand, they said, "Oh, you're hauling too much sludge. You know, we're shutting you down." Q. So you would say that IHS wasn't consistent in their assessment of whether it was too much or too little or too thick? A. That's correct. And I also had a conversation there with let me make sure I get his name right James Courtney at that meeting. James Courtney spoke about how they did the samples out of the frack tanks and how they were coming up with a he wasn't even saying he was coming up with an agronomic rate. He was just saying that Mr. Adamas' technique for pumping from the lagoon into the frack tanks was inadequate, and it only
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 I'm a subcontractor, you know. Q. And you're touching on that, and that's a good thing to touch on. Can a subcontractor also subcontract other people during a contract? A. Yes. Q. So it's possible for NCUC to contract me and then for me to subcontract somebody else? A. Yes. That happens all the time. Q. Who's in control at that point, though? A. Well, NCUC has final say. Q. And in this case, did they have the final say? A. Yes. On several instances, NCUC overruled you. THE COURT: With respect to this project that's before me? THE WITNESS: Yes, yes. THE WITNESS: Because I specifically asked to work weekends. Mr. Adamas told me I could. And Mr. George Cummins, who said he was in charge, said 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	did the field test site, they went and tested the last remaining frack tank that was left, and they said it only had three percent sludge in it. That way, they figured they didn't need to pay Mr. Adamas because he did not remove enough sludge. And on the other hand, they said, "Oh, you're hauling too much sludge. You know, we're shutting you down." O. So you would say that IHS wasn't consistent in their assessment of whether it was too much or too little or too thick? A. That's correct. And I also had a conversation there with let me make sure I get his name right James Courtney at that meeting. James Courtney spoke about how they did the samples out of the frack tanks and how they were coming up with a he wasn't even saying he was coming up with an agronomic rate. He was just saying that Mr. Adamas' technique for pumping from the lagoon into the frack tanks was inadequate, and it only came up with three percent solids, which is about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 I'm a subcontractor, you know. Q. And you're touching on that, and that's a good thing to touch on. Can a subcontractor also subcontract other people during a contract? A. Yes. Q. So it's possible for NCUC to contract me and then for me to subcontract somebody else? A. Yes. That happens all the time. Q. Who's in control at that point, though? A. Well, NCUC has final say. Q. And in this case, did they have the final say? A. Yes. On several instances, NCUC overruled you. THE COURT: With respect to this project that's before me? THE WITNESS: Yes, yes. THE COURT: And how do you know that? THE WITNESS: Because I specifically asked to work weekends. Mr. Adamas told me I could. And Mr. George Cummins, who said he was in charge, said no. And I have that on my phone. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	did the field test site, they went and tested the last remaining frack tank that was left, and they said it only had three percent sludge in it. That way, they figured they didn't need to pay Mr. Adamas because he did not remove enough sludge. And on the other hand, they said, "Oh, you're hauling too much sludge. You know, we're shutting you down." Q. So you would say that IHS wasn't consistent in their assessment of whether it was too much or too little or too thick? A. That's correct. And I also had a conversation there with let me make sure I get his name right James Courtney at that meeting. James Courtney spoke about how they did the samples out of the frack tanks and how they were coming up with a he wasn't even saying he was coming up with an agronomic rate. He was just saying that Mr. Adamas' technique for pumping from the lagoon into the frack tanks was inadequate, and it only came up with three percent solids, which is about equivalent to a cup of hot cocoa, so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 I'm a subcontractor, you know. Q. And you're touching on that, and that's a good thing to touch on. Can a subcontractor also subcontract other people during a contract? A. Yes. Q. So it's possible for NCUC to contract me and then for me to subcontract somebody else? A. Yes. That happens all the time. Q. Who's in control at that point, though? A. Well, NCUC has final say. Q. And in this case, did they have the final say? A. Yes. On several instances, NCUC overruled you. THE COURT: With respect to this project that's before me? THE WITNESS: Yes, yes. THE COURT: And how do you know that? THE WITNESS: Because I specifically asked to work weekends. Mr. Adamas told me I could. And Mr. George Cummins, who said he was in charge, said no. And I have that on my phone. THE COURT: Were there any other examples 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	did the field test site, they went and tested the last remaining frack tank that was left, and they said it only had three percent sludge in it. That way, they figured they didn't need to pay Mr. Adamas because he did not remove enough sludge. And on the other hand, they said, "Oh, you're hauling too much sludge. You know, we're shutting you down." Q. So you would say that IHS wasn't consistent in their assessment of whether it was too much or too little or too thick? A. That's correct. And I also had a conversation there with let me make sure I get his name right James Courtney at that meeting. James Courtney spoke about how they did the samples out of the frack tanks and how they were coming up with a he wasn't even saying he was coming up with an agronomic rate. He was just saying that Mr. Adamas' technique for pumping from the lagoon into the frack tanks was inadequate, and it only came up with three percent solids, which is about equivalent to a cup of hot cocoa, so Q. Did you observe what you observed in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 I'm a subcontractor, you know. Q. And you're touching on that, and that's a good thing to touch on. Can a subcontractor also subcontract other people during a contract? A. Yes. Q. So it's possible for NCUC to contract me and then for me to subcontract somebody else? A. Yes. That happens all the time. Q. Who's in control at that point, though? A. Well, NCUC has final say. Q. And in this case, did they have the final say? A. Yes. On several instances, NCUC overruled you. THE COURT: With respect to this project that's before me? THE WITNESS: Yes, yes. THE COURT: And how do you know that? THE WITNESS: Because I specifically asked to work weekends. Mr. Adamas told me I could. And Mr. George Cummins, who said he was in charge, said no. And I have that on my phone. THE COURT: Were there any other examples that you have at the top of your head to share? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	did the field test site, they went and tested the last remaining frack tank that was left, and they said it only had three percent sludge in it. That way, they figured they didn't need to pay Mr. Adamas because he did not remove enough sludge. And on the other hand, they said, "Oh, you're hauling too much sludge. You know, we're shutting you down." Q. So you would say that IHS wasn't consistent in their assessment of whether it was too much or too little or too thick? A. That's correct. And I also had a conversation there with let me make sure I get his name right James Courtney at that meeting. James Courtney spoke about how they did the samples out of the frack tanks and how they were coming up with a he wasn't even saying he was coming up with an agronomic rate. He was just saying that Mr. Adamas' technique for pumping from the lagoon into the frack tanks was inadequate, and it only came up with three percent solids, which is about equivalent to a cup of hot cocoa, so Q. Did you observe what you observed in those frack tanks, did it to your opinion, was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 I'm a subcontractor, you know. Q. And you're touching on that, and that's a good thing to touch on. Can a subcontractor also subcontract other people during a contract? A. Yes. Q. So it's possible for NCUC to contract me and then for me to subcontract somebody else? A. Yes. That happens all the time. Q. Who's in control at that point, though? A. Well, NCUC has final say. Q. And in this case, did they have the final say? A. Yes. On several instances, NCUC overruled you. THE COURT: With respect to this project that's before me? THE WITNESS: Yes, yes. THE COURT: And how do you know that? THE WITNESS: Because I specifically asked to work weekends. Mr. Adamas told me I could. And Mr. George Cummins, who said he was in charge, said no. And I have that on my phone. THE COURT: Were there any other examples 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	did the field test site, they went and tested the last remaining frack tank that was left, and they said it only had three percent sludge in it. That way, they figured they didn't need to pay Mr. Adamas because he did not remove enough sludge. And on the other hand, they said, "Oh, you're hauling too much sludge. You know, we're shutting you down." Q. So you would say that IHS wasn't consistent in their assessment of whether it was too much or too little or too thick? A. That's correct. And I also had a conversation there with let me make sure I get his name right James Courtney at that meeting. James Courtney spoke about how they did the samples out of the frack tanks and how they were coming up with a he wasn't even saying he was coming up with an agronomic rate. He was just saying that Mr. Adamas' technique for pumping from the lagoon into the frack tanks was inadequate, and it only came up with three percent solids, which is about equivalent to a cup of hot cocoa, so Q. Did you observe what you observed in

110 (Pages 437 to 440)

	Page 441		Page 443
1	A. No. The tanks that settled in the	1	A. For the most part, no.
2	bottom of the tanks were so stiff, I could stick a	2	Q. So you and Tom did it independently?
3	shovel in them until we rolled them, and then I was	3	A. For the most part, you stayed on the
4	able to suck them out. And they would be basically	4	lagoon side. Tom Robinson and I were on the
5	the consistency of a milkshake.	5	offload side.
б	Q. Does adding water to sludge dilute the	6	Q. And when the EPA sent you the request
7	sludge?	7	for documents, it was to do with the application,
8	A. Yes. "The solution to	8	correct?
9	pollution" "The solution to pollution is	9	A. They had a list of half a dozen
10	dilution" is an old quote.	10	questions they had. And it did it did it was
11	Q. So by adding water, Adamas actually	11	the application and others, yes.
12	didn't increase the potency of the sludge, they	12	Q. Okay, perfect.
13	decreased it?	13	Based upon your experience in
14	A. That's correct.	14	contracting, was the contract between you and I
15	Q. Okay. So as the person who was applying	15	difficult to understand?
16	or spraying the sludge on the land, you would have	16	A. No.
17	been the person best to develop out the map and the	17	Q. Were the terms and conditions difficult
18	records of where that happened, correct?	18	to understand?
19	A. Yeah. I could show you exactly where	19	A. No.
20	they're dumped out.	20	Q. Do you feel they were adequately
21	Q. And you developed those records and	21	explained to you so that you could do your job
22	maintained them?	22	appropriately?
23	A. I did not develop the records for a map.	23	A. Yes.
24	I developed records of where it was dumped at, but	24	Q. You've heard a lot of testimony here in
25	I did not make a map.	25	this room. Is there anything that you would like
	Page 442		Page 444

1	Q. Okay. So when the EPA requested the	1	to address that hasn't been addressed?
2	information from you, you provided them all of the	2	A. May I take a look at my notes?
3	information they requested?	3	THE COURT: You may.
4	A. That is and more. That's correct.	4	THE WITNESS: No. I think I've covered
5	Q. And that was based on Adamas Adamas	5	everything.
б	Construction's instructions to you to develop	6	BY MR. PIERCE:
7	those, correct?	7	Q. Perfect. A couple more quick questions,
8	A. Yes.	8	and I think we'll be done.
9	Q. So you mentioned earlier that we were on	9	Do you feel personally that the request
10	both ends of the job. I just want to get a little	10	for information was to protect property or people?
11	better clarification with that. When you mean both	11	A. No, I don't.
12	ends of the job, were we actually working in Tom	12	Q. What do you feel the request was about?
13	Robinson's field?	13	A. I think the request was siccing one
14	A. No. Well, depends on what you call	14	government agency on another government agency to
15	work. I was doing the work, and you were	15	stall payment.
16	observing. But you set the speed for the truck.	16	Q. Stall payment to who?
17	We set the valve gates for the truck, how much	17	A. To stall payment to Adamas Construction.
18	would be coming out at what speed, and whether to	18	Because in the meeting that I was with at the IHS
19	be on top of soiled or non-soiled or excuse me,	19	building where they said that they would pay
20	not soiled tilled or non-tilled.	20	UCNC [sic] for the completion of it, then they
21	Q. And so outside of that, you testified	21	didn't want to be in it anymore, they were on both
22	that you worked directly with Tom Robinson?	22	sides of the factor. First, they were saying that
23	A. That's correct.	23	there was too much sludge hauled, and then they
24	Q. Was I on-site during the other during	24	were saying there wasn't any hauled.
25	that process?	25	So my personal feeling is that this is

111 (Pages 441 to 444)

	Page 445		Page 447
1	less to do about being in compliance and more to do	1	creek. The creek is very dirty. And most most
2	with corruption. And that's why I made my	2	of the water comes from the lagoon, the sewage
3	statement. And that's what was directly told to	3	lagoon that spills over into the creek. Above the
4	me, that it was about TERO fees. That's why Tom	4	creek, above the lagoon, there's there is water.
5	Robinson didn't know anything about the project	5	THE COURT: And is this are these
6	being stopped, because he didn't have a clue, you	6	observations based on you driving that road? Can
7	know.	7	you see the water from there?
8	Q. So it's your impression that Tom	8	THE WITNESS: I cross the water I cross
9	Robinson, as he testified here today, didn't make	9	the water all the time to go to customers' houses.
10	the report James Courtney alleges he made?	10	THE COURT: Okay.
11	A. Well, they may have asked him to make	11	THE WITNESS: I cross I cross the water
12	that report. But Tom Robinson asked me what I was	12	all the time.
13	doing not showing back up for the rest of the day	13	THE COURT: Okay. So I guess based on that
14	after I had been locked out by Northern Cheyenne	14	knowledge
15	Utilities for not having a TERO card.	15	THE WITNESS: Right.
16	Q. Perfect.	16	THE COURT: and what you have actually
17	One last thing. Can you tell us a	17	observed, the area that you've actually observed
18	little bit about Lame Deer Creek?	18	THE WITNESS: Right.
19	A. Lame Deer Creek?	19	THE COURT: what can you say about the
20	Q. Yes.	20	water levels or variations of it throughout the
20	A. Lame Deer Creek flows through is in	21	seasons?
21	Lame Deer, flows through it flows through Lame	22	THE WITNESS: Well, the water increases after
23	Deer. But it's what do you want to know about	23	it goes past the lagoon. Now, to Mr. Adamas'
24	it? I wouldn't I wouldn't drink	24	question, does it stop, I can't answer that.
24 25		24	THE COURT: Okay.
20	Q. Is there portions of it that dry out?	25	THE COOKT. Okay.
	Page 446		Page 448
	Page 440		
			1490 110
1	MS. KACSUR: Objection, lack of personal	1	THE WITNESS: So
1 2		1 2	THE WITNESS: So MR. PIERCE: Perfect.
	MS. KACSUR: Objection, lack of personal knowledge. THE WITNESS: There's		THE WITNESS: So MR. PIERCE: Perfect. THE COURT: That's fine. That's all you need
2	MS. KACSUR: Objection, lack of personal knowledge. THE WITNESS: There's MR. PIERCE: He lives there.	2	THE WITNESS: So MR. PIERCE: Perfect. THE COURT: That's fine. That's all you need to say. Thank you.
2 3	MS. KACSUR: Objection, lack of personal knowledge. THE WITNESS: There's	2 3	THE WITNESS: So MR. PIERCE: Perfect. THE COURT: That's fine. That's all you need to say. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Yep.
2 3 4	MS. KACSUR: Objection, lack of personal knowledge. THE WITNESS: There's MR. PIERCE: He lives there.	2 3 4	THE WITNESS: So MR. PIERCE: Perfect. THE COURT: That's fine. That's all you need to say. Thank you.
2 3 4 5	MS. KACSUR: Objection, lack of personal knowledge. THE WITNESS: There's MR. PIERCE: He lives there. THE COURT: Hold on one second. Hold on one	2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: So MR. PIERCE: Perfect. THE COURT: That's fine. That's all you need to say. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Yep. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I think that's all I have for now.
2 3 4 5 6	MS. KACSUR: Objection, lack of personal knowledge. THE WITNESS: There's MR. PIERCE: He lives there. THE COURT: Hold on one second. Hold on one second.	2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: So MR. PIERCE: Perfect. THE COURT: That's fine. That's all you need to say. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Yep. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I think that's all I
2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. KACSUR: Objection, lack of personal knowledge. THE WITNESS: There's MR. PIERCE: He lives there. THE COURT: Hold on one second. Hold on one second. THE WITNESS: I've lived	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: So MR. PIERCE: Perfect. THE COURT: That's fine. That's all you need to say. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Yep. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I think that's all I have for now.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. KACSUR: Objection, lack of personal knowledge. THE WITNESS: There's MR. PIERCE: He lives there. THE COURT: Hold on one second. Hold on one second. THE WITNESS: I've lived THE COURT: Let's start with some kind of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: So MR. PIERCE: Perfect. THE COURT: That's fine. That's all you need to say. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Yep. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I think that's all I have for now. THE COURT: Okay. Opportunity for cross. I just wanted to this is really more for purposes of the transcript. Because I've heard a variety of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. KACSUR: Objection, lack of personal knowledge. THE WITNESS: There's MR. PIERCE: He lives there. THE COURT: Hold on one second. Hold on one second. THE WITNESS: I've lived THE COURT: Let's start with some kind of basis here. How are you are you familiar with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: So MR. PIERCE: Perfect. THE COURT: That's fine. That's all you need to say. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Yep. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I think that's all I have for now. THE COURT: Okay. Opportunity for cross. I just wanted to this is really more for purposes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. KACSUR: Objection, lack of personal knowledge. THE WITNESS: There's MR. PIERCE: He lives there. THE COURT: Hold on one second. Hold on one second. THE WITNESS: I've lived THE COURT: Let's start with some kind of basis here. How are you are you familiar with Lame Creek?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: So MR. PIERCE: Perfect. THE COURT: That's fine. That's all you need to say. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Yep. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I think that's all I have for now. THE COURT: Okay. Opportunity for cross. I just wanted to this is really more for purposes of the transcript. Because I've heard a variety of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. KACSUR: Objection, lack of personal knowledge. THE WITNESS: There's MR. PIERCE: He lives there. THE COURT: Hold on one second. Hold on one second. THE WITNESS: I've lived THE COURT: Let's start with some kind of basis here. How are you are you familiar with Lame Creek? THE WITNESS: Lame Deer Creek?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: So MR. PIERCE: Perfect. THE COURT: That's fine. That's all you need to say. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Yep. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I think that's all I have for now. THE COURT: Okay. Opportunity for cross. I just wanted to this is really more for purposes of the transcript. Because I've heard a variety of acronyms, and at times, I'm thinking maybe there's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. KACSUR: Objection, lack of personal knowledge. THE WITNESS: There's MR. PIERCE: He lives there. THE COURT: Hold on one second. Hold on one second. THE WITNESS: I've lived THE COURT: Let's start with some kind of basis here. How are you are you familiar with Lame Creek? THE WITNESS: Lame Deer Creek? THE COURT: Yes, Lame Deer Creek.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: So MR. PIERCE: Perfect. THE COURT: That's fine. That's all you need to say. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Yep. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I think that's all I have for now. THE COURT: Okay. Opportunity for cross. I just wanted to this is really more for purposes of the transcript. Because I've heard a variety of acronyms, and at times, I'm thinking maybe there's been a misspeak of certain acronyms. So I just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. KACSUR: Objection, lack of personal knowledge. THE WITNESS: There's MR. PIERCE: He lives there. THE COURT: Hold on one second. Hold on one second. THE WITNESS: I've lived THE COURT: Let's start with some kind of basis here. How are you are you familiar with Lame Creek? THE WITNESS: Lame Deer Creek? THE COURT: Yes, Lame Deer Creek. THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. I've lived there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: So MR. PIERCE: Perfect. THE COURT: That's fine. That's all you need to say. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Yep. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I think that's all I have for now. THE COURT: Okay. Opportunity for cross. I just wanted to this is really more for purposes of the transcript. Because I've heard a variety of acronyms, and at times, I'm thinking maybe there's been a misspeak of certain acronyms. So I just want to go over it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. KACSUR: Objection, lack of personal knowledge. THE WITNESS: There's MR. PIERCE: He lives there. THE COURT: Hold on one second. Hold on one second. THE WITNESS: I've lived THE COURT: Let's start with some kind of basis here. How are you are you familiar with Lame Creek? THE WITNESS: Lame Deer Creek? THE WITNESS: Lame Deer Creek. THE WITNESS: Yes, Lame Deer Creek. THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. I've lived there my entire life.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: So MR. PIERCE: Perfect. THE COURT: That's fine. That's all you need to say. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Yep. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I think that's all I have for now. THE COURT: Okay. Opportunity for cross. I just wanted to this is really more for purposes of the transcript. Because I've heard a variety of acronyms, and at times, I'm thinking maybe there's been a misspeak of certain acronyms. So I just want to go over it. I've heard IHS, Indian Health Services,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. KACSUR: Objection, lack of personal knowledge. THE WITNESS: There's MR. PIERCE: He lives there. THE COURT: Hold on one second. Hold on one second. THE WITNESS: I've lived THE COURT: Let's start with some kind of basis here. How are you are you familiar with Lame Creek? THE WITNESS: Lame Deer Creek? THE WITNESS: Lame Deer Creek. THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. I've lived there my entire life. THE COURT: And how close to the actual creek	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: So MR. PIERCE: Perfect. THE COURT: That's fine. That's all you need to say. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Yep. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I think that's all I have for now. THE COURT: Okay. Opportunity for cross. I just wanted to this is really more for purposes of the transcript. Because I've heard a variety of acronyms, and at times, I'm thinking maybe there's been a misspeak of certain acronyms. So I just want to go over it. I've heard IHS, Indian Health Services, NCUC. So when you were talking about what I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. KACSUR: Objection, lack of personal knowledge. THE WITNESS: There's MR. PIERCE: He lives there. THE COURT: Hold on one second. Hold on one second. THE WITNESS: I've lived THE COURT: Let's start with some kind of basis here. How are you are you familiar with Lame Creek? THE WITNESS: Lame Deer Creek? THE WITNESS: Lame Deer Creek. THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. I've lived there my entire life. THE COURT: And how close to the actual creek do you live?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: So MR. PIERCE: Perfect. THE COURT: That's fine. That's all you need to say. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Yep. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I think that's all I have for now. THE COURT: Okay. Opportunity for cross. I just wanted to this is really more for purposes of the transcript. Because I've heard a variety of acronyms, and at times, I'm thinking maybe there's been a misspeak of certain acronyms. So I just want to go over it. I've heard IHS, Indian Health Services, NCUC. So when you were talking about what I think were those entities, are those the correct acronyms
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. KACSUR: Objection, lack of personal knowledge. THE WITNESS: There's MR. PIERCE: He lives there. THE COURT: Hold on one second. Hold on one second. THE WITNESS: I've lived THE COURT: Let's start with some kind of basis here. How are you are you familiar with Lame Creek? THE WITNESS: Lame Deer Creek? THE WITNESS: Lame Deer Creek. THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. I've lived there my entire life. THE COURT: And how close to the actual creek do you live? THE WITNESS: Well, I live 22 miles north of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: So MR. PIERCE: Perfect. THE COURT: That's fine. That's all you need to say. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Yep. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I think that's all I have for now. THE COURT: Okay. Opportunity for cross. I just wanted to this is really more for purposes of the transcript. Because I've heard a variety of acronyms, and at times, I'm thinking maybe there's been a misspeak of certain acronyms. So I just want to go over it. I've heard IHS, Indian Health Services, NCUC. So when you were talking about what I think were those entities, are those the correct acronyms to be using for those two?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. KACSUR: Objection, lack of personal knowledge. THE WITNESS: There's MR. PIERCE: He lives there. THE COURT: Hold on one second. Hold on one second. THE WITNESS: I've lived THE COURT: Let's start with some kind of basis here. How are you are you familiar with Lame Creek? THE WITNESS: Lame Deer Creek? THE WITNESS: Lame Deer Creek. THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. I've lived there my entire life. THE COURT: And how close to the actual creek do you live? THE WITNESS: Well, I live 22 miles north of the creek right now. I have a ranch just over the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: So MR. PIERCE: Perfect. THE COURT: That's fine. That's all you need to say. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Yep. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I think that's all I have for now. THE COURT: Okay. Opportunity for cross. I just wanted to this is really more for purposes of the transcript. Because I've heard a variety of acronyms, and at times, I'm thinking maybe there's been a misspeak of certain acronyms. So I just want to go over it. I've heard IHS, Indian Health Services, NCUC. So when you were talking about what I think were those entities, are those the correct acronyms to be using for those two? THE WITNESS: Yes. And when I say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. KACSUR: Objection, lack of personal knowledge. THE WITNESS: There's MR. PIERCE: He lives there. THE COURT: Hold on one second. Hold on one second. THE WITNESS: I've lived THE COURT: Let's start with some kind of basis here. How are you are you familiar with Lame Creek? THE WITNESS: Lame Deer Creek? THE WITNESS: Lame Deer Creek. THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. I've lived there my entire life. THE COURT: And how close to the actual creek do you live? THE WITNESS: Well, I live 22 miles north of the creek right now. I have a ranch just over the hill from that. Probably where the crow flies, 10	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: So MR. PIERCE: Perfect. THE COURT: That's fine. That's all you need to say. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Yep. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I think that's all I have for now. THE COURT: Okay. Opportunity for cross. I just wanted to this is really more for purposes of the transcript. Because I've heard a variety of acronyms, and at times, I'm thinking maybe there's been a misspeak of certain acronyms. So I just want to go over it. I've heard IHS, Indian Health Services, NCUC. So when you were talking about what I think were those entities, are those the correct acronyms to be using for those two? THE WITNESS: Yes. And when I say "utilities," it's NCUC.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. KACSUR: Objection, lack of personal knowledge. THE WITNESS: There's MR. PIERCE: He lives there. THE COURT: Hold on one second. Hold on one second. THE WITNESS: I've lived THE COURT: Let's start with some kind of basis here. How are you are you familiar with Lame Creek? THE WITNESS: Lame Deer Creek? THE WITNESS: Lame Deer Creek. THE WITNESS: Yes, Lame Deer Creek. THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. I've lived there my entire life. THE COURT: And how close to the actual creek do you live? THE WITNESS: Well, I live 22 miles north of the creek right now. I have a ranch just over the hill from that. Probably where the crow flies, 10 miles. I drive I drive that road at least four	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: So MR. PIERCE: Perfect. THE COURT: That's fine. That's all you need to say. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Yep. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I think that's all I have for now. THE COURT: Okay. Opportunity for cross. I just wanted to this is really more for purposes of the transcript. Because I've heard a variety of acronyms, and at times, I'm thinking maybe there's been a misspeak of certain acronyms. So I just want to go over it. I've heard IHS, Indian Health Services, NCUC. So when you were talking about what I think were those entities, are those the correct acronyms to be using for those two? THE WITNESS: Yes. And when I say "utilities," it's NCUC. THE COURT: Okay. All right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. KACSUR: Objection, lack of personal knowledge. THE WITNESS: There's MR. PIERCE: He lives there. THE COURT: Hold on one second. Hold on one second. THE WITNESS: I've lived THE COURT: Let's start with some kind of basis here. How are you are you familiar with Lame Creek? THE WITNESS: Lame Deer Creek? THE WITNESS: Lame Deer Creek. THE WITNESS: Yes, Lame Deer Creek. THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. I've lived there my entire life. THE COURT: And how close to the actual creek do you live? THE WITNESS: Well, I live 22 miles north of the creek right now. I have a ranch just over the hill from that. Probably where the crow flies, 10 miles. I drive I drive that road at least four or five times a week.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: So MR. PIERCE: Perfect. THE COURT: That's fine. That's all you need to say. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Yep. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I think that's all I have for now. THE COURT: Okay. Opportunity for cross. I just wanted to this is really more for purposes of the transcript. Because I've heard a variety of acronyms, and at times, I'm thinking maybe there's been a misspeak of certain acronyms. So I just want to go over it. I've heard IHS, Indian Health Services, NCUC. So when you were talking about what I think were those entities, are those the correct acronyms to be using for those two? THE WITNESS: Yes. And when I say "utilities," it's NCUC. THE COURT: Okay. All right. THE WITNESS: They go by "utilities" on their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. KACSUR: Objection, lack of personal knowledge. THE WITNESS: There's MR. PIERCE: He lives there. THE COURT: Hold on one second. Hold on one second. THE WITNESS: I've lived THE COURT: Let's start with some kind of basis here. How are you are you familiar with Lame Creek? THE WITNESS: Lame Deer Creek? THE WITNESS: Lame Deer Creek. THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. I've lived there my entire life. THE COURT: And how close to the actual creek do you live? THE WITNESS: Well, I live 22 miles north of the creek right now. I have a ranch just over the hill from that. Probably where the crow flies, 10 miles. I drive I drive that road at least four or five times a week. THE COURT: And when you say "that road," is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: So MR. PIERCE: Perfect. THE COURT: That's fine. That's all you need to say. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Yep. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I think that's all I have for now. THE COURT: Okay. Opportunity for cross. I just wanted to this is really more for purposes of the transcript. Because I've heard a variety of acronyms, and at times, I'm thinking maybe there's been a misspeak of certain acronyms. So I just want to go over it. I've heard IHS, Indian Health Services, NCUC. So when you were talking about what I think were those entities, are those the correct acronyms to be using for those two? THE WITNESS: Yes. And when I say "utilities," it's NCUC. THE COURT: Okay. All right. THE WITNESS: They go by "utilities" on their door and then NCUC when they do billing statements,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. KACSUR: Objection, lack of personal knowledge. THE WITNESS: There's MR. PIERCE: He lives there. THE COURT: Hold on one second. Hold on one second. THE WITNESS: I've lived THE COURT: Let's start with some kind of basis here. How are you are you familiar with Lame Creek? THE WITNESS: Lame Deer Creek? THE WITNESS: Lame Deer Creek. THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. I've lived there my entire life. THE COURT: And how close to the actual creek do you live? THE WITNESS: Well, I live 22 miles north of the creek right now. I have a ranch just over the hill from that. Probably where the crow flies, 10 miles. I drive I drive that road at least four or five times a week. THE COURT: And when you say "that road," is that a road	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: So MR. PIERCE: Perfect. THE COURT: That's fine. That's all you need to say. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Yep. MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I think that's all I have for now. THE COURT: Okay. Opportunity for cross. I just wanted to this is really more for purposes of the transcript. Because I've heard a variety of acronyms, and at times, I'm thinking maybe there's been a misspeak of certain acronyms. So I just want to go over it. I've heard IHS, Indian Health Services, NCUC. So when you were talking about what I think were those entities, are those the correct acronyms to be using for those two? THE WITNESS: Yes. And when I say "utilities," it's NCUC. THE COURT: Okay. All right. THE WITNESS: They go by "utilities" on their door and then NCUC when they do billing statements, stuff like that. But those are the same.

112 (Pages 445 to 448)

Page 449

1	the Northern Cheyenne Utility Commission.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Yeah. Thank you. I think
3	that there might be some points in the transcript
4	where some and, you know, it's late, and people
5	are fatigued. I just think there have been maybe
6	some reversals of certain letters or pronunciation
7	that might not be entirely clear. And that's why I
8	just wanted to review that, that we're talking
9	about NCUC, IHS. And then, of course, Adamas
10	and
11	THE WITNESS: Right.
12	THE COURT: Okay. All right.
13	THE WITNESS: And I'm sorry, I say his
14	company's name wrong all the time, just like he
15	says my name wrong. But it's the same.
16	THE COURT: No worries. Okay. All right.
17	Thank you.
18	Who'd like to cross on behalf of
19	complainant?
20	MS. KACSUR: Your Honor, we have no
21	cross-examination questions.
22	THE COURT: Okay. All right. So this is it,
23	Mr. Sprague. Anything else you want to share
24	before we release you entirely?
25	THE WITNESS: No. That's all I had.

1	THE COURT: All right. Thank you so much for
2	your testimony.
3	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
4	THE COURT: Okay. So I think at this point,
5	if my memory hasn't completely gone south, we're
б	left with Mr. Pierce and Mrs. Pierce, right?
7	MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. And I think at
8	this point we have enough information in the record
9	to go off of. I don't know that either one of our
10	testimony would change anything that's in the
11	record.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Let me offer some thoughts
13	to consider before you make any firm decisions
14	about whether or not you want to testify. You know
15	there's a liability piece, of course, right? But
16	the second prong that I'm going to have to decide
17	is also penalty and assuming liability is
18	established, of course. But this is the hearing,
19	so this is the one opportunity for me to gather
20	information on both of those issues.
21	So with respect to the penalty factors,
22	I mean, there's been some testimony offered through
23	complainant's witnesses. But it's something maybe
24	just to ponder and think about in terms of whether
25	you might like to offer any testimony yourself

1	about any of this from your perspective and that
2	type of thing.
3	So would you like a little break just to
4	kind of think and
5	MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.
6	THE COURT: Okay. Yeah. And do you have
7	those do you have I know I probably put them
8	in some order, and they're elsewhere. They come
9	out of the Clean Water Act itself.
10	MR. PIERCE: The penalties?
11	THE COURT: The factors to consider with
12	regard to penalty. Do you have those handy?
13	MR. PIERCE: I don't, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Let's put our hands on that
15	quickly.
16	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Your Honor, the factors are
17	listed in the pre-file letter that was sent to
18	Mr. Pierce. They lay out the statutory penalty
19	factors. So if you want to look at that exhibit
20	THE COURT: Okay.
21	MR. MUEHLBERGER: that has those factors.
22	THE COURT: Great. Thank you so much. What
23	exhibit number was that, Mr. Muehlberger?
24	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Give me just a second.
25	THE COURT: Sure. Thank you.

Page 452

1	Okay. So we have two sources for you to
2	take a look at. Ms. Almase is recalling that in
3	the second amended complaint in Paragraph 60, it
4	apparently doesn't cite the Clean Water Act
5	provision, but it sets out what the factors are
б	with regard to penalty. And then we'll also point
7	you to Complainant's Exhibit
8	MR. MUEHLBERGER: CX14, et. 1.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you,
10	Mr. Muehlberger.
11	MR. MUEHLBERGER: You're welcome.
12	THE COURT: So we don't need to stay on the
13	record. I'm going to take a break. I don't want
14	you to rush through this because it's important.
15	So, you know, think about it. If you have some
16	questions for me when we come back, ask them.
17	I'm not an advocate, but obviously, I
18	know you're proceeding pro se and, you know, I just
19	want to make sure that I've got everything that
20	everybody wants me to have to make a decision in
21	the case. And I realize that you've got arguments
22	in opposition to liability. I recognize that.
23	But, you know, I have to plan for contingencies,
24	too. So in the event liability should be
25	established I'm not saying that it is, but

113 (Pages 449 to 452)

Б 152

	Page 453	Page 455
1	should it be, then I do have to reach penalty, and	1 on-site, amount of gallons pumped. And all samples
2	I need to consider those factors. And this is the	2 were to be given to her.
3	hearing for that for that factual development as	3 Q. And so were samples given to her?
4	well. So that's why I'm putting it out there.	4 A. Yes.
5	With that said, I'm going to stop	5 Q. And that was done on a daily basis?
6	talking, and we'll take a break. How much time	6 A. Every day or every other day, depending
7	would you like to kind of go through this and	7 on what the project we were doing at the time.
8	chat	8 Q. And to your knowledge, NCUC was the main
9	MR. PIERCE: I think ten minutes is fine,	9 contractor contracted by IHS; is that correct?
10	Your Honor.	10 A. That is correct. And that is why we
11	THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to give you 15.	11 were supposed to give all documentation to NCUC.
12	MR. PIERCE: Perfect. Thank you.	12 Q. Was NCUC the ones required to pay Adamas
13	THE COURT: So we'll come back in 15 minutes	13 Construction?
14	at 2:00 o'clock. Okay?	14 A. Yes.
15	MR. PIERCE: Thank you.	15 Q. Did NCUC at any time put Adamas
16	THE COURT: All right.	16 Construction solely in charge of the project?
17	(Whereupon, a brief	17 A. So IHS actually gave Adamas Construction
18	recess was taken.)	18 the authority to finish the sewer lagoon project,
19	THE COURT: Okay. We can get back on record.	19 and then took Sheri Bement and her team and had her
20	After a brief break, just a few minutes past 2:00.	20 do the site projects because her knowledge of the
21	Okay. So the Pierces, did you have any questions,	21 sewer lagoons and what was required was not
22	or have you made any decisions?	adequate, is what they told us, and that they felt
23	MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. I am going to call	23 more comfortable having Adamas continue the project
24	Michelle Pierce.	24 and finish it.
25	THE COURT: Okay.	25 Q. Did they ever switch the contract over?
	Page 454	Page 456
1		1 A. No.
2	MICHELLE PIERCE,	1A. No.2Q. After that, did Sheri Bement send an
2 3	MICHELLE PIERCE, called as a witness on behalf of the Respondent,	 A. No. Q. After that, did Sheri Bement send an e-mail to Adamas Construction stating that she was
2 3 4	MICHELLE PIERCE,	 A. No. Q. After that, did Sheri Bement send an e-mail to Adamas Construction stating that she was still in charge and that all decisions needed to go
2 3 4 5	MICHELLE PIERCE, called as a witness on behalf of the Respondent, having been first duly sworn testified as follows:	 A. No. Q. After that, did Sheri Bement send an e-mail to Adamas Construction stating that she was still in charge and that all decisions needed to go through her?
2 3 4 5 6	MICHELLE PIERCE, called as a witness on behalf of the Respondent, having been first duly sworn testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do.	 A. No. Q. After that, did Sheri Bement send an e-mail to Adamas Construction stating that she was still in charge and that all decisions needed to go through her? A. Yes. Immediately the next day.
2 3 4 5 6 7	MICHELLE PIERCE, called as a witness on behalf of the Respondent, having been first duly sworn testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat.	 A. No. Q. After that, did Sheri Bement send an e-mail to Adamas Construction stating that she was still in charge and that all decisions needed to go through her? A. Yes. Immediately the next day. Q. Did she also instruct that we follow
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MICHELLE PIERCE, called as a witness on behalf of the Respondent, having been first duly sworn testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat. DIRECT EXAMINATION	 A. No. Q. After that, did Sheri Bement send an e-mail to Adamas Construction stating that she was still in charge and that all decisions needed to go through her? A. Yes. Immediately the next day. Q. Did she also instruct that we follow James Courtney's math and numbers with relation to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MICHELLE PIERCE, called as a witness on behalf of the Respondent, having been first duly sworn testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE:	1A. No.2Q. After that, did Sheri Bement send an3e-mail to Adamas Construction stating that she was4still in charge and that all decisions needed to go5through her?6A. Yes. Immediately the next day.7Q. Did she also instruct that we follow8James Courtney's math and numbers with relation to9the sludge concentration of the lagoons?
2 3 6 7 8 9	MICHELLE PIERCE, called as a witness on behalf of the Respondent, having been first duly sworn testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Hi. Michelle, can you give us your full	1A. No.2Q. After that, did Sheri Bement send an3e-mail to Adamas Construction stating that she was4still in charge and that all decisions needed to go5through her?6A. Yes. Immediately the next day.7Q. Did she also instruct that we follow8James Courtney's math and numbers with relation to9the sludge concentration of the lagoons?10A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	MICHELLE PIERCE, called as a witness on behalf of the Respondent, having been first duly sworn testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Hi. Michelle, can you give us your full name and spell it, please.	1A. No.2Q. After that, did Sheri Bement send an3e-mail to Adamas Construction stating that she was4still in charge and that all decisions needed to go5through her?6A. Yes. Immediately the next day.7Q. Did she also instruct that we follow8James Courtney's math and numbers with relation to9the sludge concentration of the lagoons?10A. Yes.11Q. So, quick question: Are you and your
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	MICHELLE PIERCE, called as a witness on behalf of the Respondent, having been first duly sworn testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Hi. Michelle, can you give us your full name and spell it, please. A. Michelle Pierce; M-I-C-H-E-L-L-E,	1A. No.2Q. After that, did Sheri Bement send an3e-mail to Adamas Construction stating that she was4still in charge and that all decisions needed to go5through her?6A. Yes. Immediately the next day.7Q. Did she also instruct that we follow8James Courtney's math and numbers with relation to9the sludge concentration of the lagoons?10A. Yes.11Q. So, quick question: Are you and your12husband wealthy?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MICHELLE PIERCE, called as a witness on behalf of the Respondent, having been first duly sworn testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Hi. Michelle, can you give us your full name and spell it, please. A. Michelle Pierce; M-I-C-H-E-L-L-E, P-I-E-R-C-E.	 A. No. Q. After that, did Sheri Bement send an e-mail to Adamas Construction stating that she was still in charge and that all decisions needed to go through her? A. Yes. Immediately the next day. Q. Did she also instruct that we follow James Courtney's math and numbers with relation to the sludge concentration of the lagoons? A. Yes. Q. So, quick question: Are you and your husband wealthy? A. No.
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MICHELLE PIERCE, called as a witness on behalf of the Respondent, having been first duly sworn testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Hi. Michelle, can you give us your full name and spell it, please. A. Michelle Pierce; M-I-C-H-E-L-L-E, P-I-E-R-C-E. Q. And just to state the obvious, what is	1A. No.2Q. After that, did Sheri Bement send an3e-mail to Adamas Construction stating that she was4still in charge and that all decisions needed to go5through her?6A. Yes. Immediately the next day.7Q. Did she also instruct that we follow8James Courtney's math and numbers with relation to9the sludge concentration of the lagoons?10A. Yes.11Q. So, quick question: Are you and your12husband wealthy?13A. No.14Q. What did this project result in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MICHELLE PIERCE, called as a witness on behalf of the Respondent, having been first duly sworn testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Hi. Michelle, can you give us your full name and spell it, please. A. Michelle Pierce; M-I-C-H-E-L-L-E, P-I-E-R-C-E. Q. And just to state the obvious, what is your relationship to the respondent?	1A. No.2Q. After that, did Sheri Bement send an3e-mail to Adamas Construction stating that she was4still in charge and that all decisions needed to go5through her?6A. Yes. Immediately the next day.7Q. Did she also instruct that we follow8James Courtney's math and numbers with relation to9the sludge concentration of the lagoons?10A. Yes.11Q. So, quick question: Are you and your12husband wealthy?13A. No.14Q. What did this project result in the15company and financial did it cause any financial
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MICHELLE PIERCE, called as a witness on behalf of the Respondent, having been first duly sworn testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Hi. Michelle, can you give us your full name and spell it, please. A. Michelle Pierce; M-I-C-H-E-L-L-E, P-I-E-R-C-E. Q. And just to state the obvious, what is your relationship to the respondent? A. I'm his wife.	1A. No.2Q. After that, did Sheri Bement send an3e-mail to Adamas Construction stating that she was4still in charge and that all decisions needed to go5through her?6A. Yes. Immediately the next day.7Q. Did she also instruct that we follow8James Courtney's math and numbers with relation to9the sludge concentration of the lagoons?10A. Yes.11Q. So, quick question: Are you and your12husband wealthy?13A. No.14Q. What did this project result in the15company and financial did it cause any financial16hardship for you and your husband?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MICHELLE PIERCE, called as a witness on behalf of the Respondent, having been first duly sworn testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Hi. Michelle, can you give us your full name and spell it, please. A. Michelle Pierce; M-I-C-H-E-L-L-E, P-I-E-R-C-E. Q. And just to state the obvious, what is your relationship to the respondent? A. I'm his wife. Q. Did you were you part of the process	 A. No. Q. After that, did Sheri Bement send an e-mail to Adamas Construction stating that she was still in charge and that all decisions needed to go through her? A. Yes. Immediately the next day. Q. Did she also instruct that we follow James Courtney's math and numbers with relation to the sludge concentration of the lagoons? A. Yes. Q. So, quick question: Are you and your husband wealthy? A. No. Q. What did this project result in the company and financial did it cause any financial hardship for you and your husband? A. Very much so. After our so-called
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MICHELLE PIERCE, called as a witness on behalf of the Respondent, having been first duly sworn testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Hi. Michelle, can you give us your full name and spell it, please. A. Michelle Pierce; M-I-C-H-E-L-L-E, P-I-E-R-C-E. Q. And just to state the obvious, what is your relationship to the respondent? A. I'm his wife. Q. Did you were you part of the process with NCUC, IHS, and Adamas Construction for the	 A. No. Q. After that, did Sheri Bement send an e-mail to Adamas Construction stating that she was still in charge and that all decisions needed to go through her? A. Yes. Immediately the next day. Q. Did she also instruct that we follow James Courtney's math and numbers with relation to the sludge concentration of the lagoons? A. Yes. Q. So, quick question: Are you and your husband wealthy? A. No. Q. What did this project result in the company and financial did it cause any financial hardship for you and your husband? A. Very much so. After our so-called settlement with NCUC and the tribe, we were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MICHELLE PIERCE, called as a witness on behalf of the Respondent, having been first duly sworn testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Hi. Michelle, can you give us your full name and spell it, please. A. Michelle Pierce; M-I-C-H-E-L-L-E, P-I-E-R-C-E. Q. And just to state the obvious, what is your relationship to the respondent? A. I'm his wife. Q. Did you – were you part of the process with NCUC, IHS, and Adamas Construction for the sludge removal project of the Lame Deer Lagoon?	 A. No. Q. After that, did Sheri Bement send an e-mail to Adamas Construction stating that she was still in charge and that all decisions needed to go through her? A. Yes. Immediately the next day. Q. Did she also instruct that we follow James Courtney's math and numbers with relation to the sludge concentration of the lagoons? A. Yes. Q. So, quick question: Are you and your husband wealthy? A. No. Q. What did this project result in the company and financial did it cause any financial hardship for you and your husband? A. Very much so. After our so-called settlement with NCUC and the tribe, we were required after the payment to not have any work for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MICHELLE PIERCE, called as a witness on behalf of the Respondent, having been first duly sworn testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Hi. Michelle, can you give us your full name and spell it, please. A. Michelle Pierce; M-I-C-H-E-L-L-E, P-I-E-R-C-E. Q. And just to state the obvious, what is your relationship to the respondent? A. I'm his wife. Q. Did you were you part of the process with NCUC, IHS, and Adamas Construction for the sludge removal project of the Lame Deer Lagoon? A. Yes. For some of it, not all of it.	 A. No. Q. After that, did Sheri Bement send an e-mail to Adamas Construction stating that she was still in charge and that all decisions needed to go through her? A. Yes. Immediately the next day. Q. Did she also instruct that we follow James Courtney's math and numbers with relation to the sludge concentration of the lagoons? A. Yes. Q. So, quick question: Are you and your husband wealthy? A. No. Q. What did this project result in the company and financial did it cause any financial hardship for you and your husband? A. Very much so. After our so-called settlement with NCUC and the tribe, we were required after the payment to not have any work for five years on any reservations, therefore
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MICHELLE PIERCE, called as a witness on behalf of the Respondent, having been first duly sworn testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: Q. Hi. Michelle, can you give us your ful name and spell it, please. A. Michelle Pierce; M-I-C-H-E-L-L-E, P-I-E-R-C-E. Q. And just to state the obvious, what is your relationship to the respondent? A. I'm his wife. Q. Did you were you part of the process with NCUC, IHS, and Adamas Construction for the sludge removal project of the Lame Deer Lagoon? A. Yes. For some of it, not all of it. Q. Okay. Do you recall whether or not	 A. No. Q. After that, did Sheri Bement send an e-mail to Adamas Construction stating that she was still in charge and that all decisions needed to go through her? A. Yes. Immediately the next day. Q. Did she also instruct that we follow James Courtney's math and numbers with relation to the sludge concentration of the lagoons? A. Yes. Q. So, quick question: Are you and your husband wealthy? A. No. Q. What did this project result in the company and financial did it cause any financial hardship for you and your husband? A. Very much so. After our so-called settlement with NCUC and the tribe, we were required after the payment to not have any work for five years on any reservations, therefore eliminating and bankrupting the company. We had to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MICHELLE PIERCE, called as a witness on behalf of the Respondent, having been first duly sworn testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: 0. Hi. Michelle, can you give us your full name and spell it, please. A. Michelle Pierce; M-I-C-H-E-L-L-E, P-I-E-R-C-E. 0. And just to state the obvious, what is your relationship to the respondent? A. I'm his wife. 0. Did you – were you part of the process with NCUC, HIS, and Adamas Construction for the sludge removal project of the Lame Deer Lagoon? A. Yes. For some of it, not all of it. 0. Okay. Do you recall whether or not Adamas and NCUC or HIS came to any agreement for	1A. No.2Q. After that, did Sheri Bement send an3e-mail to Adamas Construction stating that she was4still in charge and that all decisions needed to go5through her?6A. Yes. Immediately the next day.7Q. Did she also instruct that we follow8James Courtney's math and numbers with relation to9the sludge concentration of the lagoons?10A. Yes.11Q. So, quick question: Are you and your12husband wealthy?13A. No.14Q. What did this project result in the15company and financial did it cause any financial16hardship for you and your husband?17A. Very much so. After our so-called18settlement with NCUC and the tribe, we were19required after the payment to not have any work for20five years on any reservations, therefore21eliminating and bankrupting the company. We had to22completely refinance our home into a HELOC, so my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MICHELLE PIERCE, called as a witness on behalf of the Respondent, having been first duly sworn testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: 0. Hi. Michelle, can you give us your full name and spell it, please. A. Michelle Pierce; M-I-C-H-E-L-L-E, P-I-E-R-C-E. 0. And just to state the obvious, what is your relationship to the respondent? A. I'm his wife. 0. Did you were you part of the process with NCUC, IHS, and Adamas Construction for the sludge removal project of the Lame Deer Lagoon? A. Yes. For some of it, not all of it. 0. Okay. Do you recall whether or not Adamas and NCUC or IHS came to any agreement for Adamas to provide daily records?	1A. No.2Q. After that, did Sheri Bement send an3e-mail to Adamas Construction stating that she was4still in charge and that all decisions needed to go5through her?6A. Yes. Immediately the next day.7Q. Did she also instruct that we follow8James Courtney's math and numbers with relation to9the sludge concentration of the lagoons?10A. Yes.11Q. So, quick question: Are you and your12husband wealthy?13A. No.14Q. What did this project result in the15company and financial did it cause any financial16hardship for you and your husband?17A. Very much so. After our so-called18settlement with NCUC and the tribe, we were19required after the payment to not have any work for20five years on any reservations, therefore21eliminating and bankrupting the company. We had to22completely refinance our home into a HELOC, so my23mortgage doubled. And that was in 2000 mid-2019
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MICHELLE PIERCE, called as a witness on behalf of the Respondent, having been first duly sworn testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. PIERCE: 0. Hi. Michelle, can you give us your full name and spell it, please. A. Michelle Pierce; M-I-C-H-E-L-L-E, P-I-E-R-C-E. 0. And just to state the obvious, what is your relationship to the respondent? A. I'm his wife. 0. Did you – were you part of the process with NCUC, HIS, and Adamas Construction for the sludge removal project of the Lame Deer Lagoon? A. Yes. For some of it, not all of it. 0. Okay. Do you recall whether or not Adamas and NCUC or HIS came to any agreement for	1A. No.2Q. After that, did Sheri Bement send an3e-mail to Adamas Construction stating that she was4still in charge and that all decisions needed to go5through her?6A. Yes. Immediately the next day.7Q. Did she also instruct that we follow8James Courtney's math and numbers with relation to9the sludge concentration of the lagoons?10A. Yes.11Q. So, quick question: Are you and your12husband wealthy?13A. No.14Q. What did this project result in the15company and financial did it cause any financial16hardship for you and your husband?17A. Very much so. After our so-called18settlement with NCUC and the tribe, we were19required after the payment to not have any work for20five years on any reservations, therefore21eliminating and bankrupting the company. We had to22completely refinance our home into a HELOC, so my

114 (Pages 453 to 456)

	Page 457		Page 459
1	compensation. In fact, we still owe contractors	1	days, we were completely locked out of the
2	from that project at this time.	2	business. Within four days, we had a cease and
3	Q. Is Adamas Construction a viable business	3	desist. Within five days, the entire project was
4	anymore?	4	taken from us. But we were still liable for all of
5	A. No.	5	the contracts that we had put out for the business
6	Q. That business has been closed down for	6	for that project.
7	close to four years now; is that correct?	7	BY MR. PIERCE:
8	A. Correct.	8	Q. So you and your husband attempted to
9	Q. So you would say you gained no economic	9	help NCUC. And so you hired other contractors to
10	benefit from this particular job?	10	help with that, and you were obligated for that,
11	A. This particular job actually cost us	11	correct?
12	almost \$200,000.	12	A. Correct.
13	Q. How much money has you and your husband	13	Q. By NCUC not paying, that prevented you
14	spent on attorneys defending this action?	14	from paying those contractors, correct?
15	A. Not counting the 200,000 that we owed in	15	A. Correct.
16	contracts, probably close to \$75,000.	16	Q. Okay. What other projects did your
17	Q. So you guys have spent close to \$75,000	17	husband perform on the reservation?
18	in defense of this?	18	A. So the first time you got called out was
19	A. Yes. That is why you are doing pro se	19	the girls Boys and Girls Club had a sewer break.
20	today and not having an attorney.	20	What had happened is a waterline next to the Boys
21	Q. Was your husband ever the water or sewer	21	and Girls Club had actually broke. The utility
22	operator for the Northern Cheyenne tribe?	22	company had actually dug to the waterline, but
23	A. They tried to make you the sewer	23	couldn't get the water turned off, couldn't find
24	operator and water person, but it was given and	24	their gate valves, and actually took an excavator
25	taken back within a 24-hour period. So I don't	25	through power lines over this water hole and left
	Page 458		Page 460
1	know exactly how that all played out. But	1	the power on. And when you were called and brought
2	she basically, Sheri did one of her Tasmanian	2	in, you actually got the tools and supplies you
3	devil behaviors.	3	needed within 24 hours, got that water turned off,
4	Q. And did your husband send an e-mail to	4	fixed, and their water back on.
5	Sheri saying he was no longer interested in the	5	Q. How long was their water off before
6	operator position?	6	that?
7	A. Yes.	7	A. Four days.
8	THE COURT: Do you know when that happened?	8	Q. And that was the entire community of
		1	

THE COURT: Do you know when that happened? 8 Q. And that was the entire community of 9 Just rough time frame. Lame Deer? THE WITNESS: Probably right during the 10 A. The entire community of Lame Deer had no lagoon project. It would have been mid-August. 11 water for four days. THE COURT: Around in 2018? 12 THE WITNESS: Yeah. What had happened is 13 husband had worked on similar? 14 Sheri's husband Jim was in charge of the site projects. George Cummins came to Nathan and said, 15 16 "They're not doing safety, and I would like for you to talk to the team." And so Nathan came to Sheri 17 18 and told her that they needed to have the proper safety equipment to do the site project properly. 19 20 They were digging in a hole without a cover and exactly, but it was on the Northern Cheyenne box, basically a big metal box to keep the dirt 21 somewhere. 22 from falling in on them. There was a time where you were called to do a project for the -- I don't even want And once that happened and that was 23 confronted to her husband, basically everything

24 25 went spiraled out of control. And then within two

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q. Were there other projects that your

A. Yes. They had called several times, usually in the middle of the night, with broken lines. There was one where, in the middle of winter, you guys were out there for two to three days in the freezing cold with a line break. And I believe it was outside of Birney. I can't know

- 24 to -- I'll just call it Paul's old house, I guess,
- 25 where he used to live. A trailer house where

115 (Pages 457 to 460)

	idge iti	1	rage ros
1	sewage was actually coming directly out of the	1	THE COURT: Okay. Okay.
2	house onto the property, not going anywhere into	2	THE WITNESS: Just his helper.
3	the sewer lagoons or to the proper thing. And we	3	THE COURT: Okay. No worries. And I just
4	actually built and had to dig up an entire line	4	wanted to follow up on one part of your testimony
5	there.	5	to make sure I'm sort of understanding perhaps the
6	There was a time where you had to do a	6	chronology. Because I think at one point,
7	main waterline on the east side, which took several	7	Mr. Pierce asked about whether he was ever an
8	days. You did that with the NCUC crews. And	8	operator, and I think you said something about they
9	basically, they had all walked off on the end of	9	tried and it was taken away in a 24-hour period.
10	that shift, and you and I and our son, actually,	10	So can you elaborate and give me some time frame?
11	yes, who was 16 at the time stayed until 3:30 in	11	THE WITNESS: So what had happened is Sheri
12	the morning to get their water up and running so	12	was told by IHS that she had to have a water
13	that they had water for their Sun Dance.	13	operator and a sewer operator on-site. They had
14	Q. Perfect. So you would say that my	14	just recently fired their water operator, and so
15	duties and obligations weren't just simply going	15	they had no water operator and no sewer operator.
16	down and dealing with sewer. It was dealing with a	16	So Sheri went and did the classes to do the to
17	lot of different issues on the reservation,	17	become that water and sewer operator and failed the
18	correct?	18	test and called Nathan and myself and asked if he
19	A. Correct.	19	would be willing to take those tests.
20	MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I think that's all I	20	He actually did the class. Never did
21	have.	21	take the test, but he had applied. And she had
22	THE COURT: Okay. Just a little bit of	22	written in a contract that he was going to be the
23	follow-up before I turn it over. In terms of the	23	operator, and then within 12 to 24 hours later,
24	company, the LLC, PLLC	24	sent a notification that, nope, she wasn't going to
25	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.	25	do that or couldn't do that. And then he was no
	Dago 162		Dago 161

	Page 462		Page 464
1	THE COURT: do you know more particularly	1	longer the operator of NCUC.
2	when it was dissolved?	2	So it was just and it was just like a
3	THE WITNESS: End of 2018, beginning of 2019,	3	temporary thing anyway. But it was just one of
4	I believe. Basically, this project killed this	4	those, like, "We need it for this, for IHS, and we
5	company.	5	needed it immediately today." And so she basically
6	THE COURT: And how long had the company	6	used his name and then took it away.
7	existed prior to this?	7	THE COURT: And roughly when did this happen?
8	THE WITNESS: 2016. So about two and a half	8	THE WITNESS: It would have been before the
9	years.	9	sewer lagoon projects, actually. So it would have
10	THE COURT: Okay. And did you have a role in	10	been probably April or May, spring.
11	the company? Did you have an office or position	11	THE COURT: Of 2018?
12	or?	12	THE WITNESS: 2018.
13	THE WITNESS: No. I was just my husband's	13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	wife. I just helped him when I could on my days	14	THE WITNESS: I believe that's when the
15	off. I worked as a registered nurse. I did three	15	classes were, when you did those classes. I could
16	12-hour shifts in surgery, plus I was a clinical	16	be wrong.
17	supervisor for them for over 15 years, a nurse for	17	THE COURT: Okay. That's okay. I mean, just
18	over 20 years.	18	as much as
19	THE COURT: Okay. So was your husband the	19	THE WITNESS: Somewhere in there, yeah. It
20	president, then, of Adamas?	20	was it was a couple months before any of the
21	THE WITNESS: Yes.	21	lagoon projects started.
22	THE COURT: Okay. And you didn't you	22	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you.
23	weren't like a VP or secretary, treasurer, nothing	23	THE WITNESS: And he never did take the test
24	like that?	24	after that. He was just like, "Well, I'm done
25	THE WITNESS: No. Just his wife.	25	helping you at this point. Like, I will do the

116 (Pages 461 to 464)

1			
	Page 465		Page 467
1	contracting stuff, but I'm not going to intermix	1	nursing. There were days our son would be there to
2	them, the business."	2	help, but there were days he was in school. There
3	THE COURT: Okay. And to your knowledge, has	3	was other employees that we would bring onto the
4	there been any prior history of violations	4	site, but only as needed.
5	involving Adamas Corporation or Nathan Pierce	5	THE COURT: Okay.
6	individually with respect to EPA?	6	THE WITNESS: And so that's how she kept
7	THE WITNESS: Not that I know of.	7	track of who was working and how many hours were
8	THE COURT: Okay. And when you mentioned the	8	worked.
9	daily documents that were to go to Sheri Bement	9	THE COURT: Okay.
10	THE WITNESS: Yes.	10	THE WITNESS: And I don't know what happened
11	THE COURT: do you know whether or not	11	to that book, to be honest. We never saw it after
12	Adamas or Nathan Pierce individually supplied that	12	we got locked out of the site.
13	to her?	13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	THE WITNESS: Yes, I know that they were	14	THE WITNESS: And never given any information
15	supplied daily.	15	about what happened to it, where the samples went,
16	THE COURT: They were supplied	16	nothing. Or even if they were resubmitted.
17	THE WITNESS: They were handwritten, spiral	17	THE COURT: Okay. Okay. I'm going to give
18	notebook that they came in and would write the	18	complainant the opportunity to ask you some
19	amounts, who worked that day, and if any samples	19	questions about what you said thus far in your
20	were given and taken, and they would be left next	20	testimony. Was there anything else you wanted to
21	to the notebook. And they NCUC said that they	21	be sure that I know about when I review all of this
22	would take and send everything to the lab and keep	22	and come to a decision, whether that be liability
23	all the results and records. And she made it very	23	alone or liability and penalty?
24	clear that that was their obligation and not ours,	24	THE WITNESS: You know, honestly, I think the
25	and that she was going to take care of that.	25	biggest thing is just that we went there to try to
	Page 466		Page 468
1	THE COURT: And when you say she made that	1	help on many occasions. And the sewer lagoon
2	very clear, can you give me some context? Was it	2	project started because NCUC wanted the contract
3	like a meeting? Was it a phone call? How was that	3	for that and wanted the say in how that was done
4	conveyed?	4	instead of letting IHS just hire contractors for
5	THE WITNESS: It was conveyed almost every	5	them. She had asked Nathan to do the project with
6	time they were on-site, that she was in control and	6	her.
7	that everything went through NCUC and went through	7	THE COURT: Now, "she" is?
8	her.	8	THE WITNESS: Sheri Bement.
9	THE COURT: Was this like a verbal	9	THE COURT: Okay.
10	THE WITNESS: This was a verbal thing almost	10	THE WITNESS: And so he told her he would
11	daily	11	help her build it and do it, and they walked
12	THE COURT: Okay.	12	through together on how it was going to be done.
13	THE WITNESS: that she was she was	13	And within the first check got submitted. Money
14		1 1 4	

14 definitely the power of everything. And she made 15 that very clear every day. 16 THE COURT: Okay. And so in terms of that 17 logbook, I think you previously mentioned the daily information supplied to Ms. Bement, gallons pumped, 18 19 and samples taken? 20 THE WITNESS: Yeah. THE COURT: Okay. Anything else? 21 22 THE WITNESS: And crew that was working that 23 day, because sometimes it would change. Like,

 $\label{eq:24} 24 \qquad \text{there would be days that I would go and help, but}$

there were days I wasn't there because I was

117 (Pages 465 to 468)

BRIDGER COURT REPORTERS, INC. (406) 582-0668

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

pay the \$25,000 down for that delivery of that

FLUMP. And so once we addressed the money, that's when things started spinning out of control.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Anything else

started disappearing. We contacted her for

payment. Didn't get anywhere. We contacted IHS to

kind of help maybe get our payment so that we could

pay for the contractors. Because we had the frack

tanks on-site, but couldn't get the FLUMP to do the

dredging to put the sewage into the frack tanks

until that payment was there because we couldn't

that comes to mind?

	Page 469		Page 471
1	THE WITNESS: No.	1	that project, correct?
2	THE COURT: Okay. All right.	2	A. That's what we were told by Jim and
3	Complainant, who would like to question?	3	James Courtney.
4	MS. KACSUR: Yes, Your Honor. We just have a	4	THE COURT: And the last part of that?
5	few questions.	5	THE WITNESS: James Courtney.
6	THE COURT: Sure. Take your time.	6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	CROSS-EXAMINATION	7	THE WITNESS: And Jim White.
8	BY MS. KACSUR:	8	BY MS. KACSUR:
9	Q. Mrs. Pierce, do you recognize this	9	Q. You also testified that NCUC tried or
10	document?	10	briefly did make Nathan Pierce the operator, right?
11	A. I do.	11	A. Yes, for less than 24 hours. Or tried
12	Q. Can you describe it for me?	12	to, anyway.
13	A. It was a I believe a letter to I	13	Q. So, in your opinion, would Nathan Pierce
14	could I believe IHS. I could be wrong. I'm not	14	possess the requisite knowledge to be an operator
15	sure directly who it was. But it was kind of	15	of a lagoon?
16	explaining the situation that was going on with	16	A. No, because he did not have access to
17	Sheri Bement and the situation that I knew about.	17	all of their records. In order to be an operator,
18	Q. So you wrote this letter?	18	you have to be able to document and have access to
19	A. Yes.	19	their equipment and things. He did not have that.
20	MS. KACSUR: I'm sorry. For clarification,	20	He was just there to help.
21	we're on page 24 of CX46.	21	Q. But he had the requisite experience and
22	BY MS. KACSUR:	22	capabilities, other than the records, to be an
23	Q. Can I have you scroll to the bottom of	23	operator, correct?
24	this page. In the last paragraph, there is a	24	A. He had taken the class.
25	sentence right in the middle that begins, "Nathan	25	Q. The dispute with NCUC arose after the
	Page 470		Page 472
1	and Sheri and IHS (Quintin Allen.)" Can I have you	1	sludge had already begun to be applied, correct?
2	read that sentence for me?	2	A. No.
3	A. "Nathan and Sheri and IHS (Quintin	3	Q. When did the NCUC dispute begin?
4	Allen) then had a conference call to deal with the	4	A. When the site prospect errors were
5	issues of contract breach, and it was determined	5	starting to be addressed.
6	then that Adamas Construction would do the lagoon	6	Q. And when was that, approximately?
7	project alone with no use of NCUC equipment or	7	A. Beginning of August.
8	staff, but NCUC would still remain the primary	8	Q. Adamas did not claim to no longer be a
9	contractor of the contract. Adamas Construction	9	contractor with NCUC until after the application
10	would work with only NCUC crew and equipment for	10	project began, correct?
11	the camera and cleaning projects, and Sheri would	11	A. Correct.

be the project manager for the site work projects."
Q. Thank you.
Can we go to the next page? And in that
first paragraph there -- for the record, this is
page 25 -- there is a sentence in the first
paragraph that begins, "Sheri did not come." Can I

paragraph that begins, "Sheri did not come." Can I
have you read just that sentence?
A. "Sheri did not come to the site to see
what was being pumped, how it was being pumped, or
what the crew was doing to meet the contract
needs."

Q. Thank you.

23

24

You testified earlier that Sheri wasn't

25 familiar enough with the lagoons to be in charge of

MS. KACSUR: Can we look now at CX56, et. page 1.

13 page 1.14 BY MS. KACSUR:

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Q. Do you recognize this document?

A. I do not.

Q. Do you recognize the law firm at the top of this document?

A. I do not. I believe it was from a

gentleman that maybe Nathan had reached out to when

we got the first issues with IHS and EPA. I could

be wrong. I don't know exactly. That was not my

purview. I didn't do any of the lawyer stuff.

24 That was him.

MS. KACSUR: Can we go to the second page?

118 (Pages 469 to 472)

	Page 473		Page 475
1	BY MS. KACSUR:	1	there was also what they called scattered site
2	Q. And can I have you read the	2	projects. So they worked on things like opening
3	MS. KACSUR: Can you scroll up a bit?	3	and access to their gate valves because the utility
4	MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I mean, I know that	4	company didn't know where the locations of most of
5	we're building a record here, and I think some of	5	their gate valve was. In fact, Nathan and Sean
6	these highlighted and skipped over portions are	6	Bad Bear actually found old maps and located all of
7	creating not an accurate description of what's	7	the gate valves throughout the city of Lame Deer
8	going on in this document. We seem to be	8	and actually had to un-bury several of them to the
9	cherry-picking them and skipping over certain words	9	point where even when they pulled one up, there was
10	and then adding portions of other sentences, and it	10	a snake inside and had to kill the snake. And
11	seems like it's getting very confusing.	11	yeah, it was bad. But anyway.
12	THE COURT: In terms of what's actually being	12	THE COURT: Okay. So that triggered a lot of
13	read into the record?	13	this in your opinion?
14	MR. PIERCE: That's correct.	14	THE WITNESS: Yes. Well, what had happened
15	THE COURT: Okay. Well, you can I mean,	15	was because Cummins went to Nathan and said
16	I'm going to have it all, obviously. I realize	16	there's "They are not meeting protocol for
17	that there are certain points that the parties want	17	safety." And so when Nathan brought that up to
18	to emphasize, but that's not what I'm limited to.	18	Sheri and Jim, who was her husband, they became
19	MR. PIERCE: Sure.	19	very defensive. And then after that, that first
20	THE COURT: That being said, though, one	20	payment came in for the lagoon project, and the
21	option would be to, when you have the opportunity	21	money started disappearing and didn't go to the
22	to redirect, if you will, if you want to point out	22	contractors. And when addressed, that's it was
23	some of those other areas that you think might	23	all within, like, a week period. It was just
24	provide some context, you're welcome to.	24	literally, like I don't know how to it was
25	MR. PIERCE: Sure.	25	like watching a wildfire, just I mean, it

1	THE COURT: Otherwise, you know, all I can	1	ju
2	say is I'll be looking at everything in its	2	utt
3	entirety.	3	
4	Okay. Please go ahead.	4	
5	BY MS. KACSUR:	5	Ge
6	Q. Can I have you read the first bullet?	6	Jir
7	A. "When IHS and NC Tribal Council signed	7	jus
8	the fixed price agreement with NCUC, IHS and the	8	
9	Tribal Council were aware that NCUC did not have	9	qu
10	the technical expertise or equipment contacts to do	10	qu
11	this project without the participation of Adamas in	11	
12	the projects."	12	
13	MS. KACSUR: Thank you.	13	thi
14	We have no further questions.	14	yo
15	THE COURT: Okay. I actually just had two	15	
16	quick follow-ups if you don't mind me hopping in	16	
17	before I forget.	17	
18	When you mentioned, Mrs. Pierce, that in	18	Wa
19	early August I think you said there were site	19	th
20	errors, and when those were addressed was when	20	Wa
21	problems started. That	21	Bi
22	THE WITNESS: Yes.	22	di
23	THE COURT: may not be a verbatim quote.	23	m
24	But what site errors?	24	su
25	THE WITNESS: So part of the lagoon project,	25	as

Page 476

1	just it started, and within two weeks it was an
2	utter chaos.
3	THE COURT: Okay.
4	THE WITNESS: Literally a complaint from
5	George about the site projects. He went to her and
6	Jim. After that, a confrontation started, and it
7	just continued.
8	THE COURT: Okay. And the only other quick
9	question I had with regard to operator operator
10	qualifications.
11	THE WITNESS: Okay.
12	THE COURT: I know that you I've heard
13	this a couple of times through your testimony that
14	you talked about taking classes.
15	THE WITNESS: Yes.
16	THE COURT: And then taking a test.
17	THE WITNESS: He took the classes for the
18	water and sewer. So it was a two-day class offered
19	through I don't know who it was through. But it
20	was at the city college there on the west end of
21	Billings, and he was gone for two days, which they
22	did water and sewer. Basically, they went over the
23	manual, I guess. I don't know. And then he was
24	supposed to take the test. But then she put him in
25	as the operator and then took it away. And after

119 (Pages 473 to 476)

	Page 477		Page 479
1	that he decided he wasn't going to do the test or	1	so much.
2	deal with that aspect of it whatsoever to even help	2	Please go ahead, Mr. Pierce.
3	them.	3	MR. PIERCE: You know, I think we've
4	THE COURT: Okay. So "he" is Nathan Pierce?	4	covered everything, Your Honor. You sort of nailed
5	THE WITNESS: Yes. Sorry.	5	down
6	THE COURT: And "she" is Sheri Bement, right?	6	THE COURT: Yeah. I didn't mean to do that.
7	THE WITNESS: Yes.	7	I just wanted to follow up while it was still in my
8	THE COURT: Okay. So Sheri removed	8	brain because it may not be there for long, so
9	Mr. Pierce as operator?	9	MR. PIERCE: You saved me. So I think we've
10	THE WITNESS: After she told IHS he was.	10	covered it. And I have no further questions.
11	THE COURT: Okay. And that's what fed into	11	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Very good.
12	the decision, to your knowledge, that he wasn't	12	Thank you so much, Mrs. Pierce. I
13	going to bother taking the test?	13	appreciate your testimony.
14	THE WITNESS: Yes. Because he got	14	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
15	notification. I don't even know who told him. But	15	THE COURT: How about you? Are you are
16	he was like, basically, "She just put me down as	16	you all where's respondent stand here? Are you
17	the operator. She didn't ask my permission for it.	17	done or do you want to
18	She just did it." And then so then he went to her	18	MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I think, based upon
19	the next day, and she was, like, "Well, I'm just	19	everything that's here today. I mean, I appreciate
20 21	going to take it off." But through IHS, they still	20 21	the you know, the nature and the extent and
21	had him documented as the operator even though he wasn't ever.	22	prior history. I mean, I don't know that we can really I don't know how I could question myself
22	THE COURT: And do you know where that was	23	and give testimony at the same time.
23	documented or memorialized? Do you know what kind	24	THE COURT: Well, just and I'm not saying
25	of form?	25	this to urge or not, you know. If you want to just
25	of form.	23	uns to urge of hot, you know. If you want to just
	Page 478		Page 480
1	THE WITNESS: I don't know. It could have	1	offer some statements for me to consider under
2	been an e-mail. I just know it was back and forth.	2	oath, you can do that.
3	And this was things that him and I talked about	3	MR. PIERCE: Sure.
4	outside of home.	4	THE COURT: If you don't, that's okay, too.
5	THE COURT: Okay. Got it. Got it.	5	I mean, I you know, generally, I cover just some
6	THE WITNESS: It wasn't it wasn't like I	6	basics. But you don't have to feel as though
7	was a part of it. I just know the drama it brought	7	you've got to act as the questioner and the
8	to our house.	8	responder all in one. You can, under oath, share
9	THE COURT: Okay. Understood. And so this	9	what you'd like me to consider or not. That's your
10	may actually answer my next question, but do you	10	call.
11	know personally, do you know whether or not	11	MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am. I guess I can give
12	passing that test would have been a requirement in	12	a quick statement under oath.
13 14	order to finalize becoming an operator? THE WITNESS: Yes. Because I believe in the	13	THE COURT: Okay. Do you want to come up
14 15		14 15	MP PIEPCE: Oh vec ma'am
16	state of Montana you do have to have an operator license.	16	MR. PIERCE: Oh, yes, ma'am. THE COURT: Make it fully official. And, you
17	THE COURT: Okay.	17	know, by doing so, you'll be subject to cross. You
18	THE WITNESS: I could be wrong, but I do	18	know that, right?
19	believe that. It's kind of like having a nursing	19	MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am.
20	license or, you know, you have to have that license	20	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Okay. If you
21	done before you can actually practice.	21	would raise your right hand.
22	THE COURT: And that was the state test that	22	NATHAN PIERCE,
23	Ms. Bement failed?	23	called as a witness on behalf of the Respondent,
24	THE WITNESS: Yes.	24	having been first duly sworn testified as follows:
25	THE COURT: Okay. Got it. Okay. Thank you	25	
<u> </u>			120 (Pages 477 to 480)

Pao	e	4	8	1

	Page 481		Page 483
1	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.	1	looked at pretty heavily is the intent, was the
2	THE COURT: Okay. Please have a seat,	2	intended violation there? But moreover, we've
3	Mr. Pierce. And	3	heard here from other testimony that the person who
4	EXAMINATION	4	is in control of the site and the overall facility
5	MR. PIERCE: For the record, my name is	5	as well as contract a contractor cannot contract
б	Nathan Pierce. N-A-T-H-A-N, P-I-E-R-C-E.	6	away their liability. There's been multiple
7	THE COURT: And just a few kind of	7	documents that have been shown here today that
8	preliminary things. With regard to the	8	shows NCUC ultimately accepted that responsibility.
9	corporation, what was your role in Adamas	9	There's multiple documents here today that point
10	Construction and Development Services?	10	out, regardless of what the EPA is trying to
11	THE WITNESS: I was the owner, operator, and	11	assert, that, in fact, NCUC was the main contractor
12	manager. General manager, excuse me.	12	and person in control of this entire operation.
13	THE COURT: And roughly how long did the	13	And so when asked for documents, the
14	business exist?	14	documents that I didn't have, I responded to the
15	THE WITNESS: So the business existed for	15	EPA immediately and tried to provide them access to
16	about four years.	16	the information or documents that they needed. So,
17	THE COURT: And I think Mrs. Pierce spoke to	17	to me, if a person, you know, is asking for
18	this, but if you want to corroborate or if you are	18	documents or records and you don't have them, I
19	able to be more specific, do you know when it was	19	think the most responsible thing you can do is
20	dissolved?	20	point out where they can access or find those
21	THE WITNESS: 2019, I believe, is when it was	21	records. They were able to do so. So the idea
22	dissolved.	22	that I didn't respond I think is completely
23	THE COURT: Okay. And did the basis for	23	disingenuous on its face. They may not have liked
24	dissolution stem from this particular project or	24	the response, but the response was given.
25	were there other things that factored into it?	25	THE COURT: Okay. Did you withhold
	Page 482		Page 484
1		1	_
1 2	THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. It was directly	1	anything
	THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. It was directly from this project.		_
2	THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. It was directly from this project. THE COURT: Okay.	2	anything THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. THE COURT: from them?
2 3	THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. It was directly from this project. THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: Not getting paid for close to	2 3	anything THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. THE COURT: from them? THE WITNESS: In fact, I tried to point them
2 3 4	THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. It was directly from this project. THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: Not getting paid for close to 90 you know, 90 to 120 days just steamrolled	2 3 4	anything THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. THE COURT: from them? THE WITNESS: In fact, I tried to point them to every place that they could find the information
2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. It was directly from this project. THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: Not getting paid for close to 90 you know, 90 to 120 days just steamrolled everything downhill.	2 3 4 5	anything THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. THE COURT: from them? THE WITNESS: In fact, I tried to point them to every place that they could find the information they were seeking.
2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. It was directly from this project. THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: Not getting paid for close to 90 you know, 90 to 120 days just steamrolled	2 3 4 5 6	anything THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. THE COURT: from them? THE WITNESS: In fact, I tried to point them to every place that they could find the information
2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. It was directly from this project. THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: Not getting paid for close to 90 you know, 90 to 120 days just steamrolled everything downhill. THE COURT: And I guess are there the	2 3 4 5 6 7	anything THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. THE COURT: from them? THE WITNESS: In fact, I tried to point them to every place that they could find the information they were seeking. THE COURT: Okay. With regard to this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. It was directly from this project. THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: Not getting paid for close to 90 you know, 90 to 120 days just steamrolled everything downhill. THE COURT: And I guess are there the documents that I mean, this is a big record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	anything THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. THE COURT: from them? THE WITNESS: In fact, I tried to point them to every place that they could find the information they were seeking. THE COURT: Okay. With regard to this project, can you either just confirm or reiterate
2 3 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. It was directly from this project. THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: Not getting paid for close to 90 you know, 90 to 120 days just steamrolled everything downhill. THE COURT: And I guess are there the documents that I mean, this is a big record. THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	anything THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. THE COURT: from them? THE WITNESS: In fact, I tried to point them to every place that they could find the information they were seeking. THE COURT: Okay. With regard to this project, can you either just confirm or reiterate for me what aspects of it you physically did?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. It was directly from this project. THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: Not getting paid for close to 90 you know, 90 to 120 days just steamrolled everything downhill. THE COURT: And I guess are there the documents that I mean, this is a big record. THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: So the documents, I'll just say	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	anything THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. THE COURT: from them? THE WITNESS: In fact, I tried to point them to every place that they could find the information they were seeking. THE COURT: Okay. With regard to this project, can you either just confirm or reiterate for me what aspects of it you physically did? THE WITNESS: Sure. Yes, Your Honor. The
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. It was directly from this project. THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: Not getting paid for close to 90 you know, 90 to 120 days just steamrolled everything downhill. THE COURT: And I guess are there the documents that I mean, this is a big record. THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: So the documents, I'll just say broadly if you're not comfortable answering,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	anything THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. THE COURT: from them? THE WITNESS: In fact, I tried to point them to every place that they could find the information they were seeking. THE COURT: Okay. With regard to this project, can you either just confirm or reiterate for me what aspects of it you physically did? THE WITNESS: Sure. Yes, Your Honor. The aspect that I physically did is I took the FLUMP,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. It was directly from this project. THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: Not getting paid for close to 90 you know, 90 to 120 days just steamrolled everything downhill. THE COURT: And I guess are there the documents that I mean, this is a big record. THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: So the documents, I'll just say broadly if you're not comfortable answering, it's okay. But the documents that as I go	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	anything THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. THE COURT: from them? THE WITNESS: In fact, I tried to point them to every place that they could find the information they were seeking. THE COURT: Okay. With regard to this project, can you either just confirm or reiterate for me what aspects of it you physically did? THE WITNESS: Sure. Yes, Your Honor. The aspect that I physically did is I took the FLUMP, which is a basically, it's a boat that has a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. It was directly from this project. THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: Not getting paid for close to 90 you know, 90 to 120 days just steamrolled everything downhill. THE COURT: And I guess are there the documents that I mean, this is a big record. THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: So the documents, I'll just say broadly if you're not comfortable answering, it's okay. But the documents that as I go through, that appear to be attributed to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	anything THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. THE COURT: from them? THE WITNESS: In fact, I tried to point them to every place that they could find the information they were seeking. THE COURT: Okay. With regard to this project, can you either just confirm or reiterate for me what aspects of it you physically did? THE WITNESS: Sure. Yes, Your Honor. The aspect that I physically did is I took the FLUMP, which is a basically, it's a boat that has a head on it, and I put it inside the ponds. I ran
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. It was directly from this project. THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: Not getting paid for close to 90 you know, 90 to 120 days just steamrolled everything downhill. THE COURT: And I guess are there the documents that I mean, this is a big record. THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: So the documents, I'll just say broadly if you're not comfortable answering, it's okay. But the documents that as I go through, that appear to be attributed to you e-mails from you, things that appear to bear	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	anything THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. THE COURT: from them? THE WITNESS: In fact, I tried to point them to every place that they could find the information they were seeking. THE COURT: Okay. With regard to this project, can you either just confirm or reiterate for me what aspects of it you physically did? THE WITNESS: Sure. Yes, Your Honor. The aspect that I physically did is I took the FLUMP, which is a basically, it's a boat that has a head on it, and I put it inside the ponds. I ran that back and forth, and it pumped from the ponds
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. It was directly from this project. THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: Not getting paid for close to 90 you know, 90 to 120 days just steamrolled everything downhill. THE COURT: And I guess are there the documents that I mean, this is a big record. THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: So the documents, I'll just say broadly if you're not comfortable answering, it's okay. But the documents that as I go through, that appear to be attributed to you e-mails from you, things that appear to bear your signature any question about that, or do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	anything THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. THE COURT: from them? THE WITNESS: In fact, I tried to point them to every place that they could find the information they were seeking. THE COURT: Okay. With regard to this project, can you either just confirm or reiterate for me what aspects of it you physically did? THE WITNESS: Sure. Yes, Your Honor. The aspect that I physically did is I took the FLUMP, which is a basically, it's a boat that has a head on it, and I put it inside the ponds. I ran that back and forth, and it pumped from the ponds into the frack tanks.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. It was directly from this project. THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: Not getting paid for close to 90 you know, 90 to 120 days just steamrolled everything downhill. THE COURT: And I guess are there the documents that I mean, this is a big record. THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: So the documents, I'll just say broadly if you're not comfortable answering, it's okay. But the documents that as I go through, that appear to be attributed to you e-mails from you, things that appear to bear your signature any question about that, or do you acknowledge that they are from you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	anything THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. THE COURT: from them? THE WITNESS: In fact, I tried to point them to every place that they could find the information they were seeking. THE COURT: Okay. With regard to this project, can you either just confirm or reiterate for me what aspects of it you physically did? THE WITNESS: Sure. Yes, Your Honor. The aspect that I physically did is I took the FLUMP, which is a basically, it's a boat that has a head on it, and I put it inside the ponds. I ran that back and forth, and it pumped from the ponds into the frack tanks. Other than that, I worked as a technical
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. It was directly from this project. THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: Not getting paid for close to 90 you know, 90 to 120 days just steamrolled everything downhill. THE COURT: And I guess are there the documents that I mean, this is a big record. THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: So the documents, I'll just say broadly if you're not comfortable answering, it's okay. But the documents that as I go through, that appear to be attributed to you e-mails from you, things that appear to bear your signature any question about that, or do you acknowledge that they are from you? THE WITNESS: They're from me if they have my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	anything THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. THE COURT: from them? THE WITNESS: In fact, I tried to point them to every place that they could find the information they were seeking. THE COURT: Okay. With regard to this project, can you either just confirm or reiterate for me what aspects of it you physically did? THE WITNESS: Sure. Yes, Your Honor. The aspect that I physically did is I took the FLUMP, which is a basically, it's a boat that has a head on it, and I put it inside the ponds. I ran that back and forth, and it pumped from the ponds into the frack tanks. Other than that, I worked as a technical consultant as per my stated contract with NCUC.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. It was directly from this project. THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: Not getting paid for close to 90 you know, 90 to 120 days just steamrolled everything downhill. THE COURT: And I guess are there the documents that I mean, this is a big record. THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: So the documents, I'll just say broadly if you're not comfortable answering, it's okay. But the documents that as I go through, that appear to be attributed to you e-mails from you, things that appear to bear your signature any question about that, or do you acknowledge that they are from you? THE WITNESS: They're from me if they have my signature, Your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	anything THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. THE COURT: from them? THE WITNESS: In fact, I tried to point them to every place that they could find the information they were seeking. THE COURT: Okay. With regard to this project, can you either just confirm or reiterate for me what aspects of it you physically did? THE WITNESS: Sure. Yes, Your Honor. The aspect that I physically did is I took the FLUMP, which is a basically, it's a boat that has a head on it, and I put it inside the ponds. I ran that back and forth, and it pumped from the ponds into the frack tanks. Other than that, I worked as a technical consultant as per my stated contract with NCUC. And I believe that's the same thing that James
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. It was directly from this project. THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: Not getting paid for close to 90 you know, 90 to 120 days just steamrolled everything downhill. THE COURT: And I guess are there the documents that I mean, this is a big record. THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: So the documents, I'll just say broadly if you're not comfortable answering, it's okay. But the documents that as I go through, that appear to be attributed to you e-mails from you, things that appear to bear your signature any question about that, or do you acknowledge that they are from you? THE WITNESS: They're from me if they have my signature, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Or your e-mail? THE WITNESS: That's correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So what would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	anything THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. THE COURT: from them? THE WITNESS: In fact, I tried to point them to every place that they could find the information they were seeking. THE COURT: Okay. With regard to this project, can you either just confirm or reiterate for me what aspects of it you physically did? THE WITNESS: Sure. Yes, Your Honor. The aspect that I physically did is I took the FLUMP, which is a basically, it's a boat that has a head on it, and I put it inside the ponds. I ran that back and forth, and it pumped from the ponds into the frack tanks. Other than that, I worked as a technical consultant as per my stated contract with NCUC. And I believe that's the same thing that James Courtney described as a consultant.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. It was directly from this project. THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: Not getting paid for close to 90 you know, 90 to 120 days just steamrolled everything downhill. THE COURT: And I guess are there the documents that I mean, this is a big record. THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: So the documents, I'll just say broadly if you're not comfortable answering, it's okay. But the documents that as I go through, that appear to be attributed to you e-mails from you, things that appear to bear your signature any question about that, or do you acknowledge that they are from you? THE WITNESS: They're from me if they have my signature, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Or your e-mail? THE WITNESS: That's correct, Your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	anything THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. THE COURT: from them? THE WITNESS: In fact, I tried to point them to every place that they could find the information they were seeking. THE COURT: Okay. With regard to this project, can you either just confirm or reiterate for me what aspects of it you physically did? THE WITNESS: Sure. Yes, Your Honor. The aspect that I physically did is I took the FLUMP, which is a basically, it's a boat that has a head on it, and I put it inside the ponds. I ran that back and forth, and it pumped from the ponds into the frack tanks. Other than that, I worked as a technical consultant as per my stated contract with NCUC. And I believe that's the same thing that James Courtney described as a consultant. THE COURT: And then what I'm kind of piecing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. It was directly from this project. THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: Not getting paid for close to 90 you know, 90 to 120 days just steamrolled everything downhill. THE COURT: And I guess are there the documents that I mean, this is a big record. THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: So the documents, I'll just say broadly if you're not comfortable answering, it's okay. But the documents that as I go through, that appear to be attributed to you e-mails from you, things that appear to bear your signature any question about that, or do you acknowledge that they are from you? THE WITNESS: They're from me if they have my signature, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Or your e-mail? THE WITNESS: That's correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So what would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	anything THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. THE COURT: from them? THE WITNESS: In fact, I tried to point them to every place that they could find the information they were seeking. THE COURT: Okay. With regard to this project, can you either just confirm or reiterate for me what aspects of it you physically did? THE WITNESS: Sure. Yes, Your Honor. The aspect that I physically did is I took the FLUMP, which is a basically, it's a boat that has a head on it, and I put it inside the ponds. I ran that back and forth, and it pumped from the ponds into the frack tanks. Other than that, I worked as a technical consultant as per my stated contract with NCUC. And I believe that's the same thing that James Courtney described as a consultant. THE COURT: And then what I'm kind of piecing together, then, is Mr. Sprague came in with regard

23 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. 24

THE COURT: -- into his equipment --

THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.

BRIDGER COURT REPORTERS, INC. (406) 582-0668

25

THE WITNESS: So I think when it comes to the

liability aspect, one of the things that should be

24

25

121 (Pages 481 to 484)

		<u> </u>	
	Page 485		Page 487
1	THE COURT: in order to then transport it	1	of the project players there. That had Sheri
2	to Mr. Robinson's property?	2	Bement. That had engineers from Pioneer Technical
3	THE WITNESS: That's correct. Yes, Your	3	Services. That had engineers from IHS. Meaning
4	Honor.	4	there were lots of different people, including
5	THE COURT: And then Mr. Sprague then sprayed	5	tribal council members, because we're dealing with
6	or spread out that sludge that Mr. Robinson then	6	the Northern Cheyenne Reservation here.
7	tilled into the soil?	7	THE COURT: Is that meeting the one that's
8	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. That's correct.	8	been referred to as the pre-construction meeting?
9	THE COURT: Okay. So with regard to	9	THE WITNESS: No, ma'am.
10	Mr. Sprague's piece of this, to what extent I	10	THE COURT: Okay.
11	realize there's the subcontractor agreement. To	11	THE WITNESS: There were lots of
12	what extent did you supervise his work, his piece	12	pre-construction. There was a I think there was
13	of it?	13	probably a total of six meetings prior to the
14	THE WITNESS: Very limited, Your Honor.	14	project ever being signed into agreement. Then
15	THE COURT: Okay.	15	there was probably three different types of
16	THE WITNESS: I went out for the initial	16	pre-construction meetings, one at IHS. And so that
17	meeting as he spoke, that sort of ten-person	17	was the pre-construction meeting between IHS,
18	circle. And that was pretty much the extent of my	18	myself, and NCUC to where they sort of explained.
19	control as to where he dumped, when he dumped, what	19	There were three different contracts
20	time he went. That was all independently and up to	20	that we were dealing with here. The sewer lagoon
21	him.	21	renovation project, the sewer camera and cleaning
22	THE COURT: In that circle, was that a	22	project, and then as my wife mentioned, the
23	one-meeting thing?	23	scattered site project. Which scattered site
24	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.	24	projects have to do with installing septics, wells,
25	THE COURT: Do you remember about when that	25	building homes for the individual homes on a
_			
	Page 486		Page 488
-	_		
1	happened?		reservation. It's money that's provided by IHS
2	THE WITNESS: Boy. I'm going to say it would	2	through a funding program.
3	have to be close to the time that I signed the	3	So we had that meeting. Then we had
4	contract with Tom Robinson. So around 8/18-ish,	4	another pre-construction meeting at the Northern
5	somewhere in that time frame.	5	Cheyenne Utility Commission office. And again, a
6	THE COURT: Okay. And to the best of your	6	lot of the main players were there. And there was
7	recollection, who was in the initial circle?	7	pretty much a layout of the project, how it was
8	THE WITNESS: So George Cummins, Sheri	8	going to go, who was going to do what, what all the
9	Bement, Jim Bement, myself, Spencer Lande, Sean	9	records and obligations were.
10	Bad Bear, Ernie Sprague, and Tom Robinson Ernie	10	I believe at that point, James Courtney
11	Sprague excuse me and Tom Robinson. And I		had reiterated the 503 requirements for NCUC. And
12	don't I think that was the gist of it.	12	I believe he memorialized in his meeting notes that
13	THE COURT: Okay.	13	he had told them they were ultimately responsible
14	THE WITNESS: I don't think there was anybody	14	for the 503 requirements and to follow and make
15	else. MS. PIERCE: Frank Backbone?	15 16	sure that those were followed.
16			THE COURT: Okay. And then so going back
17	MR. PIERCE: Sorry?	17	then to this, what I'm calling an initial
18	MS. PIERCE: Frank.	18	circle meeting that sounds like was relating
19	MR. PIERCE: Frank Backbone. Yes, ma'am.	19	to the hauling and applying of the sludge on
20	THE COURT: Okay. And what as it relates	20	Mr. Robinson's property
21	to what I need to evaluate, what all was covered in	21	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
22	that initial circle meeting?	22	THE COURT: Did was there discussion about
23	THE WITNESS: So the primary there were	23	the 503 requirements and what records would have to
24	two actual meetings. There was one meeting at the	24	be developed, and who would develop them, and who
25	Northern Cheyenne Utility Commission. That had all	25	would keep them, and were there any conversations
			122 (Pages 485 to 488)

	Page 489		Page 491
1	about the records piece?	1	THE COURT: So with respect to that
2	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. And I believe	2	requirement and this project, did you ever collect
3	Mr. Sprague had confirmed that earlier in his	3	any records from anybody that would need to be
4	testimony as well.	4	maintained and potentially turned over to NCUC?
5	THE COURT: And so did some of that come from	5	THE WITNESS: I did not, because I felt they
6	you, then, to these individuals with whom you	6	were already in NCUC's possession.
7	subcontracted?	7	THE COURT: Okay. Were there any, you know,
8	THE WITNESS: So a good majority of the 503	8	meetings or discussions that you had with NCUC to
9	information came from James Courtney and/or George	9	confirm whether they had the 503 records or
10	Cummins. I did provide 503 information in my	10	anything?
11	contracts, as you have seen, to reiterate to	11	THE WITNESS: The meetings and discussions
12	everybody that there were obligations, and that	12	for settlement with IHS, that was brought up and
13	they needed to go ahead and follow those, and that	13	discussed at that time.
14	we were expecting them to follow those obligations.	14	THE COURT: Okay.
15	THE COURT: Did you ever follow up with any	15	THE WITNESS: So
16	of your subcontractors to determine whether they	16	THE COURT: But not when all of this was
17	were developing the records and to be able to	17	transpiring?
18	comply with 503?	18	THE WITNESS: So let me rephrase. The
19	THE WITNESS: Yeah. I followed up with both	19	meeting, meaning the settlement meeting, with IHS.
20	Ernie and Tom before the contract to ensure that	20	So after all of this sort of fell apart, Dion
21	they were doing those. We were also developing out	21	Killsback memorialized that he represented Adamas
22	records at NCUC for them and were instructed that	22	and NCUC in negotiations with IHS for payment of
23	they were going to as they were ultimately	23	the contract. At that point, it was discussed with
24	responsible for the 503 and they were the NPDES	24	IHS that NCUC had those records.
25	permit holder, they were going to be the ones who	25	THE COURT: Okay. Anything else you want to
	Page 490		Page 492
1		1	
1 2	were taking care of the records and making sure that those got stored appropriately for the	1 2	share with me about the records piece, the 503
3	project.	3	records, developing and maintaining records? THE WITNESS: No, Your Honor. I mean, I
4	THE COURT: "They" being NCUC?	4	think the plain English reading of the law is
5	THE WITNESS: NCUC, yes, ma'am.	5	pretty it states that the applier or person who
6	THE COURT: Did you ever collect records from	6	applies shall maintain those records.
7	Tom Robinson or Ernie Sprague?	7	THE COURT: Okay. So turning just for a
8	THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. After I got served	8	minute to, like, the operator piece, if I can call
9	with the initial request for information, I did	9	it that. I heard some testimony from Mrs. Pierce
10	reach out to them and explain that they needed to	10	about that. Would you like to elaborate or fill in
11	go ahead and provide that information. My attorney	11	any gaps or
12	also sent a letter to Dion Killsback from the	12	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
13	Northern Cheyenne Utility Commission, attorney for	13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	the Northern Cheyenne Utility Commission, asking	14	THE WITNESS: So Sheri Bement actually the
15	him to provide that information to the EPA and	15	reference of naming me as the operator actually
16	explaining that we had received their request and	16	came in front of the EPA Region 8 inspectors. She
17	we are now asking for you to provide the documents	17	attempted to hold me out as the operator, which I
18	that are in your control.	18	did correct that position. She wanted me to be the
19	THE COURT: Okay. And did you ever collect	19	operator for the sewer and water system of the
20	any documents and maintain that?	20	Lame of the Northern Cheyenne Reservation. We
21	THE WITNESS: So I guess did I collect	21	had developed out an initial verbal agreement that
22	documents and maintain them? Yes, ma'am.	22	I would become the temporary operator.
23	THE COURT: With regard to 503. Because I'm	23	Within a 24-hour period, she came back
24	really focused on these claims in this.	24	to me and said that her board of directors did not
25	THE WITNESS: Right.	25	want that to happen. She also explained to me some
			123 (Pages 489 to 492)

1	of the other, I guess, chaos with her board, I	1	said before taking the stand you didn't really
2	guess, and the tribal council as well. And so I	2	think there was anything more that you could add.
3	decided at that point that I was no longer	3	But anything come to mind that you want to offer
4	interested, and I didn't want to pursue that	4	testimony about?
5	position, and that I would not operate be their	5	THE WITNESS: Hard to get blood from a
6	operator.	6	turnip, I mean.
7	I had gone through the classes, as my	7	THE COURT: With regard to the history of
8	wife had mentioned. In the state of Montana	8	prior violations, any?
9	you're required to go through classes, and then you	9	THE WITNESS: None.
10	are you have to take a test to show that you	10	THE COURT: Okay. There's what I kind of
11	have the skills necessary to meet the operator	11	call a catch-all, if you will, that often appears
12	limits. Depending on the size of the system, the	12	in penalty consideration, whether it's the Clean
13	requirements can be extremely, extremely stringent	13	Water Act or other statutes that I encounter,
14	or strict. So there's a lot of different	14	called "other matters as justice may require." So
15	determination there.	15	anything else you want to tell me under oath that
16	Once you pass that test and make	16	you would like me to consider if I reach the issue
17	application to the state, the state will issue an	17	of penalty and penalty mitigation?
18	operator's license and send that to you. I did not	18	THE WITNESS: No, Your Honor.
19	complete the application process. I did not	19	THE COURT: Okay. Anything else at all
20	complete the test process.	20	before I turn it over?
21	THE COURT: And as it relates to, you know,	21	THE WITNESS: No, ma'am.
22	the claims that are made here in Claim 2, is there	22	THE COURT: Okay.
23	anything that you wanted to share on your own with	23	Any questions for Mr. Pierce?
24	me to consider about the allegations with respect	24	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Yes, Your Honor.
25	to you being an operator?	25	THE COURT: Please.

1 THE WITNESS: So, I mean, in order to -- you 1 CROSS-EXAMINATION 2 2 can say anybody's activities with regard to a sewer BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: 3 3 Q. Mr. Pierce, I know this is well-tread system could be an operator, but an operator has 4 4 very specific duties. They have very specific ground at this point. But there's some parts of 5 5 obligations as to the system, as to the the record that I want to talk about, and then I've 6 6 recordkeeping requirements, testing, sampling, the just got a few follow-up questions here. 7 7 You just said that you never held reporting for the NPDES permit. I mean, there are 8 8 a lot of things that an operator has to do. And yourself out as an operator. But didn't you say in 9 9 simply being a subcontractor who works on similar an e-mail to IHS and NCUC in August of 2018, "I 10 10 would fall under the NCUC umbrella as the sewer projects does not automatically make one an 11 11 operator"? Did you not say that? operator. 12 12 A. I did say that. At no time did I ever hold myself out to 13 13 be the operator of the NCUC system or the Northern Q. Okay. And then you said, "I'm no longer 14 14 the temporary sewer operator for the NCUC." Did Cheyenne systems, and I am not an operator. The 15 15 Northern Cheyenne Utility Commission had a you say that? 16 16 temporary operator that was working there named A. That's correct, yes. 17 17 Raymond Pine. He was their foreman and operator Q. Okay. Concerning the sludge removal and 18 18 application project, you told NCUC and IHS that on a temporary basis. He was also somebody that 19 19 Sheri Bement made me give daily reports to. 20 20 Unfortunately, Mr. Pine passed away. the project, correct? 21 THE COURT: Okay. Anything else you wanted 21 A. I told them that we would follow the 503 22 22 to share with regard to that? regulations. 23 23 THE WITNESS: No, Your Honor. Q. Well, let's be clear about this. In 24 24 THE COURT: Okay. And then with respect to addition to telling them that you would follow the 25 the, you know, penalty factors, I know that you 25 503 regulations, didn't you also say that Adamas

Adamas Construction was responsible for completing

124 (Pages 493 to 496)

BRIDGER COURT REPORTERS, INC. (406) 582-0668

Page 496

	Page 497		Page 499
1	would complete the project itself?	1	Pierce have been subcontracted by NCUC to be the
2	A. So I did not say Adamas would complete	2	project manager and technical consultant for this
3	the project itself. I said that we would complete	3	project."
4	the project	4	Q. Can you read the second line, please?
5	Q. Okay.	5	A. "Work will be completed according to the
6	A in the terms of the agreement we had	6	standards of the Northern Cheyenne Regulations,
7	with the Northern Cheyenne Utility Commission.	7	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Part 503."
8	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Can we look at	8	Q. In June of 2018, you wrote an e-mail to
9	Complainant's Exhibit 45, et. 33? Okay. Thank	9	NCUC and IHS that there would be no NCUC equipment
10	you.	10	or staff that would be used in the sludge removal
11	BY MR. MUEHLBERGER:	11	and application project, right?
12	Q. Could you read that third bullet point	12	A. So can you ask that question again? I'm
13	that's highlighted there, please?	13	sorry.
14	A. "It is understood for this contract, the	14	Q. Sure. In June to be specific, June
15	term 'Adamas Construction and Development Services'	15	21st, 2018, you sent an e-mail to IHS and to NCUC,
16	or 'Adamas' includes all of our subcontractors,	16	and you said, "Nathan Pierce will be the project
17	subconsultants, engineers and other team members."	17	manager for the sludge removal project with the
18	Q. So you were taking responsibility for	18	understanding that no NCUC equipment or staff will
19	your subcontractors through this statement, right?	19	be used."
20	A. I am stating out here that that term	20	A. That agreement was never honored.
21	includes all of those people.	21	Q. But you said that?
22	Q. Okay. You also	22	A. I did say it, but it was never honored.
23	A. I didn't say that I accept liability for	23	Q. Okay. And after the project was
24	them. I just said the term includes.	24	complete
25	Q. Okay. So by including the	25	A. The project was never completed.
	Page 498		Page 500
1	subcontractors in the term "Adamas," you are	1	Q. After the sludge had been applied to
2	representing to NCUC and IHS that the	2	Mr. Robinson's property in August of 2018,
3	subcontractors are, in fact, Adamas?	3	afterwards, your attorney said in an e-mail in
4	A. The subcontractors would have been	4	April 2019, that Adamas Construction completed all
5	subcontracted to NCUC.	5	of the work with the sludge removal and application

6 Q. Now, Mr. Pierce, the two contractors 6 project, correct? 7 7 that we've talked about at length here between you A. That's what my attorney said to the IHS, 8 and Tom Robinson and between you and Ernie Sprague, 8 I believe. 9 9 is NCUC a party to those contracts? Q. Thank you. 10 A. So NCUC would be a party to the contract 10 Your attorney also said -- or one of 11 because they're a party to Adamas' contract, and 11 your attorneys after this project also said that 12 those subcontractors are a party to that contract. 12 NCUC didn't have the expertise or the equipment to 13 Q. Those two contracts that we've gone over 13 complete the project, right? 14 many, many times here, is NCUC a party to those 14 A. I believe that was rejected by IHS, and 15 contracts? 15 that argument was --16 A. NCUC is a party to the contracts by what 16 Q. Did your attorney say that NCUC didn't 17 you're inferring here. 17 have the expertise or equipment to complete the Q. Was NCUC a signatory to either of the 18 18 project? 19 contracts between you and Mr. Sprague or you and 19 A. He said that, but he has no firsthand 20 Mr. Robinson? 20 knowledge.

A. No. Without the NCUC approval, those
 contracts would never be possible.
 Q. Could you please read the first
 highlighted line on this document?

A. "It is understood that Adamas and Nathan

25

125 (Pages 497 to 500)

Q. Okay. Earlier, Mrs. Pierce testified

preparation part of the project, that you were

that during the sludge removal -- during the sludge

producing daily records and giving them to NCUC.

This wasn't -- none of these records were found in

BRIDGER COURT REPORTERS, INC. (406) 582-0668

21

22

23

24

25

	Page 501		Page 503
1	your 308 response or in your prehearing exchange,	1	said they would take responsibility for the project
2	right?	2	and comply with the regulations, correct?
3	A. Well, I gave them to NCUC.	3	A. The records don't indicate that. The
4	Q. You didn't keep any copies for yourself?	4	records indicate that, in fact, NCUC and IHS did
5	A. We were writing them down, as she said,	5	not follow through with that agreement. The record
6	in a spiral notebook assigned by NCUC. We asked	6	also indicates that I did not take over the
7	for them afterwards. My attorney sent a letter,	7	project. The record also indicates from the
8	which you have a copy of that letter, requesting	8	testimony here today that IHS and NCUC remained and
9	those documents, and they didn't turn them over.	9	retained control of the project and the site.
10	My attorney asked them to send them directly to	10	Q. Okay. Yet, your attorney asserted
11	you.	11	afterwards that Adamas completed all of the work
12	Q. Okay. I also want to make something	12	for the sewage sludge project?
13	clear. Earlier, you seemed to indicate that IHS	13	A. That was something the government had
14	was responsible for producing some of the records	14	already rejected.
15	under the 503 recordkeeping requirements. Did I	15	Q. Okay.
16	hear that correctly?	16	A. I think you're a bit estopped on that
17	A. I guess I can't recall whether or not	17	situation.
18	that was something that was said, so I can't	18	Q. Okay. This dispute between NCUC and the
19	confirm or deny whether that was correct.	19	subcontractors, most of this took place after the
20	Q. Okay. Well, let's be clear here. So	20	sludge had already been applied to Mr. Robinson's
21	IHS was not a preparer of sludge, correct?	21	land, right?
22	A. I disagree. They were in charge, as	22	A. That's not correct.
23	Ernie Sprague had testified.	23	Q. Okay. Around the time that it got
24	Q. Did any person that works for IHS do any	24	applied?
25	of the physical labor that was part of preparing	25	A. Near the time that it got applied, yes.
	Page 502		Page 504
1	the sludge?	1	Q. Okay. So let's be clear about one
2	A. George Cummins came out and did	2	thing. At the time that the sludge was being put
3	inspections. He did all kinds of things. So yeah.	3	down on Mr. Robinson's property, you were still
4	Q. Inspections?	4	expecting to get paid for that project, correct?
5	A. Correct, yes. And he was also in	5	A. I was expecting to get paid for the work
6	charge.	6	I performed during the project.
7	Q. Okay. And was IHS an applier of sludge?	7	Q. Okay. So earlier Mr. Sprague and
8	A. So IHS caused the sludge to be applied.	8	Mr. Robinson testified that you never directed
9	Q. Because they initiated the project?	9	either of them to create records associated with
10	A. That's correct.	10	sludge application management practices, right?
11	Q. But Adamas said that they would take	11	A. No, they did not.
12	full responsibility for that	12	Q. Yes okay. Mr. Robinson testified

A. That is not correct. NCUC said they 13 13 14 14 would take full responsibility. 15 Q. As we've already talked about in the 15 16 16 scope of work and in this document, you said that 17 17 you were taking full responsibility for --18 18 A. That's not true. 19 19 Q. -- this project. 20 THE COURT: Hold on. He needs to finish his 20 21 question, and then you can answer. 21 22 22 THE WITNESS: Sure. 23 23 THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Muehlberger. 24 BY MR. MUEHLBERGER: 24 25 25 Q. Okay. The records indicate that Adamas

Q. Yes -- okay. Mr. Robinson testified that you never discussed with him creating records for management practices, site restrictions, or vector attraction.

A. And after being reminded, he changed that testimony.

Q. I think he changed his testimony that he received information about agronomic rates, correct?

A. I believe he changed his testimony as to whether or not the answer should be "no" still.

Q. Okay. When I asked if you had directed him to create records for management practices,

site restrictions, and vector attraction, he said

BRIDGER COURT REPORTERS, INC. (406) 582-0668

126 (Pages 501 to 504)

	Page 505		Page 507
1		1	has no further questions, Your Honor.
1 2	no.	2	THE COURT: All right. Thank you,
3	A. Okay. That's what he said.Q. Okay. And Mr. Sprague testified that	3	Mr. Muehlberger.
	you never told him that he needed to create records		Two quick follow-ups, and then if
4	concerning vector attraction and management	4	there's anything you want to add, you can. I know
5 6	practices, but that you had a verbal conversation	6	there's nobody here to question you in redirect,
7	about site restrictions; is that correct?	7	but
8	A. That's correct.	8	With respect to the testimony about the
9	Q. Okay. So, to date, you have never	9	project wasn't complete despite representations
10	submitted any records to EPA concerning sludge	10	made by your attorney, why wasn't the project
11	application management practices as required by	11	complete?
12	Part 503, correct?	12	THE WITNESS: Your Honor, as Mr. Sprague had
13	A. Part 503 doesn't apply to me. You	13	already testified, we were locked out of the entire
14	haven't proved that it ever did.	14	project. And Tom Robinson also testified that the
15	Q. Could you please answer the question?	15	project was not complete.
16	To date, have you ever submitted any	16	THE COURT: Okay. Do you do you
17	sludge application management practices practice	17	know and Mr. Robinson may have testified to
18	records?	18	this, I just can't remember. That's why we have
19	A. Any sludge management practice records?	19	the or Mr. Sprague may have testified to this.
20	Q. Sorry.	20	But we have the transcript to go back to. But do
21	A. No.	21	you happen to know about when that lockout occurred
22	Q. Sludge application management practices?	22	relative to the length of time the project was
23	A. No.	23	supposed to take?
24	Q. And to date, have you ever submitted any	24	THE WITNESS: So the lockout occurred
25	records to EPA concerning sludge application site	25	probably about midway through the project.
	Page 506		Page 508
1	restrictions?	1	
			THE COURT: Okay.
2	A. No.	2	
2 3	A. No.Q. And to date, have you ever submitted any		THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: As Mr. Sprague said, there was about eight days of actual activity that happened
		2	THE WITNESS: As Mr. Sprague said, there was
3	Q. And to date, have you ever submitted any	2 3	THE WITNESS: As Mr. Sprague said, there was about eight days of actual activity that happened
3 4	Q. And to date, have you ever submitted any records to EPA concerning vector attraction?	2 3 4	THE WITNESS: As Mr. Sprague said, there was about eight days of actual activity that happened on the project. And then everybody sort of got
3 4 5	Q. And to date, have you ever submitted any records to EPA concerning vector attraction?A. No. Actually, that's not correct. I	2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: As Mr. Sprague said, there was about eight days of actual activity that happened on the project. And then everybody sort of got locked out, and the whole project went belly-up
3 4 5 6	Q. And to date, have you ever submitted any records to EPA concerning vector attraction?A. No. Actually, that's not correct. Ihave submitted to the EPA my subcontract with Tom	2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: As Mr. Sprague said, there was about eight days of actual activity that happened on the project. And then everybody sort of got locked out, and the whole project went belly-up from there. THE COURT: Okay. And with respect to your testimony in response to Mr. Muchlberger's
3 4 5 6 7	 Q. And to date, have you ever submitted any records to EPA concerning vector attraction? A. No. Actually, that's not correct. I have submitted to the EPA my subcontract with Tom Robinson that explains to him he needs to follow 	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: As Mr. Sprague said, there was about eight days of actual activity that happened on the project. And then everybody sort of got locked out, and the whole project went belly-up from there. THE COURT: Okay. And with respect to your
3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. And to date, have you ever submitted any records to EPA concerning vector attraction? A. No. Actually, that's not correct. I have submitted to the EPA my subcontract with Tom Robinson that explains to him he needs to follow those 503 parts. And it also explains to him that he needed to till the till the sludge into the soil within six hours, and that is a vector	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: As Mr. Sprague said, there was about eight days of actual activity that happened on the project. And then everybody sort of got locked out, and the whole project went belly-up from there. THE COURT: Okay. And with respect to your testimony in response to Mr. Muchlberger's questions about a dispute that arose near the time I think I wrote this down wrong. If I did,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. And to date, have you ever submitted any records to EPA concerning vector attraction? A. No. Actually, that's not correct. I have submitted to the EPA my subcontract with Tom Robinson that explains to him he needs to follow those 503 parts. And it also explains to him that he needed to till the till the sludge into the soil within six hours, and that is a vector reduction practice.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: As Mr. Sprague said, there was about eight days of actual activity that happened on the project. And then everybody sort of got locked out, and the whole project went belly-up from there. THE COURT: Okay. And with respect to your testimony in response to Mr. Muchlberger's questions about a dispute that arose near the time I think I wrote this down wrong. If I did, my apologies. But that there was a dispute that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. And to date, have you ever submitted any records to EPA concerning vector attraction? A. No. Actually, that's not correct. I have submitted to the EPA my subcontract with Tom Robinson that explains to him he needs to follow those 503 parts. And it also explains to him that he needed to till the till the sludge into the soil within six hours, and that is a vector reduction practice. Q. Earlier, you testified that you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: As Mr. Sprague said, there was about eight days of actual activity that happened on the project. And then everybody sort of got locked out, and the whole project went belly-up from there. THE COURT: Okay. And with respect to your testimony in response to Mr. Muchlberger's questions about a dispute that arose near the time I think I wrote this down wrong. If I did, my apologies. But that there was a dispute that arose near the time the sludge was being applied to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. And to date, have you ever submitted any records to EPA concerning vector attraction? A. No. Actually, that's not correct. I have submitted to the EPA my subcontract with Tom Robinson that explains to him he needs to follow those 503 parts. And it also explains to him that he needed to till the till the sludge into the soil within six hours, and that is a vector reduction practice. Q. Earlier, you testified that you communicated to Mr. Sprague and Mr. Robinson about 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: As Mr. Sprague said, there was about eight days of actual activity that happened on the project. And then everybody sort of got locked out, and the whole project went belly-up from there. THE COURT: Okay. And with respect to your testimony in response to Mr. Muchlberger's questions about a dispute that arose near the time I think I wrote this down wrong. If I did, my apologies. But that there was a dispute that arose near the time the sludge was being applied to Mr. Robinson's land. What dispute was that?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. And to date, have you ever submitted any records to EPA concerning vector attraction? A. No. Actually, that's not correct. I have submitted to the EPA my subcontract with Tom Robinson that explains to him he needs to follow those 503 parts. And it also explains to him that he needed to till the till the sludge into the soil within six hours, and that is a vector reduction practice. Q. Earlier, you testified that you communicated to Mr. Sprague and Mr. Robinson about producing records after you received the EPA 308 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: As Mr. Sprague said, there was about eight days of actual activity that happened on the project. And then everybody sort of got locked out, and the whole project went belly-up from there. THE COURT: Okay. And with respect to your testimony in response to Mr. Muehlberger's questions about a dispute that arose near the time I think I wrote this down wrong. If I did, my apologies. But that there was a dispute that arose near the time the sludge was being applied to Mr. Robinson's land. What dispute was that? THE WITNESS: So the dispute that had
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. And to date, have you ever submitted any records to EPA concerning vector attraction? A. No. Actually, that's not correct. I have submitted to the EPA my subcontract with Tom Robinson that explains to him he needs to follow those 503 parts. And it also explains to him that he needed to till the till the sludge into the soil within six hours, and that is a vector reduction practice. Q. Earlier, you testified that you communicated to Mr. Sprague and Mr. Robinson about producing records after you received the EPA 308 request. Those communications aren't reflected in 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: As Mr. Sprague said, there was about eight days of actual activity that happened on the project. And then everybody sort of got locked out, and the whole project went belly-up from there. THE COURT: Okay. And with respect to your testimony in response to Mr. Muehlberger's questions about a dispute that arose near the time I think I wrote this down wrong. If I did, my apologies. But that there was a dispute that arose near the time the sludge was being applied to Mr. Robinson's land. What dispute was that? THE WITNESS: So the dispute that had happened, and what my wife had alluded to, again, I
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. And to date, have you ever submitted any records to EPA concerning vector attraction? A. No. Actually, that's not correct. I have submitted to the EPA my subcontract with Tom Robinson that explains to him he needs to follow those 503 parts. And it also explains to him that he needed to till the till the sludge into the soil within six hours, and that is a vector reduction practice. Q. Earlier, you testified that you communicated to Mr. Sprague and Mr. Robinson about producing records after you received the EPA 308 request. Those communications aren't reflected in the record, right? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: As Mr. Sprague said, there was about eight days of actual activity that happened on the project. And then everybody sort of got locked out, and the whole project went belly-up from there. THE COURT: Okay. And with respect to your testimony in response to Mr. Muchlberger's questions about a dispute that arose near the time I think I wrote this down wrong. If I did, my apologies. But that there was a dispute that arose near the time the sludge was being applied to Mr. Robinson's land. What dispute was that? THE WITNESS: So the dispute that had happened, and what my wife had alluded to, again, I was hired as a technical consultant and project
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. And to date, have you ever submitted any records to EPA concerning vector attraction? A. No. Actually, that's not correct. I have submitted to the EPA my subcontract with Tom Robinson that explains to him he needs to follow those 503 parts. And it also explains to him that he needed to till the till the sludge into the soil within six hours, and that is a vector reduction practice. Q. Earlier, you testified that you communicated to Mr. Sprague and Mr. Robinson about producing records after you received the EPA 308 request. Those communications aren't reflected in the record, right? A. I believe Mr. Sprague testified that we 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: As Mr. Sprague said, there was about eight days of actual activity that happened on the project. And then everybody sort of got locked out, and the whole project went belly-up from there. THE COURT: Okay. And with respect to your testimony in response to Mr. Muehlberger's questions about a dispute that arose near the time I think I wrote this down wrong. If I did, my apologies. But that there was a dispute that arose near the time the sludge was being applied to Mr. Robinson's land. What dispute was that? THE WITNESS: So the dispute that had happened, and what my wife had alluded to, again, I was hired as a technical consultant and project manager. The scattered site projects, there was a
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. And to date, have you ever submitted any records to EPA concerning vector attraction? A. No. Actually, that's not correct. I have submitted to the EPA my subcontract with Tom Robinson that explains to him he needs to follow those 503 parts. And it also explains to him that he needed to till the till the sludge into the soil within six hours, and that is a vector reduction practice. Q. Earlier, you testified that you communicated to Mr. Sprague and Mr. Robinson about producing records after you received the EPA 308 request. Those communications aren't reflected in the record, right? A. I believe Mr. Sprague testified that we had spoke. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: As Mr. Sprague said, there was about eight days of actual activity that happened on the project. And then everybody sort of got locked out, and the whole project went belly-up from there. THE COURT: Okay. And with respect to your testimony in response to Mr. Muchlberger's questions about a dispute that arose near the time I think I wrote this down wrong. If I did, my apologies. But that there was a dispute that arose near the time the sludge was being applied to Mr. Robinson's land. What dispute was that? THE WITNESS: So the dispute that had happened, and what my wife had alluded to, again, I was hired as a technical consultant and project manager. The scattered site projects, there was a waterline break that needed to be repaired. And
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. And to date, have you ever submitted any records to EPA concerning vector attraction? A. No. Actually, that's not correct. I have submitted to the EPA my subcontract with Tom Robinson that explains to him he needs to follow those 503 parts. And it also explains to him that he needed to till the till the sludge into the soil within six hours, and that is a vector reduction practice. Q. Earlier, you testified that you communicated to Mr. Sprague and Mr. Robinson about producing records after you received the EPA 308 request. Those communications aren't reflected in the record, right? A. I believe Mr. Sprague testified that we had spoke. Q. But there's no communication in the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: As Mr. Sprague said, there was about eight days of actual activity that happened on the project. And then everybody sort of got locked out, and the whole project went belly-up from there. THE COURT: Okay. And with respect to your testimony in response to Mr. Muchlberger's questions about a dispute that arose near the time I think I wrote this down wrong. If I did, my apologies. But that there was a dispute that arose near the time the sludge was being applied to Mr. Robinson's land. What dispute was that? THE WITNESS: So the dispute that had happened, and what my wife had alluded to, again, I was hired as a technical consultant and project manager. The scattered site projects, there was a waterline break that needed to be repaired. And when you dig a trench, safety requirements provide
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. And to date, have you ever submitted any records to EPA concerning vector attraction? A. No. Actually, that's not correct. I have submitted to the EPA my subcontract with Tom Robinson that explains to him he needs to follow those 503 parts. And it also explains to him that he needed to till the till the sludge into the soil within six hours, and that is a vector reduction practice. Q. Earlier, you testified that you communicated to Mr. Sprague and Mr. Robinson about producing records after you received the EPA 308 request. Those communications aren't reflected in the record, right? A. I believe Mr. Sprague testified that we had spoke. Q. But there's no communication in the record to indicate that you asked Mr. Sprague or 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: As Mr. Sprague said, there was about eight days of actual activity that happened on the project. And then everybody sort of got locked out, and the whole project went belly-up from there. THE COURT: Okay. And with respect to your testimony in response to Mr. Muchlberger's questions about a dispute that arose near the time I think I wrote this down wrong. If I did, my apologies. But that there was a dispute that arose near the time the sludge was being applied to Mr. Robinson's land. What dispute was that? THE WITNESS: So the dispute that had happened, and what my wife had alluded to, again, I was hired as a technical consultant and project manager. The scattered site projects, there was a waterline break that needed to be repaired. And when you dig a trench, safety requirements provide that if it's so many feet below the surface, that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. And to date, have you ever submitted any records to EPA concerning vector attraction? A. No. Actually, that's not correct. I have submitted to the EPA my subcontract with Tom Robinson that explains to him he needs to follow those 503 parts. And it also explains to him that he needed to till the till the sludge into the soil within six hours, and that is a vector reduction practice. Q. Earlier, you testified that you communicated to Mr. Sprague and Mr. Robinson about producing records after you received the EPA 308 request. Those communications aren't reflected in the record, right? A. I believe Mr. Sprague testified that we had spoke. Q. But there's no communication in the record to indicate that you asked Mr. Sprague or Mr. Robinson to produce records after EPA issued 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: As Mr. Sprague said, there was about eight days of actual activity that happened on the project. And then everybody sort of got locked out, and the whole project went belly-up from there. THE COURT: Okay. And with respect to your testimony in response to Mr. Muchlberger's questions about a dispute that arose near the time I think I wrote this down wrong. If I did, my apologies. But that there was a dispute that arose near the time the sludge was being applied to Mr. Robinson's land. What dispute was that? THE WITNESS: So the dispute that had happened, and what my wife had alluded to, again, I was hired as a technical consultant and project manager. The scattered site projects, there was a waterline break that needed to be repaired. And when you dig a trench, safety requirements provide that if it's so many feet below the surface, that you should have a trench box, which is a big metal
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. And to date, have you ever submitted any records to EPA concerning vector attraction? A. No. Actually, that's not correct. I have submitted to the EPA my subcontract with Tom Robinson that explains to him he needs to follow those 503 parts. And it also explains to him that he needed to till the till the sludge into the soil within six hours, and that is a vector reduction practice. Q. Earlier, you testified that you communicated to Mr. Sprague and Mr. Robinson about producing records after you received the EPA 308 request. Those communications aren't reflected in the record, right? A. I believe Mr. Sprague testified that we had spoke. Q. But there's no communication in the record to indicate that you asked Mr. Sprague or Mr. Robinson to produce records after EPA issued you the 308 request, right? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: As Mr. Sprague said, there was about eight days of actual activity that happened on the project. And then everybody sort of got locked out, and the whole project went belly-up from there. THE COURT: Okay. And with respect to your testimony in response to Mr. Muchlberger's questions about a dispute that arose near the time I think I wrote this down wrong. If I did, my apologies. But that there was a dispute that arose near the time the sludge was being applied to Mr. Robinson's land. What dispute was that? THE WITNESS: So the dispute that had happened, and what my wife had alluded to, again, I was hired as a technical consultant and project manager. The scattered site projects, there was a waterline break that needed to be repaired. And when you dig a trench, safety requirements provide that if it's so many feet below the surface, that you should have a trench box, which is a big metal box. That way, if it sloughs in, you don't get
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. And to date, have you ever submitted any records to EPA concerning vector attraction? A. No. Actually, that's not correct. I have submitted to the EPA my subcontract with Tom Robinson that explains to him he needs to follow those 503 parts. And it also explains to him that he needed to till the till the sludge into the soil within six hours, and that is a vector reduction practice. Q. Earlier, you testified that you communicated to Mr. Sprague and Mr. Robinson about producing records after you received the EPA 308 request. Those communications aren't reflected in the record, right? A. I believe Mr. Sprague testified that we had spoke. Q. But there's no communication in the record to indicate that you asked Mr. Sprague or Mr. Robinson to produce records after EPA issued you the 308 request, right? A. I guess there's nothing in the record 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: As Mr. Sprague said, there was about eight days of actual activity that happened on the project. And then everybody sort of got locked out, and the whole project went belly-up from there. THE COURT: Okay. And with respect to your testimony in response to Mr. Muchlberger's questions about a dispute that arose near the time I think I wrote this down wrong. If I did, my apologies. But that there was a dispute that arose near the time the sludge was being applied to Mr. Robinson's land. What dispute was that? THE WITNESS: So the dispute that had happened, and what my wife had alluded to, again, I was hired as a technical consultant and project manager. The scattered site projects, there was a waterline break that needed to be repaired. And when you dig a trench, safety requirements provide that if it's so many feet below the surface, that you should have a trench box, which is a big metal box. That way, if it sloughs in, you don't get buried.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. And to date, have you ever submitted any records to EPA concerning vector attraction? A. No. Actually, that's not correct. I have submitted to the EPA my subcontract with Tom Robinson that explains to him he needs to follow those 503 parts. And it also explains to him that he needed to till the till the sludge into the soil within six hours, and that is a vector reduction practice. Q. Earlier, you testified that you communicated to Mr. Sprague and Mr. Robinson about producing records after you received the EPA 308 request. Those communications aren't reflected in the record, right? A. I believe Mr. Sprague testified that we had spoke. Q. But there's no communication in the record to indicate that you asked Mr. Sprague or Mr. Robinson to produce records after EPA issued you the 308 request, right? A. I guess there's nothing in the record that would indicate that, no. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE WITNESS: As Mr. Sprague said, there was about eight days of actual activity that happened on the project. And then everybody sort of got locked out, and the whole project went belly-up from there. THE COURT: Okay. And with respect to your testimony in response to Mr. Muehlberger's questions about a dispute that arose near the time I think I wrote this down wrong. If I did, my apologies. But that there was a dispute that arose near the time the sludge was being applied to Mr. Robinson's land. What dispute was that? THE WITNESS: So the dispute that had happened, and what my wife had alluded to, again, I was hired as a technical consultant and project manager. The scattered site projects, there was a waterline break that needed to be repaired. And when you dig a trench, safety requirements provide that if it's so many feet below the surface, that you should have a trench box, which is a big metal box. That way, if it sloughs in, you don't get buried. I was called over to that project, and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. And to date, have you ever submitted any records to EPA concerning vector attraction? A. No. Actually, that's not correct. I have submitted to the EPA my subcontract with Tom Robinson that explains to him he needs to follow those 503 parts. And it also explains to him that he needed to till the till the sludge into the soil within six hours, and that is a vector reduction practice. Q. Earlier, you testified that you communicated to Mr. Sprague and Mr. Robinson about producing records after you received the EPA 308 request. Those communications aren't reflected in the record, right? A. I believe Mr. Sprague testified that we had spoke. Q. But there's no communication in the record to indicate that you asked Mr. Sprague or Mr. Robinson to produce records after EPA issued you the 308 request, right? A. I guess there's nothing in the record 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: As Mr. Sprague said, there was about eight days of actual activity that happened on the project. And then everybody sort of got locked out, and the whole project went belly-up from there. THE COURT: Okay. And with respect to your testimony in response to Mr. Muehlberger's questions about a dispute that arose near the time I think I wrote this down wrong. If I did, my apologies. But that there was a dispute that arose near the time the sludge was being applied to Mr. Robinson's land. What dispute was that? THE WITNESS: So the dispute that had happened, and what my wife had alluded to, again, I was hired as a technical consultant and project manager. The scattered site projects, there was a waterline break that needed to be repaired. And when you dig a trench, safety requirements provide that if it's so many feet below the surface, that you should have a trench box, which is a big metal box. That way, if it sloughs in, you don't get buried.

127 (Pages 505 to 508)

Page 512

1	14-foot hole with no safety equipment whatsoever.	1	circumstances pointing to possibly being an
2	And so I explained to them that we needed to get a	2	operator, but there I was never an operator.
3	trench box, get it put in there to do the project	3	There's circumstance that I supposedly took over
4	safe and to do it in the proper manner.	4	the project, but I was never allowed to take over
5	That sort of created a little friction	5	the project. So there's a lot of circumstantial
6	between me and Jim Bement, Sheri Bement's husband.	6	evidence here. But I think the facts really bear
7	He was also their foreman. And so he felt like,	7	out that I was one of the only people involved in
8	"Screw you. I don't need to listen to you." And I	8	this entire project and tried to follow the
9	was supposed to be the project manager and	9	regulations and tried to get everybody else to
10	technical consultant.	10	follow the regulations.
11	After that, there was a discussion about	11	THE COURT: Okay. Anything else?
12	IHS taking over the scattered site projects or	12	THE WITNESS: No, ma'am.
13	excuse me NCUC taking over the scattered site	13	THE COURT: All right. Did that raise
14	projects, my company taking over the sewer camera	14	anything else from complainant?
15	and cleaning project and taking over the sewer	15	MR. MUEHLBERGER: No, Your Honor. No further
16	lagoon project. That was never brought to	16	questions.
17	fruition. And in fact, the very next day,	17	THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much
18	everybody said, "Nope, that's not going to happen."	18	for your testimony, Mr. Pierce.
19	And Sheri told me, "I'm still in charge. You're	19	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
20	going to report to me."	20	THE COURT: Okay. So anything else, then,
21	THE COURT: Okay. Okay. So the scattered	21	from respondents with regard to the presentation of
22	site, that's really a separate project from this?	22	your case?
23	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.	23	MR. PIERCE: Respondents rest, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: That's what the dispute was	24	THE COURT: Okay. And any rebuttal?
25	about?	25	MR. MUEHLBERGER: No, Your Honor. There is

Page 510

	-		-
1	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. And then there	1	one issue the complainants would like to raise
2	started to become issues with payment, so	2	while we're still on the record.
3	THE COURT: Payment with the sludge project?	3	THE COURT: Sure.
4	THE WITNESS: Right. We had a mobilization	4	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Earlier, Mr. Sprague made
5	payment that was supposed to come in. And so	5	an assertion about EPA telling him that he could
6	mobilization payment is just to get all the main	6	not say certain things that were in the record.
7	equipment there and everything that's needed to	7	And since that is now part of the record, I'm
8	actually do the job. Well, we noticed that the	8	certainly prepared to address that if if you
9	money wasn't quite right, and that created friction	9	want to hear about that. You kind of handled as it
10	between Sheri. She was the only person who had	10	was happening. But I just want to make sure, since
11	access to request the money. We couldn't even		it's in the record, that it's that it's dealt
12	2 request payments.		with properly.
13	THE COURT: Why was that?		THE COURT: Okay.
14	THE WITNESS: She was the main contractor.	14	MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, can I chime in a
15	THE COURT: Okay.	15	little bit?
16	THE WITNESS: And her contract was with IHS.	16	THE COURT: You can.
17	And I was not the contractor. And IHS points that	17	MR. PIERCE: I understand his position. But
18	out in their letter to my attorney.	18	without Mr. Sprague being here to further clarify
19	THE COURT: Okay. Those were the only two	19	what he meant, I think we're trying to change a
20	follow-ups I had. Was there anything else you	20	narrative.
21	wanted to offer, you know, in response to what	21	THE COURT: Well, I guess what I was
22	you've said thus far or	22	interpret and maybe I'm mistaken here, but what
23	THE WITNESS: I just think there is a lot of	23	I was interpreting you to want to say was simply to
24	focus on circumstance and not a lot of focus on the	24	present your position with respect to what you were
25	facts as they are. I mean, there's some	25	going to focus on with the witness. But was there

128 (Pages 509 to 512)

	Page 513		Page 515
1	something else you were going to say?	1	map, the GIS map, and 2, the fixed price agreement.
2	MR. MUEHLBERGER: I just want to make sure	2	MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am.
3	that the record is clear that EPA in no way told	3	THE COURT: Okay. So are we all I'm not
4	any witness that they are prevented from saying	4	missing anything. That's what I want to make sure.
5	anything that is in the record or speaking to or	5	I've got everything that you all expect me to have.
6	reading anything that is in the record. In fact,	6	Are we on the same page, Mr. Pierce?
7	EPA made it very clear that, at the beginning of	7	MR. PIERCE: We are, yes, Your Honor.
8	our meeting, that we were not telling them what	8	THE COURT: Okay. And from complainant?
9	they could or could not say during testimony.	9	MS. KACSUR: Your Honor, we would just like
10	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. I think it	10	to request again a URL for JX2 just so we can
11	suffices. You know, I understand that parties will	11	verify it's a publicly available document.
12	have a particular focus of what they want to draw	12	THE COURT: Oh, sure.
13	out in a witness. And with regard to Mr. Sprague,	13	MR. PIERCE: Sure. I can get that, Your
14	since he was identified, I believe, as somebody	14	Honor. But it is a government document.
15	both sides were going to call, you know, I I	15	THE COURT: Yeah. Do you have that handy to
16	assumed, and I think what played out, is that you	16	be able to produce it?
17	both drew out everything you wanted, and	17	MR. PIERCE: I don't have it right on me, but
18	Mr. Sprague got a lot off his chest of some things	18	I can certainly get that for you. I do have it on
19	that he wanted to be sure to share with the	19	my computer at home, so I can I can e-mail.
20	tribunal, too. So I don't I mean, I feel like	20	THE COURT: Is that okay or do you want to be
21	it was important to give you the opportunity to say	21	able to have it on the record?
22	that, because you're licensed attorneys, and there	22	MS. KACSUR: Would it be possible to have it
23	are ethics issues. And I understand wanting to	23	on the to admit JX2 contingent on us obtaining
24	clear the air, so to speak. But I think I think	24	the URL and then that document being
25	the record is clear unless anybody needs to say	25	MR. PIERCE: It's already been admitted,
			,
	5 514		
	Page 514		Page 516
1	anything else about it.	1	Page 516 hasn't it?
1 2		1 2	
	anything else about it.		hasn't it?
2	anything else about it. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thank you, Your Honor.	2	hasn't it? THE COURT: Yeah. You know, that would
2 3	anything else about it. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thank you, Your Honor. MR. PIERCE: I have nothing, Your Honor.	2 3	hasn't it? THE COURT: Yeah. You know, that would require me to hold the record open, and I really
2 3 4	anything else about it. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thank you, Your Honor. MR. PIERCE: I have nothing, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So then are	2 3 4	hasn't it? THE COURT: Yeah. You know, that would require me to hold the record open, and I really don't like to do that. Let me ask you this: Is
2 3 4 5	anything else about it. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thank you, Your Honor. MR. PIERCE: I have nothing, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So then are you prepared to close? Do you wish to make any	2 3 4 5	hasn't it? THE COURT: Yeah. You know, that would require me to hold the record open, and I really don't like to do that. Let me ask you this: Is there a way, I mean, to do a quick Google search
2 3 4 5 6	anything else about it. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thank you, Your Honor. MR. PIERCE: I have nothing, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So then are you prepared to close? Do you wish to make any closing arguments? Do you want to waive closing?	2 3 4 5 6	hasn't it? THE COURT: Yeah. You know, that would require me to hold the record open, and I really don't like to do that. Let me ask you this: Is there a way, I mean, to do a quick Google search and pull that up?
2 3 4 5 6 7	anything else about it. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thank you, Your Honor. MR. PIERCE: I have nothing, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So then are you prepared to close? Do you wish to make any closing arguments? Do you want to waive closing? It's up to you.	2 3 4 5 6 7	hasn't it? THE COURT: Yeah. You know, that would require me to hold the record open, and I really don't like to do that. Let me ask you this: Is there a way, I mean, to do a quick Google search and pull that up? MR. PIERCE: We can try, Your Honor. It
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	anything else about it. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thank you, Your Honor. MR. PIERCE: I have nothing, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So then are you prepared to close? Do you wish to make any closing arguments? Do you want to waive closing? It's up to you. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Complainant will not be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	hasn't it? THE COURT: Yeah. You know, that would require me to hold the record open, and I really don't like to do that. Let me ask you this: Is there a way, I mean, to do a quick Google search and pull that up? MR. PIERCE: We can try, Your Honor. It was difficult in finding in the fact that it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 anything else about it. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thank you, Your Honor. MR. PIERCE: I have nothing, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So then are you prepared to close? Do you wish to make any closing arguments? Do you want to waive closing? It's up to you. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Complainant will not be producing a closing statement. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	hasn't it? THE COURT: Yeah. You know, that would require me to hold the record open, and I really don't like to do that. Let me ask you this: Is there a way, I mean, to do a quick Google search and pull that up? MR. PIERCE: We can try, Your Honor. It was difficult in finding in the fact that it's so so old, I mean. So all bids from the United
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	anything else about it. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thank you, Your Honor. MR. PIERCE: I have nothing, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So then are you prepared to close? Do you wish to make any closing arguments? Do you want to waive closing? It's up to you. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Complainant will not be producing a closing statement. THE COURT: Okay. And MR. PIERCE: Neither will the respondent, Your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	hasn't it? THE COURT: Yeah. You know, that would require me to hold the record open, and I really don't like to do that. Let me ask you this: Is there a way, I mean, to do a quick Google search and pull that up? MR. PIERCE: We can try, Your Honor. It was difficult in finding in the fact that it's so so old, I mean. So all bids from the United States government are public records.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	anything else about it. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thank you, Your Honor. MR. PIERCE: I have nothing, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So then are you prepared to close? Do you wish to make any closing arguments? Do you want to waive closing? It's up to you. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Complainant will not be producing a closing statement. THE COURT: Okay. And MR. PIERCE: Neither will the respondent, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So then I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	hasn't it? THE COURT: Yeah. You know, that would require me to hold the record open, and I really don't like to do that. Let me ask you this: Is there a way, I mean, to do a quick Google search and pull that up? MR. PIERCE: We can try, Your Honor. It was difficult in finding in the fact that it's so so old, I mean. So all bids from the United States government are public records. THE COURT: Okay. Do you want to take a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	anything else about it. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thank you, Your Honor. MR. PIERCE: I have nothing, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So then are you prepared to close? Do you wish to make any closing arguments? Do you want to waive closing? It's up to you. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Complainant will not be producing a closing statement. THE COURT: Okay. And MR. PIERCE: Neither will the respondent, Your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	hasn't it? THE COURT: Yeah. You know, that would require me to hold the record open, and I really don't like to do that. Let me ask you this: Is there a way, I mean, to do a quick Google search and pull that up? MR. PIERCE: We can try, Your Honor. It was difficult in finding in the fact that it's so so old, I mean. So all bids from the United States government are public records. THE COURT: Okay. Do you want to take a little break to look for it? Would that help?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	anything else about it. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thank you, Your Honor. MR. PIERCE: I have nothing, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So then are you prepared to close? Do you wish to make any closing arguments? Do you want to waive closing? It's up to you. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Complainant will not be producing a closing statement. THE COURT: Okay. And MR. PIERCE: Neither will the respondent, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So then I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	hasn't it? THE COURT: Yeah. You know, that would require me to hold the record open, and I really don't like to do that. Let me ask you this: Is there a way, I mean, to do a quick Google search and pull that up? MR. PIERCE: We can try, Your Honor. It was difficult in finding in the fact that it's so so old, I mean. So all bids from the United States government are public records. THE COURT: Okay. Do you want to take a little break to look for it? Would that help? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	anything else about it. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thank you, Your Honor. MR. PIERCE: I have nothing, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So then are you prepared to close? Do you wish to make any closing arguments? Do you want to waive closing? It's up to you. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Complainant will not be producing a closing statement. THE COURT: Okay. And MR. PIERCE: Neither will the respondent, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So then I guess we're at the point of concluding. I don't want to take a lot of time. I'm sure everybody is tired. But just quickly, once I close the record,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	hasn't it? THE COURT: Yeah. You know, that would require me to hold the record open, and I really don't like to do that. Let me ask you this: Is there a way, I mean, to do a quick Google search and pull that up? MR. PIERCE: We can try, Your Honor. It was difficult in finding in the fact that it's so so old, I mean. So all bids from the United States government are public records. THE COURT: Okay. Do you want to take a little break to look for it? Would that help? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. So, I mean, we're good on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	anything else about it. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thank you, Your Honor. MR. PIERCE: I have nothing, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So then are you prepared to close? Do you wish to make any closing arguments? Do you want to waive closing? It's up to you. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Complainant will not be producing a closing statement. THE COURT: Okay. And MR. PIERCE: Neither will the respondent, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So then I guess we're at the point of concluding. I don't want to take a lot of time. I'm sure everybody is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	hasn't it? THE COURT: Yeah. You know, that would require me to hold the record open, and I really don't like to do that. Let me ask you this: Is there a way, I mean, to do a quick Google search and pull that up? MR. PIERCE: We can try, Your Honor. It was difficult in finding in the fact that it's so so old, I mean. So all bids from the United States government are public records. THE COURT: Okay. Do you want to take a little break to look for it? Would that help? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. So, I mean, we're good on time. Why don't we just take 15 minutes as a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	anything else about it. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thank you, Your Honor. MR. PIERCE: I have nothing, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So then are you prepared to close? Do you wish to make any closing arguments? Do you want to waive closing? It's up to you. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Complainant will not be producing a closing statement. THE COURT: Okay. And MR. PIERCE: Neither will the respondent, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So then I guess we're at the point of concluding. I don't want to take a lot of time. I'm sure everybody is tired. But just quickly, once I close the record,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	hasn't it? THE COURT: Yeah. You know, that would require me to hold the record open, and I really don't like to do that. Let me ask you this: Is there a way, I mean, to do a quick Google search and pull that up? MR. PIERCE: We can try, Your Honor. It was difficult in finding in the fact that it's so so old, I mean. So all bids from the United States government are public records. THE COURT: Okay. Do you want to take a little break to look for it? Would that help? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. So, I mean, we're good on time. Why don't we just take 15 minutes as a comfort break to find the URL. We'll clear that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	anything else about it. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thank you, Your Honor. MR. PIERCE: I have nothing, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So then are you prepared to close? Do you wish to make any closing arguments? Do you want to waive closing? It's up to you. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Complainant will not be producing a closing statement. THE COURT: Okay. And MR. PIERCE: Neither will the respondent, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So then I guess we're at the point of concluding. I don't want to take a lot of time. I'm sure everybody is tired. But just quickly, once I close the record, which I'll do here momentarily, that closes the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	hasn't it? THE COURT: Yeah. You know, that would require me to hold the record open, and I really don't like to do that. Let me ask you this: Is there a way, I mean, to do a quick Google search and pull that up? MR. PIERCE: We can try, Your Honor. It was difficult in finding in the fact that it's so so old, I mean. So all bids from the United States government are public records. THE COURT: Okay. Do you want to take a little break to look for it? Would that help? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. So, I mean, we're good on time. Why don't we just take 15 minutes as a comfort break to find the URL. We'll clear that up, and then I'll be concluding shortly thereafter.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	anything else about it. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thank you, Your Honor. MR. PIERCE: I have nothing, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So then are you prepared to close? Do you wish to make any closing arguments? Do you want to waive closing? It's up to you. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Complainant will not be producing a closing statement. THE COURT: Okay. And MR. PIERCE: Neither will the respondent, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So then I guess we're at the point of concluding. I don't want to take a lot of time. I'm sure everybody is tired. But just quickly, once I close the record, which I'll do here momentarily, that closes the evidentiary record. No further evidence. I think we've got I will just very quickly I think it's really pretty clear we have into evidence	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	hasn't it? THE COURT: Yeah. You know, that would require me to hold the record open, and I really don't like to do that. Let me ask you this: Is there a way, I mean, to do a quick Google search and pull that up? MR. PIERCE: We can try, Your Honor. It was difficult in finding in the fact that it's so so old, I mean. So all bids from the United States government are public records. THE COURT: Okay. Do you want to take a little break to look for it? Would that help? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. So, I mean, we're good on time. Why don't we just take 15 minutes as a comfort break to find the URL. We'll clear that up, and then I'll be concluding shortly thereafter. Does that work?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	anything else about it. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thank you, Your Honor. MR. PIERCE: I have nothing, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So then are you prepared to close? Do you wish to make any closing arguments? Do you want to waive closing? It's up to you. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Complainant will not be producing a closing statement. THE COURT: Okay. And MR. PIERCE: Neither will the respondent, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So then I guess we're at the point of concluding. I don't want to take a lot of time. I'm sure everybody is tired. But just quickly, once I close the record, which I'll do here momentarily, that closes the evidentiary record. No further evidence. I think we've got I will just very quickly I think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	hasn't it? THE COURT: Yeah. You know, that would require me to hold the record open, and I really don't like to do that. Let me ask you this: Is there a way, I mean, to do a quick Google search and pull that up? MR. PIERCE: We can try, Your Honor. It was difficult in finding in the fact that it's so so old, I mean. So all bids from the United States government are public records. THE COURT: Okay. Do you want to take a little break to look for it? Would that help? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. So, I mean, we're good on time. Why don't we just take 15 minutes as a comfort break to find the URL. We'll clear that up, and then I'll be concluding shortly thereafter. Does that work? MR. MUEHLBERGER: That works.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	anything else about it. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thank you, Your Honor. MR. PIERCE: I have nothing, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So then are you prepared to close? Do you wish to make any closing arguments? Do you want to waive closing? It's up to you. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Complainant will not be producing a closing statement. THE COURT: Okay. And MR. PIERCE: Neither will the respondent, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So then I guess we're at the point of concluding. I don't want to take a lot of time. I'm sure everybody is tired. But just quickly, once I close the record, which I'll do here momentarily, that closes the evidentiary record. No further evidence. I think we've got I will just very quickly I think it's really pretty clear we have into evidence	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	hasn't it? THE COURT: Yeah. You know, that would require me to hold the record open, and I really don't like to do that. Let me ask you this: Is there a way, I mean, to do a quick Google search and pull that up? MR. PIERCE: We can try, Your Honor. It was difficult in finding in the fact that it's so so old, I mean. So all bids from the United States government are public records. THE COURT: Okay. Do you want to take a little break to look for it? Would that help? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. So, I mean, we're good on time. Why don't we just take 15 minutes as a comfort break to find the URL. We'll clear that up, and then I'll be concluding shortly thereafter. Does that work? MR. MUEHLBERGER: That works. THE COURT: Okay. All right. We'll do that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	anything else about it. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thank you, Your Honor. MR. PIERCE: I have nothing, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So then are you prepared to close? Do you wish to make any closing arguments? Do you want to waive closing? It's up to you. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Complainant will not be producing a closing statement. THE COURT: Okay. And MR. PIERCE: Neither will the respondent, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So then I guess we're at the point of concluding. I don't want to take a lot of time. I'm sure everybody is tired. But just quickly, once I close the record, which I'll do here momentarily, that closes the evidentiary record. No further evidence. I think we've got I will just very quickly I think it's really pretty clear we have into evidence CX hold on one second. I don't want to mess	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	hasn't it? THE COURT: Yeah. You know, that would require me to hold the record open, and I really don't like to do that. Let me ask you this: Is there a way, I mean, to do a quick Google search and pull that up? MR. PIERCE: We can try, Your Honor. It was difficult in finding in the fact that it's so so old, I mean. So all bids from the United States government are public records. THE COURT: Okay. Do you want to take a little break to look for it? Would that help? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. So, I mean, we're good on time. Why don't we just take 15 minutes as a comfort break to find the URL. We'll clear that up, and then I'll be concluding shortly thereafter. Does that work? MR. MUEHLBERGER: That works. THE COURT: Okay. All right. We'll do that. I'll see you back in about 15.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	anything else about it. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thank you, Your Honor. MR. PIERCE: I have nothing, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So then are you prepared to close? Do you wish to make any closing arguments? Do you want to waive closing? It's up to you. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Complainant will not be producing a closing statement. THE COURT: Okay. And MR. PIERCE: Neither will the respondent, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So then I guess we're at the point of concluding. I don't want to take a lot of time. I'm sure everybody is tired. But just quickly, once I close the record, which I'll do here momentarily, that closes the evidentiary record. No further evidence. I think we've got I will just very quickly I think it's really pretty clear we have into evidence CX hold on one second. I don't want to mess this up. I've got all of complainant's exhibits,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	hasn't it? THE COURT: Yeah. You know, that would require me to hold the record open, and I really don't like to do that. Let me ask you this: Is there a way, I mean, to do a quick Google search and pull that up? MR. PIERCE: We can try, Your Honor. It was difficult in finding in the fact that it's so so old, I mean. So all bids from the United States government are public records. THE COURT: Okay. Do you want to take a little break to look for it? Would that help? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. So, I mean, we're good on time. Why don't we just take 15 minutes as a comfort break to find the URL. We'll clear that up, and then I'll be concluding shortly thereafter. Does that work? MR. MUEHLBERGER: That works. THE COURT: Okay. All right. We'll do that. I'll see you back in about 15. (Whereupon, a brief
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 anything else about it. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Thank you, Your Honor. MR. PIERCE: I have nothing, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So then are you prepared to close? Do you wish to make any closing arguments? Do you want to waive closing? It's up to you. MR. MUEHLBERGER: Complainant will not be producing a closing statement. THE COURT: Okay. And MR. PIERCE: Neither will the respondent, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So then I guess we're at the point of concluding. I don't want to take a lot of time. I'm sure everybody is tired. But just quickly, once I close the record, which I'll do here momentarily, that closes the evidentiary record. No further evidence. I think we've got I will just very quickly I think it's really pretty clear we have into evidence CX hold on one second. I don't want to mess this up. I've got all of complainant's exhibits, which take me from CX1 through CX58. I have all of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	hasn't it? THE COURT: Yeah. You know, that would require me to hold the record open, and I really don't like to do that. Let me ask you this: Is there a way, I mean, to do a quick Google search and pull that up? MR. PIERCE: We can try, Your Honor. It was difficult in finding in the fact that it's so so old, I mean. So all bids from the United States government are public records. THE COURT: Okay. Do you want to take a little break to look for it? Would that help? MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. So, I mean, we're good on time. Why don't we just take 15 minutes as a comfort break to find the URL. We'll clear that up, and then I'll be concluding shortly thereafter. Does that work? MR. MUEHLBERGER: That works. THE COURT: Okay. All right. We'll do that. I'll see you back in about 15. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)

129 (Pages 513 to 516)

	Page 517		Page 519
1	Mr. Pierce?	1	we'll have it as well. We might be able to somehow
2	MR. PIERCE: Your Honor, I actually found the	2	add that to our case tracking system or something
3	document on the EPA's own website, but when I click	3	like that just to kind of memorialize the
4	on the document, it takes me to a different	4	circulation of it.
5	document. So I don't I'm not finding the URL	5	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Your Honor, if I could just
6	that I have, but	6	add if Mr. Pierce is unable to produce the URL, I
7	THE COURT: Were you able to show that to	7	would just like to have it in the record that it is
8	complainant?	8	not then a publicly available document as asserted.
9	MR. PIERCE: I did show that to them.	9	THE COURT: Are you
10	MS. KACSUR: I wasn't able to view the	10	MR. PIERCE: That's fine, Your Honor.
11	document itself. I was just listening. I just	11	THE COURT: willing to so stipulate?
12	believe in what he was telling me.	12	MR. PIERCE: That's fine, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, so where	13	THE COURT: Okay. All right. All right. I
14	is the document? Is it on your computer at home,	14	think that's good. That's fine.
15	you said?	15	Okay. So at this point, I will, in just
16	MR. PIERCE: So the URL that I searched would	16	a minute, be closing the record. It will take me a
17	be on my computer at home.	17	little bit just to sort of pack up. I have to find
18	THE COURT: Okay.	18	where this is plugged in and all of that. So I
19	MR. PIERCE: So the document itself is right	19	will just ask you all to not have any further
20	here on my computer.	20	discussions with me because we'll be off record.
21	THE COURT: Right. Well, that's already in.	21	But I appreciate all of you being here. I
22	Well, are you I mean, it was admitted. I didn't	22	appreciate the courtesy and professionalism you've
23	necessarily know that there was a problem, but I	23	shown one another and the tribunal throughout the
24	also understand your point. Are you uncomfortable	24	hearing. So thank you. We'll be issuing once
25	with me considering it until you've had a chance to	25	we get the transcript, we'll be sending that out to
	Page 518		Page 520
1	pull it up publicly?	1	both sides. And then as I mentioned at the outset,
2	MR. MUEHLBERGER: So, Mr. Pierce, you were	2	we'll issue, like, a post-hearing kind of briefing
3	saying that you could produce this URL from home	3	order that sets some deadlines for any motions to
4	and send it to us?	4	conform the transcript and then the briefing the
5	MR. PIERCE: I believe, yes, I can do that.	5	briefing schedule. And then once all those things
6	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Okay. We're comfortable	6	are submitted, I'll be reviewing everything and
7	with that approach.	7	coming to a decision, a written decision that will
8	THE COURT: Okay. So it will remain in the	8	be sent out to everybody. And that is appealable
9	record?	9	to the EAB should either side disagree.
10	MR. MUEHLBERGER: Right.	10	Any questions before we wrap it up,
11	THE COURT: Okay. All right. That's fine.	11	then?
12	Thank you. That will maybe streamline things.	12	MR. MUEHLBERGER: No, Your Honor.
13	And, you know, I would say just for transparency,	13	THE COURT: No.
14	maybe just circulate it not only to complainant but	14	MR. PIERCE: No, thank you, Your Honor.
15	to Ms. Almase. And are you able to supply that to	15	THE COURT: All right. Thank you all. Safe
16	the court reporter?	16	travels home. I'll conclude the hearing. Thank
17	MR. PIERCE: Sure. Yeah. I mean, I have her	17	you very much to the court reporter. I'll conclude
18	e-mail address.	18	the hearing, and we'll go off the record.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Great.	19	(Whereupon, Joint Exhibits Nos. JX1 and
20	MR. PIERCE: I can certainly send that to	20	JX2 were admitted into evidence.)
21	her.	21	
22	THE COURT: That would be great. And that	22	(Whereupon, the proceedings concluded at
23	way, it's just kind of memorialized as having been	23	3:46 p.m.)
24	circulated. And if the court reporter has it, it	24	* * * * * * *
25	can be noted somehow, maybe, in the record. And	25	
L			

130 (Pages 517 to 520)

	Page 521	
1		
2	CERTIFICATE	
3	STATE OF MONTANA)	
4) ss.	
5	COUNTY OF GALLATIN)	
6	I, Marla Jeske, Court Reporter - Notary	
7	Public, CSR, in and for the County of Gallatin,	
8	State of Montana, do hereby certify:	
9	That the hearing was taken before me at	
10	the time and place herein named; that the hearing	
11	was reported by me in shorthand and later	
12	transcribed into typewriting under my direction,	
13	and the foregoing pages contain a true record of	
14	the testimony of the witness, all done to the best	
15	of my skill and ability.	
16	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set	
17	my hand and affixed my notarial seal this day	
18	of, 2023.	
19		
20	Notary Public for the State of Montana	
21	residing at: Bozeman	
22	My commission expires: February 04, 2027	
23		
24		
25		

131 (Page 521)

	72:12 192:7	482:16	activity 55:16	142:12,20
<u> </u>	239:4 312:15		189:23 508:3	142:12,20
a.m 1:9 10:6		acknowledging 25:1		· · ·
11:5 301:19	347:1 373:24	acre 195:23	actual 43:16	144:11,17
396:5	439:10		66:13 71:9	145:7,20
abilities 202:1	absolve 232:8	315:23 316:1,6	183:24 229:23	146:11,20
ability 22:24	absorbed 17:3	328:17 373:3	241:24 253:4	147:9,11,15
104:8 199:14	abutting 245:20	411:5	258:4 261:13	148:18 149:7
269:23 343:8	AC 129:7,15	acres 106:10	266:5 271:19	151:19 152:4,7
521:15	accept 497:23	195:21 243:7,8	271:20 291:24	152:15 153:3
able 28:10 29:1	accepted 306:22	243:9 328:13	299:2 446:15	154:7,8,16
29:23,25 35:1	483:8	328:14 373:2	486:24 508:3	155:14 156:3
75:4,7,16	access 29:23	383:18	Adam 5:21 7:10	157:2 159:16
82:19 86:21	45:3 202:13,18	acronym 421:22	109:13,22	161:16,17
123:22 135:9	243:17 385:17	448:25	Adamas 1:3	168:9 170:18
165:17 185:10	386:10 423:11	acronyms	2:12 3:4,20 5:4	172:12,16,17
202:8 221:1	423:21 437:8	448:11,12,16	5:5,7,10,12,15	172:20,23
235:17 241:25	471:16,18	act 5:13 11:2	5:16,18 6:14	173:3,7 174:5
245:7 248:5	475:3 483:15	38:6 40:15	6:23 7:11 8:10	178:18 182:22
249:20 251:8	483:20 510:11	41:2 42:9	8:12,14,15	200:2,8 205:15
251:14 271:8	accessibility	51:24 52:1	10:25 12:8,10	205:20 223:16
271:12 305:3	386:2,15	54:10,19 55:6	19:22 20:1	227:22 233:20
305:20,21	accessible	55:8 58:10	40:9 45:11	242:7,8,11
307:19 308:13	384:24	67:22 68:23	75:23 76:3,3,4	253:6 254:2
308:22 309:7	accommodate	74:25 84:24	76:6 77:9,21	284:4,22 285:7
309:12 312:6,7	398:20 399:7	85:1,11 92:18	77:22,24 78:12	285:19 286:1
312:11,13,24	account 22:8,15	94:25 95:11	79:24 86:18,19	286:16,22
319:6 324:16	accountable	115:12 239:20	88:4 91:4	288:20,25
340:5 341:11	210:19	239:25 248:23	95:25 97:14	289:11 290:4
347:4,9 348:12	accumulative	332:19,22	98:3,13,15	293:11 296:3,5
348:14 352:17	222:21	451:9 452:4	99:23 101:16	296:8,21 299:2
352:20 364:25	accuracy 249:22	480:7 495:13	105:9 106:3	299:4,5,23
366:8,25	accurate 196:15	acting 131:11	110:24 111:21	301:25,25
367:24 370:22	201:8,20 202:3	280:17	112:25 114:7	302:1,2 317:6
377:22 378:8	202:5 219:13	action 36:18	115:2 117:1,13	326:2,19
398:20 403:8	232:21,24	48:10,14	117:16,18	331:25 334:22
403:22 408:9	256:2 257:9	116:12 169:6	119:25 120:13	335:1,16,20,23
414:16 418:24	351:19,23	169:20 178:17	120:23 126:10	336:22,23
419:10,10,11	379:16 380:17	214:11 457:14	126:17 127:4,6	337:2 338:7,10
439:5 441:4	380:18 381:16	actions 44:16	127:13,24	338:12,17
471:18 481:19	473:7	48:12,15	128:5,11 129:3	339:2,25
483:21 489:17	accurately	265:23,24	129:24 130:13	342:14,22,25
515:16,21	380:16	active 87:1	131:8 132:7,14	343:9,10,13,15
517:7,10	accused 437:9	activities 43:15	133:22 134:2	352:12,16,17
518:15 519:1	achieve 352:15	130:17,19	134:24 135:18	352:20,23
absent 435:19	achieved 59:6	191:22 215:2	137:14 138:5	353:24 354:3
absolute 404:10	102:3	216:5 283:17	138:11 139:3	355:11 356:12
absolutely 64:1	acknowledge	494:2	140:4,8,12,23	357:4,9 358:4
Ĭ				

259.(12	17(.12.179.25	1(5.2	11.14.10.21.25	282.5.8.202.20
358:6,13	176:13 178:25	165:2	11:14,19,21,25	282:5,8 293:20
360:25 361:2,3	180:19 181:6	admissible 25:3	21:14 40:4	298:7,16 299:1
362:6,15 364:4	242:22 265:10	26:14	41:1 48:13	299:3,4,7,8
364:7,13 372:1	426:1 496:24	admission 25:10	50:12 67:20	310:18,20,22
372:24 388:5	additional	admit 24:16	69:3 78:11	310:25 311:4
401:25 404:5	108:12 141:8	25:9 29:15	143:2 146:23	311:10,14,16
405:18 416:23	154:2 178:13	39:1 207:14	192:18 277:16	312:7,12 313:6
417:11 421:14	252:4,11	224:2 338:22	277:17 284:15	313:11,15,17
424:5 425:16	259:13 287:8	362:8 373:7	285:10 292:9	313:18 326:2,6
430:8 438:20	287:15,15	376:9 387:13	303:7,17	326:10,12
439:13,20,21	296:1 297:18	515:23	332:13 339:5	327:8 329:9
440:5 441:11	374:1	admitted 14:12	357:12 370:19	331:4,7,11,14
442:5,5 444:17	additionally	23:20 29:18,20	444:14,14	331:14 333:4
449:9 454:18	53:9	33:24 34:4	499:7	334:3,5 335:9
454:22,23	address 64:7	116:5 162:9	agency's 104:13	335:10 338:2,4
455:12,15,17	86:22 87:9	252:5 348:18	agenda 97:7	343:5,7,9,10
455:23 456:3	106:6 124:24	515:25 517:22	agitated 150:7	343:12,23
457:3 462:20	276:5,10	520:20	ago 222:3	344:1,3,6,14
465:5,12 470:6	278:10 279:4	admittedly	386:19 387:16	345:2,11,15
470:9 472:8	291:16 302:14	219:22	agree 32:12	352:14 354:21
474:11 481:9	383:14,14	admitting 22:20	189:22 191:23	354:23,24
491:21 496:19	444:1 512:8	advance 12:7	199:5 200:8	355:4,16,18,19
496:25 497:2	518:18	15:4 26:25	218:25 220:17	357:24,25
497:15 498:1,3	addressed	27:3 302:13	220:25 229:21	360:15,18
498:25 500:4	240:24 276:7	advised 26:23	231:11 232:20	362:12,18,19
502:11,25	329:7 444:1	advising 217:24	252:22 268:13	363:24 364:2
503:11	468:22 472:5	advocate 452:17	313:10 316:2	364:18,21
Adamas' 144:12	474:20 475:22	aeration 281:2	316:14 318:21	454:22 474:8
440:19 447:23	adequate 455:22	aerial 256:23	328:16 331:23	485:11 487:14
497:16 498:11	adequately	261:5	332:23 337:2	492:21 497:6
Adamas's	183:12 443:20	affect 52:6,24	338:13 340:22	499:20 503:5
144:20 342:20	adhere 296:9	234:2	360:13 366:14	515:1
Adamas-Nath	adhering 357:23	affixed 521:17	366:15 375:19	Agreement'
151:25	362:18	afford 23:17	375:21	298:17
adamas.mt.40	adjustment	124:19 125:3	agreed 32:2,14	agreements
2:14 289:12	291:12	aforementioned	88:11 156:10	161:24 165:24
add 28:10 70:4	administer	110:25	331:24 360:19	276:9 311:12
419:11 424:19	310:16	afraid 349:14	375:21 376:9	agrees 38:17
495:2 507:5	administrative	350:4	agreed-upon	140:17 195:19
519:2,6	1:11 2:16	afternoon	133:3	328:3,11
added 56:9	10:12 23:14	186:17 251:20	agreement 4:11	331:18 376:3
adding 56:8	26:11 37:3	274:23 396:6	7:23 9:13 26:1	417:2
259:9 441:6,11	107:21 165:8	400:12	31:9 110:23	agricultural
473:10	247:16	agencies 167:14	132:3,4 137:13	61:11 74:3
addition 49:11	admissibility	244:25	138:4 140:3	81:1 138:2
52:4 60:20	22:5,12,21	agency 2:3,6	147:9,14	175:4 178:4,7
168:24 173:15	24:9,11 25:11	5:22 7:8,16	281:12,14	178:10,15

				Fage 524
243:7 283:2	116:15 119:18	493:24	Alzheimer's-t	8:6
298:20 371:16	123:4 125:7	allege 43:23	52:23	animals 102:24
agriculture	144:3,6 161:2	65:5,9	ambiguity 185:1	103:9,14
388:21	167:3 170:3	alleged 36:17	amended 62:22	265:12
agronomic	179:8 185:21	66:14 71:14	62:24 63:17	annual 48:6
53:14 59:11	188:3,6 191:10	107:24 116:9	64:16,22 65:14	54:4,6,13 75:5
60:21 75:15	195:10 198:18	182:22	71:6 181:19	77:17 92:24
79:4 99:10	216:20 259:15	allegedly 36:19	187:1,2,8,10	106:15 223:2
102:6 136:13	273:25 274:20	330:20	452:3	383:21
136:18 137:20	291:17 292:12	alleges 132:17	amendment	annually 87:7
173:18,21	293:24 300:5	132:20,23	182:20	93:1
192:11 194:21	301:9,21 303:5	132:20,23	Americans	answer 99:5
192:11 194:21	303:22 304:17	alleging 67:17	422:10	184:1,4 187:13
195:10,25	304:20 307:3	Allen 470:1,4	amount 16:16	196:21 198:14
197:3,4,12,16	309:3 310:3	allocation	23:16 52:17	211:14 212:25
201:4,6,17,18	311:23 312:15	264:25 265:5	73:7 85:2	211.14 212.23 214:20 215:14
201:21 202:15	318:16 330:17	allow 20:8 26:20	100:4 101:22	220:10 224:9
201.21 202.13	342:9 348:17	162:17 281:2	100:4 101:22	234:18 254:16
208:21 209:2	363:12 364:9	318:24 367:22	102.19,22	303:4 317:3
209:14,16,22	365:16 366:10	374:1,8 386:12	117:14,15	319:20 330:11
210:5 211:4	366:13 370:25	allowable 73:10	126:18 127:5	330:24 341:25
217:12,15	374:13 380:22	allowed 23:15	128:6,20	363:14 368:11
217:12,15	381:25 389:14	37:24 145:19	153:12 165:4	374:14 379:19
218:16,20,23	390:6 394:11	151:6 303:19	175:19 177:25	402:10 404:2
219:14 221:8	396:10 399:2	304:13 352:15	197:17 199:10	415:6 447:24
222:7 233:3	400:9 402:4	416:13 421:10	204:22 217:8	478:10 502:21
235:8,17,23	404:2 407:10	511:4	222:4 228:13	504:22 505:15
237:7,18	410:8 415:23	allowing 150:23	237:11 286:7	answered 68:6
241:15,16,23	423:1 428:6	166:6 169:11	294:18 315:25	98:25 214:17
242:6 312:3,4	435:16,25	169:21 314:9	319:15 322:22	214:23,24
315:24 316:3,5	474:4 479:2	390:8 437:15	338:11,14	243:6,8 380:15
316:10,14	489:13 490:11	allows 353:12	364:12 455:1	answering
317:20 318:10	502:23	alluded 508:15	amounts 22:8	330:22 482:11
318:11,22	ahold 29:25	Almase 2:16	175:22 287:8	answers 106:24
328:7 371:25	219:23 290:6	10:13 93:22	465:19	269:1 380:17
372:5,16 373:5	373:13	270:6,9 348:21	analysis 146:1	Antecedent
373:8 379:20	aid 94:5	452:2 518:15	165:9	258:14,22
380:14 382:4	air 263:14	alteration	analytical 4:10	anybody 144:20
411:3 440:18	513:24	341:16	7:22 314:3,6,6	179:23 192:11
504:19	Akash 158:4	altered 316:23	351:11	202:25 289:1
ahead 10:21	Alexa 5:20	317:20 318:21	analyze 145:22	304:7 321:17
11:6 29:14	alfalfa 371:20	329:21 341:13	and/or 21:22	322:10 378:23
39:16,19 46:10	algae 53:20	341:19 342:1	28:21 147:22	385:17 417:21
47:14 64:19	aligns 32:18	altering 341:24	152:2 200:8	422:6 428:17
69:6 72:23	allegation 37:3	alternative	254:2 311:4	434:5 486:14
80:17,18 81:11	68:23 365:5	227:22,25	324:22 489:9	491:3 513:25
82:11 94:12	allegations	250:2 398:24	Andrew 7:13	anybody's 494:2
	1	I	1	I

anymore 308:18	applicable 37:22	231:6 238:2	applied 37:17	100:14 101:23
422:14 426:15	37:23 38:18	242:20 243:4	38:2 51:18	102:1 106:20
444:21 457:4	42:2 45:20	252:24 253:2,4	52:5 60:2,2	131:12,17
anytime 257:19	67:10 146:25	253:13,23,24	61:11 73:20	161:9 174:11
anyway 412:19	191:16 317:9	254:7 266:24	74:3,10,12	183:16 191:15
413:1 464:3	332:17	281:15 283:17	75:16 81:1	191:20 199:2,3
471:12 475:11	application 6:2	286:9,12,15	95:6,8,9	199:12,16
apart 135:8	6:8,10 8:16	287:6,22,24	103:24 104:3	200:19 204:18
491:20	35:6 40:6,22	288:10,13,16	106:11,12	215:6 216:4
apologies 155:4	41:22 43:13	288:17 289:18	136:9,15 138:1	220:9 228:5,7
156:21 309:20	49:12 52:5	295:6 296:9,14	151:6 172:24	228:11,18
508:11	58:3,22 60:19	296:18,22,23	175:4,19,21	230:8 231:20
apologize 12:6	62:4,7,9 76:18	296:25 297:4	177:17 178:3	231:21,23,24
76:5 82:1,13	78:10 91:15	299:6,11 312:1	178:14 179:1	232:1,3,19
273:15 290:24	96:8 98:22	313:22 315:5	182:5,17	242:11,19,21
330:12 333:24	99:2 100:7,13	315:18,21,22	192:12 197:19	253:10 492:5
349:11	102:20,23	316:16,17,19	197:22 205:12	502:7
apparent 339:3	106:2,9,15	318:7,21 319:7	205:21 207:17	applier's 60:20
apparently	112:3,7,8,13	325:21 326:5	207:21 215:17	appliers 63:19
452:4	112:18,20	326:10 327:2	220:2 222:5,13	65:12,24 67:3
appeal 15:25	113:6,9 120:18	328:14 331:8	222:20 228:6	67:20 68:25
29:11	120:24 121:4	331:15,22	235:4 236:2,13	69:23 70:3,6
appealable	121:10 124:8	332:1 339:9	237:17 238:4	74:14,20 91:16
15:24 520:8	126:3 133:10	351:14 358:17	243:6,10	91:20 100:21
Appeals 16:1	135:20 136:13	359:5 364:19	253:17,18	102:13 174:6
appear 19:10	136:19 139:18	373:3 376:6	266:14,16,16	174:22 185:6
94:8 148:5,25	140:13,24	382:5 383:12	266:19 278:4	191:17 229:14
158:21 159:21	144:18 145:17	383:16,21	282:21,22	applies 27:8
256:6 316:11	146:2 148:19	384:6 400:23	283:1 289:14	61:13,21,23
355:9 358:8	150:20,22,24	401:1 402:6,25	323:17 328:24	65:22,23 66:9
482:13,14	153:19 154:6	418:4,5 419:14	373:17 375:3	66:16 68:8
APPEARAN	156:12 157:25	421:4 428:19	375:10 382:6	95:7 174:22
2:1	162:3 172:7,8	429:23 430:11	383:19,20	176:7 178:5
appeared 34:10	174:15 175:15	430:23 431:23	389:9 410:5	182:2 189:24
appearing 2:2	182:7,15 189:6	432:1 443:7,11	463:21 472:1	191:25 231:7
2:10 304:22	191:22 195:22	472:9 493:17	500:1 502:8	492:6
395:9	198:4,10,23	493:19 496:18	503:20,24,25	apply 25:6 36:16
appears 33:3	199:6 200:16	499:11 500:5	508:12	63:7 71:16,22
285:25 286:14	206:3,4,17	504:10 505:11	applier 58:23	91:25 95:5
295:5,8 297:13	207:13,15,24	505:17,22,25	59:5,8 60:8,18	101:1 104:1
299:10,12	208:8,9 209:4	application'	62:2,13 63:2,6	137:18 140:21
313:14 314:3	209:8 218:13	123:25 296:13	66:22 67:14,15	155:19 162:10
315:25 316:5	220:14 223:7	applications	68:11 69:9,10	174:1 175:13
332:2 337:9	223:10 227:15	146:22 221:25	69:14,15,17,19	183:13 195:14
354:20 355:10	227:18 228:21	223:3,12 285:9	70:15,16 71:3	195:21 205:24
355:17,20	229:4,8,16,19	332:12 357:11	72:11,14 74:24	208:18 209:2
356:11 495:11	229:23 230:3,8	applicator 228:1	75:5 80:15	210:20 221:13
		rr		
	1	1	1	1

	150 12 205 2 0	l ,	250 6 502 10	215 11 415 10
228:16 237:8	159:13 205:2,8	arrangements	358:6 503:10	315:11 415:19
237:23 241:25	284:25 296:7	27:4	519:8	435:16 450:17
316:1,23 328:5	356:13,23	arrested 423:12	assertion 44:23	assumption
328:13 352:25	357:5 464:10	arrive 173:8	62:7 512:5	196:2 207:14
353:1 373:2	500:4	arrived 405:20	asserts 70:5	207:19 226:15
388:10,12	aquatic 41:13	Article 16:2	assess 75:8	227:16 228:13
410:24 428:24	Arbitration	294:15	249:4	237:13,20
430:19,20	7:11	as-needed 87:8	assessing 85:8	366:24
505:13	area 49:5 165:22	asbestos 125:17	assessment	assumptions
applying 58:1	178:15 214:14	asked 18:9	38:17 49:2	197:15
220:22 237:3	297:25 313:7	69:14 117:25	128:17 131:10	assured 43:9
237:18 410:25	313:12 315:6	200:2 210:16	322:6 359:4	attach 263:24
441:15 488:19	315:16,19	216:24 238:2	440:10	348:13
appreciate	337:18,18	308:7 318:18	assign 23:21	attached 96:11
25:19 30:11	385:1 400:22	350:15 353:23	165:11	114:12 145:12
63:25 163:20	416:9 447:17	355:24 358:9	assigned 83:21	264:2 295:25
166:21 197:20	areas 50:18	358:12 380:13	105:13 320:22	296:2,5,10,14
264:8 304:12	150:22 246:14	411:21 416:3	501:6	296:19,22
390:8 434:2	265:14 414:8,9	419:23 423:22	assist 279:15,16	297:1 356:19
479:13,19	473:23	423:24 424:1	289:18	attempted 318:9
519:21,22	argue 22:24	424:10 433:22	assistance 4:14	459:8 492:17
apprised 293:8	23:20,22 38:20	438:19 445:11	8:3 48:9 130:7	attempting 45:9
approach	39:3 41:16	445:12 463:7	172:1 276:8	334:25 335:19
171:10 356:22	44:21 66:2	463:18 468:5	278:11 310:14	attempts 19:1
518:7	214:18	483:13 501:6	310:15 324:8	attend 18:10
appropriate	argued 63:5	501:10 504:23	assistant 2:5	28:10 408:10
36:25 85:2,7	arguing 62:12	506:20	192:5	408:18
95:3 116:6	68:24	asking 69:16	assisted 122:5	attendance 18:6
124:15 182:14	argument 14:6	82:2 83:9	assisting 12:22	18:14 20:6
187:12 201:24	22:24 212:21	115:19 202:6	289:19	attended 218:21
208:5 215:11	422:1 500:15	206:11 207:18	associated 52:10	299:19 390:23
215:11 256:8	arguments	211:21 326:8	108:5 504:9	attending 371:5
287:9	34:23 37:8	329:12 351:9	assume 38:23	attention 43:2
appropriately	124:20 125:2	383:4 415:7	162:21 192:19	43:12
210:2 211:4	163:15,17	429:9 436:4	218:18 228:22	attorney 11:13
240:20 288:13	164:5,13	483:17 490:14	241:21 256:13	12:22 83:11,20
443:22 490:2	165:20 214:19	490:17	300:2 302:11	91:11 105:13
approval 498:21	243:21 249:11	asks 234:1 382:3	331:4	105:16 120:8
approved	250:8 452:21	aspect 264:17,22	assumed 201:9	122:21 141:15
437:12	514:6	477:2 482:25	201:11 265:19	156:7 159:16
approximately	Army 248:17,25	484:11	513:16	180:3 200:9
50:17 51:6	257:10,24	aspects 484:9	assuming 74:6	202:24 211:7
289:14 417:7	258:3,10,15	assert 43:16	105:24 116:23	291:20 295:5,5
433:5 472:6	259:24	483:11	156:4,5 161:8	334:14 335:22
April 86:3	arose 338:11,22	asserted 40:12	165:3 186:1	423:19,21
142:13 145:8	364:12 471:25	40:17 91:6	230:13 250:4,7	457:20 490:11
146:12 157:24	508:9,12	221:6 355:25	285:20 306:23	490:13 500:3,7

Г

500:10,16	authenticity	322:12 323:16	478:2 484:14	118:5 120:3
501:7,10	44:11 249:22	341:24 342:2	488:16 492:23	122:24 124:19
503:10 507:10	author 162:20	343:6 381:17	507:20 516:21	125:4 143:21
510:18	authored 123:2	390:12 410:11	516:24	154:2 161:12
attorney's	authorities	474:9	Backbone 8:23	161:24 162:6
179:22	110:20	awesome 186:7	31:17 486:16	162:17,24
attorneys 2:2	authority 7:6		486:19	163:10,11
101:17 122:20	28:1 37:25	<u>B</u>	background	166:7 167:11
457:14 500:11	54:18 191:20	b 6:13 73:9,12	48:23 49:14,21	167:19 168:20
513:22	247:24 266:2	73:17 80:24	192:20 264:20	169:17 176:19
attraction 59:1	433:9 455:18	81:13,17 89:24	276:22 311:19	177:3,11
59:5 60:13	authorized	101:13 106:8	backing 261:20	178:20 187:11
79:3 81:15,18	84:24	124:16 173:13	bacteria 41:11	188:4 196:1
99:12 101:11	auto 359:23	175:2 176:5,10	bacterial 53:20	197:7 198:8,21
102:3 103:2,5	automatically	176:18,24,25	bad 8:22 20:3,5	199:13 201:6
235:15 238:11	494:10	177:6,7,11	31:14 263:20	202:12 209:25
238:13 384:17	availability	back 18:25	264:5 390:20	211:2 214:7,12
412:9 504:15	365:18,25	48:18 64:14	416:7 475:6,11	216:18 217:22
504:25 505:5	available 26:3,7	71:17 82:10	486:10	235:6 241:17
506:4	54:9 68:11	90:11,12 99:7	bagged 177:23	244:1 261:12
attractive	162:7 184:8	125:8 134:17	bags 177:23	285:16 286:14
103:11	200:18 217:17	162:5 174:18	balance 53:23	295:4 297:2
attractiveness	244:3,4,23	176:1 177:14	band 422:4	299:9 310:23
103:6	245:2 249:10	180:22 183:19	bankrupting	311:8,11,13
attributable	250:1 254:6	184:10,24	456:21	318:1,2 319:23
70:24 305:14	257:4 258:16	186:9,10,21,25	bar 394:7	379:15 386:8,9
attribute 22:25	300:15,16,18	188:19,22	barley 137:21	442:5 443:13
attributed	315:2 345:1	194:20 204:12	137:24 178:15	447:6,13
482:13	346:24 347:8	239:6,9 240:12	195:17 328:8	479:18
August 1:8,8	350:16 366:12	247:13 261:22	371:23 387:2,7	bases 25:9
10:1 11:4 33:2	377:18 408:18	273:18,25	bars 393:23	basic 10:19 17:9
98:2 120:1	515:11 519:8	282:11 286:17	base 118:10	236:20
131:2 136:15	Avenue 1:14	289:24 301:10	161:19 164:2	basically 15:2
157:3 186:17	average 175:18	325:2,11,14	167:18 178:8	20:14 22:22
203:13,16,18	259:1	343:22 355:1	211:1 213:23	23:11 25:1
207:5 208:10	aware 67:7	355:13 356:9	261:15,19,21	26:6 29:25
286:25 288:9	178:22 179:20	361:21 369:1,7	based 13:16	30:10 51:10,11
296:24 301:17	193:5 194:12	381:24,25	21:25 23:18,21	55:22 56:3
302:6 331:20	196:8 199:1	382:25 389:21	26:10 28:12	59:18 77:17
335:4 336:19	219:2,5 221:12	389:23 390:5,5	29:2 33:5	78:9 83:9
355:17 382:6	223:11 227:21	393:5 395:25	36:14 37:15,20	84:14 102:23
383:13 396:6	227:24 233:18	396:12,14	37:20,22 48:8	168:19 171:11
418:21 472:7	236:1 239:23	421:6 426:21	53:11 54:14	245:17 258:17
474:19 496:9	240:23 289:2	429:6 433:20	66:20 73:1,7	265:1 278:21
500:2	289:19 290:9	445:13 452:16	73:20 74:1	280:3,25
authenticate	291:21 292:21	453:13,19	85:6 87:4	345:14 362:21
25:8 26:5	293:4 320:14	457:25 460:4	102:14 105:22	369:18 397:18

	I	1	1	1
422:22 441:4	behaviors 458:3	343:15,25	436:24 454:25	390:13
458:2,21,24	behooves 28:24	344:5,7,9	455:19 456:2	bids 311:11
461:9 462:4	belief 369:20	345:23 346:11	465:9 466:18	516:9
464:5 476:22	381:16	346:16 348:1	468:8 469:17	big 28:15 184:5
477:16 484:12	believe 24:15	351:21 352:1,7	477:6 478:23	308:19 393:22
basics 480:6	27:14 31:24	352:9 353:4,17	486:9,9 487:2	425:17 458:21
basing 161:22	35:10 36:15,25	354:2,9 356:2	492:14 494:19	482:8 508:21
161:23 164:6	37:1 42:24	358:3,15,18,18	509:6	biggest 467:25
basis 29:11	44:14 69:17	359:2 360:11	Bement's 509:6	billed 111:21,22
49:22 61:16	70:13,17 93:19	360:16,21	beneficial 51:18	113:1 128:12
87:8 176:13	184:2 191:9	361:1 363:1	53:6	129:4
337:20 446:9	193:1 236:16	366:7 374:3	benefit 29:12	billing 223:24
455:5 481:23	249:17 254:24	377:5 379:16	85:5 388:19	448:22
494:18	266:25 271:20	379:19 420:6	409:19 457:10	Billings 1:15
bear 8:22 20:3,5	275:15 279:19	439:21 460:19	benefits 34:23	10:24 145:16
31:15 65:19	279:22 280:7	462:4 464:14	112:2,3,13,14	153:20 286:10
183:2 380:9	280:17 282:23	469:13,14	berms 56:11	297:25 301:3,5
475:6 482:14	283:2,10,15,19	472:19 478:14	best 15:22	313:7,12 314:4
486:10 511:6	284:3,21 285:4	478:19 481:21	116:12 124:24	321:6 337:18
bears 13:7	285:14,14,17	484:18 488:10	177:3 179:2	476:21
beaten 170:1	285:19 287:12	488:12 489:2	201:25 221:1	bio 137:18
becoming	289:21 290:4,8	500:8,14	229:24 345:1	bio-sludge
478:13	290:19 293:1	504:21 506:17	378:12 381:15	427:14
beg 255:3	293:11 294:4	513:14 517:12	441:17 486:6	bio-solid 150:5,9
began 285:13	295:14 297:23	518:5	521:14	150:13,19
322:15 331:8	299:19 306:7	belly 419:17	better 123:23	bio-solids
331:11 390:21	307:15 311:18	belly-up 508:5	182:18 251:3	153:15
472:10	311:22,24	belong 423:8	269:3 291:12	Bio/Resume
beginning 22:20	313:20,20,22	Bement 4:9,12	349:9 442:11	123:20
165:9 171:21	314:7,8,11	7:14 8:2 28:3	betterment	biological 49:8
203:14 296:21	315:7,9 318:6	88:13 96:6	122:4	49:21
462:3 472:7	318:23,25	111:6,23 114:8	beyond 28:23	biosolid 195:14
513:7	319:2 320:10	142:14 143:14	45:8 143:22	315:5 328:5
begins 172:4	321:3,19,21	145:9 146:14	373:21 374:2	383:25 417:5
381:13 469:25	322:1,5,11,18	149:5 151:21	409:3	biosolids 6:4,5
470:17	322:19 323:6,6	152:16 154:20	BI 311:5	34:8 40:24
begun 472:1	324:9 325:24	157:24 170:6,6	BI16N39 294:15	41:8,18,25
behalf 2:2 19:22	326:13,17	170:8 279:24	bid 192:22 193:6	42:2 45:20
20:1 46:5	327:4,4 330:4	284:4 299:1	196:6 218:8,15	48:6 49:12
134:2 274:15	330:4 331:14	332:5,24	294:14 311:3	50:22 51:1,2,7
335:21 370:8	332:6 333:2	356:12 390:25	312:4 319:5	51:9,15,17,18
399:19,22	334:8,13,17	405:25 420:14	329:8,16	51:23 52:5,10
400:4 402:20	335:4 336:4	420:15 421:11	341:12 344:15	52:11 53:12,13
449:18 454:3	338:17,20,25	422:21 424:13	344:19 345:10	54:1,3 56:17
480:23	339:1,10,15	424:14 429:5	345:22,25	57:19,20,22,24
behavior 52:20	341:5 342:17	431:19 432:10	346:10,11,15	57:25 58:17,22
216:2,5	342:22 343:2	433:5,11	352:5 353:9	59:20,24 65:11
L	-	-	-	-

	50.0 54.15.04		260 10 200 17	00.0.100.4
66:23 67:17,21	52:9 54:17,24	book 467:11	369:12 389:17	88:8 133:4
72:8,15 73:6,9	55:12 58:16	books 38:6,7	390:8 395:19	460:15
73:9,9,23 74:9	64:15,21 68:19	botch 12:7	396:13 451:3	broker 125:16
74:15,21,25	70:22 88:25	bother 477:13	452:13 453:6	brought 36:6
75:2 77:15,18	101:10 119:14	bottom 46:20	453:20 459:19	163:21 194:11
78:24 79:8,15	175:7 185:11	111:3 127:6	460:18 508:18	204:14 261:23
80:11 92:19	202:9 214:1	134:20 149:14	516:12,16,25	425:13,15,17
93:7 102:8,12	224:25 241:19	149:17 150:9	breaks 17:2	425:17 460:1
102:24 103:6	243:20 259:13	159:18 170:17	122:6	475:17 478:7
103:10,12,20	264:21 269:7	195:6 203:21	Breedlove 2:18	491:12 509:16
103:23 104:1,4	278:14 307:14	245:15 281:4	4:18 21:12,13	Bryce 9:10
104:7,19,21	310:9 317:16	290:10,12	21:16 83:19	33:18 337:17
106:2,9,11,16	323:25 327:17	292:6 294:2	Brian 7:9	bubblers 281:5
136:19 153:24	327:22 347:7	315:20 333:12	Bridger 1:22	bugs 103:9
171:14 175:19	353:21 356:9	333:17,21	bridgercourtr	build 468:11
175:21,23	367:6 388:20	336:7 427:15	1:24	building 132:25
176:9,14 177:6	405:12 410:16	441:2 469:23	brief 14:2,3,7	268:20 423:23
177:21,23,25	414:18 415:18	Boulevard 2:7	15:13,13 64:12	444:19 473:5
180:11 182:5,7	445:18 461:22	bound 422:9	64:15 82:8,10	487:25
182:15 191:15	473:3 503:16	bounds 63:11	166:2 188:20	built 461:4
199:9 200:14	512:15 519:17	box 1:22 458:21	188:23 204:8	bulk 61:10,13
201:12 204:11	bits 232:25	458:21 508:21	239:7,10	61:16,19,20,21
204:19,21	242:25	508:22 509:3	273:23 325:9	61:22 66:9,16
205:16 207:12	blanket 217:7	Boy 486:2	369:5,8 390:2	80:25 81:3,8
207:15 208:7	blockages 87:9	Boys 122:5	453:17,20	177:16,17,19
209:7 210:3	blood 495:5	128:18 459:19	516:22,25	177:20 178:1
222:5 223:2,7	blue 133:1 244:9	459:20	briefing 15:8,12	231:3,7
228:9 229:11	244:13 245:17	Bozeman 1:23	37:8 38:24	bullet 96:14
238:1,12,16	245:24 246:3	521:21	213:17 347:10	149:25 150:2
241:11,20,23	246:12,19	brain 479:8	520:2,4,5	362:2 474:6
253:16,18	board 16:1	branch 48:4	briefly 471:10	497:12
265:18 266:11	243:10 492:24	breach 470:5	briefs 15:9	bullied 423:17
266:14,19,24	493:1	breached 212:8	bring 43:1	burden 13:8,8
277:19 278:7,8	boat 484:12	break 16:22	116:13 163:22	27:14
285:18 298:18	bodies 244:4	17:5 54:23	435:11 467:3	buried 508:23
299:6 314:25	261:22 262:14	64:2,5,15,25	bringing 116:10	business 6:23
315:3,18	body 52:7 53:5,7	82:3,10 102:9	158:12 169:19	15:15 400:21
383:18,19,22	53:16,18,23	122:5 127:23	214:11	436:10,16
384:1,5 410:17	55:3,4 75:17	166:23,23,25	brings 32:15	437:5 457:3,6
425:19 430:24	104:2,20	175:6 185:22	broad 237:1	459:2,5 465:2
biosolids/sludge	254:18 262:9	186:22 188:10	broadcast 60:4	481:14,15
296:13	262:10 265:3	188:12,23	177:24	Bye-bye 366:2
biowaste 419:6	265:10,13	209:7 239:10	broadly 482:11	byproduct 52:3
Birney 433:7	266:3,20,25	255:23 256:6	broke 30:14	<u> </u>
460:19	267:2 279:17	256:24 300:6	459:21	$\frac{c}{c}$ 12:1 81:11
bit 12:25 35:13	bolded 314:17	324:4 366:19	broken 48:16	106:10 521:2,2
49:18 50:1	315:16	368:22 369:8	58:20,22 73:6	100.10 321.2,2
		l	l	l

C-O-U-R-T-N	called 46:5	19:16,21 21:2	222:21 271:6	certified 4:9,15
275:3	53:17 147:16	25:18 36:7,14	456:15	4:16,17,19
cafeteria 16:21	147:18 198:16	39:10 40:5,8	caused 265:25	5:11,19,20,21
calculate 60:21	247:10 258:13	42:22,24 44:13	502:8	6:15 7:5 8:4,6
173:18 196:4	274:15 370:8	54:1 57:21	caution 14:6	58:14 168:14
202:14 208:18	400:4 403:1	58:5 62:14	71:7	219:12,18
208:20 211:3	414:7 423:19	63:8 68:24	CCed 105:8	224:23 225:10
217:9 218:5,20	437:21 439:8	71:22 72:8	146:14 334:15	235:14 377:17
219:14 221:8	454:3 459:18	75:20,23 77:19	cease 8:21 9:8	certify 381:13
235:23	460:1,14,23	77:24 78:24	33:12 421:8	381:14 521:8
calculated	463:18 475:1	83:12 84:19	459:2	cetera 53:3
106:16 196:23	480:23 495:14	85:8 96:18	ceiling 58:3	CFR 5:23 43:13
209:22 218:3	508:24	107:22 108:11	cell 88:5 89:4	61:1 80:3
383:22	calling 20:19	141:5 162:13	150:6,9,11	89:19 91:18,23
calculating	28:9 367:9	166:2 171:14	313:9	95:4 100:17
217:15 237:18	369:19 397:21	173:1 174:2,7	cells 88:8 89:7	106:14 174:4
calculation	399:19 488:17	175:14 176:7	center 77:16	248:21 383:21
53:15 59:12	calls 206:7	177:17 178:6	315:6	chain 98:9,12
75:15 196:24	212:10,24	178:18 183:14	certain 42:23	180:16 227:12
201:4,6,17,18	213:1 369:25	184:2 212:1,1	52:17 93:20	292:7
201:21 210:5	377:10 402:19	213:9 214:7	102:19,21,22	challenge 24:16
241:15,16	camera 132:22	239:18,23	104:1 124:20	24:17,23,23
334:25 335:19	158:11,14	240:4,18 241:1	125:3 143:21	27:12
calculations	470:11 487:21	258:7 278:14	165:3 197:17	challenges 24:9
79:5 99:11	509:14	282:16 318:13	215:2,9 222:4	24:15 25:20
102:6 204:11	cancer 52:22	323:9 346:4	298:19 448:12	26:25 165:1
235:9,18 316:8	capabilities	366:12 367:1	449:6 473:9,17	challenging 25:2
316:11,15,22	471:22	369:20,24	512:6	chance 39:8
411:8	capacity 52:6,19	397:4 399:13	certainly 13:3	64:8 186:25
call 17:19 18:1,5	53:3 162:19	399:19 402:8,9	29:9 37:9	230:20 237:10
18:12 20:4	243:3 249:4	415:14 435:4	67:25 93:17	307:24 370:23
27:25 42:10	capstone 49:12	435:18 438:11	113:17 115:9	415:13 435:5
45:13 46:1	caption 247:19	452:21 511:22	115:18 116:6,9	517:25
122:20 261:24	capture 261:12	519:2	125:1 134:16	change 52:19
267:14 268:4	261:14	case-in-chief	135:13 144:5	59:19 65:16
289:22 324:18	card 421:12,15	13:23	163:18,20	73:1,19,23
334:8 343:20	421:18,19,19	cases 48:16	166:2 184:21	74:1 120:15,15
366:8,20	421:21 445:15	50:15,16 51:2	212:25 213:18	131:10 181:18
367:14 368:18	care 10:20	51:4 57:16	304:2 319:23	181:23 182:21
369:16 395:24	302:12 325:5	88:23 119:10	512:8 515:18	182:21 183:21
396:18 398:25	465:25 490:1	123:1 237:16	518:20	185:9 209:18
409:10 434:25	career 236:24	catch-all 495:11	certification	242:7,10 260:2
437:20 442:14	carrying 265:13	cattails 130:11	6:13 57:3	271:15 287:9
453:23 460:24	case 10:17 11:2	cattle 102:18	101:11 125:14	305:9 359:4
466:3 470:4	13:8,10 14:22	411:2 416:5	125:24	360:24 450:10
480:10 492:8	15:17 16:10	cause 52:22	certifications	466:23 512:19
495:11 513:15	17:6,20,24	53:19 217:25	81:9	changed 62:23
175.11 515.15	1/.0,20,21	00.17 11.100	010	

			<i></i>	
62:25 63:1,19	chest 513:18	463:6	claiming 44:7	55:5,7 58:10
70:8 197:11	Chestnut 7:9	chunk 398:21	69:8 289:13	67:22 68:23
305:25 331:1	133:21 134:11	circle 382:7	317:7 330:21	74:25 84:23
361:6 406:11	134:13	412:14 485:18	338:18 352:2	85:1,10 92:18
504:16,18,21	Cheyenne 43:20	485:22 486:7	claims 64:22	94:24 95:11
changes 131:16	44:24 85:23	486:22 488:18	71:9,10 95:2	115:12 130:9
187:6	96:6 108:16	circled 136:16	321:12 490:24	239:20,25
changing 37:5	110:21 122:1,7	Circular 332:16	493:22	266:4,6 451:9
65:13 66:18	128:18 134:3,9	circulate 348:19	clarification	452:4 495:12
70:9 182:19	147:17,25	518:14	25:19 94:11	Cleaned 150:10
187:7 204:19	158:13 193:10	circulated	124:13 164:20	cleaning 132:22
262:17,21	199:24 225:4	518:24	248:24 267:22	158:12,15
chaos 476:2	247:11,25	circulation	268:19 321:24	409:8,10
493:1	280:1 282:23	519:4	327:9 388:14	470:11 487:21
chapter 191:18	284:13 298:6,7	circumstance	413:6,15	509:15
332:16,21	298:15 313:6	510:24 511:3	442:11 469:20	clear 18:11
charge 40:13	313:11 323:16	circumstances	clarified 187:6	23:25 28:7
41:21 44:22	338:3 342:15	44:12 160:8	clarify 43:25	31:3 47:4 57:9
138:9 215:10	363:25 390:22	294:11 511:1	187:3 207:3	66:3 68:2 71:8
281:15 286:15	401:12 420:17	circumstantial	342:8 408:1	137:6 166:13
299:23 320:20	421:7 433:8	135:9 164:9	512:18	182:25 200:12
321:1 359:5	445:14 449:1	511:5	clarity 93:18,24	216:18 220:14
364:15 388:6	457:22 460:20	citation 65:13	344:14	240:1 267:20
408:4,25 434:7	486:25 487:6	65:16 66:7,18	class 49:17	378:15 403:20
434:16 438:21	488:5 490:13	70:9 80:22	66:20 73:8,9,9	407:25 413:16
455:16 456:4	490:14 492:20	101:5 181:24	73:12,12,13,17	414:18 425:5
458:14 470:25	494:14,15	182:20,21	74:7 80:24	449:7 465:24
501:22 502:6	497:7 499:6	183:22	81:13 91:25	466:2,15
509:19	chief 435:19	citations 69:25	101:13 123:13	496:23 501:13
charged 44:22	chime 47:11	71:21 185:9	123:25 125:25	501:20 504:1
71:11 183:25	119:3 214:11	cite 181:18	173:13 175:2	513:3,7,24,25
185:3	305:3 512:14	187:7 452:4	176:5,9,10,10	514:20 516:16
charging 63:12	choose 258:19	cited 69:13	176:11,17,18	clearly 47:2
184:24 185:19	303:19	182:1	176:20,22,23	70:11 96:12
chat 453:8	choosing 240:25	citizens 190:16	176:23,25	124:8 318:11
check 117:12	chose 352:16	city 409:22	177:6,7,11,21	clears 187:14
126:9,16 127:3	chosen 101:12	475:7 476:20	404:11 463:20	click 517:3
128:4,20	Chris 2:4 5:2	civil 85:7	471:24 476:18	client 92:14
133:25 134:8	11:13 40:3	claim 65:18,20	classes 73:7	160:11,19,22
134:23 434:18	413:8,15	65:22 67:5	463:16 464:15	161:10 294:24
468:13	Christine 1:10	68:15 211:6	464:15 476:14	314:20
checking 271:3	10:11	219:20 226:14	476:17 493:7,9	client's 160:7
checks 130:13	Christopher	316:21 321:10	clean 5:13 11:2	294:10
280:6	6:16 7:14 8:9	322:19 342:3	36:6 38:6	clinical 462:16
chemicals 56:8	105:7,8,15	472:8 493:22	40:15 41:2	close 16:12
cherry-picking	159:20,22,24	claimed 196:17	42:9 51:24	74:10 75:16
473:9	chronology	265:23 322:25	52:1 54:10,19	234:10 244:14
		•	•	

Г
r				rage JJZ
266:20 446:15	54:3 104:19	461:1 520:7	96:5 122:3	34:22 40:1
457:7,16,17	165:4,12	commenced	127:4 132:16	46:19 76:8,24
482:4 486:3	169:15 171:2	373:13	132:17,20,23	78:4,18 79:21
514:5,16	184:10 186:9	commencing	133:1 154:23	81:21,23 82:19
closed 416:5	186:10 202:24	285:15 327:1	155:8,12,13,16	83:4,16 84:3
457:6	204:24 206:17	comments 12:22	156:10 158:10	85:15 86:10
closes 14:15	209:2 210:5	38:11	162:4 417:23	87:11,23 90:16
514:17	217:5,9 224:13	commission	418:1,7 419:22	91:8 92:4
closing 14:4	228:10 254:1	43:20 44:24	420:16,16	93:15 94:14
45:6 514:6,6,9	273:18 312:3,3	85:24 108:16	424:12 428:18	95:19 96:24
519:16	325:2 345:10	110:22 122:2	456:15,21	97:17 98:6
Club 122:5	346:2 369:1	134:3 147:18	459:22 461:24	99:15 104:24
128:18 459:19	370:2 387:6	193:11 199:25	462:5,6,11	107:7 108:8
459:21	389:16,20	225:5 247:12	475:4 509:14	109:3,9,15
clue 445:6	390:4 393:23	247:25 280:1	company's	110:1,10
co-owner 168:8	394:2 395:25	298:8,15 313:7	449:14	111:11 112:22
coal 400:19	400:1 427:23	313:12 338:3	comparable	113:12,25
cocoa 440:22	435:10 436:25	363:25 390:23	91:19	114:23 117:22
cognitive 52:24	437:22,24	405:22 449:1	compare 329:20	119:20 126:6
cohesive 233:3	451:8 452:16	486:25 488:5	comparing	128:23 133:14
cold 460:18	453:13 467:22	490:13,14	329:24 330:5	136:23 139:7
coli 53:2	470:17,19	494:15 497:7	330:23	141:2 147:3
coliform 53:2	480:13 489:5	521:22	compensation	151:12 152:11
177:5	495:3 510:5	Commissions	41:23 457:1	154:13 155:3
collaborates	comes 13:9,19	134:9	complainant 2:2	167:23 170:11
276:4	17:1 20:15	committed	3:7 4:4 11:10	171:19 247:4
collect 17:5 75:4	23:6 38:16	37:11	13:6 24:10	381:4 382:11
75:7 321:8,13	60:15 62:18	common 23:13	29:17 30:7	382:24 383:2
321:15 490:6	94:7 213:17	277:3 339:22	31:1,7 39:11	450:23 452:7
490:19,21	214:3 215:12	346:8 437:17	39:16 45:13	497:9 514:22
491:2	240:12 262:25	commonly	46:5 187:18	complainants
collected 320:4	276:11 311:5	51:17	191:4 269:19	369:13 512:1
320:5,16,25	411:1 419:7	communicated	274:15 302:14	complaining
321:4,9,14	447:2 468:25	93:23 506:13	347:3 348:20	289:22
collecting	482:24	communicating	365:19 367:13	complaint 37:4
267:19 411:19	comfort 17:2	421:1	369:24 370:8	54:13 62:22,24
collection 56:5	516:16	communication	395:18 396:15	63:11,18 64:17
87:1,2,6,7	comfortable	91:11 506:19	397:13 399:1	64:23 65:3,14
321:20,21	17:8 20:10,21	communicatio	399:19,22	67:1 68:15
collectively 11:1	415:4 455:23	506:15	400:4 435:3,21	70:5,10,12
college 476:20	482:11 518:6	communities	449:19 467:18	71:6,11,14
colloquy 370:17	coming 25:18	53:21	469:3 511:14	75:25 78:15
Colstrip 400:19	34:20 201:13	community	514:8 515:8	83:1 102:7
combined 69:2	201:16 241:23	277:4 278:20	517:8 518:14	107:20,22,25
come 16:24 25:2	266:12 409:21	460:8,10	complainant's	107:20,22,23
25:7 27:8	427:19 440:16	company 40:9	3:3 22:6 31:25	181:19,24
41:14 52:2	440:17 442:18	57:22,23 85:10	32:7,11 34:11	182:1,20
	110.17 112.10	2,.22,23 00.10	<i>52.,,11.5</i> 1.11	102.1,20
	I	I	I	I

				rage JJJ
183:25 185:9	483:22	173:6 179:17	322:25 364:20	150:2
187:1,2,8,10	completing	205:25 332:25	concept 24:20	conducted 43:15
193:20,21,23	281:13,25	333:3 356:5	concepts 23:2,13	50:16 85:23
194:2,4,5,6,7,8	496:19	358:7 362:19	concern 168:7	178:21 197:9
194:9,15 198:7	completion	489:18 503:2	191:19 235:21	228:12,17
194.9,15 198.7	40:14 148:13	complying	298:5	311:17 312:2
200:17 203:11	148:21 444:20	192:1	concerned 28:15	conducting
200:17 203:11 203:11 203:19 204:25	complexity	component	65:9 82:4	13:24,25 337:1
205:5,6 206:3	71:20	299:3	215:16 253:3	conduit 130:9
205:5,0 200:3	compliance 9:6		262:20 295:17	cone 419:17
200.3,22 207.4	17:24 32:17	components 217:14 426:3	367:18	confer 368:23
229:15 235:6				
	48:3,9,17,18 54:15 58:7	compose 415:18	concerning 43:14 51:1	conference
235:21 236:4,8 236:10 247:16		compost 60:4		17:15 18:4
	75:9 95:10	composting	283:21 293:2	268:5 368:8
289:20,21	118:16,17	59:17	354:21 384:9	470:4
338:23,25	130:20 137:22	comprehending	384:13,17	conferences
339:25 373:17	143:22 144:15	42:25	496:17 505:5	28:8
374:18 385:23	145:13 146:24	computer 251:9	505:10,25	confident 268:7
452:3 476:4	152:6 161:12	251:15 254:21	506:4	422:9
complaints 48:6	162:19 163:2,5	271:19,20	concerns 297:19	confined 63:13
54:7	163:11 166:8	273:17 291:4,5	conclude 14:4	125:20
complete 120:24	167:6,7,10	305:2,20 306:1	215:1,3 216:1	confirm 62:19
155:17 208:8	169:5,17 171:7	306:18 307:7,8	399:10 520:16	241:10 332:11
328:5 334:24	195:18 214:8	324:11,12	520:17	484:8 491:9
335:18 338:3	216:2 239:17	349:7 350:3	concluded 58:8	501:19
358:4 364:1	241:10 243:23	515:19 517:14	520:22	confirmed
381:16 399:21	247:11,22,23	517:17,20	concludes 14:16	120:19 489:3
417:4 493:19	248:9 256:16	concentrate	concluding	conflating 214:2
493:20 497:1,2	257:25 258:10	209:7	514:14 516:17	conflict 20:7
497:3 499:24	277:15 285:17	concentrated	conclusion	confluence
500:13,17	285:20 303:7	316:20,22	110:3 118:13	245:23 246:20
507:9,11,15	303:12 328:9	317:8 321:10	169:2 172:15	confluences
complete'	332:17 333:13	322:21 330:22	172:20,23	244:12
296:14	333:19 339:6	331:2 338:19	173:9 211:21	conform 15:1
completed 49:17	356:1,20	352:3	212:11 216:19	520:4
50:2 121:4,6	387:11 445:1	concentration	242:7,10	confrontation
133:12 142:25	Compliant	58:1,4,25	conclusions	476:6
223:25 238:13	284:15 285:11	61:15 79:2,2	122:15,24	confronted
282:6,8 284:12	332:14 357:13	81:6 175:18	124:11 143:21	458:24
314:10 318:25	complicated	209:1 235:14	144:1 167:18	confuse 183:9
357:21 358:17	68:19	279:2 289:15	condition	confused 29:25
361:2 362:12	complies 381:11	323:2 331:3,5	189:18 227:7	confusing 63:14
499:5,25 500:4	382:2	456:9	430:3	71:18 194:6
503:11	comply 40:15	concentrations	conditions 259:4	473:11
completely	42:1 61:24	80:23 101:9	259:5,6 261:13	confusion
327:23 450:5	85:10 136:19	175:1,8 177:16	294:15 443:17	183:11,20
456:22 459:1	143:5 155:9	263:17 322:20	conduct 13:13	184:22 187:15

		•		rage JJF
190:17	consistent 216:3	140:4,8 142:13	144:17 152:8	contaminated
connect 135:7	216:4,5 256:3	143:4,13	154:8 290:5	265:25
162:14 190:24	440:10	144:11 145:7	335:21 442:6	contamination
connected	consistently	145:21 146:12	consult 339:4	264:17 265:25
152:21,22	277:1	146:20 147:10	consultant	386:5,5
266:22 267:5	consists 278:21	147:15 148:18	142:22 280:17	contend 43:15
269:18 384:5	consolidated	149:7 151:20	337:3 342:18	content 52:14,16
connecting	30:13	152:16 153:4	342:20 484:17	53:22 59:25
188:8 307:5	constant 201:7	156:4 157:3	484:19 499:2	60:3,23 73:1,5
connection 67:6	241:17,22	168:9 170:19	508:16 509:10	73:10 99:9
228:8 244:16	242:1	178:19 254:2	consultants	154:3 173:12
connects 427:1	constituent	275:20 276:14	144:20	196:2 199:15
consensus 369:9	298:4	284:4,22 285:7	consulting	201:10,14
consent 237:25	constitute	285:19 286:1	127:17	210:4 217:16
Consequently	341:24	286:16,23	contact 19:1	241:21 264:1
43:5	constitutes	288:20 289:11	28:2 41:14	contents 173:11
conservation	133:25	293:12 296:8	61:12 74:1,2,6	204:21 351:24
48:25	constitutionali	298:17 299:1,3	75:18 81:2	context 23:14
consider 37:1	38:4,5	299:23 302:2	98:12,16	25:20 44:23
44:18 57:12	construction 1:3	313:5,8 317:7	102:15,15	169:11,20
118:8 166:4	2:12 3:4,20 5:4	326:3,19	103:13 104:19	434:2 466:2
197:4 201:24	5:5,7,10,12,15	331:25 334:22	122:22 139:11	473:24
215:15 218:16	5:16,18 6:23	335:1,16,20,23	145:21 175:5	contingencies
234:20 242:21	7:11 8:10,12	336:22,24	178:4 179:3	452:23
249:13 256:1,7	8:14,15 10:25	337:3 339:2	193:19,22	contingent
257:8 264:16	40:10 45:11	340:14 343:9	219:23 280:7	515:23
317:20 368:22	50:24 57:20	343:15 352:12	326:25 327:5	continually
373:4 450:13	75:24 77:10,21	352:16,18,21	373:16 390:15	182:5
451:11 453:2	77:22 78:13	352:24 353:25	416:10 434:16	continuation
480:1,9 493:24	79:24 86:18,19	354:3 355:11	contacted 76:17	77:3 86:13
495:16	88:5 96:1 98:3	356:12 357:5,9	204:10 288:8	87:13 91:10
consideration	98:13,15 99:23	358:4,6,22	339:8 401:5	123:8 148:5,25
121:25 495:12	101:17 105:9	361:2,3 362:15	468:14,15	158:21 170:13
considered 14:5	106:3 110:25	372:2,24 388:6	contacts 474:10	208:11
91:19 150:17	111:22 113:1	391:10 405:18	contain 94:1	continue 147:19
169:9,18	114:8 115:2	416:24 417:11	283:18 412:1,2	160:16 263:12
177:23 244:5,9	117:13,16,18	421:14,20	521:13	301:8 325:15
262:18,22	119:25 120:13	424:5 425:16	contained 31:24	367:16 424:1
267:2 315:24	120:24 125:19	439:13,20,22	32:6,10 136:14	424:10 455:23
318:22 411:8	126:10,17	444:17 454:18	149:12 218:8	continued 3:25
considering	127:4,6,14,24	455:13,16,17	218:15 283:19	4:1,24 5:1,25
36:25 411:8	128:5,12 129:4	456:3 457:3	344:18 382:5	6:1,24 7:1,24
517:25	129:24 130:14	470:6,9 481:10	containers	8:1,24 9:1 72:3
considers 85:2	132:7,15	496:19 497:15	177:22	167:4 476:7
consist 14:9,14	133:22 134:2	500:4	contains 199:9	continues 24:10
consistency	135:18 137:14	Construction's	contaminants	89:6
441:5	138:5 139:3	140:12,23	278:4 279:1	continuous

			1	
262:10 264:2	498:11,12	contractor/co	505:6	100:24 103:17
continuously	510:16	336:23	conversations	107:12 145:23
254:18 267:5	contracted	contractors	194:2 203:3	145:24 153:15
contract 43:19	56:13 57:21	43:17 45:4	206:21 488:25	157:7,11 174:7
125:17 126:16	161:16 162:2,3	232:16 331:20	converted 50:7	190:18,19
127:3 130:16	171:11 214:20	346:1,17	conveyance 55:1	192:1,12,13
133:8 138:10	232:4 281:18	362:24 457:1	conveyed 466:4	194:13 202:15
144:11 148:6	343:18 358:3	459:9,14 468:4	466:5	205:18,21
148:13,22	455:9	468:17 475:22	convinced 38:8	206:19 210:7
149:1,4,11	contracting	498:6	convoluted	210:12 211:10
161:25 163:3	343:20 443:14	contracts 163:3	263:16	217:13 218:20
165:23 170:21	465:1	310:16 360:12	Coolahan 5:20	219:4 220:11
171:4 178:13	contractor 44:9	457:16 459:5	coordinating	220:15 222:15
192:22 193:10	44:25 57:6,15	487:19 489:11	340:19	224:12 225:19
193:18 196:7	58:8,12 86:20	498:9,13,15,16	coordination	233:9,16
197:13 209:15	116:1 125:15	498:19,22	125:20 127:19	237:15 241:7
209:23 211:8,9	125:16 138:4	contractual	coordinations	246:21,25
211:16,18	140:6,17 147:9	210:17	127:19	250:19 252:20
212:6,8,19,19	147:17,20	contradicts	copied 284:6	253:11 254:19
213:11 215:13	148:10,14	44:23	292:22 356:16	255:15 260:5
215:23 216:8	149:6 160:9,15	contributing	copies 218:19	260:16 261:2
218:8,8,15	165:24 170:21	259:20	501:4	261:11 262:11
231:12 232:10	199:25 210:20	control 44:25	copy 45:19	262:15 263:14
232:12 233:15	210:21 211:11	45:5 88:9	155:7 179:23	264:14 265:14
233:21 239:24	211:12,15,18	240:7 252:18	218:10 244:20	310:16 311:6
242:24 243:9	212:5,5 213:11	252:22 253:4,9	250:16 251:5	313:13 315:14
243:12 281:25	215:20 223:20	254:8,9,13,14	298:3 312:11	316:10 319:8,9
282:2 310:19	231:14,16	332:22 337:4,6	348:8,9,21	322:23 327:10
311:4 318:12	232:5,14	358:1 359:8	349:1 501:8	332:1 333:1
327:1 331:17	239:18,24	360:24 416:8,9	corner 245:15	334:16 335:7
338:7,9 344:3	240:1,6,9,13	416:14 420:6	corporation	335:24 336:3
344:9 352:5,11	240:16,23	420:10,12	465:5 481:9	338:16 339:20
352:13,16,23	241:2 252:19	424:7,9 436:25	Corps 248:17,25	342:16,21
353:24,25	253:9 294:12	437:4 438:9	257:10,24	343:14,19
354:2 355:10	294:16 295:3	458:25 466:6	258:3,10,15	350:23 360:10
361:1,3,5	330:21 331:1	468:23 483:4	259:24 260:12	362:10 363:18
364:4,7 365:6	331:21 334:3,6	483:12 485:19	Corps' 249:2	373:24 374:9
372:23 416:23	334:9 343:1	490:18 503:9	correct 12:3	374:21 375:23
431:7,9,11,14	346:14 352:2	controlled	22:9,16,17	376:7 378:4
431:15 438:4,6	358:14 364:15	371:12 439:4	25:23 30:3	379:20 385:2
439:19 443:14	376:6 391:12	controls 274:9	31:17 32:20	385:14 386:21
455:25 463:22	408:6 417:9	convened 10:5	33:14,20 34:12	388:11 390:23
468:2 470:5,9	455:9 470:9	301:18	36:20,21,22	391:1,6,10,13
470:21 483:5,5	472:9 483:5,11	conversation	49:15 63:15	395:13 401:23
484:17 486:4	510:14,17	206:2,4 207:10	66:19 68:13	408:9 410:6
489:20 491:23	contractor's	207:23 423:10	83:2,12 88:1	411:12,13
497:14 498:10	294:18	432:14 440:13	94:3,9 100:23	420:7 431:7,24
				,
	1	1	1	

	1			
440:12 441:14	10:11	18:22 19:3,9	144:6 154:24	250:10,15,23
441:18 442:4,7	council 474:7,9	19:17 20:2,10	157:6,8,14,18	251:1,4,6,8,14
442:23 443:8	487:5 493:2	20:13,24 21:4	161:22 162:5	251:17,21,23
448:16 455:9	counsel 2:5,6	21:9,15,17	162:24 163:6	251:25 252:2
455:10 457:7,8	290:4 292:8	22:11,19 24:2	163:10,14,25	252:10,13
459:11,12,14	counsel's 290:22	24:5,12,19,25	164:17,22	254:23 255:2,8
459:15 461:18	294:5	25:22,24 26:15	166:13,15,18	255:12 256:9
461:19 471:1	counselor's	26:19,23 27:11	166:20 167:2	256:13,16
471:23 472:1	274:7	27:16,19 28:19	168:19 169:3,9	257:10,14
472:10,11	counterparts	29:21,22 30:5	170:3 179:7,14	258:6 259:12
473:14 482:20	11:15	30:9 31:6,21	179:25 180:4	262:1,8,17
485:3,8 492:18	counting 457:15	32:2,4,9,13,15	180:25 181:3,6	267:8,10,13
496:16,20	counts 65:8 70:8	32:23 33:1,8	181:9,11,16	268:6,10,11,14
500:6 501:19	182:22 385:23	33:11,17,23	182:25 183:4,8	268:17,22,25
501:21 502:5	County 521:5,7	34:1,15,18	183:17 184:20	269:5,10,12,16
502:10,13	couple 12:18	35:1,5,11,18	185:16 186:5,8	269:22 270:10
503:2,22 504:4	16:8 30:6	36:12,14,23	186:13,21	270:15,18,21
504:20 505:7,8	50:21 51:16	37:16,18 38:3	187:20,23	271:2,8,11,17
505:12 506:5	52:12 102:17	38:13,22 39:1	188:2,6,9,15	271:22,25
corrections	124:14 234:13	39:6,13,15,19	188:17,19,22	272:4,12,16,20
202:11	267:22 269:1	39:21,23 40:2	189:1 190:2,4	273:4,12,16,22
correctly 12:5	278:5 299:19	42:12,20,20	190:23 191:2,7	273:25 274:5,8
76:3 206:15	354:13 375:15	45:12,17,23,25	191:10 192:7	274:18 290:25
209:22 270:7	385:5 387:23	46:8,14 47:1,8	193:3 194:25	291:6,8,11,14
293:15 315:9	393:11,13,17	47:13 62:11,19	195:10 196:19	292:11,24
501:16	444:7 464:20	63:10,23 64:1	198:13,16	293:6,19,23
correlated 30:20	476:13	64:6,11,14	199:20 200:4	300:2,5,12,20
correlates 31:15	couplers 129:8	65:17 66:1,6	203:25 206:9	301:1,7,13,21
correspondence	129:15	66:12,25 67:23	206:14,24	302:2,17,21,24
4:21,23 5:2,3,5	course 10:15	67:25 68:4,14	207:22 208:13	303:2,16 304:1
5:6,14,16,17	22:23 46:23	69:4,20 70:21	209:12 211:24	304:5,11,16,20
6:17,19,21 7:2	62:4 69:25	71:23 72:18,23	212:9,13,17	305:23 306:8
7:3,14 283:20	101:12 116:12	81:22,25 82:5	213:7,13,25	306:16,19,21
290:15 291:20	178:11 180:18	82:7,10,16,21	214:23 215:9	307:2,8,11,17
293:13 298:4	272:21 345:13	93:16,18,25	215:21 216:10	308:2,4,5,8,16
corresponds	363:23 369:23	94:4,10 107:10	216:12,17	308:24 309:2
33:3,13,19	393:16 399:11	113:13,18,20	224:8 226:24	309:11,15,21
corroborate	399:20 449:9	114:2 115:6,17	227:9 234:3,8	309:23 312:15
481:18	450:15,18	115:20 116:3	234:11,16,18	316:25 317:12
corruption	coursework	116:15 117:24	236:18,20,22	317:17,24
445:2	49:9	118:4,10,25	237:6 238:3,17	318:2,4,15
cost 128:16	court 1:22 4:2	119:2,5,12,18	238:19,24	319:20 320:13
353:11 457:11	10:9 11:22	122:13,23	239:1,4,6,9,13	324:2,5,7,13
costs 314:10	12:1,4,12,14	123:1,4 124:9	245:3 246:6,9	324:17,22,25
Cottontail 2:12	16:2,6,8 17:12	124:12 125:7	246:11,14	325:4,11,13
289:12	17:25 18:8,11	135:4 143:10	248:7 249:9,19	327:9,13 329:3
Coughlin 1:10	18:13,15,18,20	143:16,19	249:23 250:1,7	329:7,11,18
		-		

330:10,13,17	397:7,10,13,16	462:10,19,22	515:3,8,12,15	330:18 331:7		
333:8,12,16,20	397:25 398:2,9	463:1,3 464:7	515:20 516:2	336:13 337:7		
333:23 336:6,9	398:14,19,24	464:11,13,17	516:11,14,20	339:14 340:3		
336:11 337:14	399:8,15 400:1	464:22 465:3,8	516:24 517:7	340:12 341:10		
339:13 340:3,8	400:8 402:1,8	465:11,16	517:13,18,21	342:13 343:25		
340:10 341:7	402:17,21	466:1,9,12,16	518:8,11,16,19	349:10 350:8		
341:10,15,22	402:17,21	466:21 467:5,9	518:22,24	350:15 351:9		
342:5,7 344:13	403.7,10,10	467:13,17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	353:23 354:19		
344:20.23		· · ·	519:9,11,13			
,	406:19,23 407:4,6,9	468:7,9,24 469:2,6 471:4	520:13,15,17 521:6	359:13,14,22		
345:3,5 346:21		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		361:8,18		
347:1,14,22	408:13,16	471:6 473:12	courtesy 415:4	363:13,16		
348:7,11,16,20	409:15 410:4,7	473:15,20	519:22	365:16,24		
348:23,25	412:16,20,24	474:1,15,23	Courthouse	373:14,16		
349:1,6,12,17	413:2,24 414:5	475:12 476:3,8	1:13 10:24	374:19 391:3		
350:1,5,23	414:12,15,23	476:12,16	Courtney 3:10	440:14,15		
351:2 359:12	415:2,12,16	477:4,6,8,11	4:7,8,13,20	445:10 471:3,5		
361:12,16	418:9,17 419:2	477:23 478:5,9	6:17,19,21 7:2	484:19 488:10		
363:5,8,12	419:4,8,15,19	478:17,22,25	7:20,22 8:2,8	489:9		
365:13,15,21	420:2 421:17	479:6,11,15,24	8:18 18:2	Courtney's		
365:24 366:17	422:24 425:5	480:4,13,16,20	86:23 99:24	217:3 456:8		
366:21 367:3	425:11,23,25	481:2,7,13,17	131:1 141:12	courtroom 1:14		
368:9,12,21	426:5,8,10,13	481:23 482:3,7	141:20 142:1	16:9 21:7		
369:4,7,17,22	426:18,23,25	482:10,19,21	146:13 151:22	268:20 305:15		
370:1,4,12,22	427:5,21 428:4	483:25 484:3,7	153:5 154:17	305:21 307:4		
373:22 374:12	428:25 429:3	484:20,24	194:1,14 204:6	310:1		
376:16,19	432:4,7,11,14	485:1,5,9,15	204:10 205:4	cover 15:18 25:8		
377:11,21	432:23 433:1	485:22,25	205:13 206:21	28:11 30:6		
378:2,5,8,17	433:25 434:8	486:6,13,20	207:10 208:24	38:24 116:7		
378:20 379:2,8	434:10,14,18	487:7,10	216:24 217:23	181:16 268:1		
379:11,13	434:22,25	488:16,22	218:3 236:2,12	368:13,14		
380:7,20	435:2,12,15,22	489:5,15 490:4	268:4 272:10	369:18 377:17		
385:24 386:1,8	436:3 438:15	490:6,19,23	273:8 274:2,14	388:1 414:8,11		
386:11,17	438:18,23	491:1,7,14,16	274:23 275:2,5	414:13 458:20		
387:21,25	444:3 446:5,8	491:25 492:7	290:18,21,24	480:5		
388:19 389:2,5	446:12,15,22	492:13 493:21	291:2,21 292:5	covered 55:17		
389:7,9,12,18	447:5,10,13,16	494:21,24	292:12 294:1	113:16 180:17		
389:20 390:1,4	447:19,25	495:7,10,19,22	294:21 299:25	444:4 479:4,10		
390:9 392:19	448:3,8,20,24	495:25 502:20	300:8,12	486:21		
392:25 393:3	449:2,12,16,22	502:23 507:2	302:10 304:22	covering 34:5		
393:10,13,19	450:1,4,12	507:16 508:1,7	305:10 307:9	268:2		
393:24 394:4,6	451:6,11,14,20	509:21,24	307:20 308:2	covers 344:11		
394:9,11,13,16	451:22,25	510:3,13,15,19	308:16,18	cows 283:3		
394:18,22	452:9,12	511:11,13,17	309:21 312:11	crazy 23:11		
395:1,3,6,8,11	453:11,13,16	511:20,24	312:25 317:3	create 40:23		
395:14,17,20	453:19,25	512:3,13,16,21	317:19 318:20	41:4 42:7 62:3		
395:23 396:2	454:7 458:8,12	513:10 514:4	325:4,14	65:10 66:23		
396:10,17,22	461:22 462:1,6	514:10,13	329:19,22	67:11,16 68:20		
	· ·					

	I	I	I	I
178:24 183:20	163:19 164:15	223:8,23	CX23 5:8	286:17,19
184:21 221:11	166:24 184:8	cup 440:22	CX24 5:10	289:24 355:21
231:24 233:23	268:9 300:14	current 146:18	CX25 5:11	CX46 6:19
348:12 349:14	301:11 302:9,9	275:4 277:1	323:11	141:16 155:20
376:17 504:9	325:15 367:11	421:12	CX26 5:12	156:20 157:6
504:24 505:4	369:19 447:8,8	currently 47:23	CX27 5:14	157:19 158:18
created 14:8	447:11,11	125:12,13	CX28 5:16	170:22 469:21
43:19 164:7	448:8 449:18	270:1 289:13	CX29 5:17	CX47 6:21
173:4 320:9	480:17	365:7,9	353:19	CX48 6:23
509:5 510:9	cross-examina	cursor 314:22	CX3 4:7	CX49 7:2
creating 41:17	26:3 189:2	314:23	CX30 5:19	141:23 297:9
384:9,12,16	241:5 307:20	custody 98:9,12	108:21	CX5 4:9
473:7 504:13	307:25 310:4	180:16 227:12	CX31 5:20	CX50 7:3
credibility 43:8	368:20 372:13	customers'	CX32 5:21	CX51 7:5
credited 156:11	420:3 449:21	447:9	CX33 5:22	CX52 7:6
creek 36:7 89:8	469:7 496:1	cut 279:1 280:12	CX34 5:24	CX53 7:8
225:24,25	cross-examine	311:23 327:21	CX35 6:2	CX54 7:9
226:4,8,11,14	13:15 26:8,13	328:25 422:22	CX36 6:3 35:3	CX55 7:11
226:20 243:24	43:10 116:8	cutting 130:10	191:3,7	CX56 7:12
244:8,11,12,17	369:14	327:19	CX37 6:5	472:12
245:20,21,23	crossed 270:25	CWA-07-2019	CX38 6:6	CX57 7:14
246:3,19	310:2	1:5 11:3 302:5	CX39 6:8	CX58 7:16 22:8
248:15,17,18	crow 446:19	CX 134:4	CX4 4:8 285:21	29:16,18
248:20 255:14	crucial 42:23	138:13 142:8	CX40 6:10	514:23
255:15,20,23	163:23	161:2 250:18	CX41 6:12	CX59 250:19,21
255:25 259:4	CSR 1:21 521:7	378:14 514:21	135:22 377:23	CX6 4:10
259:22 260:8	culpability 85:6	CX1 4:5 22:8	378:2,16,20	CX7 4:11 355:7
262:22 264:13	Cummins	29:15,18	381:9	CX8 4:12
266:3 278:23	340:13 341:1	514:23	CX42 6:13	CX9 4:14
279:20,21,22	358:22 379:23	CX10 4:15	139:22 405:4	287:17 289:5
409:25,25	394:16,18	247:18 248:10	407:15 416:15	
445:18,19,21	405:21 407:7	CX11 4:16	CX43 6:14	D
446:10,11,12	407:24,24	CX12 4:17	114:1,5 117:4	d 3:1 4:1 5:1 6:1
446:15,18,24	408:24 409:3	81:25	121:12 122:12	7:1 8:1 9:1
447:1,1,3,4	411:12,19	CX13 4:18	123:6,16 125:9	61:8 81:11
crew 168:13	412:15 416:12	CX14 4:19	126:12,24	106:12
171:4 466:22	420:8 425:3	452:8	127:8 128:1,7	D&R 9:4 32:5
470:10,21	438:21 439:9	CX15 4:20	129:21 130:23	140:4,10 391:5
crews 461:8	458:15 475:15	CX164:21	131:19	400:20 417:13
cropland 40:7	486:8 489:10	CX17 4:23	CX44 6:15	daily 340:17
crops 189:19	502:2	CX18 5:2	CX45 6:17	375:22 409:9
217:18 430:4	Cummins'	CX19 5:3	144:23 146:4	423:8 454:23
cross 3:8,11,14	358:21	CX2 4:6	148:2,23 149:8	455:5 465:9,15
3:18,24 4:3	cumulative	CX20 5:5	150:25 152:24	466:11,17
13:25 116:6	182:2,9,12,16	CX21 5:6	156:15 159:5	494:19 500:24
119:14 124:16	222:8,10,12,16	107:11	160:2 283:5	Daines 8:20 9:9
125:2 162:15	222:24 223:1,3	CX22 5:7	284:1,18	33:18 297:24

[Fage JJJ
337:10,25	398:12 399:17	450:16	260:10 262:22	342:3
damage 266:5	418:24 420:22	decided 181:23	263:1 264:13	delay 184:9
Dan 2:18 4:18	421:1 425:1,1	181:23 477:1	264:23 265:20	360:4
21:12 83:19	428:11 429:4	493:3	266:2,12,23	delivered
Dana 20:3	433:22,24	decision 15:6,16	278:15 279:8	153:19 286:9
Dance 461:13	437:11 445:13	15:23,25 25:18	279:18,19,23	delivery 468:21
dash 246:2	454:25 455:6,6	37:16,19,19	280:2 282:22	delve 124:16
data 346:7	456:6 465:19	164:3,6 205:18	288:7,11	125:1 163:18
date 11:4 22:14	466:15,23	262:7,17 267:4	296:19 297:1	166:1
41:2 83:8	477:19 509:17	374:6 432:8	298:19 313:9	delving 119:14
85:25 90:24	521:17	452:20 467:22	328:7,7 375:8	213:16
94:20 96:2	days 14:22	477:12 520:7,7	385:4,7 401:10	demand 7:11
97:8 99:25	83:21 95:11	decision-maker	401:11 409:25	150:24
105:10 113:1	398:12 418:2,6	45:2	417:6,6 421:6	demanded
114:9 115:7	424:17,21,22	decisions 450:13	445:18,19,21	41:22
116:8 126:11	424:25 425:2,4	453:22 456:4	445:22,23	demonstrate
126:17 127:4	459:1,2,3	declaration	446:11,12	40:23 41:18
130:5 131:1	460:7,11,18	327:8 331:16	454:19 460:9	44:14 146:24
132:8 203:18	461:8 462:14	375:22 387:1	460:10 475:7	332:17
203:20 286:24	466:24,25	decreased	defending	demonstrative
295:20 318:1,2	467:1,2 476:21	441:13	457:14	94:5
318:4 331:20	482:5 508:3	dedicated	defense 457:18	denied 45:3
332:7 335:3	dead 423:15	154:23 155:16	defensive	deny 501:19
336:17 338:6	deadlines 15:12	deduce 227:19	475:19	department
350:17 351:11	520:3	Deer 7:19 40:7	defer 16:23	320:8 321:6
351:13,14	deal 18:15 27:5	42:4 76:15,19	20:18 251:10	332:15
355:16,18	228:20 240:10	87:2,5 88:18	380:21	dependent
364:3 505:9,16	437:13 470:4	89:1,8 92:14	deficiencies	263:18 265:2
505:24 506:3	477:2	97:6 108:19	240:24,25	depending
dated 4:6,7 7:21	dealing 195:8	110:24 115:11	276:5,10	58:21 60:3
85:22 98:2	205:11 361:14	130:8,10,15	deficiency	73:5 101:12
111:18 115:4	461:16,16	132:18,21,25	278:10,25	201:14 222:2
120:1 121:20	487:5,20	133:4,6 136:9	279:4	252:25 399:3
133:20 134:9	dealings 10:14	137:19,20	define 114:15	455:6 493:12
145:8 147:10	197:9 408:21	140:20 147:24	defined 90:4	depends 240:5
151:20 283:12	437:5	147:25 172:18	defines 43:13	442:14
283:13 284:24	dealt 512:11	195:15,16	definitely	depicted 261:21
286:2 356:13	death 52:21	225:22,23,24	430:13 466:14	depth 217:7
357:5 382:19	170:1	226:4,8,11,20	definition 65:5	DEQ 123:13
dates 106:8	decades 182:7	227:17 241:25	150:16 161:10	125:18,24
258:18 383:15	223:2,2,7	243:24 244:8	220:13 262:18	332:15,16
day 16:14 92:12	December 4:6	244:11,11,17	316:4	describe 40:20
147:14 164:6	109:19 113:2	245:14,19,21	degree 48:24	48:2,22 58:18
301:16,24	121:20 275:14	246:3,19 248:1	49:2,7,7,11	73:4 77:20
302:7 307:18	decide 78:11	248:14,17,20	50:5,6,9,10	80:9 83:5,18
331:22,25	262:2 414:25	255:14,20,23	85:6	84:8,11 95:24
366:2 376:6	415:3 435:8	259:3,19,22	dehydrated	97:5 98:8
		, - ,	J	
	1	1	I	1

	_		_	rage Jiu
99:20 105:5	designated	406:8 470:5	197:16,18	305:2 443:15
109:5,11,17	10:11	determines	198:25 205:17	443:17 516:8
111:16 112:24	designation	173:14	205:20 210:3	difficulties
114:6,25	31:13	determining	242:16 286:6	82:13
117:10 119:23	designed 104:22	222:7 256:18	dewatering	dig 461:4 508:19
121:17 126:8	desire 29:5	261:11	59:16,23	digging 458:20
126:15 127:2	296:17 347:4	detrimental	100:11 150:6	DigitalGlobe
127:11 128:3	desist 8:21 9:8	52:18	150:14,16	258:20 261:7
128:10 129:2	33:12 459:3	develop 65:21	153:14,16	diligently 43:10
130:25 132:1	desludging	81:4 123:22	197:9 206:12	dilute 441:6
133:19 134:7	76:20 288:11	214:5 231:4,8	206:16 207:23	dilution 441:10
137:11 140:2	despite 339:3	232:11 233:16	341:19,22	Dion 9:7 33:2
141:10 142:11	507:9	257:11 316:10	342:5	119:24 120:6
145:5 146:10	detail 13:1 240:3	346:15 441:17	dictated 183:22	156:4,5 157:4
147:8 151:18	401:15	441:23 442:6	differ 73:5	334:13 490:12
152:13 153:2	detailed 15:20	488:24	difference 35:14	491:20
154:15 156:1	details 6:23	developed 66:14	37:13 183:24	direct 3:8,11,14
157:1 159:11	113:5 208:23	183:23 232:18	185:4 187:3,11	3:18,22,23 4:2
175:12 181:22	216:23 341:18	232:21 383:10	218:4,6	13:13,17,24
245:11 247:9	detention 89:5	441:21,24	differences	17:19 43:4,8
248:24 277:10	determination	488:24 492:21	185:7	47:17 72:3
279:7,21	120:16 196:12	developing	different 48:16	135:8 139:11
280:22 282:11	215:19 219:9	185:3 489:17	51:25 52:12	164:9 166:19
290:14 358:20	221:22 222:15	489:21 492:2	58:21 73:7	166:22 167:4
371:15 400:16	243:24 244:22	development	102:17 176:9	187:24 188:4
401:7,14	245:8,13 249:1	1:3 5:10,12	184:15 201:17	214:25 244:16
469:12	257:20 258:4	10:25 36:14,24	209:10 215:22	268:8 274:21
described 30:17	346:3 493:15	40:10 75:24	215:22,24	304:8 317:2
54:18 130:18	determinations	86:19 96:1	216:7,7 224:25	367:10 371:3
147:22 191:17	167:8,10	106:3 114:8	241:19 243:1	373:21 400:10
295:25 330:20	214:12 244:25	119:25 128:12	243:10,13	435:18 436:1,5
330:25 345:15	determine 25:16	129:4 137:14	269:1 276:10	454:8
410:10 484:19	41:10 48:7	140:8 144:12	277:14 279:11	directed 44:1
describing	49:23 54:11,14	147:16 149:7	280:5,18	112:19 121:9
92:23 123:9	85:1 104:10,17	152:16 157:3	282:15,17	139:20 140:25
145:13 356:20	104:21 165:18	168:9 169:6	300:22 310:14	152:9 162:4
description	204:20,22	214:16 230:11	311:12 317:11	179:1 220:12
81:13,17 106:7	214:10 249:3,5	302:3 453:3	330:5,7,20,23	233:4 242:20
106:13 190:14	252:19 259:25	481:10 497:15	352:15 419:7	295:6 388:9
197:3 220:19	260:11 261:1,8	Development's	427:25 461:17	416:12 504:8
264:9 383:15	263:19 319:15	146:21 285:8	487:4,15,19	504:23
383:20 430:6,9	489:16	357:10	493:14 517:4	directing 144:21
430:16,18	determined 36:6	devil 458:3	differently	230:8 243:16
473:7	85:7 170:18	dewater 59:21	214:2 241:19	direction 281:20
design 92:10	173:13 177:7	208:7	difficult 60:11	388:15 521:12
125:21 260:18	230:18 248:15	dewatered	178:23 219:22	directions
275:19	257:23 258:11	100:3 153:11	231:21 288:14	407:21,23
	1		1	

411:11 431:19	264:12,18	disked 421:3	document 6:8	249:12 283:9
directive 96:5	265:9	dismiss 36:10	6:10 25:8	284:2,20
directly 24:16	dischargers	dispenses 22:22	30:13 32:17,22	285:24 286:21
24:17 142:6	265:4	dispersal 411:4	33:1,4,11 35:7	287:20 290:2
154:10 163:22	discharges 55:2	displace 53:5	35:16,21 39:2	291:22,23
193:9 202:23	89:13 225:22	display 323:12	63:12 64:25	292:1 297:12
206:22 230:22	225:24 244:8	324:10	76:11 78:20	297:22 313:2,3
255:19 266:1	246:24 248:14	disposal 6:5 9:4	83:18 84:6	314:2 315:4,10
303:15 317:1,5	260:10 263:9	32:6 43:14	85:18 90:18,25	320:6,8 325:23
318:13 332:24	265:11 278:22	51:21 91:18	94:16 95:22	325:24 329:8
337:24 343:9	279:18,19	114:19 140:4	96:25 97:3,9	331:23 333:13
347:16 352:18	discharging	140:10 332:20	97:18,21,25	341:13 344:16
362:9 374:23	89:7 225:18	391:5 400:20	98:8 99:20	344:23 345:13
433:24 434:17	260:7,24	417:13	105:3 106:23	345:23 346:11
435:17 442:22	264:24 265:7	disposing	107:15 108:22	346:25 347:21
445:3 461:1	277:13	132:19	109:5,11,17	350:17 351:7
469:15 482:1	discrete 55:1	dispute 205:19	110:12 111:17	354:6,18 355:5
501:10	discretion 26:17	210:2 322:16	111:25 112:8	355:9 356:7,10
director 140:14	148:10	322:19,19,22	112:24 114:6	357:3 360:7,20
337:18	discuss 38:15	338:11,13,20	114:25 115:4	361:7,18,25
directors 492:24	84:16 85:9	338:22,23	115:10,14	362:3,9 363:1
dirt 385:9	368:8 411:14	364:12 471:25	116:11,20	363:9,17
458:21	412:8,11 413:6	472:3 503:18	117:10 119:23	364:14,25
dirty 447:1	discussed 41:24	508:9,11,13,14	121:7,17 122:8	372:20 375:21
disagree 15:25	84:16 184:14	509:24	122:24 124:3,8	378:23 380:11
29:9 322:6	202:19 205:13	disruption	126:8,15 127:2	380:14 381:5
501:22 520:9	218:24 323:6	305:17	127:12 128:3	381:21 382:14
disagreement	410:19 411:16	dissatisfaction	128:10 130:25	405:6,8 416:19
322:24 364:19	412:13 413:11	76:18 288:10	132:2 133:5,9	416:22 469:10
disappeared	431:6 491:13	dissolution	133:19 134:7	471:18 472:15
308:17	491:23 504:13	481:24	135:6,13 136:1	472:18 473:8
disappearing	discussing 204:9	dissolved 53:21	136:7,25	498:24 502:16
468:14 475:21	303:14 323:3	263:21,22	137:12 138:16	515:11,14,24
disaster 330:14	412:23	264:1,4 462:2	140:7,16	517:3,4,5,11
disburse 422:20	discussion 87:4	481:20,22	141:11 142:4	517:14,19
discern 75:19	197:8 205:3	distance 104:1	142:11 145:5	519:8
103:16	208:22 433:21	186:1 244:14	146:10 147:8	documentary
discharge 55:21	488:22 509:11	distinct 23:2,16	149:13 151:18	14:11 169:19
55:22 90:1,7	discussions	distinction 23:5	152:14 153:2	documentation
226:5 227:5	179:21,22	160:19 294:13	154:15 156:2	44:4 95:3
245:19 259:19	206:17 491:8	distinctions 71:2	157:1 159:11	106:22 121:5
259:23 260:2,4	491:11 519:20	71:13	167:25 171:7	209:21 321:2
263:3 265:2	disease-carryi	district 38:13	171:24 172:10	454:25 455:11
266:3,11 267:2	103:7	ditch 55:2	172:13 184:24	documented
281:7	disingenuous	doable 324:18	185:19 190:8	477:21,24
discharged	483:23	docket 1:5 11:2	190:12 195:1	documents
150:11 263:5				
130:11 203:3	disk 406:5,10	302:4	245:1 247:7	14:11 30:21

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			I		1
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	33:25 70:14	dot 246:2		158:15	357:4 358:10
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	94:24 95:14	dots 135:8	driving 238:15		358:12,16
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	106:17,19	190:25	447:6		456:3 458:4
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	124:25 135:18	double 23:10	drought 258:17		478:2 482:19
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	141:5,8 162:8	185:5	drove 406:3		496:9 499:8,15
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	162:18 166:11	double-check	432:13,15,20		500:3 515:19
215:25 221:2,4 downhill 482:6 176:12 445:25 downhill 482:6 83:19 88:12 go:12 92:24 go:12 92:22 go:12 12 413:14 go:12 402:14 go:12 402:14 go:12 403:19 go:12 413:14 go:12 403:12 go:12 413:14 go:12 10 22 go:14 42:24 go:12 10 22 go:14 42:14 go:15 10 42:15 go:14 22 go:14 22 go:12 12 22	169:18 200:9	185:13 204:15	433:24		518:18
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	200:22 214:25	doubled 456:23	dry 61:16		e-mailed 88:13
223:24 231:22 231:22 232:4,6 314:13 340:7 463:9482:842:161000000000000000000000000000000000000	215:25 221:2,4	downhill 482:6	176:12 445:25		e-mails 198:2,3
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	221:10,11	downtown 186:6	due 43:9 241:20		198:6 323:1,3
233:16 285:2 drafted 431:15 dug 459:22 106:24 109:18 E-R-N-L-E 314:13 340:7 drafing 71:17 duly 46:6 274:16 114:7 119:24 400:15 365:4 443:7 drainag 259:8 370:9 400:5 141:12,19,25 EAB 520:9 365:4 443:7 drainag 478:7 dum 326:15 151:19 152:14 20:7 483:13,14,16 draw 43:12 407:1 409:12 154:7,16 156:3 20:4 483:18 490:17 164:14 169:2 411:23,25 159:12,22 50:14 82:24 501:9 229:7 414:16 412:2 416:8,9 161:25 180:2 89:9 100:20 64:14 169:2 411:23,25 159:12,22 50:14 82:24 149:11 153:13 75:15 104:21 119:9 122:15 437:7,12 206:11 216:22 174:5 176:8 135:14 171:4 122:23 144:1 dumped 404:8 216:23 217:3 180:17 192:10 129:29 212:15 21:1 22:22 485:19 270:2 283:10 21:15 227:11 147:22 485:19 20:2 283:10 21:3 15 227:11 194:10 210:16 217:23 20:24 drawings 441	223:24 231:22	dozen 443:9	266:2 321:9		482:14
13:113 340:7 344:17 345:20drafting 71:17 drainage 259:8duy 46:6 274:16 370:9 400:5114:7 119:24 141:12,19,25400:15 EAB 520:9365:4 443:7 45:9 482:8,10drainage 259:8 drama 478:7370:9 400:5 drama 28:17141:12,19,25 151:19 152:14EAB 520:9 Eaglefeather483:13,14,16 483:12 483:18 490:17draw 43:12 124:11 143:20409:18 410:1,4 412:2 416:8,9151:19 152:14 152:15 153:3 159:12,22Eaglefeather 20:7490:20,22 50:19 490:20,22164:14 169:2 164:14 169:2411:23,25 419:16 427:24159:12,20 20:7,1289:9 100:20 89:9 100:20doing 30:20 51:1251:12 437:7,12406:11 216:22 20:4:3,5,9,24174:5 176:8 190:2035:14 171:4 122:23 144:1 192:9 212:15433:12,12,14 236:6,11 270:1206:11 216:22 194:10 210:16192:9 212:15 21:1 229:22147:22 433:16 441:20236:6,11 270:1 284:22,44192:9 212:15 229:5 304:23 47:15 375:14152:20 282:16 47:10dumped 404:8 236:6,11 270:1 284:22,44116:23 217:3 194:10 210:1621:1 229:22 22:25 238:7 4redge 150:7 437:10 245:18 427:17 436:8 442:15drawn 255:19 433:7,17,19 285:12,12,25 287:11 290:3,7 355:24 358:9 30:25 375:17 409:9drager 425:17 431:6,124:24445:13 455:7 457:19 458:16 451:17 470:21 480:17 470:21 480:	231:22 232:4,6	draft 431:14	340:20		E-R-I-N 47:22
344:17 345:20 drainage 259:8 370:9 400:5 141:12,19,25 EAB 520:9 365:4 443:7 drainage 259:8 370:9 400:5 145:7 146:11 EAB 520:9 465:9 482:8,10 drama 478:7 dump 326:15 151:19 152:14 20:7 483:13,14,16 draw 43:12 407:1 409:12 154:7,16 156:3 20:7 483:18,490:17 124:11 143:20 409:18 410:1,4 157:2 158:22 earlier 16:9 490:20,22 164:14 169:2 411:23,25 159:12,22 50:14 82:24 501:9 229:7 414:16 412:2 416:8,9 161:25 180:2 89:9 100:20 513:12 drawing 118:12 433:12,12,14 206:8,10 149:11 153:13 75:15 104:21 119:9 122:15 437:7,12 206:11 216:22 174:5 176:8 135:14 171:4 122:23 144:1 dumped 404:8 216:23 217:3 180:17 192:10 192:9 212:15 214:9 257:16 433:16 441:20 236:6,11 270:1 194:10 210:16 229:22 2 147:22 485:19 285:1,12,25 252:17 293:7 229:25 238:7 drawn 255:19 dumping 416:7 284:22,24 233:8 241:4 238:12	233:16 285:2	drafted 431:15	dug 459:22		E-R-N-I-E
365:4 443:7 465:9 482:8,10 483:13,14,16 483:13,14,16 483:13,14,16 483:14 490:17draining 404:7 454:4 480:24457:146:11 151:19 152:14 151:19 152:14 152:15 1513:37 151:19 152:15 1513:37 152:15 1513:37 164:14 169:2 490:20,22 501:9Eaglefeather 20:7Eaglefeathers 20:4409:10,20,22 400:18 410:1,4 490:20,22164:14 169:2 164:14 169:2 411:23,25400:18 410:1,4 412:2 416:8,9 411:23,25157:12 158:22 159:12,22earlier 16:9 50:14 82:24501:9 513:12 513:12 151:14 119:9 122:15419:16 427:24 433:12,12,14204:3,5,9,24 206:11 216:22 206:11 216:22 174:5 176:8129:174:57:68 192:10 192:9 212:15119:9 122:15 214:9 257:16433:16 441:20 433:16 441:20 236:6,11 270:1 236:6,11 270:1 283:14,18 228:3 229:11 228:14:12222147:22 445:19 441:24 485:19 270:2 283:10 283:14,18 228:3 229:11 228:15 238:7 235:5 304:23 375:17 409:9 4redge 150:7 437:10 425:18 427:17 425:18 427:17 435:1,8 410:11,14 425:18 427:17 425:18 427:17 425:18 427:17 425:18 427:17 435:3,8 400 401:24 423:56:1 296:1,714.21 425:18 427:17 435:3,8 410:11,14 425:18 427:17 425:18 427:17 425:18 427:17 435:3,8 410:11,14 425:18 427:17 425:18 427:17 425:18 427:17 435:3,8 410:11,14 425:18 427:17 425:18 427:17 425:18 427:17 435:3,8 410:11,14 425:18 427:17 425:18 427:17 435:3,8 410:11,14 425:18 427:17 425:18 427:17 425:18 427:17 435:3,8 410:11,14 425:18 427:17 425:18 427:17 425:18 427:17 435:12 427:22 436:19 437:9 436:12 477:22 436:19 437:9 436:12 477:22 436:19 437:9 436:12 477:24 436:12 477:24 436:12 477:24 436:12 477:24 436:12 4	314:13 340:7	drafting 71:17	duly 46:6 274:16		400:15
465:9482:8,10drama 478:7dump 32615151:19152:1420:7483:13,14,16draw 43:12394:3409:12154:7,16156:320:4483:18490:17124:11143:20409:18410:1,4157:2158:22earlier490:20,22164:14169:2411:23,25159:12,2289:990:20501:9229:714:16412:2416:8,9161:25180:289:958:1267:12drawing 118:12433:12,12,14206:8,10149:11153:1375:15104:21119:9122:15437:7,12206:11216:23174:5176:8192:9212:15214:9257:16433:16441:20236:6,11217:1919:10121:15211:1229:2147:22485:19270:2<283:10	344:17 345:20	drainage 259:8	370:9 400:5		EAB 520:9
482:12 483:7,9 drastically 29:3 394:3 404:8,11 152:15 153:3 Eaglefeathers 483:13,14,16 draw 43:12 407:1 409:12 154:7,16 156:3 20:4 483:18 490:17 124:11 143:20 409:18 410:1,4 157:2 158:22 60:14 82:24 490:20,22 164:14 169:2 411:23,25 159:12,22 50:14 82:24 60ing 30:20 513:12 419:16 427:24 204:3,5,9,24 124:17 145:20 58:12 67:12 drawing 118:12 433:12,12,14 205:8,10 149:11 153:13 75:15 104:21 119:9 122:15 437:7,12 206:11 216:22 174:5 176:8 135:14 171:4 122:23 144:1 dumped 404:8 216:23 217:3 180:17 192:10 19:9 9 212:15 214:9 257:16 433:16 441:20 236:6,11 270:1 194:10 210:16 217:23 220:24 drawings 441:24 485:19 270:2 283:10 213:15 227:11 229:25 238:7 drawn 255:19 dumping 416:7 284:22,24 233:8 241:4 238:11 240:20 415:9 433:7,17,19 285:1,12,25 252:17 293:7 375:17 409:9 dredge 150:7 437:10 286:5,14 290:14,22 <td>365:4 443:7</td> <td>draining 404:7</td> <td>454:4 480:24</td> <td></td> <td>Eaglefeather</td>	365:4 443:7	draining 404:7	454:4 480:24		Eaglefeather
483:13,14,16draw 43:12407:1 409:12154:7,16 156:320:4483:18 490:17124:11 143:20409:18 410:1,4157:2 158:22earlier 16:9490:20,22164:14 169:2411:23,25159:12,2250:14 82:24501:9229:7 414:16412:2 416:8,9161:25 180:289:9 100:20513:12419:16 427:24204:3,5,9,24124:17 145:2058:12 67:12drawing 118:12433:12,12,14205:8,10149:11 153:1375:15 104:21119:9 122:15437:7,12206:11 216:22174:5 176:8135:14 171:4122:23 144:1dumped 404:8216:23 217:3180:17 192:10192:9 212:15214:9 257:16433:16 441:20236:6,11 270:1194:10 210:16217:23 220:24drawings441:24 485:19270:2 283:10213:15 227:11221:1 229:22147:22485:19283:14,18228:3 229:11229:25 238:7dredge 150:7437:10286:5,14341:12 344:25347:15 375:14152:20 282:16dumps 409:25287:11 290:3,7355:24 358:9375:17 409:9dredger 425:17duplicate 34:10290:14,22358:12 373:23410:11,14425:18 427:1735:3,8292:5,7,21,23374:3 388:21428:8423:25dredging 150:8duplicate 34:10296:1,7,16,20431:6 433:5457:19 458:16513:17duplication 34:5296:1,7,16,20431:6 433:5457:19 458:16513:17duplication 34:5296:1,7,16,20431:6 433:5457:19 458:16513:17duplication	465:9 482:8,10	drama 478:7	dump 326:15		20:7
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	482:12 483:7,9	drastically 29:3	394:3 404:8,11		Eaglefeathers
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	483:13,14,16	draw 43:12	407:1 409:12		20:4
501:9229:7 414:16412:2 416:8,9161:25 180:289:9 100:20doing 30:20513:12412:2 416:8,9161:25 180:289:9 100:2058:12 67:12drawing 118:12413:12,12,14205:8,10149:11 153:1375:15 104:2119:9 122:15437:7,12206:11 216:22174:5 176:8135:14 171:4122:23 144:1dumped 404:8216:23 217:3180:17 192:10192:9 212:15214:9 257:16433:16 441:20236:6,11 270:1194:10 210:16217:23 220:24drawings441:24 485:19270:2 283:10213:15 227:11229:25 238:7drawn 255:19dumping 416:7284:22,24233:8 241:4238:11 240:20415:9433:7,17,19285:1,12,25252:17 293:7255:5 304:23dredge 150:7437:10286:5,14341:12 344:25375:17 409:9dredger 425:17dumpicate 34:10290:14,22358:12 373:23410:11,14425:18 427:1735:3,8292:5,7,21,23374:3 388:21422:8 423:25dredging 150:8duplicated30:25295:12,14,21420:25 429:14445:13 455:7drew 169:18duplication 34:5296:1,7,16,20431:6 433:5470:21 480:17drier 259:558:9,13 69:2297:13,17442:9 470:24489:21drier than-no88:19,21,22321:23 322:2489:3 500:21400:9,13drier than-no88:19,21,22321:12 332:2489:3 50:21412:24drier than-no88:19,21,22333:10 334:1142:9 470:24489:21<	483:18 490:17	124:11 143:20	409:18 410:1,4		earlier 16:9
doing 30:20513:12d19:16 (427:24)204:3,5,9,24124:17 145:2058:12 67:12drawing 118:12433:12,12,14205:8,10149:11 153:1375:15 104:21119:9 122:15437:7,12206:11 216:22174:5 176:8135:14 171:4122:23 144:1dumped 404:8216:23 217:3180:17 192:10192:9 212:15214:9 257:16433:16 441:20236:6,11 270:1194:10 210:16217:23 220:24drawings441:24 485:19270:2 283:10213:15 227:11221:1 229:22147:22485:19283:14,18228:3 229:11229:25 238:7drawn 255:19dumping 416:7284:22,24233:8 241:4238:11 240:20415:9433:7,17,19285:1,12,25252:17 293:7255:5 304:23dredge 150:7437:10286:5,14341:12 344:25347:15 375:14152:20 282:16dumps 409:25287:11 290:3,7355:24 358:9375:17 409:9dredger 425:17duplicate 34:10290:14,22358:12 373:23410:11,14425:18 427:1735:3,8292:5,7,21,23374:3 388:21422:8 423:25dredging 150:8duplication 34:5296:1,7,16,20431:6 433:5457:19 458:16513:17duties 42:3 56:1296:24 297:2436:19 437:9470:21 480:17drier 259:558:9,13 69:2297:13,17442:9 470:24489:21drier 259:558:9,13 69:2297:13,17442:9 470:24489:21drier 259:558:9,13 69:2332:4,7,23506:12 512:4411:24259:6117:19	490:20,22	164:14 169:2	411:23,25	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	50:14 82:24
S8:12 67:12drawing 118:12433:12,12,12205:8,10149:11 153:1375:15 104:21119:9 122:15437:7,12206:11 216:22174:5 176:8135:14 171:4122:23 144:1dumped 404:8216:23 217:3180:17 192:10192:9 212:15214:9 257:16433:16 441:20236:6,11 270:1194:10 210:16217:23 220:24drawings441:24 485:19270:2 283:10213:15 227:11221:1 229:22147:22485:19283:14,18228:3 229:11229:25 238:7drawn 255:19dumping 416:7284:22,24233:8 241:4238:11 240:20415:9433:7,17,19286:5,14341:12 344:25347:15 375:14152:20 282:16dumps 409:25287:11 290:3,7355:24 358:9375:17 409:9dredge 150:7437:10290:14,22358:12 373:23410:11,14425:18 427:1735:3,8292:5,7,21,23374:3 388:21422:8 423:25dredging 150:8duplicated295:12,14,21420:25 429:14445:13 455:7drew 169:18duplication 34:5296:1,7,16,20431:6 433:5457:19 458:16513:1758:9,13 69:2297:13,17442:9 470:24489:21drier 259:5divies 42:3 56:1296:24 297:2436:19 437:9470:21 480:17drier 445:24118:6,8,20332:4,7,23506:12 51:24201:9,13drink 445:24118:6,8,20332:4,7,23506:12 51:24201:9,13drink 445:24118:6,8,20332:4,7,23506:12 51:24201:9,13drink 445:24118:6,8	501:9	229:7 414:16	412:2 416:8,9		89:9 100:20
75:15104:21119:12:15437:7,12206:11216:22174:5176:8135:14171:4122:23144:1dumped 404:8216:23217:3180:17192:10192:9212:15214:9257:16433:16441:20236:6,11270:1194:10210:16217:23220:24drawings441:24485:19270:2283:10213:15227:11221:1229:22147:22485:19283:14,18228:3229:11229:25238:7drawn 255:19dumping 416:7284:22,24233:8241:4238:11240:20415:9433:7,17,19285:1,12,25252:17293:7255:5304:23dredge 150:7437:10286:5,14341:12344:25347:15375:14152:20282:16dumps 409:25287:11290:3,7355:24358:9375:17409:9dredger 425:17duplicate 34:10290:14,22358:12373:23410:11,14425:18427:1735:3,8292:5,7,21,23374:3388:21422:8423:25dredging 150:8duplicated295:12,14,21420:25429:14445:13455:7drew 169:18duplication 34:5296:1,7,16,20431:6433:5457:19458:16513:17dries 42:356:1296:1,7,16,20431:6437:9470:21480:17drier than-no88:19,21,22321:23322:2489:3500:21489:21d	doing 30:20	513:12	419:16 427:24		124:17 145:20
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	58:12 67:12	drawing 118:12	433:12,12,14		
192:9 212:15 192:9 212:15214:9 257:16 214:9 257:16433:16 431:20 441:24 485:19236:6,11 270:1 270:2 283:10 283:14,18194:10 210:16 213:15 227:11 213:15 227:11 283:14,18229:25 238:7 229:25 238:7drawn 255:19 415:9dumping 416:7 433:7,17,19284:22,24 285:1,12,25233:8 241:4 285:1,12,25255:5 304:23 347:15 375:14dredge 150:7 152:20 282:16dumps 409:25 duplicate 34:10286:5,14 290:14,22355:24 358:9 375:17 409:9410:11,14 425:18 427:17 425:18 427:17 445:13 455:7dredging 150:8 duplicate 34:10 30:25duplicated 290:14,22290:14,22 358:12 373:23410:11,14 425:18 427:17 445:13 455:7dreding 150:8 duplicated 30:25duplicated 294:2,5 295:4391:15,25 391:15,25436:8 442:15 468:19 470:21 480:17 470:21 480:17 489:21drei 259:5 dire than-no 259:6duplication 34:5 38:19,21,22296:1,7,16,20 321:23 322:2436:19 437:9 433:10 443:540mestic 51:12 201:9,13 241:24259:6 drim 445:24 drime 445:24118:6,8,20 277:7,11 333:10 334:11 333:10 334:11 333:10 334:11 336:14 355:25306:12 512:4 earlies 267:23 early 16:9,11,15 164:13 300:15	75:15 104:21	119:9 122:15	437:7,12		174:5 176:8
217:23 220:24 217:23 220:24 221:1 229:22 229:25 238:7 238:11 240:20 255:5 304:23 347:15 375:14drawings 147:22 485:19 415:9441:24 485:19 485:19 485:19 433:7,17,19 433:7,17,19 286:5,14270:2 283:10 284:22,24 233:8 241:4 238:1,12,25 286:5,14213:15 227:11 288:21,12,25 252:17 293:7 355:24 358:9 375:17 409:9 410:11,14 425:18 427:17 425:18 427:17 445:13 455:7 468:19 470:21 480:17 470:21 480:17 470:21 480:17 489:21 470:21 480:17 489:21 470:21 480:17 470:21 480:17 470:21 480:17 470:21 480:17 489:21 470:21 480:17 470:21 480:17 470:21 480:17 470:21 480:17 470:21 480:17 470:21 480:17 470:21 480:17 470:21 480:17 489:21 470:21 480:17 470:21 480:17 489:21 46:10 46:113 300:15270:2 283:10 331:10 334:11 331:10 334:11 331:10 334:11 331:10 334:11 331:10 334:11	135:14 171:4	122:23 144:1	dumped 404:8		180:17 192:10
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	192:9 212:15	214:9 257:16	433:16 441:20		194:10 210:16
229:25 238:7 238:11 240:20drawn 255:19 415:9dumping 416:7 433:7,17,19284:22,24 285:1,12,25233:8 241:4 252:17 293:7255:5 304:23 347:15 375:14dredge 150:7 152:20 282:16437:10 dumps 409:25 duplicate 34:10286:5,14 287:11 290:3,7255:24 358:9 355:24 358:9375:17 409:9 410:11,14dredger 425:17 422:8 423:25dredging 150:8 dredging 150:8duplicate 34:10 35:3,8290:14,22 290:14,22358:12 373:23 374:3 388:21422:8 423:25 436:8 442:15dredging 150:8 468:19duplicated 30:25294:2,5 295:4 295:12,14,21391:15,25 420:25 429:14445:13 455:7 457:19 458:16drew 169:18 513:17duplication 34:5 duties 42:3 56:1296:1,7,16,20 296:24 297:2436:19 437:9 436:19 437:9470:21 480:17 489:21drier-than-no 259:6259:6 117:19 118:1,2291:23 322:2 322:4 297:2489:3 500:21 302:4 7,23domestic 51:12 201:9,13 201:9,13 241:24259:6 drink 445:24 drive 23:11 165:15 446:20118:6,8,20 277:7,11 332:1,7,11332:4,7,23 333:10 334:11 334:12 335:3 36:14 355:25506:12 512:4 earliest 267:23 early 16:9,11,15 164:13 300:15		drawings	441:24 485:19		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			485:19		
255:5 304:23 347:15 375:14dredge 150:7 152:20 282:16 dredger 425:17 410:11,14437:10 152:20 282:16 dumps 409:25 duplicate 34:10 35:3,8286:5,14 287:11 290:3,7 290:14,22 290:14,22 292:5,7,21,23 292:5,7,21,23 292:5,7,21,23 374:3 388:21 374:3 388:21 391:15,25 391:15,25422:8 423:25 436:8 442:15 445:13 455:7 445:13 455:7 445:13 455:7 457:19 458:16 470:21 480:17 489:21dredging 150:8 468:19 513:17 drier 259:5 drier than-no 296:12,14,21 296:1,7,16,20 296:24 297:2 297:13,17 31:16 433:5341:12 344:25 355:24 358:9 358:12 373:23 374:3 388:21 299:14,22 299:14,21 299:14,21 296:1,7,16,20 296:24 297:2 297:13,17 31:6 433:5domestic 51:12 201:9,13 201:9,13 241:24 door 19:6 448:22arik 445:24 165:15 446:20 446:20118:6,8,20 277:7,11 334:12 335:3 336:14 355:25361:1 300:15		drawn 255:19	dumping 416:7	,	
347:15 375:14 375:17 409:9152:20 282:16 dredger 425:17 410:11,14dumps 409:25 duplicate 34:10287:11 290:3,7 290:14,22355:24 358:9 355:24 358:9410:11,14 425:18 427:17 422:8 423:25dredging 150:8 dredging 150:8 468:19duplicate 34:10 35:3,8292:5,7,21,23 294:2,5 295:4358:12 373:23 374:3 388:21422:8 423:25 436:8 442:15dredging 150:8 468:19duplicated 30:25294:2,5 295:4 295:12,14,21391:15,25 420:25 429:14445:13 455:7 457:19 458:16 470:21 480:17 489:21drew 169:18 513:17 drier 259:5 drier-than-no 259:6duplication 34:5 58:9,13 69:2 88:19,21,22296:1,7,16,20 297:13,17431:6 433:5 442:9 470:24domestic 51:12 201:9,13 241:24259:6 drive 23:11 165:15 446:20118:6,8,20 277:7,11332:4,7,23 333:10 334:11 334:12 335:3 36:14 355:25506:12 512:4 earliest 267:23 early 16:9,11,15 164:13 300:15					252:17 293:7
375:17 409:9 410:11,14dredger 425:17 425:18 427:17duplicate 34:10 35:3,8290:14,22 292:5,7,21,23358:12 373:23 374:3 388:21422:8 423:25 436:8 442:15 445:13 455:7 445:13 455:7 457:19 458:16 457:19 458:16 457:19 458:16 470:21 480:17 489:21drew 169:18 513:17 drier 259:5 drier 259:5 drier than-no 259:6duplication 34:5 58:9,13 69:2 88:19,21,22290:14,22 292:5,7,21,23 295:12,14,21 296:1,7,16,20 296:1,7,16,20 296:24 297:2 297:13,17 321:23 322:2 321:23 322:2 489:3 500:21 501:13 504:7 506:12 51:24 489:3 500:21 501:13 504:7 506:12 512:4358:12 373:23 374:3 388:21 391:15,25 420:25 429:14 431:6 433:5 436:19 437:9 442:9 470:24 489:3 500:21 501:13 504:7 506:12 512:4domestic 51:12 201:9,13 241:24drive 23:11 165:15 446:20 446:20122:9 133:7 277:7,11 334:12 335:3 336:14 355:25358:12 373:23 358:12 373:23 358:12 373:23door 19:6 448:22165:15 446:20 446:20277:7,11 446:15 494:4336:14 355:25 36:14 355:25arliest 267:23 early 16:9,11,15 164:13 300:15	255:5 304:23	dredge 150:7	437:10	-	341:12 344:25
410:11,14425:18 427:1735:3,8292:5,7,21,23374:3 388:21422:8 423:25dredging 150:8duplicated294:2,5 295:4391:15,25436:8 442:15468:1930:25296:1,7,16,20431:6 433:5445:13 455:7drew 169:18duplication 34:5296:24 297:2436:19 437:9470:21 480:17drier 259:558:9,13 69:2297:13,17442:9 470:24489:21drier-than-no88:19,21,22321:23 322:2489:3 500:21domestic 51:12259:6117:19 118:1,2326:18,22,23501:13 504:7201:9,13drive 23:11122:9 133:7333:10 334:11506:12 51:24door 19:6165:15 446:20277:7,11334:12 335:3earliest 267:23448:22446:20461:15 494:4336:14 355:25164:13 300:15			-		
422:8 423:25 436:8 442:15dredging 150:8 468:19duplicated 30:25294:2,5 295:4 295:12,14,21391:15,25 420:25 429:14445:13 455:7 457:19 458:16 470:21 480:17drew 169:18 513:17duplication 34:5 duties 42:3 56:1296:24 297:2 296:24 297:2346:19 437:9 436:19 437:9489:21 domestic 51:12 201:9,13 241:24drier-than-no 259:658:9,13 69:2 117:19 118:1,2297:13,17 326:18,22,23442:9 470:24 489:21domestic 51:12 201:9,13 241:24259:6 drink 445:24 drive 23:11117:19 118:1,2 122:9 133:7326:18,22,23 332:4,7,23501:13 504:7 506:12 512:4door 19:6 448:22165:15 446:20 446:20277:7,11 461:15 494:4336:14 355:256arly 16:9,11,15 164:13 300:15		5	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
436:8 442:15468:1930:25295:12,14,21420:25 429:14445:13 455:7drew 169:18duplication 34:5296:1,7,16,20431:6 433:5457:19 458:16513:17duties 42:3 56:1296:24 297:2436:19 437:9470:21 480:17drier 259:558:9,13 69:2297:13,17442:9 470:24489:21drier-than-no88:19,21,22321:23 322:2489:3 500:21domestic 51:12259:6117:19 118:1,2326:18,22,23501:13 504:7201:9,13drive 23:11122:9 133:7333:10 334:11506:12 512:4door 19:6165:15 446:20277:7,11334:12 335:3earliest 267:23448:22446:20461:15 494:4336:14 355:25164:13 300:15			· ·		
445:13 455:7 457:19 458:16 470:21 480:17 489:21drew 169:18 513:17 drier 259:5 drier-than-no296:1,7,16,20 296:24 297:2 297:13,17 321:23 322:2431:6 433:5 436:19 437:9 442:9 470:24domestic 51:12 201:9,13 241:24drier 445:24 drive 23:11 165:15 446:20117:19 118:1,2 277:7,11326:18,22,23 332:4,7,23506:12 512:4 506:12 51:25 506:12 512:4door 19:6 448:22165:15 446:20 446:20277:7,11 461:15 494:4336:14 355:25601:15 164:13 300:15		00	-	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
457:19 458:16 470:21 480:17 489:21513:17 drier 259:5 drier-than-noduties 42:3 56:1 58:9,13 69:2296:24 297:2 297:13,17 321:23 322:2436:19 437:9 442:9 470:24 489:3 500:21domestic 51:12 201:9,13 241:24259:6 drink 445:24 drive 23:11117:19 118:1,2 122:9 133:7326:18,22,23 332:4,7,23501:13 504:7 506:12 512:4door 19:6 448:22165:15 446:20 446:20277:7,11 461:15 494:4336:14 355:25 336:14 355:25601:13 300:15					
457.19 456.160113.17dates 42.5 56.1297:13.17442:9 470:24470:21 480:17drier 259:558:9,13 69:2297:13,17442:9 470:24489:21drier-than-no259:6117:19 118:1,2321:23 322:2489:3 500:21201:9,13259:6117:19 118:1,2326:18,22,23501:13 504:7241:24drive 23:11122:9 133:7333:10 334:11506:12 512:4door 19:6165:15 446:20277:7,11334:12 335:3earliest 267:23448:22446:20461:15 494:4336:14 355:25164:13 300:15			-		
489:21 domestic 51:12 201:9,13 241:24drier-than-no 259:688:19,21,22 117:19 118:1,2321:23 322:2 326:18,22,23 332:4,7,23489:3 500:21 501:13 504:7 506:12 512:4domestic 51:12 201:9,13 241:24259:6 drink 445:24 drive 23:11118:6,8,20 122:9 133:7321:23 322:2 326:18,22,23 332:4,7,23489:3 500:21 501:13 504:7 506:12 512:4door 19:6 448:22165:15 446:20 446:20277:7,11 461:15 494:4336:14 355:25 336:14 355:25early 16:9,11,15 164:13 300:15					
domestic 51:12259:6117:19 118:1,2326:18,22,23501:13 504:7201:9,13drink 445:24118:6,8,20332:4,7,23506:12 512:4241:24drive 23:11122:9 133:7333:10 334:11earliest 267:23door 19:6165:15 446:20277:7,11336:14 355:25164:13 300:15			,	,	
201:9,13 241:24drink 445:24 drive 23:11118:6,8,20 122:9 133:7332:4,7,23 333:10 334:11506:12 512:4 earliest 267:23 early 16:9,11,15door 19:6 448:22165:15 446:20 446:20277:7,11 461:15 494:4336:14 355:25 336:14 355:25506:12 512:4 earliest 267:23 early 16:9,11,15					
241:24 door 19:6 448:22drive 23:11 165:15 446:20122:9 133:7 277:7,11333:10 334:11 334:12 335:3 336:14 355:25earliest 267:23 early 16:9,11,15 164:13 300:15			,		
door 19:6 165:15 446:20 277:7,11 334:12 335:3 early 16:9,11,15 448:22 446:20 461:15 494:4 336:14 355:25 164:13 300:15	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
448:22 446:20 461:15 494:4 336:14 355:25 164:13 300:15					
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
doors 16:12 driver 418:24 duty 115:22 530:11,13,18 474:19					
	doors 16:12	driver 418:24	duty 115:22	550.11,15,18	4/4:19

EARNIE 3:21	424:21,22,24	employees	497:17	2:2,6 5:22 6:6
Earth 256:1,8	508:3	112:12,14	English 6:3 34:7	7:8,16 11:14
256:22 257:8,8	EIT 4:7 7:20	127:24 152:4	35:3 190:6,13	11:18,20,24
258:19 261:2,4	either 16:24	336:24 422:5	191:8 235:1	16:1 21:13
Earth's 256:25	20:16 48:9,17	467:3	492:4	40:4 49:2 52:9
261:21	55:16 63:14	empty 427:12	ensure 43:11	143:2 146:23
ease 397:3	77:23 79:8	Enclosed 298:3	102:25 489:20	277:16 284:14
easier 177:9	177:7 182:21	Enclosure 6:13	ensuring 104:4	285:10 332:13
378:24	189:18 215:2	encounter 434:3	155:16	332:15 357:12
easiest 368:4	228:17 259:4	495:13	enter 250:5,18	370:19 437:18
easily 222:11	264:2 317:12	encourage 166:3	348:4 353:5	499:7
384:24	368:12 385:22	213:16	entered 35:17	EPA 2:3,17 3:3
east 116:21	419:14 427:24	ended 57:25	193:10 244:22	3:7 6:2,4,8,10
461:7	430:2,3 450:9	ends 139:13	310:21 326:11	6:14 7:7 8:16
east-side 114:13	484:8 498:18	407:19 442:10	338:2,6 363:24	10:12 17:23
Eastside 133:4	504:9 520:9	442:12	364:4	23:8 27:15,25
easy 406:12	EJScreen 5:7	Energy 145:16	entering 34:13	36:6 37:24
eat 429:7	elaborate 23:3	153:19 286:9	249:15	40:22 41:1,3,7
echo 271:6	341:11 409:18	314:4	enters 224:15	41:10,18 42:10
272:4 301:8	411:25 421:17	enforcement	entertain 291:1	43:2,5,14,23
echoes 305:13	463:10 492:10	36:18 37:3	entertaining	44:1,15,21
349:15	electrical 130:8	48:10,12,14,15	165:2	45:8 47:25
echoing 349:4	electronic 348:9	50:15,16 51:2	entire 24:23	50:5,8,16,19
ecology 48:25	378:11	54:1 56:22	252:23 396:20	51:23 56:22
economic 85:5	electronically	57:16 77:16	446:14 459:3	58:8 62:7,12
457:9	251:18 252:2	88:23 141:5	460:8,10 461:4	63:4 65:3
edge 245:21	378:9	169:6 178:17	483:12 507:13	67:17 68:22
education 50:3	elements 19:16	214:11 239:18	511:8	69:8 74:16,19
educational	215:10	240:18 256:17	entirely 208:10	75:22 77:11
48:22 276:22	elicit 367:24	engage 148:10	216:14 222:6	78:7 79:15
effect 36:16 37:1	396:24 415:6	216:6 335:18	224:19 232:24	83:22 84:13,18
37:12 52:24	eliminating	engaged 334:24	234:12,14	84:24 85:2,7
222:9,10,12,22	456:21	engages 189:23	260:1,9 449:7	85:23 88:23
effective 338:5	Elimination	engineer 158:12	449:24	91:15 93:1,9
364:3 367:4	55:21	257:25 275:6	entirety 75:2	94:20 95:1,1
effects 52:23	Ella 1:14	275:17,18,24	178:24 474:3	95:10,12 97:10
102:25	else's 40:17	280:8 293:16	entities 193:14	97:23 99:1,18
effectuated 19:3	Email 4:18,20	320:19,22,23	448:16	102:11 103:3
19:8	4:21,23 5:2,3,5	321:5	entitled 147:23	103:16 105:14
efficiency	5:6,8,14,16,17	Engineering	entity 91:5	105:23 107:21
169:13	6:17,19,21 7:2	128:17	179:19 225:1	108:10 110:7
efficiently 15:20	7:3,14 8:7,9,10	engineers	335:6,8	120:3 130:20
effluent 262:25	8:12,13,15,18	144:13 248:17	environment	137:22 141:5
265:9 278:24	emphasize 41:6	248:25 257:11	38:21 41:9	141:15,20
effort 280:20,21	43:7 473:18	258:3,11,15	49:25 104:15	142:1,16 143:3
311:9 320:21	employee 50:7	259:25 260:13	155:10 266:21	143:3,6 144:16
eight 50:13 59:2	257:21	322:12 487:2,3	environmental	145:3,19 146:8

146:23 151:6	512:5 513:3,7	44:8 140:4	315:8 319:2,4	357:13 361:15
151:16 153:7	EPA's 19:13	141:6 173:2	estimation	381:9 386:23
153:23 155:8,8	35:24 40:24	200:23 218:14	138:8 172:10	407:15 452:8
156:24 157:10	42:6 44:12,16	220:5,11,17	338:15	472:12 497:9
157:13,16	72:13 93:5	233:9,13 241:6	estopped 503:16	ethics 513:23
158:4 159:8	99:5 104:7	352:8 360:8	et 53:3 76:8 78:4	eutrophication
161:10 167:7	133:17 137:3,7	374:24 375:14	78:18 81:21,24	53:17
167:16 168:3	138:24 182:19	391:5 393:2	83:5,16 84:3	evaluate 257:21
173:6 178:16	241:7 303:8	394:2,13 400:3	85:15 86:10	346:2 486:21
181:23 182:22	377:2 517:3	400:14 486:10	87:11,23 90:16	evaluation
190:14 194:4,8	EPA-certified	486:10 489:20	91:8 92:4	256:11
194:10 195:18	180:13,25	490:7 498:8	93:15 94:14	evening 251:20
198:3 199:8	ephemeral	501:23	95:19 96:24	301:9
200:7,9 204:15	244:6 246:1	errors 472:4	97:17 98:6	event 267:25
205:7 207:5	261:9	474:20,24	99:15 104:24	452:24
226:13,16	EQ 91:19,21	especially 26:20	107:11 108:8	events 43:4
233:17 239:17	equal 92:11	Esq 2:4,5	109:3,9,15	44:10
239:23,23	326:16	essential 42:25	110:1,10	eventually
241:10 256:20	equation 232:3	essentially	111:11 112:22	197:11 415:22
262:8 276:3,5	equipment	114:18 238:10	113:12,25	everybody
276:7 279:13	129:19 145:17	establish 248:6	114:1,5,23	18:19 27:8
280:7 283:11	150:1 152:2	256:24 314:9	117:4 119:20	218:11 230:2
283:16,21	160:11,14,22	316:12 345:23	121:12 123:6	301:23 306:23
284:15 285:2	160:25 170:20	353:10	126:6,12 128:1	345:8 348:10
285:10 295:15	294:24 295:2	established	128:7,24	395:21 398:21
295:17 297:14	433:20 458:19	260:13 286:19	129:21 130:23	452:20 489:12
297:19,19	470:7,10	450:18 452:25	133:14 134:4	508:4 509:18
303:9,12	471:19 474:10	establishes	134:17 135:23	511:9 514:15
314:14 321:23	484:24 499:9	345:18 346:12	136:23 138:14	520:8
323:9 328:10	499:18 500:12	establishing	139:7,23 141:2	evidence 14:10
332:13 338:24	500:17 509:1	212:23 319:10	141:16,23	14:11,12,16
339:1,1,4,7,10	510:7	319:12 345:16	144:23 146:4	22:5,12 23:1
339:15,19	equipment,'	354:10	147:3 148:3,23	23:17,21 24:24
340:2,7 357:12	294:19	estate 125:16	149:9 151:1,13	25:2,10,14,16
369:15 376:23	equitable 42:22	estimate 316:12	152:11,25	26:5 27:7
376:25 377:6	equivalent	319:6,12	154:13 155:4	29:18,20 33:25
382:17 383:5	440:22	328:18,19	155:21 156:16	34:3,14 35:17
383:25 387:11	Erin 3:7 4:20,22	329:12,14	156:20 157:20	41:19 43:6,7
398:3 404:24	4:23 6:18,20	353:12,16	158:19 159:6	43:10 115:6
405:10 433:6	6:22 7:2,4 8:7	estimated	160:3 167:23	116:5,11
437:13 442:1	8:9,18 17:22	316:14,16	170:11,23	124:18 125:4
443:6 465:6	45:18 46:1,4	331:3,20	199:19 203:24	135:8,9 164:9
472:21 483:10	47:21 105:17	estimates 314:9	284:16 285:11	164:9 165:11
483:15 490:15	321:23	315:10 318:24	327:12 332:14	165:12,19
492:16 505:10	Ernie 2:18 3:17	319:14,18	332:22 333:24	168:24 211:5
505:25 506:4,6	5:20 18:5	320:1	336:8,10	213:22,22
506:14,21,25	19:24 43:18,21	estimating	337:13 355:21	244:23 249:16

		1	1	I
250:18 290:17	341:23 422:12	exercised	9:11 21:22	166:7 474:10
304:9 511:6	422:15	254:14	22:1,20 28:21	500:12,17
514:18,20	examples	exerted 254:15	29:17,19,22	experts 214:13
520:20	341:15 438:23	exhibit 30:18	30:6,13 31:11	expiration
evidentiary 14:8	excavator	32:19 33:10	31:19,25 32:7	351:22
14:14 23:8	459:24	34:11 46:19,24	32:11 34:3,9	expires 521:22
164:1,7 165:7	exceed 146:22	47:3 76:8,24	34:21,22 39:7	explain 12:16
212:22 213:3,4	285:9 332:12	78:4,18 79:21	46:12,17 82:4	13:5 64:21
214:15 514:18	357:11	81:21,22,23	82:15 93:25	190:11 200:4
evolved 160:8	exceeded 392:16	82:19 83:5,16	94:8 193:2	207:22 208:23
294:11	exceeding	84:3 85:15	254:5,25	214:1 310:8
exact 44:4 196:6	278:25	86:10 87:11,23	377:20 514:22	311:1 319:21
197:3 203:18	Excellence	90:16 91:8	514:24,25	344:22 345:21
220:18 315:12	77:16	92:4 93:15	520:19	384:3 388:22
316:4	Excellent	94:14 95:16,19	exist 184:22	423:5 490:10
exactly 55:7	316:13 327:6	96:24 97:17	481:14	explained
70:18 184:18	excess 59:24	98:6 99:15	existed 462:7	443:21 487:18
243:2 253:17	exchange 22:6	104:24 107:7,9	481:15	492:25 509:2
254:13 377:20	22:13 30:23	108:8 109:3,9	exit 325:6	explaining
401:24 419:5	133:3 204:5	109:15 110:1	exits 419:12	181:18 202:5
441:19 458:1	299:7 323:1	110:10 111:11	expect 221:9	469:16 490:16
460:20 472:22	501:1	112:22 113:12	515:5	explains 506:7,8
examination 3:8	exchanges 236:6	113:14,24,25	expectations	explanation
3:8,9,9,11,11	excluded 91:5	114:23 116:4	392:16	235:1
3:12,12,14,14	exclusively	119:20 126:6	expected 199:13	explicitly 360:18
3:15,15,16,16	41:21	128:24 133:14	expecting	365:2
3:18,18,19,22	excuse 11:19	136:23 139:7	489:14 504:4,5	exposure 52:17
3:23,24 4:2,3	42:20 139:18	141:2 147:3,23	expediency	53:1
13:14 43:11	192:17 207:6	149:13,13,16	28:14	express 76:18
47:17 72:3	225:23 244:5	151:13 152:11	expense 148:14	288:9
166:19 167:4	255:25 304:3	154:13 155:3	experience 56:2	extend 83:21
239:14 268:8	313:25 328:21	157:14 167:23	56:3,16 58:7	extended 182:6
274:21 350:13	334:11 343:4	170:11 171:19	112:5 118:12	extension 83:9
368:20 371:3	357:6 363:21	171:20 190:24	119:9 130:19	extent 71:8 85:3
381:1 388:3	372:6 375:20	192:24 195:3	143:22 144:15	212:24 292:13
400:10 431:4	406:17 410:15	203:22 247:4	152:6 161:12	292:14 410:21
436:5 454:8	442:19 481:12	249:14 250:22	166:8 171:6,13	479:20 485:10
481:4	486:11 509:13	250:24 252:4	214:8 217:23	485:12,18
examine 215:12	excused 304:2	283:4,6,24	239:16,22	extra 269:13
369:13	executed 338:5	295:10 348:4	272:17 276:23	437:15
examined	364:2	348:13,19	430:24 443:13	extract 419:10
380:11	exempt 92:15	357:1 361:13	471:21	extremely
examining	93:2 106:20	381:4 382:11	experienced	252:18 493:13
380:11	exemptions	382:24 383:2	23:4 88:22	493:13
example 75:12	92:18	451:19,23	239:18	
124:20 243:5	exercise 135:10	452:7 497:9	expert 212:19	F
305:3 341:20	256:14	exhibits 4:4 7:18	expertise 49:5	F 521:2

F-650 133:2	483:11 484:4	277:6,18,20,24	274:10 305:9	figured 205:24
face 483:23	498:3 503:4	278:15,18,23	305:11 307:14	324:4 440:4
faces 43:2	509:17 513:6	279:24 280:21	310:2 350:2	file 6:14 15:1
facilitate 326:24	516:8	280:24 286:19	feeds 347:1	107:21 184:1,3
facilities 44:25	fact-finding	291:23 292:7	367:7 373:22	187:13
45:3 51:21	212:23	292:18,24	feel 34:5 165:14	filed 107:20
54:5,7 75:6	factor 444:22	297:22 299:15	166:1 183:11	194:8,9 203:12
85:24 92:1	factored 481:25	367:12 383:24	215:17 268:7	filing 62:17
110:23 114:18	factors 23:22	383:25 410:17	377:2 390:20	296:2
177:8 205:23	51:25 52:12	446:9 470:25	403:7,21 415:3	filings 63:4
205:23 208:2,4	85:3,6 263:19	familiarity	443:20 444:9	fill 492:10
224:11 237:17	450:21 451:11	410:22	444:12 480:6	filled 425:18
252:23 254:3	451:16,19,21	familiarize 65:2	513:20	final 21:20,25
260:21 276:14	452:5 453:2	far 19:21 28:6	feeling 444:25	29:22 30:5
276:19,25	494:25	28:14,23 30:22	fees 445:4	31:10 34:9
313:8 337:4,6	facts 21:22	31:17 65:8	feet 508:20	93:24 94:8
facility 48:18	28:21 43:11,22	79:22 82:4	fell 491:20	99:10 133:25
51:13 52:3	45:8 214:6	120:16 182:14	felt 187:12	233:2 296:17
55:16 56:4,6	292:2 510:25	198:8 236:17	215:19 342:19	396:15 438:10
56:19 57:7,11	511:6	238:8 266:20	392:2 455:22	438:12
57:21 58:13	factual 44:22	281:14 282:4	491:5 509:7	finalize 478:13
75:8 89:10,13	214:12,16	288:25 289:17	fertilize 189:19	finally 178:2
90:6,7 93:2	453:3	314:10 322:25	430:3	310:3
118:17,18,23	Fahlstedt 7:11	335:8,8 337:5	fertilizer 51:19	financial 6:14
131:14 132:19	7:12 159:12	356:3 397:1	91:20 145:18	276:9 456:15
201:14 226:17	fail 231:15	411:1,2 467:19	146:19	456:15
240:7,9,10,11	failed 43:24 65:9	510:22	field 74:3 113:6	find 114:12
240:13,14,21	67:19 163:22	fashion 398:6	137:21,24	145:12 174:12
241:24 248:1	232:4 463:17	415:17	138:2 140:20	202:8,9 232:6
248:14 252:18	478:23	fatigued 449:5	178:10,15	292:2 324:18
253:9,12	fails 74:24	fault 305:7	195:17,20	356:19 459:23
254:10,11	failure 65:20	February	207:12 227:20	483:20 484:5
260:23 263:4	104:6 108:1,1	521:22	243:7 276:3	516:16 519:17
265:2 266:13	fair 29:4 42:22	fecal 53:2 177:5	288:4 323:18	finding 516:8
277:8 298:19	435:12	fed 374:5 477:11	323:23 328:8	517:5
409:23 423:4	fairly 23:7	federal 1:13	328:11 339:9	findings 247:17
483:4	215:17 277:1	10:23 38:13	384:23 385:11	247:23
fact 35:23,24	280:24	41:5 56:15	386:10 387:2,7	fine 21:2 30:15
36:24 37:5	fairness 44:17	91:17 145:13	406:5 412:7	32:24 33:9,15
45:2 65:7	fall 89:15 158:2	202:2 224:21	421:3,5 425:9	35:17 72:20
95:12 202:13	297:3 496:10	225:1,2 277:18	425:21 440:1	93:22 236:15
209:18 212:3	falling 458:22	332:21,25	442:13	249:15 255:12
212:25 241:20	falls 165:10	356:20	fields 385:14	261:6 268:12
244:1 292:3	false 381:18	fee 422:11	404:6	268:15 269:9
302:18 310:10	familiar 12:24	feed 68:15	figure 188:13	301:6 304:11
320:17 321:19	23:5 35:15	257:15	273:13 350:7	308:20,21
457:1 475:5	276:18,21	feedback 272:24	377:20	325:1 328:1

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		_	_	_	_
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	330:14 368:15	306:13 313:4	312:6.11 313:5	370:23 379:5	178:4
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$,			0
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	· · ·				
	-		, ,	· · ·	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · ·	formerly 18:2
	, ,				
		375:3 382:25		,	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	e		0	-	forth 478:2
finish14:17,23394:1 399:2flip 381:9,25341:7 367:21forward 44:1968:1166:21400:5 403:5floating 223:8376:17 380:2271:25 169:13198:13,17406:2 418:11flooded 104:3461:23 496:6forwarded211:22,24418:13,15Floor 1:14follow-ups290:8 291:22314:25 330:10459:18 468:13145:18 244:10510:20foster 123:23300:17 455:18470:15,16244:15 256:2followed 76:16found 41:12455:24 502:20475:19 480:24260:18,19,20333:5 343:6173:25 176:5187:24 365:21498:23260:21488:15 489:19200:10 239:23403:14firsthand 135:2flowing 226:18following 10:7258:10 259:4fire 463:14290:18 291:25254:1981:4,9 96:15475:6 500:25firm 33:7 134:11500:19flows 225:24,25113:21 125:15517:2134:13 450:13Firstly 43:1245:21 248:18186:20 206:10foundation 25:4472:17fitting 152:21248:623:1207:1 216:1028:14 49:8firm y 43:16five 11:5 17:5261:11 263:1216:11 231:4,8foundation 25:447:1391:22.4230:3 24:25512:25 513:12370:9 400:5fourdation 25:4211:24300:3 324:25512:25 513:12370:9 400:5four-day 28:1213:24 120:9389:21,23focus 185:17foliosh 23:62139:4 150:2350:6 153:9459:3focus 185:17foliosh 23:62139:4 150:23<	e		flies 446:19		484:14
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	finish 14:17.23	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	flip 381:9,25	341:7 367:21	forward 44:19
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	198:13,17				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	-	418:13,15	Floor 1:14	follow-ups	290:8 291:22
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	-	-	flow 88:9 92:10		292:8,16,19,22
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	314:25 330:10	459:18 468:13	145:18 244:10	510:20	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	330:17 455:18	470:15,16	244:15 256:2	followed 76:16	found 41:12
finished $475:19\ 480:24$ $260:18,19,20$ $333:5\ 343:6$ $173:25\ 176:5$ $187:24\ 365:21$ $498:23$ $260:21$ $488:15\ 489:19$ $200:10\ 239:23$ $403:14$ firsthand $135:2$ flowing $226:18$ following $10:7$ fire $427:17$ $135:3\ 161:20$ $244:6\ 246:1$ $65:18\ 66:8$ $406:4\ 410:18$ fire $427:17$ $135:3\ 161:20$ $244:6\ 246:1$ $65:18\ 66:8$ $406:4\ 410:18$ fire $43:14$ $290:18\ 291:25$ $254:19$ $81:4,9\ 96:15$ $475:6\ 500:25$ firm $83:7\ 134:11$ $500:19$ flows $225:24,25$ $113:21\ 125:15$ $517:2$ $134:13\ 450:13$ firsthy $43:1$ $245:21\ 248:18$ $186:20\ 206:10$ foundation $25:4$ $472:17$ fitting $152:21$ $248:19\ 255:15$ $207:1\ 216:10$ $28:14\ 49:8$ firmly $43:16$ five $11:5\ 17:5$ $261:11\ 263:1$ $216:11\ 231:4,8$ foundational $44:13$ $41:2\ 63:21$ $445:21,22,22$ $263:8\ 296:4$ $22:22\ 24:8$ first $13:9\ 17:21$ $81:5\ 139:4$ FLUMP $150:7$ $297:7\ 301:20$ $25:9$ $34:7\ 38:11$ $188:17,18,19$ $468:18,22$ $329:4\ 335:10$ foundational $42:11\ 45:14,19$ $226:4\ 230:17$ $484:11$ $383:11\ 396:9$ $457:7\ 459:2$ $46:6\ 50:20,21$ $231:6,10\ 239:3$ $510:24,24$ $106:1\ 274:16$ $481:16$ $75:22\ 111:24$ $300:3\ 324:25$ $510:24,24$ $106:1\ 274:16$ $481:16$ <tr< td=""><td>455:24 502:20</td><td>-</td><td>257:9 258:24</td><td>104:12,18</td><td>44:3 60:22</td></tr<>	455:24 502:20	-	257:9 258:24	104:12,18	44:3 60:22
403:14firsthand 135:2flowing 226:18following 10:7258:10 259:4fire 427:17135:3 161:20 $244:6 246:1$ $65:18 66:8$ $406:4 410:18$ fired 463:14290:18 291:25 $254:19$ $81:4,9 96:15$ $475:6 500:25$ firm 83:7 134:11500:19flows 225:24,25 $113:21 125:15$ $517:2$ 134:13 450:13Firstly 43:1 $245:21 248:18$ $186:20 206:10$ foundation 25:4472:17fitting 152:21 $248:19 255:15$ $207:1 216:10$ $28:14 49:8$ firmly 43:16five 11:5 17:5 $261:11 263:1$ $216:11 231:4,8$ foundational44:13 $41:2 63:21$ $445:21,22,22$ $263:8 296:4$ $22:22 24:8$ first 13:9 17:21 $81:5 139:4$ FLUMP 150:7 $297:7 301:20$ $25:9$ 34:7 38:11 $188:17,18,19$ $468:18,22$ $329:4 335:10$ four 446:2042:11 45:14,19 $226:4 230:17$ $484:11$ $383:11 396:9$ $457:7 459:2$ 46:6 50:20,21 $231:6,10 239:3$ focus 185:17follows 46:6 $460:7,11$ 59:2 65:18 $239:6 273:18$ $510:24,24$ $106:1 274:16$ $481:16$ 75:22 111:24 $300:3 324:25$ $512:25 513:12$ $370:9 400:5$ four-day $28:12$ 142:18 149:5 $405:19 432:6$ $229:18 490:24$ $480:24$ frack 137:19149:22,25 $446:21 456:20$ focusing $62:9$ foods $102:21$ $139:4 150:23$ 150:6 153:9 $459:3$ follow 13:20,21fore 38:6 $328:6 338:15$ 177:7 179:8 $324:4 389:17$ $23:8 31:7 47:$	finished 50:6	475:19 480:24	260:18,19,20	333:5 343:6	173:25 176:5
fire $427:17$ 135:3 161:20 $244:6 246:1$ $65:18 66:8$ $406:4 410:18$ fired $463:14$ 290:18 291:25 $254:19$ $81:4,9 96:15$ $475:6 500:25$ firm $83:7 134:11$ 500:19flows $225:24,25$ $113:21 125:15$ $517:2$ $134:13 450:13$ Firstly $43:1$ $245:21 248:18$ $186:20 206:10$ foundation $25:4$ $472:17$ fitting $152:21$ $248:19 255:15$ $207:1 216:10$ $28:14 49:8$ firmly $43:16$ five $11:5 17:5$ $261:11 263:1$ $216:11 231:4,8$ foundational $44:13$ $41:2 63:21$ $445:21,22,22$ $263:8 296:4$ $22:22 24:8$ first $13:9 17:21$ $81:5 139:4$ FLUMP $150:7$ $297:7 301:20$ $25:9$ $34:7 38:11$ $188:17,18,19$ $468:18,22$ $329:4 335:10$ four $446:20$ $42:11 45:14,19$ $226:4 230:17$ $484:11$ $383:11 396:9$ $457:7 459:2$ $46:6 50:20,21$ $231:6,10 239:3$ focus $185:17$ follows $46:6$ $460:7,11$ $59:2 65:18$ $239:6 273:18$ $510:24,24$ $106:1 274:16$ $481:16$ $75:22 111:24$ $300:3 324:25$ $512:25 513:12$ $370:9 400:5$ four-day $28:12$ $142:18 149:5$ $405:19 432:6$ $229:18 490:24$ $480:24$ frack $137:19$ $149:22,25$ $446:21 456:20$ focus $169:10$ $446:25 454:4$ frack $137:19$ $149:22,25$ $446:21 456:20$ follow $13:20,21$ fore $38:6$ $328:6 338:15$ $157:7 179:8$ $324:4 389:17$ $23:8 31:7 47:5$ ford $133:2$ $338:18 404:4$ <	187:24 365:21	498:23	260:21	488:15 489:19	200:10 239:23
fired 463:14290:18 291:25254:1981:4,9 96:15475:6 500:25firm 83:7 134:11500:19flows 225:24,25113:21 125:15517:2134:13 450:13Firstly 43:1245:21 248:18186:20 206:10foundation 25:4472:17fitting 152:21248:19 255:15207:1 216:1028:14 49:8firmly 43:16five 11:5 17:5261:11 263:1216:11 231:4,8foundational44:1341:2 63:21445:21,22,22263:8 296:422:22 24:8first 13:9 17:2181:5 139:4FLUMP 150:7297:7 301:2025:934:7 38:11188:17,18,19468:18,22329:4 335:10four 446:2042:11 45:14,19226:4 230:17484:11383:11 396:9457:7 459:246:6 50:20,21231:6,10 239:3focus 185:17follows 46:6460:7,1159:2 65:18239:6 273:18510:24,24106:1 274:16481:1675:22 111:24300:3 324:25512:25 513:12370:9 400:5four-day 28:12113:24 120:9389:21,23focus de 169:10446:25 454:4frac 195:15142:18 149:5405:19 432:6229:18 490:24480:24frack 137:19149:22,25446:21 456:20folks 51:14foolsh 236:22151:5 207:20150:6 153:9459:3folks 51:14foolsh 236:22151:5 207:20168:5 171:20five-minute 82:2folks 51:4fore 38:6328:6 338:15175:7 179:8324:4 389:1723:8 31:7 47:5Ford 133:2338:18 404:4182:15 187:1,8fix 437:22 <td< td=""><td>403:14</td><td>firsthand 135:2</td><td>flowing 226:18</td><td>following 10:7</td><td>258:10 259:4</td></td<>	403:14	firsthand 135:2	flowing 226:18	following 10:7	258:10 259:4
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	fire 427:17	135:3 161:20	244:6 246:1	65:18 66:8	406:4 410:18
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	fired 463:14	290:18 291:25	254:19	81:4,9 96:15	475:6 500:25
472:17fitting 152:21248:19 255:15207:1 216:1028:14 49:8firmly 43:16five 11:5 17:5261:11 263:1216:11 231:4,8foundational44:1341:2 63:21445:21,22,22263:8 296:422:22 24:8first 13:9 17:2181:5 139:4FLUMP 150:7297:7 301:2025:934:7 38:11188:17,18,19468:18,22329:4 335:10four 446:2042:11 45:14,19226:4 230:17484:11383:11 396:9457:7 459:246:6 50:20,21231:6,10 239:3focus 185:17follows 46:6460:7,1159:2 65:18239:6 273:18510:24,24106:1 274:16481:1675:22 111:24300:3 324:25512:25 513:12370:9 400:5four-day 28:12113:24 120:9389:21,23focused 169:10446:25 454:4frac 195:15142:18 149:5405:19 432:6229:18 490:24480:24frack 137:19149:22,25446:21 456:20focusing 62:9foods 102:21139:4 150:23150:6 153:9459:3follow 13:20,21fore 38:6328:6 338:15175:7 179:8324:4 389:1723:8 31:7 47:5Ford 133:2338:18 404:4182:15 187:1,8fix 437:2247:10 53:14foregoing405:19 417:5192:14 198:7fixed 9:1364:17 179:8521:13425:9,11,13,15247:4,15298:16,25181:12 191:16foreman 494:17425:15,18,20274:16 283:6299:3 310:20202:4,7,10,16509:7426:14,20288:5 297:9310:23,25219:19 317:13	firm 83:7 134:11	500:19	flows 225:24,25	113:21 125:15	517:2
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	134:13 450:13	Firstly 43:1	245:21 248:18	186:20 206:10	foundation 25:4
$44:13$ $41:2 \ 63:21$ $445:21,22,22$ $263:8 \ 296:4$ $22:22 \ 24:8$ first $13:9 \ 17:21$ $81:5 \ 139:4$ FLUMP $150:7$ $297:7 \ 301:20$ $25:9$ $34:7 \ 38:11$ $188:17,18,19$ $468:18,22$ $329:4 \ 335:10$ four $446:20$ $42:11 \ 45:14,19$ $226:4 \ 230:17$ $484:11$ $383:11 \ 396:9$ $457:7 \ 459:2$ $46:6 \ 50:20,21$ $231:6,10 \ 239:3$ focus $185:17$ follows $46:6$ $460:7,11$ $59:2 \ 65:18$ $239:6 \ 273:18$ $510:24,24$ $106:1 \ 274:16$ $481:16$ $75:22 \ 111:24$ $300:3 \ 324:25$ $512:25 \ 513:12$ $370:9 \ 400:5$ four $-day \ 28:12$ $113:24 \ 120:9$ $389:21,23$ focused $169:10$ $446:25 \ 454:4$ frac $195:15$ $142:18 \ 149:5$ $405:19 \ 432:6$ $229:18 \ 490:24$ $480:24$ frack $137:19$ $149:22,25$ $446:21 \ 456:20$ focusing $62:9$ foods $102:21$ $139:4 \ 150:23$ $150:6 \ 153:9$ $459:3$ follow $13:20,21$ fore $38:6$ $328:6 \ 338:15$ $175:7 \ 179:8$ $324:4 \ 389:17$ $23:8 \ 31:7 \ 47:5$ Ford $133:2$ $338:18 \ 404:4$ $182:15 \ 187:1,8$ fix $437:22$ $47:10 \ 53:14$ foregoing $405:19 \ 417:5$ $192:14 \ 198:7$ fixed $9:13$ $64:17 \ 179:8$ $521:13$ $425:9,11,13,15$ $247:4,15$ $298:16,25$ $181:12 \ 191:16$ foreman $494:17$ $425:15,18,20$ $274:16 \ 283:6$ $299:3 \ 310:20$ $202:4,7,10,16$ $509:7$ $426:14,20$ $288:5 \ 297:9$ $310:23,25$ <td>472:17</td> <td>fitting 152:21</td> <td>248:19 255:15</td> <td>207:1 216:10</td> <td></td>	472:17	fitting 152:21	248:19 255:15	207:1 216:10	
first 13:9 17:2181:5 139:4FLUMP 150:7297:7 301:2025:934:7 38:11188:17,18,19468:18,22329:4 335:10four 446:2042:11 45:14,19226:4 230:17484:11383:11 396:9457:7 459:246:6 50:20,21231:6,10 239:3focus 185:17follows 46:6460:7,1159:2 65:18239:6 273:18510:24,24106:1 274:16481:1675:22 111:24300:3 324:25512:25 513:12370:9 400:5four-day 28:12113:24 120:9389:21,23focused 169:10446:25 454:4frac 195:15142:18 149:5405:19 432:6229:18 490:24480:24frack 137:19149:22,25446:21 456:20focusing 62:9foods 102:21139:4 150:23150:6 153:9459:3follow 13:20,21force 38:6328:6 338:15175:7 179:8324:4 389:1723:8 31:7 47:5Ford 133:2338:18 404:4182:15 187:1,8fix 437:2247:10 53:14foregoing405:19 417:5192:14 198:7fixed 9:1364:17 179:8521:13425:9,11,13,15247:4,15298:16,25181:12 191:16foreman 494:17425:15,18,20274:16 283:6299:3 310:20202:4,7,10,16509:7426:14,20288:5 297:9310:23,25219:19 317:13forest 61:12427:4,21,22		five 11:5 17:5	261:11 263:1		foundational
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			· · ·	263:8 296:4	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			FLUMP 150:7		
46:6 50:20,21231:6,10 239:3focus 185:17follows 46:6460:7,1159:2 65:18239:6 273:18510:24,24106:1 274:16481:1675:22 111:24300:3 324:25512:25 513:12370:9 400:5four-day 28:12113:24 120:9389:21,23focused 169:10446:25 454:4frac 195:15142:18 149:5405:19 432:6229:18 490:24480:24frack 137:19149:22,25446:21 456:20focusing 62:9foods 102:21139:4 150:23150:6 153:9459:3follow 13:20,21force 38:6328:6 338:15175:7 179:8324:4 389:1723:8 31:7 47:5Ford 133:2338:18 404:4182:15 187:1,8fix 437:2247:10 53:14foregoing405:19 417:5192:14 198:7298:16,25181:12 191:16foreman 494:17425:15,18,20274:16 283:6299:3 310:20202:4,7,10,16509:7426:14,20288:5 297:9310:23,25219:19 317:13forest 61:12427:4,21,22			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
59:2 65:18239:6 273:18510:24,24106:1 274:16481:1675:22 111:24300:3 324:25512:25 513:12370:9 400:5four-day 28:12113:24 120:9389:21,23focused 169:10446:25 454:4frac 195:15142:18 149:5405:19 432:6229:18 490:24480:24frack 137:19149:22,25446:21 456:20focusing 62:9foods 102:21139:4 150:23150:6 153:9459:3follow 13:20,21force 38:6328:6 338:15175:7 179:8324:4 389:1723:8 31:7 47:5Ford 133:2338:18 404:4182:15 187:1,8fix 437:2247:10 53:14foregoing405:19 417:5192:14 198:7fixed 9:1364:17 179:8521:13425:9,11,13,15247:4,15298:16,25181:12 191:16foreman 494:17425:15,18,20274:16 283:6299:3 310:20202:4,7,10,16509:7426:14,20288:5 297:9310:23,25219:19 317:13forest 61:12427:4,21,22	42:11 45:14,19	226:4 230:17	484:11	383:11 396:9	457:7 459:2
75:22 111:24 113:24 120:9 142:18 149:5 149:22,25300:3 324:25 389:21,23 405:19 432:6 446:21 456:20512:25 513:12 focused 169:10 229:18 490:24370:9 400:5 446:25 454:4 480:24four-day 28:12 frac 195:15149:22,25 150:6 153:9 168:5 171:20446:21 456:20 459:3focusing 62:9 folks 51:14foods 102:21 foods 102:21139:4 150:23 151:5 207:20168:5 171:20 175:7 179:8 182:15 187:1,8five-minute 82:2 324:4 389:17follow 13:20,21 23:8 31:7 47:5force 38:6 Ford 133:2328:6 338:15 338:18 404:4182:15 187:1,8 192:14 198:7 274:16 283:6 288:5 297:9fix 437:22 310:23,2547:10 53:14 181:12 191:16foregoing 521:13405:19 417:5 425:15,18,20202:4,7,10,16 288:5 297:9299:3 310:20 310:23,25202:4,7,10,16 219:19 317:13509:7 forest 61:12426:14,20 427:4,21,22	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			-
113:24 120:9389:21,23focused 169:10446:25 454:4frac 195:15142:18 149:5405:19 432:6229:18 490:24480:24frack 137:19149:22,25446:21 456:20focusing 62:9foods 102:21139:4 150:23150:6 153:9459:3folks 51:14foolish 236:22151:5 207:20168:5 171:20five-minute 82:2follow 13:20,21force 38:6328:6 338:15175:7 179:8324:4 389:1723:8 31:7 47:5Ford 133:2338:18 404:4182:15 187:1,8fix 437:2247:10 53:14foregoing405:19 417:5192:14 198:7fixed 9:1364:17 179:8521:13425:9,11,13,15247:4,15298:16,25181:12 191:16foreman 494:17425:15,18,20274:16 283:6299:3 310:20202:4,7,10,16509:7426:14,20288:5 297:9310:23,25219:19 317:13forest 61:12427:4,21,22			-		
142:18 149:5405:19 432:6229:18 490:24480:24frack 137:19149:22,25446:21 456:20focusing 62:9foods 102:21139:4 150:23150:6 153:9459:3folks 51:14foolish 236:22151:5 207:20168:5 171:20five-minute 82:2follow 13:20,21force 38:6328:6 338:15175:7 179:8324:4 389:1723:8 31:7 47:5Ford 133:2338:18 404:4182:15 187:1,8fix 437:2247:10 53:14foregoing405:19 417:5192:14 198:7fixed 9:1364:17 179:8521:13425:9,11,13,15247:4,15298:16,25181:12 191:16foreman 494:17425:15,18,20274:16 283:6299:3 310:20202:4,7,10,16509:7426:14,20288:5 297:9310:23,25219:19 317:13forest 61:12427:4,21,22					•
149:22,25446:21 456:20focusing 62:9foods 102:21139:4 150:23150:6 153:9459:3folks 51:14foolish 236:22151:5 207:20168:5 171:20five-minute 82:2follow 13:20,21force 38:6328:6 338:15175:7 179:8324:4 389:1723:8 31:7 47:5Ford 133:2338:18 404:4182:15 187:1,8fix 437:2247:10 53:14foregoing405:19 417:5192:14 198:7fixed 9:1364:17 179:8521:13425:9,11,13,15247:4,15298:16,25181:12 191:16foreman 494:17425:15,18,20274:16 283:6299:3 310:20202:4,7,10,16509:7426:14,20288:5 297:9310:23,25219:19 317:13forest 61:12427:4,21,22		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
150:6153:9459:3folks 51:14foolish 236:22151:5207:20168:5171:20five-minute 82:2follow 13:20,21force 38:6328:6328:6338:15175:7179:8324:4389:1723:831:747:5Ford 133:2338:18404:4182:15187:1,8fix 437:2247:1053:14foregoing405:19417:5192:14198:7fixed 9:1364:17179:8521:13425:9,11,13,15247:4,15298:16,25181:12191:16foreman 494:17425:15,18,20274:16283:6299:3310:20202:4,7,10,16509:7426:14,20288:5297:9310:23,25219:19317:13forest 61:12427:4,21,22					
168:5 171:20 175:7 179:8five-minute 82:2 324:4 389:17follow 13:20,21 23:8 31:7 47:5force 38:6328:6 338:15182:15 187:1,8 192:14 198:7fix 437:22 fixed 9:1347:10 53:14 64:17 179:8foregoing 521:13405:19 417:5247:4,15 274:16 283:6299:3 310:20 310:23,25202:4,7,10,16 219:19 317:13forest 61:12425:14,20 427:4,21,22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		0		
175:7 179:8 182:15 187:1,8324:4 389:17 fix 437:2223:8 31:7 47:5 47:10 53:14Ford 133:2 foregoing338:18 404:4 405:19 417:5192:14 198:7 247:4,15fixed 9:13 298:16,2564:17 179:8 181:12 191:16521:13 foreman 494:17425:9,11,13,15 425:15,18,20274:16 283:6 288:5 297:9299:3 310:20 310:23,25202:4,7,10,16 219:19 317:13509:7 forest 61:12426:14,20 427:4,21,22					
182:15 187:1,8fix 437:2247:10 53:14foregoing405:19 417:5192:14 198:7fixed 9:1364:17 179:8521:13425:9,11,13,15247:4,15298:16,25181:12 191:16foreman 494:17425:15,18,20274:16 283:6299:3 310:20202:4,7,10,16509:7426:14,20288:5 297:9310:23,25219:19 317:13forest 61:12427:4,21,22			,		
192:14 198:7fixed 9:1364:17 179:8521:13425:9,11,13,15247:4,15298:16,25181:12 191:16foreman 494:17425:15,18,20274:16 283:6299:3 310:20202:4,7,10,16509:7426:14,20288:5 297:9310:23,25219:19 317:13forest 61:12427:4,21,22					
247:4,15298:16,25181:12 191:16foreman 494:17425:15,18,20274:16 283:6299:3 310:20202:4,7,10,16509:7426:14,20288:5 297:9310:23,25219:19 317:13forest 61:12427:4,21,22				0 0	
274:16 283:6 288:5 297:9299:3 310:20 310:23,25202:4,7,10,16 219:19 317:13509:7 forest 61:12426:14,20 427:4,21,22					
288:5 297:9 310:23,25 219:19 317:13 forest 61:12 427:4,21,22		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · ·
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
304·21 306·5 311·4 14 16 330·13 367·12 81·1 175·4 428·23 430·25		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
501.21500.5 511.1,11,10 550.15507.12 01.1175.1 120.25150.25	304:21 306:5	311:4,14,16	330:13 367:12	81:1 175:4	428:23 430:25
					l

432:4 439:24	303:20	328:14,17	generally 14:6	GIS 9:12 515:1
440:2,16,20,24	functioning	330:2,3,19,25	56:12 57:18	gist 204:13
468:17,19	215:4 243:3	373:3 411:5	58:18 118:14	236:16 486:12
484:15,22	fund 115:1	426:24 427:8,9	118:21 127:11	give 13:17 14:2
frame 36:1,20	117:12 126:10	427:10 455:1	180:6 222:24	17:18 69:9
458:9 463:10	128:5 310:11	466:18	229:13 244:24	115:15 165:13
486:5	fundamental	Gallus 5:2 6:16	260:20 279:7	178:21 179:15
framework 65:5	42:23	8:9 90:21	282:11 402:6	187:12 204:8
68:5	funded 281:10	92:23 94:20	403:3,11,23	221:2 231:22
Frank 8:23	310:10	105:7,8,15	480:5	235:10 251:6
31:16 486:16	funding 278:9	159:14,15,20	generate 178:24	288:21 303:3
486:18,19	488:2	159:22,24	230:16 235:11	306:9 307:24
frankly 28:24	funds 279:3	180:3	274:9	332:7 341:6
165:23	furnish 135:9	gaps 492:11	generated	345:9 348:17
Frazier 27:18	147:20 328:4	garbage 114:18	266:17	370:23 386:25
free 166:1	331:21 376:5	Gary 7:11,12	Generation 6:5	396:13 399:15
freeboard	417:3,15	159:12	gentleman	415:8 423:18
204:22 322:4	further 57:24	Gary.' 159:20	21:11 272:22	431:19 451:24
freezing 460:18	79:9 101:10	gas 429:7	303:24 324:19	453:11 454:10
friction 509:5	119:15 175:7	gate 31:3 66:3	472:20	454:24 455:11
510:9	181:14 184:9	88:7 129:8,16	geometric 177:1	463:10 466:2
Friday 114:9	195:19 202:6	416:6 423:7	George 340:12	467:17 479:23
120:1 142:13	208:7 209:7	432:20 459:24	341:1 358:20	480:11 494:19
153:4	219:23 245:22	475:3,5,7	358:22 379:23	513:21
friend 433:22	300:1 328:11	gates 416:5	405:21 407:7	given 21:1 71:19
front 10:20 31:3	359:10 372:11	442:17	407:24,24	96:5 136:12
33:5 216:1	384:20 386:13	gather 54:10	408:24 409:3	177:22 184:3
492:16	388:18 420:1	450:19	411:19 412:15	196:6 198:8
frozen 104:4	432:2 474:14	gears 278:14	416:12 420:8	199:16 200:13
fruition 509:17	479:10 507:1	410:16	425:3 438:21	202:1 209:14
fuel 129:18	511:15 512:18	general 50:25	439:9 458:15	210:1 229:15
fulfill 210:24	514:18 519:19	53:22 71:13	476:5 486:8	235:8 267:3,20
fulfilled 88:19	Furthermore	102:16 109:23	489:9 502:2	270:24 368:24
173:21 179:4	43:23	110:4,21 111:9	getting 11:6	372:16 373:7
282:2		115:1 117:12	24:20 72:19	382:3 387:15
full 18:10 41:3	G	122:1 125:15	106:24 165:22	406:10,21,22
274:25 326:24	G 1:1	126:9 128:4	211:25 215:9	424:23 455:2,3
400:13 418:19	gained 85:5	143:14 165:24	230:14 234:10	457:24 465:20
425:18 428:15	280:19 385:17	170:9 180:10	265:12 270:4	467:14 483:24
448:25 454:10	457:9	199:9,10	272:17 300:14	gives 15:12 45:6
502:12,14,17	Gallatin 521:5,7	201:11 256:23	330:8 347:23	49:22
full-time 50:7	gallery 21:11	261:5 264:20	359:23 373:23	giving 36:17
fully 207:14	gallon 140:19	279:25 294:15	402:5 419:18	69:10 281:22
254:15 363:14	gallons 92:11	332:24 353:15	473:11 482:4	500:24
365:17 367:24	156:12 195:22	356:12 390:25	girls 122:5	gland 129:9,16
480:16	243:9,11,12	420:15 481:12	128:18 459:19	129:17
function 52:25	315:23 316:6	generalized 67:2	459:19,21	glaring 69:13
		8		8
	1	I	I	I

GM 96:6 121:20	348:17 354:12	12:5 14:8	412:22,25	gotten 54:8
Gmail 9:6,7,8	355:13 361:21	15:17 19:11	413:16,25	101:21
32:17 33:2,12	363:12 364:9	21:23 24:8	414:2,16 422:7	government
333:13,19	365:16 366:9	25:12 26:10,19	422:15 423:11	43:19 56:15
go 10:21 12:25	366:13 367:14	28:9,19,20	423:13 433:25	127:18 167:13
13:9 15:14	370:25 374:13	29:7 34:19	435:2 450:16	192:18 202:2
16:25 25:3	378:18 380:22	35:19 46:11	452:13 453:5	256:21 257:7
29:14 30:7	381:24,24,25	62:20 65:14	453:11,23	318:9 444:14
34:15 39:16,19	382:10,23,24	67:8 71:5	461:2,15	444:14 503:13
46:9,24 47:14	383:1 389:14	82:14 102:18	463:22,24	515:14 516:10
50:8 63:6,11	390:6 391:9	124:25 162:5	465:1,25	governs 184:25
64:19 69:6	392:23 394:11	162:10,13,17	467:17 468:12	grappling
70:20 72:23	395:4 396:10	163:16 164:2	469:16 473:8	186:24
80:4,13,17,18	399:2 400:9	164:24 172:16	473:16 477:1	grasp 13:2
81:11 82:11	401:17,18,19	177:14 178:14	477:13,20	388:20 437:23
84:15 94:12	401:20,22	183:19 184:7,8	486:2 488:8,8	grass 315:18
116:15 119:18	402:4 404:2,10	186:9 194:20	488:16 489:23	grasses 102:21
123:4 125:7,8	405:11 407:10	199:19 207:2	489:25 509:18	gravity 85:4
134:17 144:3,6	407:13 410:8	207:23 212:14	509:20 512:25	graze 102:18
165:21 167:2	415:23 419:13	212:20,20	513:1,15	grease 204:23
170:3 174:18	419:13 420:21	213:2,15	Golding 5:9	great 14:20
176:1 179:8	423:1 428:6	214:17 224:10	golf 178:11	30:16 47:13
184:6,13,24	433:1,2,14,23	240:17 249:11	good 10:10	64:5 166:20,25
185:21 186:25	434:4,11,16	250:7 251:10	11:12,17,23	174:18 187:23
188:3,6 191:10	435:15,25	257:3 261:22	12:9 21:6 47:5	189:1 241:13
195:10 197:25	437:1,6,8	263:16 264:19	72:21 184:18	251:25 252:5
198:18 203:20	446:25 447:9	265:9 270:21	186:7,8 235:1	256:22 268:10
203:21 204:12	448:13,21	273:17 274:11	243:14 269:7	273:22 307:2
212:16 216:20	450:9 453:7	283:5 290:16	269:10 272:8	307:11 309:2
217:15 225:17	456:4 465:9	300:3,13	272:24 274:23	325:13 348:17
226:17 240:19	466:24 470:14	303:13,19	291:7 300:6	350:11 369:22
249:4 256:14	472:25 474:4	304:22 305:13	301:23 304:17	395:23 451:22
259:15 267:18	475:21 479:2	306:17 307:4	309:24 310:6	518:19,22
270:20,22	482:12 488:8	307:13,17,23	347:7 366:2,13	greater 92:11
273:25 274:19	489:13 490:11	312:16 318:13	395:20 396:1,3	greatest 397:3
279:13 282:3	493:9 502:23	318:15 325:6	398:21 400:12	grind 114:19
283:7 284:17	507:20 520:18	343:22 353:11	414:18 438:3	grinder 87:18
291:7,17	goes 13:3 23:11	353:17 367:5	479:11 489:8	114:19
292:12 293:24	89:5 114:20	368:16 370:1	516:14 519:14	ground 496:4
300:5 301:9,21	118:16 123:24	370:19 374:1,8	goodness 437:10	grounds 71:4,25
303:5,22	125:18 177:15	377:12 379:4	Google 255:18	group 406:3
304:17,20	178:2 220:4	380:14,20	255:20 256:1,8	411:16
307:3 309:3	248:2 263:7	393:5 394:12	256:8,22,25	growing 102:20
310:3 311:23	271:1 385:13	395:24 403:18	257:8,8 258:19	217:18 371:20
312:15 318:16	398:15 411:1	404:1 407:2	261:1,4,21	grown 189:19
330:17 333:14	422:19 447:23	408:11 411:18	516:5	371:18
342:9 343:13	going 10:16 11:8	411:18 412:19	gosh 257:2	growth 52:20
512.7 573.13	50mg 10.10 11.0	111.10 714.17	505H 237.2	5 0 m th 32.20
	I I		I	

281:4 430:4	hand-in-hand	74:23 118:15	heading 315:5	359:13,14,15
guess 29:15 62:5	421:1	177:20 208:1,5	421:6	359:16,17,22
64:19 67:3	handled 397:6	208:6 438:8	headings 314:19	360:1 402:17
71:7,8 118:11	397:17 512:9	happy 13:4	health 18:2 41:9	403:19 414:19
118:18 143:23	handling 125:17	16:14 183:14	76:22 77:13	501:16 512:9
163:1 169:12	hands 184:5	267:24 303:22	96:12 99:24	heard 18:25
181:11 207:1	451:14	367:22 398:18	104:15 110:23	370:16 379:15
238:20 261:24	hands-off	hard 330:22	131:7 134:2	418:9 443:24
279:7,12	171:10	348:8 390:19	141:9,13 143:5	448:10,14
282:11 292:11	handwriting	417:24 495:5	145:3 146:8,13	476:12 483:3
303:2 304:17	437:11	hardest 71:21	147:6 151:16	492:9
304:21 319:17	handwritten	Hardin 417:24	151:22 153:5,7	hearing 10:5,15
319:21 321:5	465:17	hardness 263:18	154:18 155:24	10:19 12:19
327:7 366:9	handy 190:25	hardship 456:16	156:11 159:13	13:3 14:9,15
368:21 380:8	195:3 451:12	harm 41:8,12	160:10,21	18:10 21:10
396:13 398:5	515:15	75:11 266:5	172:1 178:9	26:4,21 27:1,3
402:6 410:21	hang 308:14	Harvard 125:18	193:7,9,14,22	28:5,11,16,22
447:13 460:24	325:4 415:17	haul 137:20	196:9,22,24	31:10 45:6
476:23 480:11	hanging 186:23	150:22 195:16	197:2,8,14	70:23 93:20
482:7 490:21	happen 57:16	328:8 411:24	198:9 200:13	164:2 186:18
493:1,2 501:17	206:5 208:10	417:6 427:8,24	205:7,15,19	212:22 213:4,5
506:23 512:21	223:4 229:24	436:19	210:1 221:6,16	214:4,5,15
514:14	305:24 402:11	hauled 140:20	221:18,20	267:23 272:21
guidance 36:8	402:11,13,15	412:3 418:23	235:7 275:10	274:6 301:18
45:20	464:7 492:25	427:24 444:23	276:4,15,16	301:24 302:7
guide 6:2,3 8:17	507:21 509:18	444:24	277:2 278:12	310:2 316:20
34:8 35:3	happened 37:4	hauler 108:14	279:3,15	325:7 347:5
91:16 190:13	37:12 77:15	hauling 100:6	281:11 282:4	350:1 365:17
191:8 235:1	79:8 83:6	100:13 154:5	288:9 297:24	396:7 398:8
258:15	161:21 206:2	156:12 201:3	313:7,13 337:8	450:18 453:3
guidelines 225:2	206:22 207:11	253:3 286:12	337:10,17	519:24 520:16
guys 229:3	207:15 209:3	287:6 296:18	338:1 363:23	520:18 521:9
311:4 375:17	209:24 223:10	323:22 404:15	365:8 405:21	521:10
379:25 457:17	307:12 401:24	412:3 417:4,17	448:14	hearsay 23:15
460:17	403:23 423:10	417:22 426:1,3	hear 39:23	23:23 43:6,7
	431:23,25	440:7 488:19	72:19 202:25	heavier 263:11
<u> </u>	433:17 441:18	hazardous	271:4,9,13,16	heavily 75:3
H 1:1	458:8,13,23	332:20	272:9,25 273:8	483:1
half 177:10	459:20 463:11	he'll 368:13	274:2,5,10,10	heavy 41:11
401:11 443:9	467:10,15	head 187:6	291:1,2,8,10	150:1 263:15
462:8	475:14 486:1	280:3 303:25	305:10,20,22	263:17,20
hammer 423:14	508:3,15	350:19 359:20	306:2,6 307:9	264:16
hand 26:5 42:25	happening	423:14 437:20	309:5,7,9,13	held 17:15 41:20
274:12 318:14	36:23 243:15	438:24,25	309:17,18,19	42:5 210:19
370:5 378:9	417:15 512:10	484:13	309:21,22	496:7
381:5 440:6	happens 14:23	header 98:2	325:18 349:10	Hello 309:5
480:21 521:17	57:18,19 60:7	315:17	350:9,10	359:19,24

HELOC 456:22	94:22 96:3	hinted 213:14	honest 467:11	211:20 213:22
help 48:18 95:15	97:13 100:1,8	hire 118:20	honestly 467:24	233:25 234:7
123:23 190:16	105:19 110:14	152:5 240:9	honor 11:12,17	234:17 236:17
272:19 309:24	110:18 111:25	421:25 422:5	12:9 16:7	238:23 239:2
377:22 419:23	112:11 113:3	422:18 424:12	17:21 19:13,23	239:12 244:20
437:22,23,25	114:10 116:19	468:4	20:5,12,23	248:3 249:18
459:9,10	120:10,21	hired 239:19	21:1,12 22:2	250:9,14,17
466:24 467:2	121:22 123:11	240:1 418:7	22:18 24:1,4	252:12 254:22
468:1,11,16	123:18 126:20	431:16 459:9	24:11,14,22	255:10,17
471:20 477:2	128:14 129:6	508:16	25:23,25 27:22	259:17 267:7,9
516:12	130:3 131:4	hiring 422:10	28:20,25 29:8	268:3,16
helped 187:3	132:12 133:23	history 85:4	30:2,4,8 31:5	269:25 273:14
245:12 462:14	137:16 138:23	465:4 479:21	31:18,20 32:3	290:16 291:19
helper 463:2	139:2,9 140:15	495:7	32:8,12,14,20	292:4 301:12
helpful 71:17	142:19 144:8	hit 261:4 423:14	32:25 33:7,10	302:16,18
258:15 259:13	145:10 146:16	hits 246:23	33:14,16,21,22	303:11 304:19
377:15 394:23	147:12 148:8	hold 27:7 116:3	34:25 35:4,10	307:16 308:1
428:4	149:23 151:3	168:19 194:25	36:4,22 37:15	308:11,23
helping 324:20	151:23 152:18	272:16 273:10	38:11,25 39:5	309:4,7 312:10
464:25	153:10 154:22	290:25 299:22	39:12,14,18,20	317:16,23
helps 16:16	156:8 157:22	333:8 363:5	40:5 42:16,19	318:8 319:19
49:23 103:16	158:6,24 160:5	377:21 378:9	45:16 47:12	323:25 325:16
270:12 426:10	160:16 161:5	378:24 402:1	62:5,12,18	327:11 329:10
hereinafter	168:6,11	402:17,17	63:3,20 67:9	333:22 336:8
147:16,18	170:16,25	406:14 414:5	67:24 68:13	336:10 337:12
hereunder	190:20 194:23	414:15,15	69:7 70:13	340:1,9 341:5
148:12	195:13 199:23	446:5,5 492:17	71:19 82:1,12	342:11 343:22
hereunto 521:16	204:17 208:16	494:12 502:20	82:20 94:9	344:11 345:4
Hertz 5:8 94:19	284:9 285:5	514:21 516:3	107:8 115:3,9	346:18,23
96:2 105:6,12	286:5 287:3	holder 200:1	115:14,25	347:13,17
109:19 198:2	288:6 289:7	489:25	117:23 118:24	348:2,3,6,10
HertzWu 4:22	294:9 295:19	holding 124:2	119:17 124:7	348:15 349:11
4:23 5:2,4,5,7	295:24 298:1	180:19	135:1 143:7	350:24 359:11
5:15,16,18 7:4	298:12,23	holds 32:5 44:9	157:17 161:18	359:21 361:15
8:11,12,13,15	327:15 329:4	125:24 426:24	163:9,13	363:4 365:11
hesitate 17:4	332:9 334:10	hole 458:20	164:19 167:1	365:20,23
HHS 290:4	334:18 335:12	459:25 509:1	168:17 179:5	366:16 368:7
Hi 454:10	336:20 337:23	holes 164:8,8	179:12 181:15	368:15 369:3
high 145:18	363:21 373:1	home 165:15	183:6 184:17	369:11,21
higher 318:12	405:14 407:16	193:25 456:22	187:19,22	373:20 374:11
353:14	413:13,17,23	478:4 515:19	188:1,5,8,12	376:15 377:9
highlight 93:20	416:25 429:20	517:14,17	188:25 190:3	377:19 378:4
highlighted	473:6 497:13	518:3 520:16	191:1,6,9	378:19 379:1
76:13 77:7	498:24	homeowner's	192:4 195:8	385:21 386:23
84:22 86:16	highlighting	282:24	196:13,18	387:22 389:15
87:16 88:3	46:23 94:2,6,8	homes 178:12	199:19 203:24	390:7 394:25
90:24 91:2,13	hill 446:19	487:25,25	206:6 209:11	395:2,5,7,13
	l	l	I	

				Fage JJZ
396:16 397:5,9	hour's 186:1	353:11 426:14	312:2,3 315:11	437:10
397:23 398:1	hours 195:21	429:8,12,16	318:25 320:7	imagery 256:2
399:6,14	238:13 392:12	483:21	322:10 326:4,9	256:23 261:6
402:16,19	425:22 460:3	ideas 214:2	327:2,5 328:22	immediately
403:5 413:5,15	463:23 467:7	305:16	334:15 338:1,5	421:9 456:6
419:25 424:22	471:11 506:10	identified 23:9	338:9 340:23	464:5 483:15
426:12 434:24	house 309:16	31:14 36:7	342:25 343:10	impact 28:15
435:20 448:6	433:23 460:24	172:13 198:12	343:18 344:3	104:7
448:25 449:20	460:25 461:2	313:18,24	352:11,17,20	impacting
450:7 451:5,13	478:8	326:10 360:14	352:23,25	266:21
451:16 453:10	housekeeping	363:9 374:4	353:1,2,4,7	impacts 49:25
461:20 469:4	10:20 14:13	378:22 379:3	354:1,24	53:19 104:14
473:4 479:4,18	16:8 21:20	513:14	355:19 356:23	imperative
482:18,20	46:15 251:5	identifies 335:5	357:22 362:20	44:20
484:10 485:4	303:14 366:3	identify 10:18	363:24 364:2,7	important 25:13
485:14 492:3	houses 447:9	11:9 33:5 47:3	364:15 373:14	40:19 102:11
485:14 492:5	Housing 433:8	113:14 136:8	379:24 390:12	103:3,19
494:23 493:18	How's 349:23	163:23 198:4,5	390:15 391:3	160:18 161:7
507:12 511:15	human 41:9	279:14 292:5	391:22,23	169:4,10 182:8
511:23,25	53:4 104:14	294:1 295:12	408:4,25 411:6	184:23 221:20
512:14 514:2,3	159:13	294.1 293.12 295:20 333:10	420:6,6,9	252:19,24
512:14 514:2,5	humans 41:13	351:3 361:12	439:13,13,18	266:23 316:24
515:14 516:7	52:18,22 53:11	370:14	439:21 440:9	338:8 364:6
516:13 517:2	103:14 104:18	identifying	444:18 448:14	392:3 415:14
519:5,10,12	386:5	10:23 195:3	449:9 454:18	452:14 513:21
520:12,14	husband 168:10	ignore 240:25	454:22 455:9	importantly
honored 499:20	424:14 456:12	IHS 18:2 43:19	455:17 463:12	36:15
499:22	456:16 457:13	76:17,21,21	464:4 468:4,15	impose 348:11
hook 427:4	457:21 458:4	77:4,12 78:6	469:14 470:1,3	impose 548.11
hop 184:11	458:14,24	83:1 127:17	472:21 474:7,8	104:10 173:17
367:5 380:7	459:8,17	142:1,15 154:7	477:10,20	231:15 235:10
hope 270:6	460:13 462:19	156:9,12,24	487:3,16,17	impression 63:2
305:23	475:18 509:6	157:9,13,15	488:1 491:12	297:3,6 439:16
hopefully	475.18 509.0 husband's	159:9 168:2	491:19,22,24	439:18 445:8
187:14 272:4	462:13	192:22 193:5	491.19,22,24	imprisonment
hoping 273:6	Huson 7:4	192.22 195.5	499:9,15 500:7	381:19
hopping 474:16	Huston 6:17,19	209:16 218:7	500:14 501:13	improve 276:16
hose 429:6	6:21 8:18	218:15 221:12	501:21,24	281:6
432:17	141:14	275:16,17,18	502:7,8 503:4	improvements
hoses 152:20	hydrogeology	275:22,24	503:8 509:12	240:22
423:7,12	49:10	276:2,6,8,11	510:16,17	inability 104:13
hot 440:22	T7.10	278:6 286:23	IHS's 278:8	386:10
hour 16:25 26:6	I	287:13 288:2	295:5 362:6,14	inaccurate
28:7 112:12,14	I.D 124:1 314:20	289:20 291:21	ii 6:11 187:9	70:17 201:22
185:24 186:3	ICUC 408:4	293:20 291:21	231:2	201:23 202:9
267:18 328:13	idea 233:3	293.20 294.19	III 16:2	219:6 334:8
395:20	243:14 266:1	311:15,16	illegally 433:19	inadequate
575.20		511.15,10	megany +33.17	maurquait

440:20	282:19	337:10,17	inform 245:12	219:21 221:5,7
inappropriate	incorporating	338:1 363:23	322:13	221:11,19,21
119:7 208:25	195:20 238:12	365:8 405:21	information	223:25 228:20
incapable	328:12	448:14	6:12 17:14	228:22,23
404:14	incorporation	Indians 276:16	37:20 43:24	229:2,4,8,11
inches 389:4	59:7 189:9,17	indicate 122:8	44:2 54:8,10	229:17 231:5,5
incidences 36:17	430:1	125:23 132:10	54:11 59:9	231:9,9,13,14
36:19 37:2	incorrect 339:21	140:11 209:3	60:22 61:24	231:16,18,19
incident 115:4	343:21	246:4,22 254:1	64:16 68:10,12	233:1,2 235:3
433:4	increase 281:6	364:14 501:13	70:15 75:4	235:22,24
incinerate 51:22	441:12	502:25 503:3,4	78:8,22 79:1	237:22 241:6,7
include 23:15	increases 447:22	506:20,24	79:10,23 81:4	241:9,13
29:11 56:5	indefinite	indicated 54:13	81:5 82:25	242:23,25
59:11 79:6	182:12	86:21 87:18	83:10,22 84:14	243:1,18
99:10 103:25	independent	180:16 198:25	85:11 93:10,11	255:19 257:11
125:14 127:19	43:17 44:9,18	200:17 223:16	99:6 101:4,5	257:15 258:17
129:7,15 130:8	124:19 125:16	228:4 245:16	101:18,19,23	258:18,23
130:10 146:25	403:23	246:1,2 329:11	101:24 102:12	259:13 267:21
149:20 158:12	independently	indicates 100:12	103:4 104:7,9	283:16 292:15
167:13,16	292:19 443:2	244:4,9 296:4	106:4 107:3,3	293:16 295:15
218:11 323:5	485:20	296:8 503:6,7	108:11,25	295:25 296:1
332:18 352:12	Indian 18:2	indicating	109:6,12 110:7	297:18 311:19
included 22:5,13	76:22 77:13	245:18 364:17	115:13 117:8	320:24 322:9
30:22 49:14	96:12 99:24	indication	120:4 131:22	351:19 372:1,6
168:25 192:23	110:23 131:7	115:16	131:24 133:17	377:2,3,5,14
201:5 254:4	134:1 141:9,13	individual 30:15	136:4,12,18	381:18 382:4
311:15,19	143:4 145:3	277:4 281:1	137:4,7 138:20	383:13 386:20
362:19 411:4	146:8,13 147:6	487:25	138:23,24	404:23 442:2,3
includes 79:1	151:16,22	individualize	142:15 145:2	444:10 450:8
144:12 296:13	153:5,7 154:17	304:7	146:7 147:5	450:20 466:18
497:16,21,24	155:24 156:11	individually	151:15 153:6	467:14 483:16
including 28:3	160:10,21	304:7 351:4	155:23 156:23	484:5 489:9,10
36:3 45:4	172:1 178:8	465:6,12	157:12 159:8	490:9,11,15
67:12 79:4	193:7,9,13,22	individuals 20:3	178:12 196:3	504:19
143:3 144:19	196:9,22,24	162:22 179:17	197:7 198:8,21	informed 96:13
168:14 252:23	197:2,8,14	489:6	198:24 199:6	120:11 334:20
284:15 332:15	198:9 200:13	indulgence	199:10,11	335:14
381:19 487:4	205:7,15,19	70:25	200:2,3,7,18	informs 49:19
497:25	210:1 221:6,16	industrial 50:23	200:19,20,21	initial 15:6,13
incomplete	221:18,20	industry 206:16	200:24,25	15:16 59:20
358:19 391:16	235:7 275:9	341:17 436:15	202:1,6 207:8	102:7 178:8
inconvenienced	276:4,15 277:2	inference 229:7	208:20 209:19	199:5 217:3
399:17	278:12 279:3	inferring 498:17	209:25 210:15	485:16 486:7
incorporate	279:15 281:11	infiltration 87:6	210:23 211:1,2	486:22 488:17
15:6	282:4 288:8	influence 259:9	214:9 215:25	490:9 492:21
incorporated	297:24 313:7	259:22,23	217:20 218:17	initially 26:1
52:13 217:19	313:12 337:8	260:1	219:1,3,3,6,14	46:21 177:12

342:25 357:18	installation	intermix 465:1	278:13 279:5	216:23 257:12
initials 408:2	125:22	intern 50:5,20	280:25 282:5	279:14 283:22
initiate 178:16	installed 281:3	interpret 512:22	292:10 299:17	290:9 293:4,9
339:24	installing	interpretation	323:19 401:15	295:16 303:13
initiated 192:18	487:24	213:2 214:4	408:11 409:2,4	305:6 325:5
192:19 326:6	instance 74:2	215:13 216:8	511:7	340:19 366:2
502:9	103:10 175:24	interpreted	involvement	414:24 415:3
injection 59:7	201:8 229:12	163:4	44:13 98:21	431:22 450:20
189:8,15	240:8 244:7	interpreting	100:10 112:17	461:17 470:5
429:25	257:23	512:23	113:8 115:10	472:21 510:2
injector 145:18	instances 148:12	interrupt	121:8 130:15	513:23
146:19	182:4 294:13	113:21	133:6 135:19	issuing 519:24
input 258:18	438:13	interrupting	139:17 144:17	
inquire 347:15	instruct 456:7	364:11 409:15	148:18 152:8	J
366:9	instructed	introduce 11:15	154:8 161:14	J 7:13 8:6
inquired 93:19	489:22	17:22 53:6	171:8 278:8	J-A-M-E-S
inquiry 347:2	instructions	255:18 406:1	280:19 282:7	275:2
inside 281:5	406:6,15,19,22	introduced	292:20 299:18	Jace 96:7
406:11 426:4	406:22,24	405:20	342:17	Jake's 186:6
475:10 484:13	407:6 419:21	introducing	involves 40:5	James 3:10 4:7
insight 44:11	442:6	10:16	involving 75:23	4:8,13,20 6:17
insistence	intend 17:19	introductions	298:7 301:24	6:19,21 7:2,20
294:13	19:21 398:7	406:16,16,18	465:5	7:22 8:2,8,18
inspect 340:25	intended 103:24	406:20	ISS 408:3	18:1 99:24
358:24 439:7	227:19 299:2	inventory 257:7	issue 15:23	131:1 141:12
inspected 87:6	483:2	257:18	20:15 34:13	141:19,25
inspecting	intent 483:1	invite 270:3	36:13 38:5,16	146:13 151:21
279:12 340:16	intentional	306:22,24	38:23 39:3	153:4 154:17
359:1	380:16	415:25	54:9 55:9	194:1 208:23
inspection 48:5	interaction	invoice 110:16	60:15 62:8	216:23 236:12
77:4 85:21,22	90:12	111:1,18,19	108:10 115:8	268:4 271:4,4
86:1,4,13,22	interchangeably	112:16,25	164:25 198:10	274:14 275:2
87:13,25 88:4	13:7	114:13 116:23	198:23 199:2	294:20 302:10
88:12,16 89:2	interest 283:21	117:1,15 118:5	205:14 217:25	309:5,9,17,17
89:3 178:9	334:23 335:17	127:13 128:11	233:23 261:23	310:6 323:15
226:25 227:2,8	366:7	129:3,23	262:13 264:2	324:16 325:18
253:20 279:13	interested 10:6	invoices 113:15	271:7 308:12	334:2 344:21
inspections	186:19 301:19	130:13,18	493:17 495:16	345:7 346:19
56:15 226:16	396:8 423:24	158:9	512:1 520:2	360:1 373:13
340:18,20,23	458:5 493:4	invoke 303:23	issued 18:24	373:16 374:19
340:24 502:3,4	interfere 366:11	involve 15:17	82:25 86:5	391:3 405:24
inspector	interference	177:17 193:24	108:12 506:21	440:14,15
226:17 340:14	87:20	418:11	issues 15:19	445:10 456:8
358:23 379:24	interject 93:16	involved 60:9	22:19,22 25:3	471:3,5 484:18
394:15 433:18	206:10	75:22 91:5	42:24 82:3	488:10 489:9
inspectors	intermittent	154:10 218:7	166:1 188:7	January 36:1
-	261:9	218:11 278:6	204:14 215:23	106:4
492:16	201.9	210.11 270.0	207.17 213.23	

Г

Jeffery 8:5	judges 204:21	Kacsur 2:5 3:11	413:9,14,25	334:13 335:5
Jen 252:2	217:4	3:12,18,19,24	415:21,24	336:14 490:12
Jennifer 2:16	judgment 118:9	11:17,19 12:2	416:15,17,18	491:21
10:13 17:14	118:11 177:3	12:3 24:3	419:20,25	kind 13:6 16:25
Jeske 1:21 521:6	July 22:7,14	27:17,17,18,18	431:5 432:2	17:7 23:11,13
jetting 87:8	33:18 85:22,25	27:19 271:4,10	446:1 449:20	30:13 38:20
Jim 275:23	96:2 99:25	271:14 272:5,9	469:4,8,20,22	49:10 50:22
334:15 424:13	105:10,22	272:25 273:6	471:8 472:12	52:20,23,25
424:14 458:14	106:19 133:20	273:10,14,20	472:14,25	54:25 56:1
471:2,7 475:18	134:10 153:4	274:3,22 283:4	473:1,3 474:5	57:2 60:15
476:6 486:9	154:17 286:3	283:8,23,25	474:13 515:9	78:24 79:3
509:6	296:16,20	284:11,17,19	515:22 517:10	93:21 101:5
job 40:18 104:8	July-August	285:21,23	kacsur.kather	119:15 162:5
104:14,21	36:19	286:17,20	2:9	165:14 217:17
139:14 150:1	jump 379:6	287:1,2,17,19	Kansas 2:8 49:3	217:18 237:1
155:17 167:7	jumping 31:21	289:4,6,24	Kate 11:18,19	258:4,14 259:9
275:4 343:1	jumping-off	290:1,10,13,21	Katherine 2:5	261:4,15 266:8
346:15 376:21	258:5	290:24 291:5,7	keep 16:12 17:7	282:25 291:9
400:19 401:6,7	June 88:13 91:1	292:4 293:25	27:12 53:12	305:17 317:11
407:19 408:18	94:20 127:5	294:6,7 295:9	92:6 101:6,8	327:18 347:1
422:16,18	151:20 152:23	295:11 297:9	102:23 106:21	348:25 368:5
442:10,12	160:14,20	297:11,20,21	113:18 171:16	369:12 374:5,5
443:21 457:10	180:2 295:2	298:9,11,21,22	172:16 230:16	396:25 402:21
457:11 510:8	318:6 351:13	300:1,21,25	270:25 271:2	421:20 426:11
jobs 280:18	499:8,14,14	301:4 308:11	277:22 293:8	426:18 446:8
John 309:17	jurisdiction	317:22,25	305:18 364:11	451:4 453:7
Johnson 158:4	256:19,20	318:3,5 340:1	368:2 409:15	468:16 469:15
join 306:23	jurisdictional	346:21,23	411:18,18,22	477:24 478:19
307:3	244:19 248:16	347:12 348:3	412:5 416:4	481:7 484:20
joined 307:5	249:3,5 257:12	349:5,10,14,18	422:19 458:21	495:10 512:9
joining 274:24	257:19,24	349:24 350:3,8	465:22 488:25	518:23 519:3
joint 9:11 21:21	258:12 260:3	350:11,14,20	501:4	520:2
22:2,11 28:20	260:12 262:23	350:24 351:8	keeping 53:11	kinds 78:21
249:14 250:22	jury 165:17	353:19,22	103:8 230:11	411:21 502:3
250:24 348:4	justice 495:14	354:12,15,17	230:12,13,15	Kleffner 3:7
348:19 514:25	JX 250:20,22	355:1,3,7,8,12	412:8,11	4:20,22,23
520:19	JX1 9:12 251:2	355:15,21,23	keeps 265:12	6:18,20,22 7:2
jot 17:13	251:15 252:5	356:25 357:2	kept 394:1,7	7:4 8:7,9,18
judge 1:11 2:16	520:19	359:10 365:19	467:6	17:22 45:18
10:12 26:11	JX2 9:13 348:17	378:4 398:1,3	key 185:20	46:2,4 47:19
204:20 208:24	350:23 351:7	399:14 400:9	kick 74:11	47:21,24 61:3
216:25 217:1	515:10,23	400:11 402:4,5	kicking 422:1	63:9 65:15
269:18 321:14	520:20	402:9,12	kill 475:10	72:5,25 76:10
321:18,25		404:12,16	killed 462:4	77:2 78:21
322:3 403:14	<u> </u>	405:4,5 407:10	Killsback 96:7	79:20 80:6
414:19	K-L-E-F-F-N	407:11,12	119:24 120:7	82:24 84:5
judge's 187:15	47:22	409:1 410:9	156:5 157:4	85:17 88:16

00.00.5	125 0 1 42 00	207 00 200 10	100 (105 0 10	
89:22 90:5	135:8 143:20	387:22 388:19	120:6 135:3,12	labs 145:16
92:7,17 100:8	143:23 162:12	389:15 391:17	145:25 161:20	180:12 314:4
100:20 101:15	163:25 164:4	391:20 392:3	162:25 163:2	lack 446:1
105:2,17	164:14 166:3	396:17 398:19	163:11 164:24	lacks 43:7
107:16 108:10	166:18 169:10	399:3 401:2,4	166:10 168:16	lagging 327:25
112:4,16	169:22 179:18	403:3,17 406:1	168:21,22	lagoon 7:19
114:15 117:6	180:4,5,6	407:1 408:22	169:16 218:20	56:10 76:19
124:3 125:11	182:7 184:23	409:14 410:23	226:13 236:9	87:3 88:10,20
130:12 135:17	185:18 186:2,8	411:7 414:6	264:21 291:25	89:4,6 97:6
135:25 138:17	192:20,24	417:25 418:1,7	299:2 316:21	99:23 108:20
141:4 148:17	197:7 202:17	418:14,22,23	319:24 320:24	110:24 126:22
150:13 158:14	203:4 206:25	422:6 428:2	326:17 340:2,4	136:10 140:20
161:13 167:6	207:16 208:4	429:15 432:7	352:4 381:15	146:15 150:11
172:15 175:12	208:12 209:24	432:11,18	403:8,12,22	170:19 192:16
178:16 181:22	210:3 211:8	433:2 434:6	428:10 446:2	192:17 193:6
182:19 187:2	213:18,24,25	437:23 438:1	447:14 455:8	201:16 204:23
188:4 189:4	214:7,9 224:20	438:18 440:7	455:20 465:3	221:14 225:22
190:9 191:12	225:2,16	445:5,7,23	471:14 477:12	225:23 241:25
192:9,16	226:21,23	449:4 450:9,14	500:20	244:11 245:14
195:12 198:2	227:2,13	451:7 452:15	Knowles 1:14	245:16,18,21
199:22 203:11	230:22 232:5	452:18,18,23	known 5 1:9	254:11 259:19
204:2 208:15	234:1,21 237:2	458:1,8 460:19		260:10 263:1
216:22 234:25	238:8,22 244:6	462:1 465:7,11		264:23 266:23
239:16 244:21	250:10,13	465:14 467:10	lab 56:13 60:22	279:14 280:20
245:7 247:6,21	251:4 253:22	467:21,24	98:1,9,14 99:4	288:11 289:16
248:12 252:17	254:15,24	472:22 473:4	99:8 145:15,22	296:10 297:1
255:22 303:1,6	257:10 258:21	474:1 475:4,24	146:1 153:19	311:25 313:9
303:20 304:5,9	259:21 262:25	476:12,19,23	153:22 173:16	316:18 317:5,8
321:24	263:6 264:9,9	477:15,23,24	176:19 177:11	319:16 331:3
knew 182:15	267:17 269:6	478:1,2,7,11	179:16,18,24	338:4 364:1,13
198:8,22	272:1 277:21	478:11,20	180:17,22,22	401:10 409:8
202:13 433:21	279:17 280:11	479:3,20,21,22	180:25 181:5	409:11,17,21
434:15,15,16	280:14,16	479:25 480:5	202:14,18,20	409:22,24
437:15 469:17	282:20,25	480:17,18	211:3 217:6,10	417:19 420:23
know 12:16,17	288:25 289:17	481:19 482:5	218:15,24	423:25 424:1
13:4 14:17,17	291:24 292:14	482:22 483:17	227:11 242:6	425:12,14,16
15:10,11 16:15	292:14,17	491:7 493:21	286:9 311:15	427:14 430:21
16:18 17:4	300:21 303:4	494:25,25	317:25 318:1,5	433:7,13,16,17
20:20 21:10	305:14 308:5	496:3 507:5,17	323:5,8 350:16	437:12 440:19
25:4 27:4,11	316:4 324:18	507:21 510:21	350:17,21	443:4 447:2,3
28:11 30:24	337:19 338:9	513:11,15	351:22 465:22	447:4,23
36:12 38:22	340:4 341:12	516:2 517:23	labor 127:15,22	454:19 455:18
39:3 47:9 56:8	344:7 346:10	518:13	129:18 152:5	458:11 464:9
63:10 70:22	347:5,10,10	knowing 222:13	501:25	464:21 468:1
71:9,12 75:17	368:17 373:9	235:4 381:20	laboratory	470:6 471:15
106:18 118:1	378:8,21	knowledge 28:1	172:8 288:16	474:25 475:20
124:13,16,22	380:10 383:17	77:19 96:17	351:11	487:20 509:16
L			1	1

lagoons 92:15	417:6,6 421:6	220:22 221:14	lands 73:22	learned 343:3
130:10 137:19	445:18,19,21	221:25 222:1,5	282:19 283:3	leased 137:21
147:24 195:15	445:22,22	222:21 223:7	298:20	195:17 328:9
246:16 252:23	446:10,11,12	227:15,18	language 64:21	401:12
278:21 322:17	454:19 460:9	228:11,17	66:13 294:14	leaseholder
323:2,22 328:7	460:10 475:7	229:14,16,19	lapse 49:24	191:14,20,24
338:12 375:7	492:20	230:2,8,8	laptop 82:15	leave 18:13
404:4 417:6	land 6:2,8,10	231:6,20,21,23	89:19 100:18	35:19 186:23
455:21 456:9	8:16 35:6	231:23 232:1,3	large 177:24	273:17 306:10
461:3 470:25	43:13 49:12	232:19 235:5	237:12 426:20	308:18 347:11
laid 70:11	51:18 52:4,5	236:3,13 237:2	426:22 427:25	350:6 380:20
Lame 7:19 40:7	57:25 58:3,22	237:3,4,17,18	lastly 33:17 42:5	422:24 427:9
42:3 76:15,19	58:23 59:5,8	237:22 238:1,1	late 449:4	435:23
87:2,5 88:17	60:2,5,17,18	238:5 242:19	launched 150:8	leaves 33:25
89:1,8 92:14	60:20 61:11,21	242:20,21	law 1:11 2:16	leaving 267:19
97:6 108:19	62:4,8 65:24	243:4 253:4,12	10:12 26:11	306:12 420:24
110:24 115:11	67:19 68:25	253:15,23,24	36:15,16 37:1	led 215:1 434:4
130:7,10,15	69:13,15,17,18	254:7 266:14	37:11,17,21,21	left 19:6 72:6
132:18,21,25	72:11 74:24	266:15,16,19	37:23,23 38:1	164:10,10
133:3,6 136:9	75:5 78:10	266:24 282:25	41:5 49:16	166:19 189:14
137:19.20	81:1 91:15,16	285:8 296:14	69:16 83:7	204:22 207:16
140:20 147:24	91:20 95:6,7,8	296:22 299:5	125:17 133:21	207:20 216:14
147:25 172:18	95:8 100:14,21	313:17 326:9	134:11,13	217:8 228:14
195:15,16	101:23 102:1	332:11 357:10	165:23 211:8	236:23 253:18
225:22,23,24	102:20,22	371:14,16,19	212:3,19,19,23	300:17 302:8
226:4,8,11,20	103:24 104:3	372:8 373:18	213:8 214:16	307:18 308:12
227:17 241:25	106:2,9,11,20	382:4,6 383:12	215:13,14	369:18 395:12
243:24 244:7	112:8 121:9	383:16,19	216:8 220:19	418:2 421:5
244:10,11,17	131:17 136:10	384:5 388:11	381:14 472:17	423:7 440:2
245:14,19,21	136:13,14,18	390:16 400:22	492:4	450:6 459:25
246:3,19 248:1	137:25 145:17	402:6 410:25	laws 145:14	465:20
248:14,17,20	146:21 150:20	411:1 429:23	146:25 225:3	leftover 51:11
255:14,20,23	150:24 151:6	429:24,25	332:17,25	legal 38:23 39:3
259:3,19,22	162:2,3 174:22	430:10,22	356:21 437:18	106:6 165:25
260:10 262:22	175:4,15,19,21	441:16 503:21	lawyer 290:5	211:21,25
263:1 264:12	178:4,7 179:1	508:13	294:5 472:23	212:21 213:1,6
264:23 265:19	182:7 183:16	land-applying	lay 28:13 451:18	212:21 213:1,0
266:2,12,22	185:6 189:6,7	191:18	layout 174:15	214:3 216:19
278:15 279:8	189:8,16,24	Lande 486:9	488:7	261:25 383:15
279:17,19,23	191:15,17,22	landed 369:12	LD 99:23	legitimately
280:2 282:22	191.13,17,22	landfilled 51:20	lead 215:3	377:3
	,	79:9		Lenexa 2:8
288:7,11 296:19 297:1	199:2,12,16	landowner	leadership 41:23	
	200:16,18			length 28:16 498:7 507:22
298:18 313:9	205:24 207:12	108:13 191:14	leading 124:10	
328:6,7 375:8	207:15,17,23	191:20,24	168:23	lessor 235:2
385:4,7 401:10	208:5,9 216:4	194:7 235:2	learn 89:1	let's 20:13 21:19
401:11 409:25	220:6,7,9,13	236:15 326:11	392:11	39:24 51:7
			I	l

,		I	I	
52:8 54:17,23	letters 449:6	265:1 493:12	140:6,9 175:16	7:4 8:18
55:12 58:16	letting 308:5	line 44:16	175:22 223:25	141:14
64:4 75:20	468:4	120:10,21	243:9 383:14	LLC 461:24
88:25 90:11	level 43:8	121:1 127:20	451:17	load 140:19
102:9 103:2	222:25 237:1	127:22,23	listen 509:8	264:25 265:5
127:8 128:7	264:12	137:21 142:3	listening 403:17	406:2 426:21
134:17 175:6,7	levels 279:2	142:19,24	517:11	429:10
185:21 186:10	447:20	144:9,16	lists 125:21	loaded 139:5
225:17 231:1,1	LexisNexis 5:24	153:17 154:4	132:6 136:10	406:3
232:1 283:4	liabilities 165:25	155:15 168:11	247:24	loading 182:3,9
285:21 286:17	liability 15:17	175:7 195:17	literally 392:22	182:12,17
287:17 289:24	132:15 211:9	207:1,4 209:10	426:25 475:24	222:17 223:1,4
290:3 297:9,20	211:16,19	234:22 244:9	476:4	loads 106:12
298:9 304:17	212:6 213:11	244:13 245:24	litigant 42:21	383:19
304:20 309:16	214:19 215:23	246:4,19 287:4	litigants 16:18	local 41:13
319:14 321:7	450:15,17	313:25 314:24	litigators 23:4	186:22 301:1,3
355:21 356:25	452:22,24	315:5,17	little 11:6 12:25	locate 100:25
359:16,24	467:22,23	327:21 328:1,9	29:1 35:13	191:2 202:8
363:8 382:16	482:23,25	329:4 351:6	49:18 50:1	located 137:19
382:24 399:15	483:6 497:23	360:14,20	52:8 54:17,23	195:15 245:15
402:12 405:4	liable 58:9	363:20 375:23	55:12 58:16	301:2 328:6
446:8 451:14	239:24 459:4	376:4 387:7	64:15,21 88:25	417:5 475:6
496:23 501:20	liberal 23:7	400:16 407:17	101:10 119:14	location 44:2
504:1	license 224:18	460:18 461:4	129:12 175:6	106:7,8 201:3
letter 4:7,9,12	225:6 478:16	498:24 499:4	176:18 185:5	326:24 383:15
4:15,16,17,19	478:20,20	lines 111:25	185:11 214:1	383:16
5:19,20,21	493:18	112:11 116:20	215:16 224:25	locations 475:4
6:15 7:5,9,12	licensed 513:22	168:6 286:5	241:18 242:25	lock 432:8
7:20 8:2,4,6,19	licenses 125:14	363:22 459:25	243:20 259:12	locked 254:2
8:22,23 9:9	lies 385:6	460:16	264:21 278:14	420:23 421:13
31:14,16 33:18	life 41:13 116:22	link 270:8,11	305:11 307:14	421:15 423:3,6
69:14 83:7	264:4 388:21	350:21	310:9 317:16	429:5 431:23
84:9,12,13,18	446:14	links 269:20	327:17,22	432:16,20
84:22 86:5	lift 56:7 87:20	liquid 145:18	367:6 370:16	433:3 437:1
90:14 94:25	116:23 118:7	146:19 419:7	376:16 387:23	445:14 459:1
95:11 121:19	130:8	427:20	388:20 390:19	467:12 507:13
123:9 133:20	light 42:23	liquids 51:10	415:17 440:11	508:5
142:12 145:12	liked 483:23	428:1	442:10 445:18	locking 432:23
170:14 284:3,5	limit 65:11	liquified 404:6	451:3 461:22	432:23 437:2,3
284:21 286:22	176:13 263:20	liquify 419:13	509:5 512:15	lockout 254:7
297:23 337:9	limitations 43:2	427:18,19	516:12 519:17	507:21,24
356:19 382:17	414:24	list 29:3 66:10	live 385:1	log 304:21
383:5 404:24	limited 125:15	123:24 248:2	446:16,17	logbook 466:17
405:2 451:17	132:15 143:24	443:9	460:25	logged 307:1
469:13,18	147:1 332:18	listed 78:14 81:7	lived 446:7,13	logistics 17:10
490:12 501:7,8	473:18 485:14	98:11,16 106:5	lives 446:4	logs 172:9 201:3
510:18	limits 263:4	118:6 138:4	Liz 6:17,19,21	288:17 331:22

331:25 375:22	128:21 178:10	luck 516:25	400:19 455:8	52:15 53:9,12	
376:6,10,12,17	181:25 244:7	lunch 16:22,23	461:7 483:11	59:10,10 60:15	
376:23,25	282:12 483:1	184:10 185:12	488:6 510:6,14	73:11,14 74:5	
long 14:17 37:10	looking 14:7	185:22 186:2,2	maintain 40:24	75:14 79:4	
47:2 50:11	31:10 44:4	186:15,22	41:5 42:8	92:1 99:12	
113:21 222:2	78:9,20,22	395:19 396:3,4	58:24 59:3,9	102:4,5 103:15	
270:22 275:12	79:10,21 83:4	396:13	65:21 66:23	103:19,22,25	
308:21 357:15	101:4 108:21		67:16 68:20	104:17 125:19	
385:9 387:18	114:5 128:23	<u> </u>	73:14 74:4	201:1 225:9	
399:3,8 415:2	134:4 141:11	M-I-C-H-E-L	101:7,9 108:1	233:6 235:18	
460:5 462:6	144:23 146:4	454:12	182:8 230:17	235:20 275:20	
479:8 481:13	155:1 185:11	ma'am 16:5	232:11 353:3	278:6 293:3	
longer 25:22	185:14 191:4	21:16 27:10	406:8 490:20	384:9 412:6,7	
26:20 159:1	214:9 223:23	42:16 68:3	490:22 492:6	504:10,14,24	
325:1 336:22	229:7,16	116:14 119:11	maintained	505:5,11,17,19	
358:13 421:9	230:25 245:12	125:6 164:16	44:25 66:14	505:22	
458:5 464:1	246:18 257:19	206:13,19	73:18 173:5	manager 109:23	
472:8 493:3	319:5 341:12	215:5,8 216:9	183:23 232:14	110:4 111:9	
496:13	347:17,19,20	255:6 262:4	232:18,22	122:1 142:22	
look 30:19 32:22	347:21 377:3,6	300:4 307:1	383:10 441:22	143:14 152:1	
48:12 54:2	377:8 474:2	309:14 318:3	491:4	170:9 279:25	
63:16,17,21	looks 35:23	324:15,21	maintaining	332:24 356:13	
64:8,9,25	247:12 276:6	329:15,17	41:17 185:4	390:25 420:15	
96:25 97:18	402:7	330:16 333:11	492:2	434:9 470:12	
126:23 127:8	lost 198:19	333:14 341:9	maintenance	481:12,12	
128:7 129:23	lot 49:8 50:21	348:22 361:14	56:5,11 115:24	499:2,17	
131:19 170:5	57:18,19 71:1	367:2 396:21	409:9 410:11	508:17 509:9	
175:7,9 180:13	165:15,17	399:25 400:7	410:14	managers 40:21	
183:1 184:9	177:9 197:15	403:25 409:20	major 91:25	managing 40:11	
185:13 187:1	208:2 262:25	435:1 436:2	92:1	275:19	
203:17 213:24	281:19 341:18	446:13 453:23	majority 489:8	manholes 87:5	
218:2 227:3,22	401:21 403:17	480:11,15,19	making 56:8	manner 177:22	
247:15,18	403:18 408:1	481:1 482:1,9	95:2 103:25	509:4	
248:8 250:3	443:24 461:17	484:2,23,25	124:21 143:11	manual 476:23	
270:1 279:13	475:12 488:6	485:8,24	160:14 163:23	manually	
283:4 287:17	493:14 494:8	486:19 487:9	164:13 212:11	271:18	
297:20 346:1	510:23,24	488:21 489:2	237:20 244:22	map 9:12 146:15	
350:16 351:9	511:5 513:18	490:5,8,22	244:25 249:1	226:3 229:23	
355:21 356:25	514:15	492:12 495:21	249:11 250:8	244:3,21	
375:25 377:20	lots 16:21 23:10	509:23 510:1	256:11 258:3	245:14,16,22	
378:25 379:3,8	241:13 243:13	511:12 515:2	294:13 295:2	246:3,6,19	
381:8 422:8	261:6 433:10	Mail 5:11	305:1 423:24	249:9 252:5	
444:2 451:19	487:4,11	main 127:23	490:1	255:18,20	
452:2 472:12	low 21:1	132:22 133:4	man 405:20	256:5 258:9	
497:8 516:12	lower 53:21	210:21 211:12	manageable	261:15,19	
looked 30:10	73:10 328:17	334:2,6 343:1	305:11	296:10 441:17	
35:8 119:10	353:14	345:19 391:12	management	441:23,25	

515:1,1	MCA 332:21	mechanics	memorialized	360:17
mapper 257:3,5	mean 21:9 22:21	306:3	226:25 298:15	mic 272:17
mapping 256:4	26:16 29:10	media 281:5	477:24 488:12	274:7 305:7,19
256:17,22,25	55:19 59:21	meet 54:5 60:19	491:21 518:23	306:10,12,18
257:22 258:1,4	103:8 120:19	79:6 91:21	memory 52:24	307:4,6 309:11
maps 226:7	135:5,6 163:4	92:18 93:2	62:20 171:23	309:11,16
256:8 261:21	165:1 168:25	146:22 171:4	183:19 312:13	347:24 348:1
475:6	169:22 177:1	175:23 176:10	450:5	349:8,13
March 84:10	180:6 186:1	176:16,20,23	mentioned	mic's 72:20
mark 251:9,14	209:23 213:22	177:9 180:18	179:14 181:17	Michael 309:24
marked 34:2	228:1 234:7	285:9 332:12	223:14 302:7	324:19
378:15	249:24 250:1,4	357:11 420:18	360:16 365:1,2	Michael's 324:8
marks 293:14	269:12 282:14	420:20,21	365:3 411:10	Michelle 2:17
406:10,11	291:19 292:11	430:6,16,18	417:9 442:9	3:23 12:13
markup 66:1,6	293:15 304:11	470:21 493:11	465:8 466:17	19:25 132:6,14
Marla 1:21	306:4 311:1	meeting 85:9	474:18 487:22	168:8 299:15
521:6	328:25 341:16	96:11 97:7	493:8 520:1	453:24 454:2
master's 49:1,7	341:19 347:5	131:3 218:22	mentions 100:11	454:10,12
49:7,11 50:5,6	362:13,20	294:20 307:6	mere 41:7	microphone
50:10	367:12 388:23	308:12 356:23	mess 240:14	39:22 349:19
match 79:11	409:19 418:3	390:22 391:9	274:8 403:17	349:25
117:15 128:20	419:4 427:18	408:7,10,14	514:21	microphones
matched 197:3	434:1 442:11	411:17 439:17	message 322:5,7	271:6
matches 209:16	450:22 464:17	439:18 440:14	met 59:1 80:25	mics 270:16
209:16	473:4,15	444:18 466:3	81:14,17,18	305:21
material 212:25	475:25 479:6	475:16 485:17	106:14 139:3	mid-2019
328:4 374:7	479:19,21	486:22,24	175:3 176:23	456:23
417:15	480:5 482:8	487:7,8,17	177:16 180:21	mid-application
materiality	492:3 494:1,7	488:3,4,12,18	263:4 383:21	431:24
23:12	495:6 510:25	491:19,19	405:18 413:11	mid-August
materials 12:24	513:20 516:5,9	513:8	metal 52:16 58:3	458:11
136:9 147:21	516:14 517:22	meetings 127:18	99:9 175:20	middle 295:13
383:12 417:3	518:17	299:20 486:24	458:21 508:21	437:22,25
math 323:2,4	meaning 88:9	487:13,16	metals 41:11	460:15,16
456:8	248:21,22	491:8,11	52:14,17 58:1	469:25
matter 1:3 10:13	400:25 402:25	meets 220:18	58:25 79:1,7	midway 507:25
10:24 11:11	487:3 491:19	430:8	154:2 173:12	miles 226:5
21:20 29:2	means 59:6,16	member 226:13	175:16,24	244:15 264:13
247:25 292:1	163:4 169:24	340:23 422:13	182:9 199:15	267:1 401:11
303:14 368:8	220:15 352:15	members	222:16,22	410:2 417:7
matters 10:20	393:20 414:6	144:14 168:13	223:1,4 235:13	446:17,20
30:24 495:14	421:18 423:5	225:4 487:5	235:17 263:11	milestones
max 195:22	437:24	497:17	263:15,17,20	296:14
315:21 325:21	meant 405:17	Memorandum	263:21,25	milkshake 441:5
328:13 373:2	512:19	4:6	264:4,6	million 92:11
maximum	measures 85:9	memorialize	method 60:14	243:12
260:19	322:4	519:3	102:4 145:19	mind 16:13
	I		I	I

27:12 120:16	398:11	1:23 2:13	268:18 300:9	30:8 31:19
144:2 185:21	missing 101:22	10:24 40:7	300:19 301:10	32:12,21,24
349:20 360:24	101:25 102:2	123:13,24	301:17,23	33:9,15,22
361:4 397:1	200:25 235:13	125:24 137:20	302:10 310:6	34:12,17 35:15
468:25 474:16	235:19 515:4	147:25 158:1	428:15 461:12	36:21 38:10,25
495:3	mission 212:23	189:4 195:16	mortgage	39:11,18,20,24
mindful 17:2	310:10	201:7 224:17	456:23	40:2,3 42:13
164:12	Missouri 48:25	224:20,24	motion 20:9	45:15,18,24
mine 307:15	248:19	225:6,15	27:1 28:12,17	46:1,10,11,15
400:19 428:1	misspeak	289:13 301:3,5	29:6 36:10	47:7,15,18
433:22	448:12	314:4 328:7	motions 15:1	60:25 61:2
minimal 281:21	mistaken 62:21	332:14,19	520:3	62:12 63:3,20
minimize 16:19	512:22	357:13 385:2	motivation	63:24 64:4,10
305:17	mistakes 15:3	404:11 417:6	353:17	64:19,24 65:23
minimum	mitigation 84:25	478:15 493:8	Mountain 301:4	66:5,11,17
198:22 260:19	495:17	521:3,8,20	mouth 432:22	67:9 68:13,18
minor 15:5	mixed 212:2	Montana's	mouth 432.22 move 44:19	69:22 70:18
minute 14:13	mixing 389:7,10	225:3	71:25 95:15	71:19 72:2,4
46:13 179:6	mobilization	month 156:14	113:13 126:5	72:24 76:2,5,9
308:10,15	127:7 149:25	207:7	135:22 187:17	76:23 77:1
397:23 423:18		monthly 175:17	209:10 216:15	78:3,5,17,19
492:8 506:25	510:4,6 moment 20:13	months 433:5	209:10 210:13	
				80:2,5 81:20
519:16	28:22 271:3	464:20	321:7 333:9	81:22,23 82:1
minutes 11:5	273:11 303:3	moot 235:24	378:13 419:11	82:6,12,17,22
21:24 63:21	347:12 349:21	386:6	419:12	82:23 83:15,17
64:7 96:12	432:16 437:21	MORANO	moved 50:22	84:2,4 85:14
131:3 166:18	momentarily	251:19,24	282:18,18	85:16 86:9,12
188:17,18	514:17	Moreno 2:17	moving 80:1	87:10,12,22,24
239:3,6 269:14	moments 420:25	11:23,23	139:14 169:13	89:18,20 90:15
273:12 294:20	Monday 146:12	156:17,19	234:11,14	90:17 91:7,9
300:3 325:1	154:17 413:11	251:12,16,22	314:16,22	92:3,5 93:14
369:1 388:25	money 132:17	255:3,5,7	340:9 407:20	93:17 94:3,9
389:24 392:2,3	240:18 423:25	270:4 271:12	409:6	94:13,15 95:18
405:20 453:9	439:22 457:13	271:19,23	mowing 56:10	95:21 96:23
453:13,20	468:13,22	272:3,8,15,19	130:10	97:2,16,20
516:15	475:21 488:1	291:3 305:18	muddy 34:19	98:5,7 99:14
misapplication	510:9,11	306:7,15,17,20	Muehlberger	99:16 100:16
75:11	monies 158:9	307:7 308:10	2:4 3:4,8,9,14	100:19 104:23
mischaracteri	monitor 308:20	308:14 312:16	3:15,16 4:3	105:1 107:6,12
266:9	monitoring	312:20,22	7:15 11:12,13	107:14 108:7,9
mispronounci	86:25	348:13 350:22	16:7 17:11,21	109:2,4,8,10
172:17	monster 114:13	354:14 361:10	18:1,9,17,19	109:14,16,25
misquote 253:8	114:16,17	361:21 416:16	18:21,23 19:4	110:2,9,11
misread 217:3	115:22 116:2	morning 10:1,10	19:13 20:24	111:4,7,10,12
misremember	116:22 118:7	11:12,17,23	21:1 22:10	112:21,23
63:18 183:20	223:15,17	12:9 21:6	24:2,4,10	113:11,17,19
missed 79:22	Montana 1:15	267:25 268:12	27:16,22 30:2	113:24 114:3,4

114.22.24	170.24 171.10	452.10.11	440.14.440.14	400.16
114:22,24	170:24 171:18	452:10,11	440:14 449:14	499:16
115:9,17,18,21	171:22 174:17	495:24 496:2	449:15 454:11	Nation 128:19
116:16,17,18	174:20 175:9	497:8,11	464:6 481:5	National 18:3
117:3,5,21	175:11 176:1,3	502:23,24	named 28:4	55:21 257:6
119:19,22	179:5,9,12	506:25 507:3	494:16 521:10	275:8
121:11,13	181:14,20,21	511:15,25	names 17:18	nationwide
122:11 123:5,7	183:3,6,10	512:4 513:2	naming 158:1	77:18
123:15,17	184:12 185:14	514:2,8 516:19	492:15	Native 422:10
124:5 125:7,8	186:3,12	518:2,6,10	narrative	natives 421:25
125:10 126:5,7	187:18,25	519:5 520:12	512:20	422:3,18
126:12,14,23	188:1 196:13	Muehlberger's	Nathan 1:4 2:11	nature 85:3
127:1,8,10,25	206:6 211:20	508:8	4:2,16,19 5:13	479:20
128:2,7,9,23	212:10 233:25	muehlberger	8:4,19 11:1	navigable 35:25
129:1,20,22	239:2,5,11,15	2:8	12:8,10 40:9	36:5,13 38:12
130:22,24	244:20 245:4,6	muffin 114:13	75:23 77:9,20	55:11 226:2
131:19,21	246:17 247:3,5	114:16,17	78:12 79:23	244:17 248:22
133:13,15	247:14,20	115:22 116:1	86:20 97:10,14	262:19
134:4,6,17,22	248:5,8,11	116:21 118:7	97:23 98:13	navigated 366:1
135:16,22,24	249:7,15	223:15,17	99:18 101:16	NC 125:20
136:22,24	250:17,21,25	multiple 342:23	105:20,21	127:17 408:3
138:13,15	251:3,7,10	385:13 483:6,9	123:20 132:6	474:7
139:6,8,22,24	252:7 267:9	municipal 91:25	132:14 139:3	NCUC 4:5 7:19
141:1,3,16,18	268:3,7,11	92:2	139:12,13,17	9:5 32:10
141:22,24	269:11,15,17	mute 271:22	142:20 168:10	86:20 87:1
142:8,10 144:5	270:6,10,14,24	muted 271:25	168:12 220:1	96:6,8,13,15
144:7,23 145:1	291:4 302:16	272:18 273:4	280:11,14	96:17 108:14
146:4,6 147:2	303:2,6,25	274:3,7 305:7	281:18,24	108:15,18,19
147:4 148:2,4	366:15,18	305:8 309:11	289:10 290:5	109:13,24
148:23,24	368:7,10 369:3	309:15,25	294:4 295:8	110:7 111:9,14
149:8,10,15,18	369:11,24	349:24,25	296:7,12,16,20	111:22 113:1
150:25 151:2	370:17,25	350:3,4	296:24 298:5	115:1 117:7,19
151:12,14	371:1,4 372:11	muting 349:20	299:12 302:3	120:3,8,11
152:10,12,24	373:20,25		326:18 339:25	121:15,20
153:1 154:12	374:11 377:9	<u> </u>	342:22 343:1	122:4,9 126:9
154:14 155:1,5	379:1 380:23	N 1:1 3:1 4:1 5:1	401:2 405:18	126:16 127:3
155:20,22	381:2 382:10	6:1 7:1 8:1 9:1	407:7,18,23	127:14,18
156:15,18,20	382:12,23	N-A-T-H-A-N	409:3 416:11	128:4,13 129:5
156:22 157:7	383:3 384:20	481:6	416:12 419:23	130:1 131:6,13
157:11,17,19	385:21 387:22	nailed 479:4	458:15,17	131:23 132:6
157:21 158:18	388:4,18	name 11:13,18	463:18 465:5	133:12,16,20
158:20 159:5,7	394:25 395:5	12:10 21:12	465:12 468:5	134:14,24
160:2,4 161:2	395:13,16,18	40:3 47:20,21	469:25 470:3	141:6 142:21
161:4 163:8,9	395:22 396:1	48:1 121:24	471:10,13	143:5,15 145:9
164:19 166:14	396:16 397:14	168:7 257:3	472:20 475:5	146:14 147:11
166:16 167:3,5	397:23 435:20	274:25 282:24	475:15,17	149:6 151:21
167:22,24	451:16,21,23	371:8 400:13	477:4 480:22	152:2,4,17
170:7,10,12,22	451:24 452:8	400:14 417:25	481:6 498:25	156:7 157:4
		1	1	1

150 0 10 150 0	464 1 465 01	001 10 005 11	0.57 1 (0.61 10	504.0.12.505.4
158:3,10 159:2	464:1 465:21	231:18 235:11	357:16 361:10	504:8,13 505:4
160:10,13,21	466:7 468:2	235:22 237:22	367:5 368:18	505:9 509:16
160:24 161:25	470:7,8,10	238:6 243:18	370:4 377:17	511:2,4
170:9,20,20	471:9,25 472:3	328:4 354:8	378:10 399:16	new 35:22
171:13 173:3	472:9 474:8,9	367:23 368:24	402:23 415:16	187:13
192:19 199:25	483:8,11	417:3 493:11	440:4 448:3	night 437:22,25
200:2,11,13,20	484:17 487:18	necessity 65:15	452:12 453:2	460:15
209:25 210:20	488:11 489:22	266:18	464:4 486:21	nine 425:2
210:23 211:12	490:4,5 491:4	need 13:9 19:14	491:3 509:8	427:12
212:6 217:25	491:8,22,24	21:23,24 30:6	needed 26:25	nitrate 153:18
218:22 233:20	494:13 496:9	30:17 47:9	70:15 152:5	286:8
233:23 254:2,5	496:10,14,18	56:8 58:24	183:23 187:16	nitrogen 53:18
254:9 294:21	498:2,5,9,10	59:3,9 65:1	207:17 208:20	60:23 61:15,15
294:22,23	498:14,16,18	67:6 73:14,17	241:10 293:17	99:9 154:3
295:1 298:15	498:21 499:1,9	74:4,9 89:12	308:7 333:5	173:11 196:2
310:21 311:15	499:9,15,18	94:25 102:18	369:19 456:4	201:10,14
313:7 322:10	500:12,16,24	118:19 136:17	458:18 460:3	217:16,17
322:13 332:24	501:3,6 502:13	165:15 169:1	464:5 467:4	222:3 228:14
334:2,6,13,20	503:4,8,18	169:22,24	483:16 489:13	241:21 315:2
335:1,5,14,20	508:25 509:13	175:23 176:14	490:10 505:4	316:8
335:22 336:1	NCUC's 86:24	184:10 185:12	506:9 508:18	nixed 73:15
336:23,25	117:12 161:14	188:10 189:12	509:2 510:7	Nods 303:25
337:4 338:3,5	171:8 334:23	195:1 196:3	needs 23:3	non-degradati
338:6 339:3	335:17 357:22	197:6 202:16	102:21 171:5	332:19
343:19 344:3	362:9 491:6	203:22 205:25	180:18 185:18	non-highlighted
352:11 354:1	NCUC-05-2017	208:5,6 213:4	198:13,17	413:19
354:21,25	116:24	213:22 218:2	207:20 217:6,9	non-navigable
355:19,25	near 262:10	218:19 227:1,3	224:12 263:4	36:8
356:13 357:18	503:25 508:9	230:16,16	470:22 502:20	non-public 74:2
357:25 358:3	508:12	234:19 237:22	506:7 513:25	non-soiled
358:14 360:24	necess 209:5	240:14,15,24	negatives 23:10	442:19
361:1,5 362:4	necessarily	249:17 267:16	negligible 222:6	non-tilled
363:25 364:2,3	162:8 209:24	267:18 269:6,7	negotiation	442:20
364:15,18	222:2 228:12	269:13,18	122:19	noncompliance
390:25 391:12	260:23 263:23	270:15,22	negotiations	167:19
391:23 408:3,3	368:18 517:23	271:8,17,20	439:12 491:22	nope 463:24
408:25 434:7	necessary 14:20	272:14,19,21	neither 92:14	509:18
437:18,19	61:24 67:19	273:1,3 290:25	210:24 514:11	normal 259:1,4
438:6,10,13	101:21 103:6	291:15 300:3,8	never 41:3	259:5 368:19
439:4,6,13,19	123:23 148:15	300:13 302:12	200:19 201:18	369:23 398:5
439:20 448:15	155:10 173:5	304:3,21,25	233:2 372:9	399:11,20
448:19,22	180:15 202:14	305:2,4 308:22	376:13,21	normally 53:8
449:9 454:18	208:17,18,19	316:25 319:22	386:20 463:20	177:25 260:17
454:22 455:8	210:4,24 211:3	319:23 324:7,7	464:23 467:11	282:15 346:6
455:11,12,15	217:21 219:14	324:10,13,15	467:14 496:7	425:14
456:18 459:9	221:4,8,10,11	330:13 336:6	498:22 499:20	north 1:14
459:13 461:8	225:18 228:16	348:7 353:12	499:22,25	245:22 246:7,8
			,	,
	1	1	1	1

240 10 446 17		226 7 248 4 12	272 20 274 1	269 2 452 17
248:18 446:17	notes 16:9 20:2	336:7 348:4,13	373:20 374:1	368:2 452:17
Northern 43:20	65:20 444:2	361:13 378:14	374:10 377:9	473:16
44:23 85:23	488:12	383:18,19	377:11 385:21	occasions
96:6 108:16	notice 5:13 8:21	413:3 416:4	386:12 404:2	279:11 468:1
110:21 122:1,7	9:8 33:12	451:23	446:1	occur 75:11
128:18 134:3,9	61:24 107:19	numbered 351:4	objections 33:22	121:3 437:17
147:17,25	231:18	numbers 113:15	124:23	occurred 507:21
158:13 193:10	noticed 305:9	243:14 422:16	obligated 37:1	507:24
199:24 225:4	432:20 510:8	456:8	459:10	occurrences
247:11,25	notification	nurse 462:15,17	obligation 69:9	418:11
280:1 282:23	61:14 463:24	nursing 467:1	233:19,20	October 83:8
284:13 298:6,7	477:15	478:19	465:24	offer 24:6 25:10
298:14 313:6	notified 26:6	NWI 257:6	obligations 67:4	39:8 84:14
313:11 323:15	331:19 432:16	NWPR 35:23	235:2 461:15	162:8 342:25
338:2 342:15	November		488:9 489:12	450:12,25
363:25 390:22	114:9 141:14	$\frac{0}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$	489:14 494:5	480:1 495:3
401:12 420:9	283:13 285:2	o'clock 453:14	observation	510:21
420:17 421:7	295:22 297:16	oath 13:12	226:19	offered 13:16
424:9 433:8	382:19 383:5	274:11 305:5	observations	34:3 169:15
439:10 445:14	NPDES 50:25	307:21 370:4	447:6	210:15 450:22
449:1 457:22	55:17,18,20	400:2 480:2,8	observe 119:8	476:18
460:20 486:25	89:9,10,15,25	480:12 495:15	226:17 428:17	offhand 230:22
487:6 488:4	90:6 91:25	object 62:6	440:23	office 2:6 127:23
490:13,14	92:9 225:11,17	115:3 250:5	observed 440:23	156:9,13
492:20 494:13	244:2 248:2	290:16 303:9	447:17,17	297:25 337:21
494:15 497:7	260:4,16,17,22	321:17 378:23	observer 119:6	370:18 422:22
499:6	266:10,13	402:20	308:25	423:9 432:15
Northwest	489:24 494:7	objection 64:7	observing 21:15	432:21 433:2
48:25	NPN 176:12	64:20 67:8	303:24 442:16	433:10,11,24
Nos 29:17,19	number 11:2	71:5,24 117:23	obstructions	434:17 439:14
520:19	14:19 21:2	119:13 124:7	127:21	462:11 488:5
notarial 521:17	43:3 46:19	135:1,11 143:7	obtain 148:15	officer 17:24
Notary 1:21	47:3 59:18	161:18 162:16	191:21 284:5	48:3 58:8
521:6,20	60:6 76:17	164:20,23	516:25	130:20 144:16
note 12:6 15:3	96:14 106:10	166:6 168:17	obtained 433:12	152:7 161:13
35:7 40:19	106:12 107:8	168:20 169:14	433:15	162:19 163:2
113:22 116:7	110:17 111:19	169:23,24	obtaining	163:11 166:8
124:23 143:11	116:23 121:3,4	196:13,19	515:23	167:6 169:5,17
161:8 187:5	124:1 125:21	206:6,25	obtains 180:7	171:7 214:8
234:4 364:6	127:7 136:6,11	211:20 212:10	obvious 69:11	239:17 243:23
366:3	150:6,9,18	212:13 216:17	454:14	303:7,12 433:6
notebook	155:6 174:25	233:25 248:3	obviously 15:23	Offices 133:21
465:18,21	190:24 195:3	249:19 291:1	16:22 27:4	official 14:24
501:6	203:22 220:4	291:15,18	31:11 36:4	57:3 94:1
noted 30:21	302:4 310:24	292:13 317:22	39:2 53:1	349:1 480:16
317:2 377:22	311:2,5,7	318:16 319:17	162:20 206:16	offload 419:5,24
518:25	330:4 333:10	321:20 340:1	245:20 269:12	443:5
010120	220112220110		2.2.20 209.12	
	1			1

Г

offloaded	56:24 57:9,14	143:16,19	252:5,13,14	360:3,13,22
405:19 412:4,4	58:16 59:13,21	144:6,10	253:5 254:17	365:10,13,15
419:1 428:12	60:7,12,14	149:19,22	255:2,7,10,14	366:3,17,21
428:16	62:11 64:6,11	157:5,8,14,18	259:12 261:18	367:3 368:21
offloading 406:2	65:17,17 66:1	159:18,21	262:5,24 264:8	368:21 369:7
417:25	66:12,25 67:23	162:5,24	266:25 267:7	369:17,22
Oftentimes	69:4,4,20	163:10,14	267:10 268:6	370:3,12,21
276:7	71:23 72:2,8	164:17 166:13	268:10,14,14	371:14,18,22
oh 19:5 21:15	72:17,23 73:4	166:15,20	268:17,24	372:10 373:11
80:18 119:2	73:22 74:9,13	167:2 168:2	269:16,22	374:12 375:6
129:13 241:15	74:23 75:10,20	170:3,4 171:18	270:5,10,14,18	375:13,19
251:12,16	76:5 77:2,6	174:9,14,17,18	271:10,17,25	376:2,14,16,19
257:2 270:5,21	78:2,11,16	174:24 175:6	272:3,9,12,16	378:5,12,20,25
295:19 300:12	79:19,20 80:1	176:4 179:14	272:20 273:4	379:2,7,12,13
309:2 324:13	80:9,13,16,21	180:4 181:3,6	274:8,18 291:6	379:22,22
355:13 361:11	81:12,25 82:10	181:11 182:25	291:11,14	380:2,5,10,20
371:20 375:15	82:16,20 83:4	183:1 184:12	292:11 293:23	381:8 382:9,16
382:24 385:5	83:14 84:1,11	184:20 186:5	300:2,20,25	382:19,22
388:24 390:17	84:21 86:8	186:13 187:23	301:3,21	383:8 384:3
392:5 393:22	88:2,25 89:12	188:2,6,9,19	302:17 304:1,5	385:6,9,16,20
398:3 412:24	89:17 90:11,23	188:22 189:15	304:16,20	386:11,17
440:6 480:15	93:5,13,20	190:1,4,20	306:8,15,21,21	387:24 388:9
515:12	94:4,10 95:20	191:2,7,10	307:2,4,5,8,17	389:5,12,25
okay 11:22 12:4	95:24 96:17,23	192:3 194:16	308:19 309:2,3	390:4,6,18
12:7,12,14	97:5,12,25	194:25 198:15	309:23 310:2	391:8,15,25
16:6,8 17:7,12	98:4,11,14,20	199:20 203:7	312:21 314:16	392:7,14 393:3
17:25 18:8,14	98:25 99:4	203:21,25	314:24 316:25	393:13,19,24
18:15,22 19:3	100:1 102:9	208:13 209:12	317:12,17	394:6,13,18,22
19:9,17,17	107:18,21	211:24 212:9	320:13 321:7	395:11,14,17
20:2,13,24	108:3,7,15,17	214:22 216:10	322:15 324:2	395:20,23,25
21:4,5,9,17,18	108:21,24	216:12,17	324:13,17,24	396:10,17,22
22:11,19 24:2	109:2,21,25 110:6,9 111:2	220:13,17	324:25 325:4,8	397:7,10,13,21 398:14 399:8
24:5,12,19	/	223:14 225:17	325:20 327:13 328:1 329:11	398:14 399:8
27:16,19 28:19 30:9 31:4,21	111:10,16,20 112:7,10	227:9,21 228:19 229:1	329:18 333:23	400:1,9 402:1
32:2,4,9,13,15	112:7,10	229:20 230:24	334:10 336:5,9	400:1,9 402:1 402:14,21
32:2,4,9,13,13	115:6 116:3,15	230:24 234:8	336:11 337:11	402:14,21 403:7 404:1,3
33:23 34:17	117:10 119:4	230.24 234.8	337:14,22	406:19,23
35:1,5,11,18	119:12,18	236:16,18,19	338:21 340:3,8	407:4,9 408:16
36:12,23 38:22	122:23 123:1,4	237:13,20	340:10 341:4,7	410:4,7,7
39:1,6,6,15,19	125:7 126:5,23	238:3,17,19,24	341:10,22	412:20 413:2
39:23 45:13	128:14 130:17	238:24 239:13	342:7,24	413:24 414:5
47:8,11 48:1	131:4 132:1	240:3 245:4,11	344:10,13,20	414:21,23
48:11 49:4,13	134:12 136:3	246:9,22 247:2	345:3,5 346:18	415:11,12,15
50:1,11,14	138:3,22 139:1	247:9,14 248:7	348:5,7,16,24	415:22 416:3
51:4,7,14 52:8	141:10,16,22	249:19 250:15	349:9,12,17,18	418:17 419:2,4
54:23 55:4,18	142:8,18	251:1,4,8,25	350:5,8 359:12	419:8,15,19
	,	, ., ., ., .,	,- 	

		100.16		
420:2 422:24	494:21,24	128:16	54:21 55:14,15	161:19 166:9
424:18,22	495:10,19,22	one-year 351:22	56:3,25 57:2,4	213:6,10 216:1
425:11,25	496:13,17	OneDrive 29:24	57:6,15 58:9	217:22 244:18
426:5,10,10,17	497:5,9,22,25	ones 38:18 428:9	58:13,14 69:1	261:25 351:24
426:23,25	499:23 500:21	430:16 455:12	74:16 88:19,22	361:6 437:16
427:5 428:4	501:12,20	489:25	115:11,16,22	440:24 471:13
429:3,18 433:9	502:7,25	online 244:3	116:2 117:19	475:13
434:6,8,10,14	503:10,15,18	257:22	118:1,2,8,23	opportunity
434:18 435:2	503:23 504:1,7	onsite 127:24	122:9 123:14	13:15,17 14:2
435:12,14,22	504:12,23	150:24	123:25 124:1,3	15:1,9 27:24
436:12,18	505:2,3,9	open 10:22	125:19,25	45:7,10 184:1
441:15 442:1	507:16 508:1,7	16:11,12 21:10	130:16 131:11	184:3 187:13
443:12 447:10	509:21,21	30:17 33:4	133:7,8 158:1	192:21 367:11
447:13,25	510:15,19	121:25 268:20	158:3,5,16	415:8 448:8
448:8,20,24	511:11,20,24	268:21 269:23	159:2 168:13	450:19 467:18
449:2,12,16,22	512:13 513:10	384:23 399:5	215:7 216:3	473:21 513:21
450:4,12 451:6	514:4,10,13	516:3	223:16,18,21	oppose 28:17
451:20 452:1,9	515:3,8,20	open-ended	224:1,4,23	opposed 434:5
453:11,14,19	516:11,14,20	198:14	228:5 253:1	opposing 367:11
453:21,25	517:13,18	open-endedly	437:25 457:22	opposition
454:21 459:16	518:6,8,11,19	415:9	457:24 458:6	452:22
461:22 462:10	519:13,15	opened 419:17	463:8,13,13,14	option 51:20
462:19,22	old 441:10	opening 3:3,4	463:15,15,17	101:12 228:11
463:1,1,3	460:24 475:6	14:3 39:9,17	463:23 464:1	360:17 473:21
464:13,17,17	516:9	40:1 42:15,18	471:10,14,17	options 5 1:16
464:22 465:3,8	on-site 100:5	267:21 269:22	471:23 476:9,9	59:2,18 60:6
466:12,16,21	104:5 152:21	475:2	476:25 477:9	order 15:12 41:8
467:5,9,13,17	229:22 235:16	opens 16:9	477:17,21	48:18 54:15
467:17 468:9	277:4 286:11	268:12	478:13,15	57:3 74:10
468:24 469:2	424:16,17,21	operate 228:13	481:11 492:8	118:16 121:2
471:6 473:15	424:24 442:24	240:9,11	492:15,17,19	134:10 171:15
474:4,15	455:1 463:13	400:20 493:5	492:22 493:6	175:22 176:10
475:12 476:3,8	466:6 468:18	operated 87:1	493:11,25	179:3,16
476:11 477:4,8	once 13:22	operates 89:4	494:3,3,8,11	180:23,24
477:11 478:5,9	14:15,22 15:14	277:8	494:13,14,16	209:1 214:10
478:17,25,25	40:3 52:5 54:7	operating 122:4	494:17 496:8	247:11,22,23
479:11 480:4	64:7 263:7,9	219:17 220:9	496:11,14	248:9 257:25
480:13,20,20	263:21 397:8	225:3	511:2,2	258:10 262:8
481:2,23 482:3	397:19 399:1	operation 57:22	operator's	269:17 271:9
482:12,19,21	435:3 458:23	76:20 115:21	224:18 225:5	287:9 305:8
483:25 484:7	468:22 493:16	115:24 191:18	493:18	346:15 366:3
485:9,15 486:6	514:16 519:24	221:16 288:12	operators 42:5	368:5 369:10
486:13,20	520:5	483:12	56:1,12,17,21	385:10 403:20
487:10 488:16	one-man 422:4	operations 56:4	74:20 115:19	451:8 456:24
490:19 491:7	one-meeting	86:24 125:20	130:19 172:18	471:17 478:13
491:14,25 492:7,13	485:23 One-third	150:8 280:4	225:10,14 opinion 37:13	485:1 494:1 520:3
472.7,13		operator 42:3	opinion 57:15	520.5
		l		l

orderly 415:17	overgrowth	P	134:4,18	126:10 343:15	
orders 118:17	53:20	P-I-E-R-C-E	135:23 137:6	482:4 504:4,5	
Ordinarily	overhearing	454:13 481:6	139:2,7,23	paperwork 41:7	
367:8	423:20	p.m 186:16,16	141:2,17,23,23	paragraph 65:4	
organisms 53:6	overlap 49:19	186:19 301:16	142:8 143:20	125:23 126:2	
103:8	71:1 185:7	396:5,8 520:23	144:23 146:4	154:24 170:5	
orient 195:2	overlaps 70:19	P.O 1:22	147:3 148:3,23	248:9,13,13	
336:7	overrule 71:5	PACE 127:20	149:9,14 151:1	288:5 327:15	
oriented 246:6	119:13 196:19	pack 129:17	151:9 155:2,21	327:16 357:8	
original 200:17	206:24 258:1	519:17	156:16,20	375:20 387:5	
207:4 240:13	292:13 318:15	packet 193:6	157:20 158:19	417:10 452:3	
240:16,23	386:11 404:1	312:4 319:5	159:6,19 160:3	469:24 470:15	
267:1 289:15	overruled 71:24	321:2 344:19	161:3 166:7	470:17	
331:2	164:21 438:14	345:10,22,25	167:23 170:11	paragraphs	
originally 105:9	oversee 161:17	345:25 346:10	170:23 171:19	65:6 67:2	
105:13 180:1	overseeing	346:11 352:5	191:8 195:9	71:13	
181:25 292:23	243:17	353:9 390:13	203:9 247:4,15	paralegal 2:17	
origination	oversight	packet' 294:14	247:18 248:6	11:24 251:11	
287:7	281:20,21,22	packs 129:9,17	248:10,10	parameters	
outline 356:22	282:1	paddle 423:6	283:5,6,23	143:25 391:9	
outlined 92:20	overview 35:23	page 3:2 17:17	284:1,10,17	Park 18:3 275:8	
110:20	86:24	26:11 31:2	285:22 286:18	parse 165:17	
outreach 339:1	overwhelm	35:13 76:8,14	287:18 289:4	part 6:4 17:19	
339:4	265:10	76:24 77:7	289:25 290:11	27:24 28:1,11	
outset 29:15	owe 457:1		290:12 292:6	30:23 34:8	
305:4 520:1	owed 132:17,20	78:4,18 79:20 81:24 82:19	294:2 295:9,13	59:2 60:19	
outside 45:5	132:23 133:1		296:6 297:10	63:8 65:10	
55:8 63:11	158:9 439:21	83:5,16 85:15	297:20 298:10	68:5,6,14 75:5	
223:20 244:1	457:15	86:10,11,17	313:4 351:5,10	75:9 79:6,12	
266:17 385:7	owned 92:10	87:11,17,23	353:20 354:13	80:11,23 81:14	
406:12 424:4	122:3 137:21	90:16 91:8	354:16 355:2	87:25 89:21	
442:21 460:19	195:17 328:9	92:4 93:15	355:13,14,22	91:18,24 95:5	
478:4	387:7 401:12	94:14 95:19	356:25 378:20	99:8,17 100:23	
outstanding	436:9,16	96:24 97:17	381:8,24	101:20 104:11	
158:9	owner 54:21	98:6 99:15	382:23,25	111:13 112:4	
overabundance	74:16 76:15	104:24 107:11	383:1 405:12	115:23 117:7	
53:18	77:22 108:19	108:8 109:15	407:13,15	118:17,19	
overall 217:25	131:14 161:9	110:1,10	416:16,17	120:2,20	
242:20 334:25	240:13 254:12	111:11 112:22	469:21,24	120:2,20	
335:19 483:4	288:7 481:11	113:12,25	470:14,16	131:15,18,22	
		114:1,5,23	470:14,16	131:15,18,22	
overapplied	owner/agent 147:18	119:21 122:12	472:13,25 515:6		
53:15 102:8		123:6,16 125:9		139:18 142:15	
103:17 104:2	owner/operator	126:6,13,24	pages 35:14	143:3 145:2	
overarching	55:13 68:21	127:8 128:1,8	157:15 193:2	146:7,23	
311:13	79:16	128:21,24	351:4,7 354:7	150:14,17	
overcome	oxygen 53:21	129:21 130:23	354:13 521:13	151:8 153:6,14	
222:11	1	131:19 133:14	paid 40:11	153:25 157:8,	
159:8 173:22	particles 263:24	81:14 99:9	33:2 510:12	337:22 348:6	
-----------------	------------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------	--
174:1,21	particular 23:1	101:13 173:12	PDF 34:8	348:24 360:3	
175:13,17,23	23:17,21 26:5	173:12 175:2	348:12 351:6	366:21 373:11	
176:15 178:25	49:7 52:4	176:5,12	penalties 84:24	392:18 443:12	
180:9,10,20	71:15 157:14	199:15 235:14	381:17 451:10	444:7 445:16	
191:16 193:1,8	165:11 183:22	235:17 265:17	penalty 15:18	448:2 453:12	
193:11 199:13	185:2 235:20	pathogens 41:11	48:17 54:15	461:14	
200:11,15,24	240:4 314:1	52:13 53:1	58:4 62:25	perfectly 268:15	
205:25 207:14	320:8,20 414:8	58:25 66:21	84:20 85:2,7,8	269:9 368:15	
208:19 219:15	434:4 457:10	73:8 79:7	85:12 108:5	392:10	
226:15 230:18	457:11 481:24	154:2	381:13,14	perform 56:2	
231:17 232:10	513:12	patience 366:1	421:24 450:17	96:18 147:21	
232:15 233:21	particularly	Paul's 460:24	450:21 451:12	148:11 160:20	
235:18 236:5	23:14 403:24	pause 179:11	451:18 452:6	294:17 296:9	
237:14,21	462:1	291:15	453:1 467:23	299:5 328:4	
238:14 241:20	parties 10:6,17	pay 112:2,3,13	482:23 494:25	338:8 344:4	
242:15 243:19	11:9 13:17	112:14 134:10	495:12,17,17	364:5 417:3,15	
248:21 256:16	14:2,25 22:23	140:18 352:17	people 92:13	459:17	
263:13 266:9	39:8 41:16,25	352:20 362:20	102:24 123:2	performance	
266:18 284:15	42:7 84:17	421:23 422:17	163:23 197:5	120:12 334:21	
285:1,11,18	105:11 132:5	440:4 444:19	218:5,7 229:14	335:15 336:2	
312:19 320:11	145:25 167:11	455:12 468:17	231:24 264:10	338:10 364:8	
323:9 327:15	173:1 178:18	468:21	268:23 409:4,6	364:10,11	
332:13 345:8	178:22 186:19	payable 115:2	409:7,8 410:10	performed 42:3	
345:14 353:3	231:19 268:13	117:13	410:13 411:2	43:17 69:1	
354:23 357:13	301:19 302:13	paying 422:11	433:13 437:19	87:8 96:20	
358:7 360:9	366:8 374:7	439:20 456:24	438:4 444:10	122:9 132:21	
366:25 369:20	379:4 380:22	459:13,14	449:4 487:4	133:3 147:24	
384:1 390:16	396:8,14 415:5	payment 110:16	497:21 511:7	148:16 160:12	
410:18 411:15	434:19 473:17	111:1 113:7	Peppers 4:15	160:23 281:8,9	
416:1 443:1,3	513:11	115:1,15	percent 294:17	294:25 340:24	
454:17 463:4	parts 5:23 50:23	117:18,25	387:17 439:23	352:19 504:6	
471:4 474:25	58:21 129:7,15	118:2,2 121:2	439:24 440:3	performing 58:9	
478:7 499:7	496:4 506:8	127:7 134:1,25	440:21,25	77:8 88:21	
500:23 501:25	party 224:13	135:18 156:13	perception	289:9 319:11	
505:12,13	303:18 352:5,8	193:8 287:5,13	214:12	335:6 353:6	
512:7	367:9 414:7	287:15 293:12	peren 245:25	period 15:8	
partial 428:2	498:9,10,11,12	293:17 296:17	perennial 226:8	28:12 83:24	
partially 244:6	498:14,16	296:25 299:13	244:5,10,13	95:13 102:24	
246:1	pass 176:12	339:3 343:14	245:25 246:4	182:6 457:25	
participate	493:16	343:17 444:15	246:23 248:15	463:9 475:23	
404:20 417:21	passed 174:18	444:16,17	259:10 261:9	492:23	
participating	494:20	456:19 468:15	perfect 34:25	periodically	
18:4 230:2	passing 478:12	468:16,20	72:23 164:11	305:3	
participation	pathogen 53:2	475:20 491:22	191:10 195:10	permanent	
474:11	66:21 73:10	510:2,3,5,6	270:5 300:20	55:10 262:19	
particle 264:3	79:2 80:24	payments 9:7	332:3 337:11	permissible	

45:22 personal 135:12 229:22,25 34:21,25 35:4 188:25 189:3 permission 164:23 166:10 238:15 423:6 35:10 364,22 189:21 190:3,5 253:15,21 168:21,22 484:9,11 37:15 39:5,13 190:7,25 191:1 permit 89:10,16 337:20 340:2 186:11 272:13 40:16 42:14,16 192:4,8,14,15 90:6 155:7 403:8,21 300:8,16 42:19 45:12 193:4 194:17 191:21 196:21 444:25 446:1 301:10 306:5 477:9,12 62:5 195:8,11 225:1,8,11,17 122:14 291:23 271:23 347:24 68:3 69:4,6,7 196:15 197:1 244:2 248:2 337:19 444:9 picking 72:20 70:13 75:23 197:25 198:1 266:410,13 personnel 150:1 pickup 421:7 77:23 78:9,12 199:4,18.21 264:25 265:6,8 280:6 424:17 79:24 84:10 203:7,9,10,20 260:41,18,82:10 220:25 221:1 pickup 409:6 86:20,23 87:5 203:23,24 494:7 220:25 221:1 pickup 409:6 86:20,23 87:5 209:10,13 224:22 pere
253:15,21168:21,22484:9,1137:15 39:5,13190:7,25 191:1437:7,8 477:17169:15 292:15pick 72:5 166:2339:14 40:9,12191:6,9,11permit 89:10,16337:20 340:2186:11 272:1340:16 42:14,16192:4,8,14,1590:6 155:7403:8,21300:8,1642:19 45:12193:4 194:17191:21 196:21444:25 446:1301:10 306:547.9,12 62:5194:19 195:5,7200:1 224:22personallypicked 72:1962:17 67:24195:8,11225:1,8,11,17122:14 291:23271:23 347:2468:3 69:4,6,7196:15 197:1244:2 248:2337:19 444:9pickup 72:2070:13 75:23197:25 198:1260:4,16,18,22personel 150:1pickup 421:779:24 84:10203:7,9,10,20266:10,13persons 44:3pickup 409:686:20,23 87:5203:23,2494:7220:25 21:1picture 255:2387:18 88:7204:1,18 206:894:7220:25 21:1picture 251:190:22 96:22206:13,1994:7229:25picture 23:1,17,2197:10,14,23207:8 208:1493:317 336:25persuasion 13:9230:4 237:12105:16 107:2,8212:4,14 213:691:25 92:9pertain 187:7238:11,16108:18 113:21213:10,2191:19 264:20295:16217:20 23:225121:19 122:9227:10 230:1991:25 92:9pertinent 213:1489:1 492:1,8117:25 119:14216:11,15,2191:19 264:20295:16217:20 23:225121:19 122:9227:10 230:1291:19 264:20
437:7,8477:17169:15292:15pick 72:5166:2339:1440:9,12191:6,9,11permit 89:10,16337:20340:2186:11272:1340:1642:14,16192:4,8,14,1590:6155:7403:8,21300:8,1642:19195:12193:4194:17191:21196:21444:25446:1301:10306:547:9,1262:1767:24191:6,9,11122:14291:23271:23347:2468:369:4,6,7196:15197:1244:2248:2337:19444:9picking 72:2070:1375:23197:25198:1260:4,16,18,22478:11270:1676:477:9,21199:4,18,21264:25265:6,8280:6424:1779:2484:10203:7,9,10,20266:10,13persons 44:3pickup 421:777:2378:9,12199:4,18,21244:7220:25221:1picture 255:2387:1888:7204:1,18244:7220:25221:1picture 26:1:190:2290:20,13,19permits 89:10229:25picture 25:1387:1387:3,17207:80:13,19224:2244:18451:1165:11208:12,1715:3,14,25210:1,18233:17337:1persuasion 13:9230:4237:12105:16107:2,8212:4,1430:317337:1pertains 147:2325:2525:15115:3,14,25214:22215:5,891:2592:9pertinent 213:1489:1449:14
permit 89:10,16337:20 340:2186:11 272:1340:16 42:14,16192:4,8,14,1590:6 155:7403:8,21300:8,1642:19 45:12193:4 194:17191:21 196:21444:25 446:1301:10 306:547:9,12 62:5194:19 195:5,7200:1 224:22personallypicked 72:1962:17 67:24195:8,11225:1,8,11,17122:14 291:23271:23 347:2468:3 69:4,6,7196:15 197:1244:2 248:2337:19 444:9picking 72:2070:13 75:23197:25 198:1260:4,16,18,22478:11270:1676:4 77:9,21198:15,25263:4,9,20personnel 150:1pickup 409:686:20:23 87:5203:2,24266:10,13persons 44:3pickups 409:686:20:23 87:5203:2,324433:12 489:25136:8 220:23picture 255:2387:18 88:7204:1,18 206:8494:7220:25 221:1picture 261:190:22 96:22206:13,19permits 89:10229:25picture 261:190:22 96:22206:3,19224:2244:18 451:1165:11 208:1699:18 101:16211:17,22permittedpersuasion 13:9230:4 237:12105:16 107:2,8212:4,14 213:6337:1pertains 147:23252:25 450:15115:3,14,25214:22 15:5,8permittes206:12485:10,12116:14 117:23215:18 216:991:25 92:9pertinent 213:1489:1 492:1,8117:25 119:14216:11,15,21permitteg206:12485:10,12116:14 117:23215:18 216:991:25 92:9pertinent 213:148
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
191:21 196:21444:25 446:1301:10 306:547:9,12 62:5194:19 195:5,7200:1 224:22personallyjicked 72:1962:17 67:24195:8,11225:1,8,11,17122:14 291:23271:23 347:2468:3 69:4,6,7196:15 197:1244:2 248:2337:19 444:9picking 72:2070:13 75:23197:25 198:1260:4,16,18,22478:11270:1676:4 77:9,21198:15,25263:4,9,20280:6424:1777:23 78:9,12199:4,18,21264:25 265:6,8280:6424:1777:24 84:10203:7,9,10,20266:10,13persons 44:3pickup 409:686:20,23 87:5203:23,24433:12 489:25136:8 220:23picture 255:2387:18 88:7204:1,18 206:8494:7220:25 221:1piccures 261:190:22 96:22206:13,19permits 89:10229:25picce 23:1,17,2197:10,14,23207:8 208:1489:25 148:15perspective 44:925:17 165:1198:13,17 99:5209:10,13224:2244:18 451:1165:11 208:1699:18 101:16211:17,22permittedpersuasion 13:9230:4 237:12105:16 107:2,8212:4,14 213:691:25 92:9pertinent 213:1489:1492:1,8117:25 119:14216:11,15,2191:19 264:20295:16217:20 23:25119:17 120:16220:1224:14191:19 264:20295:16217:20 23:25121:19 122:9227:10 230:19264:21phone 76:16238:6 243:1122:14,18,19230:21 234:6,9persistent 264:7289:21 423:19p
200:1 224:22 225:1,8,11,17 244:2 248:2 36:4,6,18,22personally 122:14 291:23 37:19 444:9picked 72:19 271:23 347:24 picking 72:20 270:13 75:23 70:13 75:23 70:13 75:23 70:13 75:23 70:13 75:23 197:25 198:1 198:15,25 198:15,25 198:15,25 198:15,25 198:15,25 198:15,25 198:15,25 198:15,25 198:15,25 198:15,25 198:15,25 198:15,25 198:15,25 198:15,25 198:15,25 198:15,25 198:15,25 198:15,25 198:15,25 198:16,820:23 203:7,9,0,20 266:10,13 443:12 489:25 443:12 489:25 443:12 489:25 136:8 220:23 220:25 221:1 perspective 44:9 229:25 44:18 451:1 perspective 44:9 229:25 44:18 451:1 persuasion 13:9 220:24:22 224:22 44:18 451:1 persuasion 13:9 220:25 221:1 223:24 220:25 220:17 165:11 228:17,165:11 238:11,16 2191:1028:16 291:18 101:16 211:17,22 209:10,13 213:10,21 215:16 107:2,8 212:4,14 213:6 206:12 213:10,21 215:18 216:9 206:12 216:12 217:20 232:25 211:19 102:16 211:17,22 227:10 230:19 224:22 227:10 230:19 224:22 115:18 206:12 229:16 229:16 229:16 229:16 229:16 229:16 217:20 232:25 211:19 122:9 227:10 230:19 224:22 125:5,8 214:22 215:5,8 214:22 215:18 216:9 216:11,15,21 216:11,15,21 217:20 232:25 2119:11 224:24 225:119:124 227:10 230:19 224:24 227:10 230:19 224:24 227:10 230:19 224:24 225:16 238:6 243:1 221:19 1122:9 227:10 230:19 227:10 230:19 224:24 227:10 230:19 224:24 227:10 230:19 224:24 227:10 230:19 224:24 227:10 230:19 224:24 227:10 230:19 224:2
225:1,8,11,17122:14 291:23271:23 347:2468:3 69:4,6,7196:15 197:1244:2 248:2337:19 444:9picking 72:2070:13 75:23197:25 198:1260:4,16,18,22478:11270:1676:4 77:9,21198:15,25263:4,9,20personnel 150:1pickup 421:777:23 78:9,12199:4,18,21266:10,13persons 44:3pickups 409:686:20,23 87:5203:23,24433:12 489:25136:8 220:23picture 25:2387:18 88:7204:1,18 206:8494:7220:25 221:1piccup 26:22206:13,19permits 89:10229:25piccure 261:199:29 46:22206:13,19224:2244:18 451:1165:11 208:1699:18 101:16211:17,22permittedpersuasion 13:9230:4 237:12105:16 107:2,8212:4,14 213:6303:17 336:25pertain 187:7238:11,16108:18 113:21213:10,21337:1pertains 147:2325:25 450:15115:3,14,25214:22 215:5,891:25 92:9pertainent 213:1489:1 492:1,8117:25 119:14216:11,15,21permitteg206:12485:10,12116:14 117:23215:18 216:991:25 92:9pertinent 213:1489:1 492:1,8119:17 120:16220:1 224:14191:19 264:20295:16217:20 232:25121:19 122:9227:10 230:19264:21phone 76:16238:6 243:1122:14,18,19230:21 234:6,916:10,13,19,20ghone 76:16238:6 243:1122:14,18,19230:21 234:6,916:10,13,19,20phonetically3:12,1
244:2 248:2337:19 444:9picking 72:2070:13 75:23197:25 198:1260:4,16,18,22478:11270:1676:4 77:9,21198:15,25263:4,9,20personnel 150:1pickup 421:777:23 78:9,12199:4,18,21264:25 265:6,8280:6424:1779:24 84:10203:7,9,10,20266:10,13persons 44:3pickups 409:686:20,23 87:5203:23,24433:12 489:25136:8 220:23picture 255:2387:18 88:7204:1,18 206:8494:7220:25 221:1picture 261:190:22 96:22206:13,19permits 89:10229:25picture 23:1,17,2197:10,14,23207:8 208:1489:25 148:15perspective 44:925:17 165:1198:13,17 99:5209:10,13224:2244:18 451:1165:11 208:1699:18 101:16211:17,22permittedpersuasion 13:9230:4 237:12105:16 107:2,8212:4,14 213:6303:17 336:25pertain 187:7238:11,16108:18 113:21213:10,2191:25 92:9pertinent 213:1489:1 492:1,8117:25 119:14216:11,15,2191:19 264:20295:16217:20 232:25121:19 122:9227:10 230:19264:21phone 76:16238:6 243:1122:14,18,19230:21 234:6,9persistent 264:7289:21 423:19piecing 484:20123:21 124:2,7234:24 236:168:6 12:21 44:6466:32:17 3:5,8,9,11125:24 132:7238:22,256:1:0,13,19,20phonetically3:12,14,15,1612:14:135:1242:6,8,11,158:6 12:21 44:6
260:4,16,18,22478:11270:1676:4 77:9,21198:15,25263:4,9,20personnel 150:1pickup 421:777:23 78:9,12199:4,18,21264:25 265:6,8280:6424:1779:24 84:10203:7,9,10,20266:10,13persons 44:3pickups 409:686:20,23 87:5203:23,24433:12 489:25136:8 220:23picture 255:2387:18 88:7204:1,18 206:8494:7220:25 221:1pictures 261:190:22 96:22206:13,19permits 89:10229:25picture 25:17 165:1198:13,17 99:5209:10,13224:2244:18 451:1perspective 44:925:17 165:1198:13,17 99:5209:10,13224:2244:18 451:1persuasion 13:9230:4 237:12105:16 107:2,8212:4,14 213:6303:17 336:25pertain 187:7238:11,16108:18 113:21213:10,21337:1pertains 147:23252:25 450:15115:3,14,25214:22 215:5,891:25 92:9pertinent 213:1489:1 492:1,8117:25 119:14216:11,15,2191:19 264:20295:16217:20 232:25121:19 122:9227:10 230:19264:21phone 76:16238:6 243:1122:14,18,19230:21 234:6,9persistent 264:7289:21 423:19piccing 484:20123:21 124:2,7234:13,17,21person 4:18 7:13438:22 439:121:7 3:5,8,9,11125:24 132:7238:22,2561:10,13,19,20phonetically3:12,14,15,16132:14 135:1242:6,8,11,1561:22,23 66:912:6 27:203:18,22,23,23136:10 142:21<
263:4,9,20 264:25 265:6,8personnel 150:1 280:6pickup 421:7 424:1777:23 78:9,12 79:24 84:10199:4,18,21 203:7,9,10,20266:10,13 433:12 489:25280:6 persons 44:3pickups 409:6 picture 255:2386:20,23 87:5 87:18 88:7203:23,24433:12 489:25 494:7136:8 220:23 220:25 221:1picture 255:23 picture 261:186:20,23 87:5 90:22 96:22206:13,19permits 89:10 89:25 148:15 224:22229:25 44:18 451:1picture 261:1 165:11 208:1690:22 96:22 90:10,13200:10,13224:22 9ermitted 303:17 336:25 337:1 9ertain 187:7 91:25 92:9persuasion 13:9 pertains 147:23 252:25 450:15205:16 107:2,8 115:3,14,25212:4,14 213:6 211:17,2291:25 92:9 91:25 92:9 9ertinent 213:1 pertinent 213:1 264:20 264:21persuasion 13:9 picces 125:3116:14 117:23 121:19:14 216:11,15,21 216:14.117:23215:18 216:9 203:15,16 217:20 232:25121:19 122:9 227:10 230:19persistent 264:7 9ersistent 264:7 persistent 264:7 persistent 264:7289:21 423:19 289:21 423:19 piecing 484:20123:21 124:2,7 234:13,17,21pericing 484:20 11:123:21 124:2,7 234:13,17,21234:23,17,21 234:13,17,21persistent 264:7 persistent 264:7 persistent 264:7295:16 289:21 423:19 289:21 423:19212:11 124:10 125:6 238:6 243:1 238:6 243:1 238:12,14,15,16238:12,14,15,16 132:14 135:1 242:6,8,11,1561:10,13,19,20 61:12,2,23 66:9phonetically 12:6 27:203:18,22,23,23 3:18,22,23,23136:10 142:21 243:16 248:3 243:16 248:36
264:25 265:6,8280:6424:1779:24 84:10203:7,9,10,20266:10,13persons 44:3pickups 409:686:20,23 87:5203:23,24433:12 489:25136:8 220:23picture 255:2387:18 88:7204:1,18 206:8494:7220:25 221:1pictures 261:190:22 96:22206:13,19permits 89:10229:25piece 23:1,17,2197:10,14,23207:8 208:1489:25 148:15perspective 44:925:17 165:1198:13,17 99:5209:10,13224:2244:18 451:1165:11 208:1699:18 101:16211:17,22permittedpersuasion 13:9230:4 237:12105:16 107:2,8212:4,14 213:6303:17 336:25pertain 187:7238:11,16108:18 113:21213:10,21337:1pertains 147:23252:25 450:15115:3,14,25214:22 215:5,8permittees206:12485:10,12116:14 117:23215:18 216:991:25 92:9pertinent 213:1489:1 492:1,8117:25 119:14216:11,15,21persistent 264:7289:21 423:19pieces 125:3119:17 120:16220:1 224:14191:19 264:20295:16217:20 232:25121:19 122:9227:10 230:19persistent 264:7289:21 423:19piecing 484:20123:21 124:2,7234:13,17,21person 4:18 7:13438:22 439:1Pierce 1:4 2:11124:10 125:6234:24 236:168:6 12:21 44:6466:32:17 3:5,8,9,11125:24 132:7238:22,2561:10,13,19,20phonetically3:12,14,15,16132:14 135:1242:6,8,11,15<
266:10,13persons 44:3pickups 409:686:20,23 87:5203:23,24433:12 489:25136:8 220:23picture 255:2387:18 88:7204:1,18 206:8494:7220:25 221:1pictures 261:190:22 96:22206:13,19permits 89:10229:25piece 23:1,17,2197:10,14,23207:8 208:1489:25 148:15perspective 44:925:17 165:1198:13,17 99:5209:10,13224:2244:18 451:1165:11 208:1699:18 101:16211:17,22permittedpersuasion 13:9230:4 237:12105:16 107:2,8212:4,14 213:6303:17 336:25pertain 187:7238:11,16108:18 113:21213:10,21337:1pertains 147:23252:25 450:15115:3,14,25214:22 215:5,8permittees206:12485:10,12116:14 117:23215:18 216:991:25 92:9pertinent 213:1489:1 492:1,8117:25 119:14216:11,15,21persistent 264:7289:21 423:19pieces 125:3119:17 120:16220:1 224:14191:19 264:20295:16217:20 232:25121:19 122:9227:10 230:19264:21phone 76:16238:6 243:1122:14,18,19230:21 234:6,9persistent 264:7289:21 423:19piecing 484:20123:21 124:2,7234:24 236:168:6 12:21 44:6466:32:17 3:5,8,9,11125:24 132:7238:22,2561:10,13,19,20phonetically3:12,14,15,16132:14 135:1242:6,8,11,1561:22,23 66:912:6 27:203:18,22,23,23136:10 142:21243:16 248:3 <t< td=""></t<>
433:12 489:25136:8 220:23picture 255:2387:18 88:7204:1,18 206:8494:7220:25 221:1pictures 261:190:22 96:22206:13,19permits 89:10229:25piece 23:1,17,2197:10,14,23207:8 208:1489:25 148:15perspective 44:925:17 165:1198:13,17 99:5209:10,13224:2244:18 451:1165:11 208:1699:18 101:16211:17,22permittedpersuasion 13:9230:4 237:12105:16 107:2,8212:4,14 213:6303:17 336:25pertain 187:7238:11,16108:18 113:21213:10,21337:1pertains 147:23252:25 450:15115:3,14,25214:22 215:5,8permittees206:12485:10,12116:14 117:23215:18 216:991:25 92:9pertinent 213:1489:1 492:1,8117:25 119:14216:11,15,21permitting293:15,16pieces 125:3119:17 120:16220:1 224:14191:19 264:20295:16217:20 232:25121:19 122:9227:10 230:19264:21phone 76:16238:6 243:1122:14,18,19230:21 234:6,9persistent 264:7289:21 423:19piecing 484:20123:21 124:2,7234:13,17,21person 4:18 7:13438:22 439:13:12,14,15,16132:14 135:1242:6,8,11,156:12,2,23 66:912:6 27:203:18,22,23,23136:10 142:21243:16 248:366:16 78:14photograph4:2,16,19 5:13143:7 151:25249:17,21,2481:3 95:5,6227:5,78:4,19 11:1159:4,17 160:1250:5,9,13,20 </td
494:7220:25 221:1pictures 261:190:22 96:22206:13,19permits 89:10229:25piece 23:1,17,2197:10,14,23207:8 208:1489:25 148:15perspective 44:925:17 165:1198:13,17 99:5209:10,13224:2244:18 451:1165:11 208:1699:18 101:16211:17,22permittedpersuasion 13:9230:4 237:12105:16 107:2,8212:4,14 213:6303:17 336:25pertain 187:7238:11,16108:18 113:21213:10,21337:1pertains 147:23252:25 450:15115:3,14,25214:22 215:5,8permittees206:12485:10,12116:14 117:23215:18 216:991:25 92:9pertinent 213:1489:1 492:1,8117:25 119:14216:11,15,21permitting293:15,16pieces 125:3119:17 120:16220:1 224:14191:19 264:20295:16217:20 232:25121:19 122:9227:10 230:19264:21phone 76:16238:6 243:1122:14,18,19230:21 234:6,9persistent 264:7289:21 423:19piecing 484:20123:21 124:2,7234:13,17,21person 4:18 7:13438:22 439:1Pierce 1:4 2:11124:10 125:6234:24 236:168:6 12:21 44:6466:32:17 3:5,8,9,11125:24 132:7238:22,2561:10,13,19,20phonetically3:12,14,15,16132:14 135:1242:6,8,11,1561:22,23 66:912:6 27:203:18,22,23,23136:10 142:21243:16 248:366:16 78:14photograph4:2,16,19 5:13143:7 151:25249:17,21,248
permits 89:10229:25piece 23:1,17,2197:10,14,23207:8 208:1489:25 148:15perspective 44:925:17 165:1198:13,17 99:5209:10,13224:2244:18 451:1165:11 208:1699:18 101:16211:17,22permittedpersuasion 13:9230:4 237:12105:16 107:2,8212:4,14 213:6303:17 336:25pertain 187:7238:11,16108:18 113:21213:10,21337:1pertains 147:23252:25 450:15115:3,14,25214:22 215:5,8permittees206:12485:10,12116:14 117:23215:18 216:991:25 92:9pertinent 213:1489:1 492:1,8117:25 119:14216:11,15,21permitting293:15,16pieces 125:3119:17 120:16220:1 224:14191:19 264:20295:16217:20 232:25121:19 122:9227:10 230:19264:21phone 76:16238:6 243:1122:14,18,19230:21 234:6,9persistent 264:7289:21 423:19piecing 484:20123:21 124:2,7234:13,17,21person 4:18 7:13438:22 439:12:17 3:5,8,9,11125:24 132:7238:22,2561:10,13,19,20phonetically3:12,14,15,16132:14 135:1242:6,8,11,1561:22,23 66:912:6 27:203:18,22,23,23136:10 142:21243:16 248:366:16 78:14photograph4:2,16,19 5:13143:7 151:25249:17,21,2481:3 95:5,6227:5,78:4,19 11:1159:4,17 160:1250:5,9,13,20
89:25 148:15 224:22perspective 44:9 44:18 451:125:17 165:11 165:11 208:1698:13,17 99:5 99:18 101:16209:10,13 211:17,22permitted 303:17 336:25persuasion 13:9 pertain 187:7230:4 237:12 238:11,16105:16 107:2,8 105:16 107:2,8212:4,14 213:6 212:4,14 213:6germittees 91:25 92:9206:12 pertinent 213:1 293:15,16238:10,12 489:1 492:1,8116:14 117:23 116:14 117:23215:18 216:9 215:18 216:9permitting 264:21293:15,16 phone 76:16pieces 125:3 238:6 243:1119:17 120:16 122:14,18,19220:1 224:14 230:21 234:6,9person 4:18 7:13 8:6 12:21 44:6466:3 466:32:17 3:5,8,9,11 3:12,14,15,16125:24 132:7 13:12,14,15,16238:22,25 121:19 122:9230:21 234:6,9 234:24 236:1666:16 78:14 81:3 95:5,6photograph 227:5,73:12,14,15,16 4:4,19 11:1132:14 135:1 159:4,17 160:1242:6,8,11,15 249:5,9,13,20
224:2244:18 451:1165:11 208:1699:18 101:16211:17,22permittedpersuasion 13:9230:4 237:12105:16 107:2,8212:4,14 213:6303:17 336:25pertain 187:7238:11,16108:18 113:21213:10,21337:1pertains 147:23252:25 450:15115:3,14,25214:22 215:5,8permittees206:12485:10,12116:14 117:23215:18 216:991:25 92:9pertinent 213:1489:1 492:1,8117:25 119:14216:11,15,21permitting293:15,16295:16217:20 232:25121:19 122:9227:10 230:19264:21phone 76:16238:6 243:1122:14,18,19230:21 234:6,9persistent 264:7289:21 423:19piecing 484:20123:21 124:2,7234:13,17,21person 4:18 7:13438:22 439:12:17 3:5,8,9,11125:24 132:7238:22,2561:10,13,19,20phonetically3:12,14,15,16132:14 135:1242:6,8,11,1561:22,23 66:912:6 27:203:18,22,23,23136:10 142:21243:16 248:366:16 78:14photograph4:2,16,19 5:13143:7 151:25249:17,21,2481:3 95:5,6227:5,78:4,19 11:1159:4,17 160:1250:5,9,13,20
permitted 303:17 336:25persuasion 13:9 pertain 187:7230:4 237:12 238:11,16105:16 107:2,8 108:18 113:21212:4,14 213:6 213:10,2137:1 pertains 147:23238:11,16 252:25 450:15108:18 113:21 115:3,14,25214:22 215:5,8 214:22 215:5,8permittees 91:25 92:9 permitting 191:19 264:20206:12 295:16485:10,12 116:14 117:23116:14 117:23 215:18 216:9215:18 216:9 217:20 232:25permitting 191:19 264:20 264:21295:16 289:21 423:19217:20 232:25 288:6 243:1119:17 120:16 122:14,18,19220:1 224:14 230:21 234:6,9persistent 264:7 person 4:18 7:13 8:6 12:21 44:6 61:10,13,19,20289:21 423:19 438:22 439:1piecing 484:20 2:17 3:5,8,9,11 3:12,14,15,16122:24 132:7 125:24 132:7238:22,25 238:22,2566:16 78:14 81:3 95:5,612:6 27:20 227:5,73:18,22,23,23 8:4,19 11:1136:10 142:21 159:4,17 160:1243:16 248:3 249:17,21,24
303:17 336:25 337:1pertain 187:7 pertains 147:23238:11,16 252:25 450:15108:18 113:21 115:3,14,25213:10,21 214:22 215:5,8permittees 91:25 92:9206:12 pertinent 213:1 293:15,16485:10,12 489:1 492:1,8116:14 117:23 117:25 119:14215:18 216:9 216:11,15,21permitting 191:19 264:20 264:21293:15,16 295:16pieces 125:3 238:6 243:1119:17 120:16 122:14,18,19220:1 224:14 230:21 234:6,9persistent 264:7 61:10,13,19,20289:21 423:19 438:22 439:1piecing 484:20 3:12,14,15,16123:21 124:2,7 122:14,18,19230:21 234:6,9 238:6 243:166:16 78:14 81:3 95:5,6phonetically 227:5,73:18,22,23,23 8:4,19 11:1136:10 142:21 159:4,17 160:1249:17,21,24 249:17,21,24
337:1 permittees 91:25 92:9pertains 147:23 206:12252:25 450:15 485:10,12115:3,14,25 116:14 117:23214:22 215:5,8 215:18 216:9permitting 191:19 264:20 264:21293:15,16 295:16pieces 125:3 217:20 232:25119:17 120:16 217:20 232:25220:1 224:14 201:19 122:9 227:10 230:19persistent 264:7 person 4:18 7:13289:21 423:19 438:22 439:1piecing 484:20 2:17 3:5,8,9,11123:21 124:2,7 234:13,17,21234:13,17,21 234:24 236:16bene formation (110,13,19,20) 61:22,23 66:9 66:16 78:14 81:3 95:5,6photograph 227:5,73:12,14,15,16 3:4,19 11:1135:4,17 160:1 250:5,9,13,20
permittees206:12485:10,12116:14 117:23215:18 216:991:25 92:9pertinent 213:1489:1 492:1,8117:25 119:14216:11,15,21permitting293:15,16pieces 125:3119:17 120:16220:1 224:14191:19 264:20295:16217:20 232:25121:19 122:9227:10 230:19264:21phone 76:16238:6 243:1122:14,18,19230:21 234:6,9persistent 264:7289:21 423:19piecing 484:20123:21 124:2,7234:13,17,21person 4:18 7:13438:22 439:1Pierce 1:4 2:11124:10 125:6238:22,2566:12,21 44:6466:32:17 3:5,8,9,11125:24 132:7238:22,2561:10,13,19,20phonetically3:12,14,15,16132:14 135:1242:6,8,11,1566:16 78:14photograph4:2,16,19 5:13143:7 151:25249:17,21,2481:3 95:5,6227:5,78:4,19 11:1159:4,17 160:1250:5,9,13,20
91:25 92:9 permitting 191:19 264:20pertinent 213:1 293:15,16489:1 492:1,8 pieces 125:3117:25 119:14 191:17 120:16216:11,15,21 220:1 224:14191:19 264:20 264:21295:16 phone 76:16217:20 232:25 238:6 243:1119:17 120:16 122:14,18,19220:1 224:14 220:1 224:14persistent 264:7 person 4:18 7:13289:21 423:19 438:22 439:1piecing 484:20 Pierce 1:4 2:11123:21 124:2,7 123:21 124:2,7234:13,17,21 234:13,17,21person 4:18 7:13 8:6 12:21 44:6 61:10,13,19,20 66:16 78:14 81:3 95:5,6466:3 12:6 27:202:17 3:5,8,9,11 3:12,14,15,16125:24 132:7 132:14 135:1238:22,25 249:17,21,2466:16 78:14 81:3 95:5,6photograph 227:5,74:2,16,19 5:13 8:4,19 11:1143:7 151:25 159:4,17 160:1249:17,21,24
permitting 191:19 264:20 264:21293:15,16 295:16pieces 125:3 217:20 232:25119:17 120:16 121:19 122:9220:1 224:14 227:10 230:19persistent 264:7 person 4:18 7:13289:21 423:19 438:22 439:1piecing 484:20 Pierce 1:4 2:11123:21 124:2,7 124:10 125:6234:13,17,21 234:13,17,21person 4:18 7:13 8:6 12:21 44:6 61:10,13,19,20 66:16 78:14 81:3 95:5,6phonetically 27:203:12,14,15,16 3:18,22,23,23125:24 132:7 136:10 142:21238:22,25 243:16person 4:18 7:13 8:6 12:21 44:6 61:10,13,19,20 66:16 78:14 81:3 95:5,6photograph 27:5,73:12,14,15,16 3:18,419 11:1139:17 120:16 129:22220:1 224:14 227:10 230:19 230:21 234:6,9 230:21 234:6,9 230:21 234:6,9
191:19 264:20 264:21295:16 phone 76:16217:20 232:25 238:6 243:1121:19 122:9 122:14,18,19227:10 230:19 230:21 234:6,9persistent 264:7 person 4:18 7:13289:21 423:19 438:22 439:1piecing 484:20 Pierce 1:4 2:11123:21 124:2,7 124:10 125:6234:13,17,21 234:13,17,21person 4:18 7:13 8:6 12:21 44:6466:3 466:32:17 3:5,8,9,11 3:12,14,15,16125:24 132:7 132:14 135:1238:22,25 234:24 236:1661:10,13,19,20 61:22,23 66:9phonetically 12:6 27:203:12,14,15,16 3:18,22,23,23136:10 142:21 136:10 142:21243:16 248:3 249:17,21,2481:3 95:5,6227:5,78:4,19 11:1159:4,17 160:1 250:5,9,13,20
264:21 persistent 264:7phone 76:16 289:21 423:19238:6 243:1 piecing 484:20122:14,18,19 123:21 124:2,7230:21 234:6,9 234:13,17,21person 4:18 7:13 8:6 12:21 44:6438:22 439:1 466:3piecing 484:20 2:17 3:5,8,9,11124:10 125:6 125:24 132:7234:24 236:16 238:22,2561:10,13,19,20 61:22,23 66:9phonetically 12:6 27:203:12,14,15,16 3:18,22,23,23136:10 142:21 143:7 151:25242:6,8,11,15 249:17,21,2481:3 95:5,6227:5,78:4,19 11:1159:4,17 160:1 250:5,9,13,20
persistent 264:7 person 4:18 7:13289:21 423:19 438:22 439:1piecing 484:20 Pierce 1:4 2:11123:21 124:2,7 124:10 125:6234:13,17,21 234:24 236:168:6 12:21 44:6 61:10,13,19,20 66:16 78:14 81:3 95:5,6466:3 12:6 27:202:17 3:5,8,9,11 3:12,14,15,16125:24 132:7 132:14 135:1238:22,25 242:6,8,11,1566:16 78:14 81:3 95:5,6photograph 227:5,74:2,16,19 5:13 8:4,19 11:1143:7 151:25 159:4,17 160:1249:17,21,24 250:5,9,13,20
person 4:18 7:13438:22 439:1Pierce 1:4 2:11124:10 125:6234:24 236:168:6 12:21 44:6466:32:17 3:5,8,9,11125:24 132:7238:22,2561:10,13,19,20phonetically3:12,14,15,16132:14 135:1242:6,8,11,1561:22,23 66:912:6 27:203:18,22,23,23136:10 142:21243:16 248:366:16 78:14photograph4:2,16,19 5:13143:7 151:25249:17,21,2481:3 95:5,6227:5,78:4,19 11:1159:4,17 160:1250:5,9,13,20
8:6 12:21 44:6 61:10,13,19,20 66:16 78:14 81:3 95:5,6466:3 phonetically2:17 3:5,8,9,11 3:12,14,15,16 3:12,14,15,16 3:12,14,15,16 3:12,14,15,16 3:18,22,23,23 4:2,16,19 5:13125:24 132:7 132:14 135:1 132:14 135:1 132:14 135:1 242:6,8,11,15 243:16 248:3 249:17,21,248:6 12:21 44:6 3:12,14,15,16 132:14 135:1125:24 132:7 242:6,8,11,15 242:6,8,11,15 243:16 248:3 249:17,21,24
61:10,13,19,20 61:22,23 66:9 66:16 78:14 81:3 95:5,6phonetically 12:6 27:20 photograph 227:5,73:12,14,15,16 3:18,22,23,23 4:2,16,19 5:13132:14 135:1 136:10 142:21 143:7 151:25 143:7 151:25242:6,8,11,15 243:16 248:3 249:17,21,24
61:22,2366:912:612:627:203:18,22,23,23136:10142:21243:16248:366:1678:14photograph4:2,16,195:13143:7151:25249:17,21,2481:395:5,6227:5,78:4,1911:1159:4,17160:1250:5,9,13,20
66:16 78:14 81:3 95:5,6photograph 227:5,74:2,16,19 5:13 8:4,19 11:1143:7 151:25 159:4,17 160:1249:17,21,24 250:5,9,13,20
81:3 95:5,6 227:5,7 8:4,19 11:1 159:4,17 160:1 250:5,9,13,20
1 - 1 - 2 - 2 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -
181:6 189:22 261:14 12:13,16 16:4 163:1,13,20 252:10,12,16
189:23 191:15 photographs 16:5 19:20,23 164:16 166:25 254:21,24
191:24 220:1 178:9 207:12 19:25 20:5,12 168:8,10,17,22 255:3,6,9,13
224:3 229:6,21 258:19 261:16 20:22,23 22:17 170:2 172:12 255:17,21
231:3,7 233:3 photos 227:4 23:25 24:1,13 172:17,21,24 259:16,18
253:10 291:21 phrase 358:10 24:14,22 25:19 173:3 174:6 262:4,6 267:7
314:5 319:11 physical 59:6,15 25:23,25 26:18 176:20 178:18 268:15,19,24
343:17 441:15 60:13 102:4 26:22 27:10,14 178:20 180:2 269:3,9,19,23
441:17 457:24 220:20 251:5 28:2 30:4,11 182:23 184:17 269:25 270:5
483:3,12,17 351:5 501:25 31:5,18 32:1,2 186:6 187:21 280:11,14,16
492:5 501:24 physically 44:6 32:3,8,14,20 188:2,5,7,12 281:18,24
510:10 220:22,24,25 33:7,14,20 188:16,18,24 287:10 289:1

291:16,17,19	359:21,24	453:9,12,15,23	387:7 417:7	83:18 84:8,21
295:8 296:7,12	360:3,6 361:11	453:24 454:2,9	place 13:12	86:16 88:2
296:16,20,24	361:13,14,17	454:12 459:7	25:17 36:19	91:13 92:6
298:5 299:9,12	361:22 363:4,7	461:20 463:7	53:13 165:19	94:23 95:24
299:15.22	363:11,15	465:5,12 469:9	187:5 206:18	96:4,25 97:5
300:2,4 301:12	365:11,14,22	471:10,13	225:9 274:11	97:12 99:21
302:1,3,9,17	365:23 366:23	473:4,14,19,25	370:4 400:1	100:2,18 105:5
302:18 303:1	367:2 368:15	474:18 477:4,9	406:4 410:3	105:19 109:11
303:11 304:3,6	369:17,21	479:2,3,9,12	420:24 484:5	109:17 110:14
304:14,19	370:22 372:1,7	479:18 480:3	503:19 521:10	110:18 111:5
306:24 307:1	372:14,18,19	480:11,15,19	placed 375:1	111:17,24
307:15,19,24	374:4,14,17	480:22 481:3,5	placeholder 9:2	112:11,24
308:1,4,17,23	376:15,22	481:6,17	9:3,5 31:22,23	112:11,24
309:1,3,4,7	377:19 378:1,7	486:16,17,18	places 185:25	114:12,15,25
310:3,5 312:10	378:14,16,19	486:19 492:9	plain 6:3 34:7	116:15,19
312:18,21,23	379:14 380:6	495:23 496:3	35:2 190:5,13	117:11 119:23
317:12,15,18	384:3,8,22	498:6 499:1,16	191:8 220:19	120:9,22 121:1
318:8,19	385:24,25	500:21 511:18	234:25 492:4	120.9,22 121.1
319:19 320:2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	511:23 512:14	plaintiff 435:17	121.12,18,25
320:18 323:11	386:3,9,18,23 386:24 387:19	512:17 514:3	plan 18:1,5,12	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
323:13,14,25			19:9 63:9	125:9 126:8,15
· · ·	388:5,9,15	514:11 515:2,6	146:18 268:3	127:2,16,22
324:3,6,9,15	389:15,19	515:7,13,17,25		128:3,10,15
324:21,24	390:7,11	516:7,13 517:1	268:17 296:3,5	129:2,6 130:4
325:3,15,16,17	392:18 393:8	517:2,9,16,19	369:15 396:18	130:25 131:5
326:19 327:11	395:2,6,7	518:2,5,17,20	398:11 452:23	132:1,12
327:16,20,23	397:5,9,16	519:6,10,12	planned 87:2	133:19,24
328:15 329:6,9	399:5,6 401:2	520:14	planning 20:4	134:7 135:23
329:15,17,22	401:4,5 402:16	Pierce's 67:8	267:14 396:19	136:6,11
330:1,12,16	402:19 403:1	88:17 98:21	plans 28:11	137:11,16
331:6 333:8,11	407:24 408:5	100:9 115:10	plant 264:4	138:22 139:1
333:14,19,22	409:3 411:11	121:8 133:6	277:12	140:2,15
333:24 334:1	411:14 412:5,8	139:17 290:5	plants 53:20	141:10 142:9
336:8,10,12	412:11,15	294:4 295:4	play 212:14	142:18,24
337:12,15	413:5,20	297:4 317:1	446:25	144:9 145:6,10
339:18,25	419:23 420:4	380:13	played 458:1	145:12 146:10
340:9,11 341:5	422:10 423:1,2	Pierce/Adamas	513:16	146:16 147:8
341:9 342:9,11	426:12 427:17	342:23	players 218:23	147:12,19
342:12 343:1	428:7 429:11	Pierces 453:21	487:1 488:6	148:8,9 149:15
343:22,24	431:7,13,15	Pine 494:17,20	please 10:17	149:22 150:18
344:11,17,21	434:21 435:1,5	Pioneer 487:2	11:9 17:4 23:3	151:3,18,23
345:2,4,6	435:15,23	pipe 55:1 129:8	39:16,19,21	152:13,19
346:18 347:6	436:2,4,6	129:16 139:15	40:2 42:19,20	153:2,9,17,21
347:17,21	439:3 444:6	152:20 407:20	46:2,8,9,13	154:4,15 155:4
348:1,6,8,9,15	446:4 448:2,6	pivot 137:21	47:14,20 48:2	155:15 156:1,8
348:22,24	448:25 450:6,6	195:17 313:24	61:9,18 76:13	157:1,22 158:6
349:20,22	450:7 451:5,10	315:6,14 328:8	77:6 80:3,21	158:24 159:11
359:13,16,19	451:13,18	360:14,20	81:11 82:11	160:5,16 161:5

				Fage 5/1
167:2 168:5,11	349:13	pointed 124:17	145:11 149:23	possibility 208:3
170:16,25	point 11:8 25:13	200:9	151:4,24	381:19
174:24 175:10	27:6,23 28:17	pointing 34:6	152:19 153:10	possible 16:20
176:2 179:6	38:3,11,14	124:21 386:6	156:8 157:23	35:8 208:11
188:6 191:10	46:17 47:8	511:1	158:7,25 160:6	209:6 212:6
194:23 210:9	52:2 54:22,24	points 27:23	160:17 161:6	220:8 222:6
245:4,11 247:9	54:25 55:2,14	30:7 116:13	170:17 171:1	223:22 224:11
247:19 248:12	55:23 56:1,17	125:1 265:22	190:21 191:13	271:5 312:14
255:4 270:9	56:25 68:21	267:22 449:3	194:24 195:13	386:5 438:6
273:11,14	72:1 74:17	473:17 510:17	199:7,23	498:22 515:22
274:19 275:1	79:17 89:7,14	policies 146:21	200:16 204:17	possibly 268:9
284:11 287:8	90:1,3 96:14	285:8 357:10	208:15 217:12	511:1
301:22 310:3	98:11,16	policy 23:7	218:13 229:4,9	Post 127:23
323:11 327:16	145:21 149:25	pollutant 55:21	229:19 243:24	post-haste
332:10 336:18	150:2,6,7,10	80:23 81:6	302:20 314:17	347:23
336:20 342:9	150:20 160:7	101:9 175:1,8	314:21 315:1	post-hearing
351:10 353:20	160:18 163:17	177:15 182:2	332:10 334:11	15:9,11 39:4
353:21 355:7	163:24 169:4	182:17 223:3,8	334:19 335:13	164:14 214:18
355:13 356:19	181:17 185:19	263:17	336:21 337:24	520:2
358:20 369:25	192:4 207:25	pollutant,' 90:3	363:21 373:1	post-Sackett
370:12,25	216:13 226:5	pollutants 55:23	386:10 387:2,9	38:16,21
371:7 374:13	227:5 229:17	89:14 90:1	429:20	post-tilled 407:3
381:12 382:1	234:6,16	136:14 382:5	portions 86:17	Postal 132:24
382:11,25	235:24 238:1	pollution 332:21	87:17 88:3	postpone 12:19
383:1,8 397:15	244:8 245:19	441:9,9	94:6,22 100:2	70:23
397:24 400:8,9	253:16,22	pond 430:25	113:4 123:18	potency 441:12
400:13 405:4	254:14 258:5	ponder 450:22	130:4 132:13	potential 41:12
405:14 407:13	258:25 260:24	ponds 278:22	137:17 146:17	52:9 66:21
454:7,11 474:4	263:3,5 265:16	281:2,4 319:16	147:13 148:9	75:11 84:15
479:2 481:2	267:2 273:1	484:13,14	413:17,19,23	103:12 293:17
495:25 497:13	275:9 294:10	pool 422:20	445:25 473:6	295:16
498:23 499:4	303:23 304:8	population	473:10	potentially 52:6
505:15 506:25	306:11 312:8	92:12 385:4	position 19:14	103:7,13 258:1
plenty 10:14	316:24 362:3	porta-potty	20:20 38:8	402:22 491:4
PLLC 1:3 2:12	374:25 386:4,7	400:21	42:6,7 48:2,4	POTW 42:5
5:10,13 10:25	393:8 406:25	portion 76:14	72:13 91:4	88:18 89:1,1
97:15 117:13	411:14 413:15	77:7 84:22	93:5 121:25	92:15 115:11
131:8 147:16	413:18 435:17	90:24 91:3,14	206:14 275:16	115:22 130:15
302:3 362:6	438:9 450:4,8	96:3 97:13	275:24 303:8	130:19 133:6
461:24	452:6 463:6	105:20 110:15	304:12 334:7	158:15 172:18
plug 129:17	464:25 473:22	110:19 114:11	458:6 462:11	243:25 279:9
plugged 519:18	475:9 483:9,20	121:22 123:12	492:18 493:5	279:18 280:2
plugging 394:1	484:4 488:10	126:20 128:15	512:17,24	POTWs 92:10
394:8	491:23 493:3	128:16 129:6	possess 471:14	92:12
plus 112:2,3,13	496:4 497:12	131:5 133:23	possession 68:9	power 240:20
112:14 462:16	514:14 517:24	138:23 139:2,9	181:4 383:11	459:25 460:1
podium 349:8	519:15	139:18 140:16	491:6	466:14
1	-		-	
	1	1	1	1

PPLC 86:19	prefer 46:20,25	150:15 154:1	presented 24:24	312:7,12 313:5
practicality	preferable	161:11 173:10	31:1 86:23	313:11 326:6
367:6	257:20	183:12 199:1,2	presenting	326:12 329:9
practice 26:11	prehearing	199:13,17	13:10 43:3	334:3 343:23
339:22 346:9	17:15 22:6,13	200:12,14	preserve 14:20	344:1,2,14
353:15 478:21	28:8 30:23	215:4 216:6	180:15	345:2,11 346:2
505:17,19	31:16 32:19	228:10,17	preside 10:12	360:15 434:23
506:11	93:23 501:1	229:18 230:7	president 96:7	474:8 515:1
practices 52:15	prejudice 70:10	231:17,20,21	462:20	prices 310:23
53:10,12 59:10	Preliminarily	231:23,24	Presiding 1:11	319:10 345:16
59:11 60:16	12:15	232:2,17	pressure 427:9	345:18,24
73:11,15 74:5	preliminary	242:15,18	presumably	346:12 354:10
75:14 79:4	256:10 295:19	253:11 501:21	68:10	pride 236:23
99:12 102:4,5	481:8	preparers 63:19	presumed 90:5	primacy 277:16
103:15,20,22	prep 149:24	65:7,12,24	pretty 15:20	339:5
103:25 104:17	195:19 328:11	67:2,18 68:25	69:11 104:10	primarily 87:9
201:2 233:6	prepaid 433:15	69:23 70:2,6	206:8 234:9	198:4 228:20
235:19,20	433:21	74:14,19	235:1 392:2	276:17 279:14
384:9 412:6	preparation	100:21 101:1,3	429:19 483:1	281:22
504:10,14,24	10:15 40:6	101:6 102:13	485:18 488:7	primary 44:24
505:6,11,17,22	59:15,19 112:5	185:6 229:14	492:5 514:20	160:8,15
pre-constructi	112:19 153:14	242:8	prevent 41:8	278:12 294:11
96:11 97:7	162:3 198:5	prepares 61:10	87:20 163:16	295:3 375:16
131:3 218:22	358:17 404:20	61:19,22 81:3	prevented	470:8 486:23
294:20 408:14	431:1,2 500:23	95:5 231:3	459:13 513:4	prime 170:21
487:8,12,16,17	prepare 59:13	preparing 88:5	previous 36:10	199:25
488:4	288:1 352:25	141:4 230:3	93:1 128:21	principles 44:17
pre-disking	353:1	501:25	134:15 137:6	prior 29:6 58:2
416:9	prepared 16:24	preponderance	151:9 168:18	60:18 85:4
pre-file 84:18,22	22:2 38:15,20	41:19	170:5 194:20	194:2 197:8
86:5 90:13	42:10 63:6	present 2:15	221:25 279:25	205:24 221:17
451:17	172:21 177:21	10:6 19:2,21	360:7 375:7,7	228:15 236:10
pre-filing 84:9	179:1 300:9	22:24 28:4	404:9 408:7	318:7 327:1
84:12,13	348:3 366:20	29:1 48:8	previously 22:3	341:25 404:21
122:19	369:15 512:8	56:14 73:8	30:12 204:14	462:7 465:4
pre-tilled 407:2	514:5	86:21 104:11	221:13 222:5	479:21 487:13
pre-tilling 406:4	preparer 57:25	122:20 162:13	222:13,20	495:8
Precip 258:22	58:23,24 60:7	179:2 186:19	241:14 280:19	private 423:23
precipitate	62:2,10,13	235:15 254:5	311:20 315:7	privy 203:2
263:12,14,23	63:2,6 64:21	301:19 321:21	325:25 327:5	221:10 331:13
precipitation	65:5 67:4,10	396:8 397:4	328:23 354:4	pro 2:10 12:16
258:14 259:2,8	68:8,9,16 69:8	439:12 512:24	363:3,16	12:20,21 13:1
precise 44:1	70:14,16 71:3	presentation	466:17	42:21 452:18
precluded	71:7 72:9,14	13:24 366:11	price 9:13	457:19
173:19	74:23 75:4	367:17 398:6	298:17 299:1,3	probably 12:20
preface 257:18	100:12 101:22	435:4,18	310:20,25	60:12,14
264:19	131:11,17	511:21	311:4,14,16	162:10 182:6

192:23 201:25	201:15 217:6	prohibited	311:11 318:7	497:4 499:2,3
227:4 234:22	242:18 265:24	160:13,24	320:17,19,20	499:11,16,17
237:13 253:19	303:19 331:8	295:1	320:22,23,25	499:23,25
259:8 264:10	344:22 367:15	project 40:11,14	321:8 334:24	500:6,11,13,18
265:5 267:18	368:16 374:3	40:22 41:22,24	335:18 338:2,4	500:23 502:9
278:11 300:6	397:15 403:3	45:1 49:12	338:4 340:17	502:19 503:1,7
378:11 403:18	403:10,22	60:10 62:4	342:13,14	503:9,12 504:4
446:19 451:7	431:1,1,2	78:10 98:22	351:15,20	504:6 507:9,10
457:16 458:10	442:25 454:17	99:2,23 100:10	356:21,22	507:14,15,22
464:10 487:13	493:19,20	110:24 112:18	357:19 358:2	507:25 508:4,5
487:15 507:25	processed 57:24	113:9 114:14	358:17,21	508:16,24
problem 21:17	79:9 180:21	120:18,20	359:5 360:9	509:3,9,15,16
82:7 269:14	317:5,8	121:8,10	362:7,15	509:22 510:3
324:1,9 368:12	processes	125:19 126:22	363:24 364:1,2	511:4,5,8
389:20 426:12	401:16,18	127:19 131:9	364:16 373:13	project's 314:20
517:23	processing	131:12 132:22	380:3 384:6	Project.' 296:11
problems	180:18	133:11,12	388:7 390:13	297:1 298:20
474:21	produce 42:8	134:1 135:20	390:21 391:10	projected
procedures	65:10 67:16	138:9 139:19	391:16,18,20	271:14
10:19 86:25	93:6 506:21	139:20 142:22	391:23 393:16	projecting 272:6
146:21 285:8	515:16 518:3	142:23 144:18	393:21 401:15	272:12 273:7
357:10	519:6	144:22 145:14	402:7,25	273:10
proceed 19:11	produced	146:2,15	404:19 407:22	projection
96:7 366:19	287:21 328:22	148:19 149:20	409:2 417:14	305:15 306:4
368:4 369:23	producing	152:1,1,3,8,9	420:7,11,13	324:14
398:4 399:18	328:20 500:24	154:9 155:19	423:4,25 424:2	projector 82:15
proceeding	501:14 506:14	158:8,12,15	424:8,10 425:4	90:11 192:5
12:16,20 13:1	514:9	160:9,13,15,23	429:12 431:10	255:2
14:24 20:10,22	product 326:16	161:15 170:19	431:17,20,23	projects 87:2
21:3 36:11	428:13	171:9 192:17	431:24 432:1,9	175:15 275:20
43:6 162:11	production	192:17,18	434:5,12 435:7	276:17 278:9
164:7 396:20	400:18	193:6 194:13	438:15 445:5	290:9 298:6
452:18	products 91:20	200:1 218:23	454:19 455:7	310:10,12
proceedings 1:7	professional	230:3 243:4	455:16,18,23	311:9,13
3:2 10:7 17:3	118:8,11	253:13 279:2,5	456:14 457:2	345:10 403:2
41:15 44:19	132:15 177:3	279:10 280:22	458:11,19	436:8,11
45:11 165:15	244:18	280:25 281:8	459:3,6 460:23	437:22 455:20
179:11 186:20	professionalism	281:10,16,20	462:4 468:2,5	458:15 459:16
301:15,20	519:22	281:23 282:12	470:7,12 471:1	460:12 464:9
303:9 396:9	program 50:5	282:13 284:23	472:10 474:11	464:21 470:11
520:22	55:17 77:18	285:12 286:15	474:25 475:20	470:12 474:12
process 12:25	89:16,25	292:10 293:2,5	481:24 482:2	475:2 476:5
13:20,21,25	276:13,15,16	293:9,16	484:8 487:1,14	487:24 494:10
16:3 20:17	310:13 314:8	294:12 295:1,3	487:21,22,23	508:17 509:12
51:12 121:2	322:11 340:15	295:7 296:5	488:7 490:3	509:14
150:17 176:14	358:23 488:2	297:5 299:11	491:2 496:18	prompted 433:1
180:6 193:8	programs 50:25	299:17 311:7	496:20 497:1,3	434:10
L	•	•		•

Г

promptly	377:7 444:10	315:10 318:10	215:25 427:1	144:20 472:23
331:19 376:4	Protectin 5:22	318:24 319:13	475:9	push 271:18
prong 45 0:16	Protection 2:2,6	379:20 442:2	pulls 427:2	put 45:7 118:18
pronouncing	7:8,16 11:14	488:1	pump 130:9	184:5 185:10
12:5 76:3	11:19,20,24	provides 61:20	133:2 419:10	190:14 192:5
270:7	21:13 35:25	66:6 310:13	427:16	192:22 225:9
pronunciation	38:12 40:4	providing 28:6	pumped 100:3	243:18 245:4
449:6	143:2 146:23	221:19 279:3	150:23 153:11	253:7 257:5
proof 210:6,11	277:17 284:14	288:23 291:25	171:3,3 282:17	346:1 349:7
proper 148:13	285:10 332:13	proving 19:16	286:6 322:17	388:24 392:22
148:21 208:20	357:12 370:19	provision 65:22	338:12,14	392:25 394:19
458:18 461:3	499:7	184:14 224:24	364:13 404:4,6	404:4,7 411:3
509:4	protocol 475:16	225:12 452:5	427:14 455:1	417:19 451:7
properly 196:3	prove 95:1	provisions	466:18 470:20	451:14 455:15
430:11 458:19	176:21 209:21	110:21 191:16	470:20 484:14	459:5 468:19
512:12	proved 505:14	public 1:21 5:13	pumping 100:5	476:24 477:16
properties	provide 22:4	61:12 74:1,6	112:1,4,15	484:13 504:2
336:25	36:8 43:4 44:3	75:18 81:1	113:5 152:23	509:3
property 76:15	44:11 47:19	102:15,15	153:13 156:11	puts 258:15,23
76:19 147:24	48:9 60:8	107:19 175:5	253:3 286:11	putting 40:7
161:8 182:16	61:13,23 67:13	178:4 244:24	287:6 417:4,16	425:6 430:25
222:14 237:12	67:15,19 68:22	249:24 255:19	417:22 418:16	453:4
254:9 288:7,11	74:24 84:14	277:22 312:12	424:11 440:19	PVC 129:7,8,9
319:7 326:21	85:11 106:4,17	384:24 385:16	pumps 56:7	129:10,15,16
328:24 339:17	166:8 172:7	516:10 521:7	87:21 152:20	129:16,17
371:12 374:19	173:16 192:10	521:20	purely 213:7	127.10,17
374:25 375:4	200:3 221:21	publically 92:9	214:15	Q
375:11 377:7	231:12,14	346:24	purports 35:24	qualification
385:6 386:15	232:4 233:16	publicly 244:3	purpose 36:2	317:2
401:11 410:5	232:4 233:10	245:1 249:10	214:5 265:8	qualifications
401.11 410.3 421:10,14,16	240:3 250:2	249:23 250:1	266:9 277:21	476:10
	276:8 281:19	257:4 258:16	277:22 283:14	qualify 92:15
423:11,21				319:23
426:2 444:10	288:15 375:22	344:25 347:8	297:17 314:8	quality 49:9
461:2 485:2	376:10,12	350:16 515:11	314:11 319:4	59:20 131:16
488:20 500:2	383:13 400:13	518:1 519:8	345:20	204:19 263:18
504:3	405:1 454:23	publicly-owned	purposes 16:13	265:3 332:15
Property.'	473:24 483:15	42:4 57:11	47:2 63:13	332:19
296:23	489:10 490:11	278:16 279:9	103:20,22	quantities
Proposal 4:5	490:15,17	published	212:22 315:8	315:12 330:5
7:19	508:19	344:24	319:3 367:6	
propose 395:19	provided 68:10	pull 46:12 65:19	448:9	346:12 353:10
proposed 30:12	68:11 95:1	80:2 119:15	pursuant 41:1,5	353:16
30:13 31:15	105:22 197:2,5	230:19 254:21	110:20 131:23	quantity 345:18
32:19 62:24	218:24,25	283:5 377:13	137:3,7 138:24	quarter 267:13
	-210.2710	380:8 427:4	299:4,6	question 18:6
313:21	219:3,7,10			-
	219:3,7,10 241:17 242:3 281:21 299:7	516:6 518:1 pulled 64:15	pursue 493:4 purview 119:17	37:9 43:5 44:10 45:15

47:9 69:11,12	questioning	113:14 122:13	217:12,15	88:2 89:24	
99:1 105:24,25	47:4 209:11	190:23 271:1	218:1,3,9,10	90:23 91:2,13	
115:5,19 116:1	399:9	342:24 349:16	218:20,23	94:22 96:3	
116:5,12	questions 13:4	351:3 380:9	219:14 222:7	97:12 100:1	
124:24 179:7	16:3 17:9 24:3	434:19 451:15	233:3 235:8,18	105:19 110:14	
183:7 184:11	86:22 99:6	514:16,19	235:23 237:7	110:18 111:24	
194:20 196:15	106:25 124:14	quiet 291:9	237:18 241:15	112:10 113:3	
196:21 198:14	163:18 164:18	Quintin 470:1,3	241:16,23	114:10 116:19	
198:16 207:18	179:13 181:14	quit 422:13	242:6 312:3,4	120:9,21	
210:10 211:23	184:22 188:3	quite 216:11	315:6,21,22,24	121:22 123:11	
211:24 212:1,2	204:11 206:11	244:14 393:5	316:3,5,10,14	123:18 125:11	
212:17,18,24	214:23,24	510:9	316:16,17	126:20 127:15	
213:7,14,20,23	234:14 236:17	quote 441:10	317:21 318:10	128:14 129:6	
214:16,21	239:12 249:8	474:23	318:11,12,21	130:3 131:4	
216:13 217:4	252:9,11 300:1	quotes 294:16	318:22 319:7	132:12 133:23	
228:25 234:3,5	310:7 344:12		325:21 328:8	135:5,12 136:6	
234:15,20	359:10 367:20	R	328:14 329:5	136:11 137:16	
238:20 251:13	370:24 372:12	R 1:1 521:2	329:13 372:1,5	138:22 139:1,9	
254:16 255:10	379:5 384:20	R-O-B-I-N-S	372:16 373:3,5	140:15 142:18	
256:5,10	387:24 388:18	371:9	373:8 379:20	142:24 143:20	
295:20 299:22	394:24 397:8	rainfall 258:17	380:14 382:4	144:4,8 145:10	
316:19 317:1,3	397:20 415:7	raise 238:21	411:4,4 440:18	146:16 147:12	
317:10,13	415:12,21	274:12 370:5	rate-wise 319:2	147:19 148:8	
318:17 319:22	420:1 432:3	480:21 511:13	rates 172:7	149:22 150:18	
320:20 326:7	434:20 435:6	512:1	218:16 221:8	151:3,23	
329:3 330:23	443:10 444:7	raised 93:23	288:16 315:18	152:18 153:9	
339:23 340:5	449:21 452:16	243:22	317:8 319:12	153:17 154:4	
358:11 363:13	453:21 467:19	ran 259:2 429:8	329:21 331:4	154:22 155:6	
366:22,25	469:5 474:14	484:13	383:22 408:10	155:15 156:8	
367:8,24	479:10 495:23	ranch 446:18	504:19	157:22 158:6	
370:20 374:14	496:6 507:1	rate 53:14 59:12	Raymond	158:24 160:5	
379:23 380:8	508:9 511:16	60:21 75:15	494:17	161:5 166:6	
380:13 382:1,3	520:10	79:4 92:11	re-agitate	168:5 169:1	
389:16 392:20	quick 17:12 30:6	99:10 102:6	427:17	170:16,25	
398:10 399:2	82:2 93:16	106:15 136:13	re-cross 434:22	172:4 174:24	
401:23 402:3	113:22 195:6	136:18 137:20	434:23	189:5 190:15	
402:22 429:19	203:21 310:7	140:21 173:18	RE-DIRECT	190:20 191:12	
434:1 447:24	319:15 329:2	173:21 194:21	431:4	194:23 195:12	
456:11 469:3	341:7 345:9	195:16,22,25	reach 223:3	199:22 204:12	
476:9 478:10	347:2 356:21	196:4,6,8,23	300:13 453:1	204:17 208:15	
479:22 482:15	366:3,22	197:3,5,12,16	490:10 495:16	234:25 248:12	
499:12 502:21	375:13 379:22	197:23 201:4,6	reached 472:20	284:9 285:5	
505:15 507:6	429:18 444:7	201:17,18,21	read 23:9 61:6	286:4 287:3	
questioned	456:11 474:16	202:15,15	61:18 76:13	288:5 289:7	
367:9	476:8 480:12	208:18,21	77:6 80:21	294:8 295:18	
questioner	507:4 516:5	209:2,14,17,22	81:11 84:21	295:23 298:1	
480:7	quickly 113:13	210:5 211:4	86:16 87:16	298:12,23	

313:3 315:16	real-time 37:20	312:5,7,13	receiving 150:22	recollect 392:6
327:7,14,18	realistically	314:15 315:8	227:7 278:2	recollection
329:5 331:16	331:10 385:16	322:5,14 323:3	recess 64:13	77:3 109:21
332:9 334:10	reality 417:14	323:10,19,24	82:9 186:14,15	134:12 194:11
334:18 335:12	realize 26:16	326:22 393:4,6	188:21 239:8	214:24 344:25
336:17,20	390:18 452:21	396:23 454:21	273:24 300:13	347:7 390:19
337:23 351:10	473:16 485:11	501:17	301:9 325:10	428:12 486:7
355:24 356:6	realized 182:1	recalling 452:2	369:6 390:3	recommend
356:17 357:7	really 14:7,20	Receipt 5:11	396:4 453:18	214:10 216:25
357:16 358:9	15:5 28:23	receive 48:5	516:23	recommended
358:12 362:2	29:12 36:1	83:22 86:4	recessed 186:25	146:19 209:17
363:20 373:1	37:25 38:8	93:9 99:1	301:15	reconnect
381:12 383:8	60:14 94:4	102:11 103:4	reclamation	308:18
387:2,9 405:11	103:10 119:7	117:18 137:18	61:12 81:2	reconvened
405:14 407:16	163:17 164:3	195:14 200:21	175:5 178:5	186:18 396:7
412:18,19,20	165:6 181:12	201:22 203:14	recognize 12:19	record 4:14 8:3
412:25 413:12	185:17,17	207:9 219:13	44:20 61:3	10:9,18,22
413:17,18,23	186:6 213:4,19	219:16 233:4,5	76:10 80:6	11:10 12:18
414:1,3 416:1	215:15 237:21	233:10 241:9	84:5 85:17	14:8,14,24
416:2,25	238:20 240:5	322:7 326:20	89:21 90:18	18:24 19:15
429:19 470:2	263:16,20	328:5 371:25	94:16 95:22	23:18,19 25:15
470:18 473:2	269:14 306:3	372:5 374:24	97:3,21 105:2	29:15 34:19
473:13 474:6	308:7 311:8	383:5	107:15 108:22	40:21 45:8
497:12 498:23	316:22 317:9	received 41:3	110:12 135:25	47:2,20 63:14
499:4	341:18 349:1	49:1 50:9 77:4	136:25 138:16	64:3,14 82:11
reading 92:6	349:16 357:15	83:7 86:1,3	139:25 162:6	93:19 94:1
122:16,17,24	378:12 397:2	88:14 95:14	162:15 167:25	98:15 124:18
135:17 148:6	448:9 479:22	98:17,19 99:5	169:13 185:4	161:13 162:9
151:9 160:16	490:24 495:1	99:18 101:16	190:8 204:2	164:7,10 165:5
220:19 314:25	509:22 511:6	101:20 110:7	247:6 283:9	165:12 167:19
357:17 406:15	514:20 516:3	111:14 113:1	284:2,20	172:1 184:6
492:4 513:6	realm 208:3	117:7 131:23	285:24 286:21	186:21 187:14
ready 29:5 72:5	reason 37:18	138:24 141:8	287:20 290:2	188:22 193:12
100:6 152:21	116:10 184:19	142:6 145:3	297:12 325:22	196:11,16,17
152:22 154:5	264:11 308:8	151:15 153:7	336:14 337:7	196:20 237:25
179:13,13	319:21 391:8	155:23 156:23	354:6,18	239:9 244:23
237:19 239:10	421:13	159:9 167:11	356:10 357:3	249:24 250:6
268:23 270:4	reasonable	176:19 178:13	361:7 372:17	270:20,22
272:1 286:12	228:21 229:6	194:15 198:7	372:20 382:13	271:2,9 274:1
302:11 308:23	399:10	198:24 200:24	405:6 416:19	286:18 290:17
real 93:16	reasons 28:16	201:1 202:1,20	452:22 469:9	292:1 301:22
122:13 125:16	432:24	203:1,5 218:9	472:15,17	312:12 325:6
190:23 195:6	rebuttal 435:19	218:17 229:15	recognized	325:11 349:2
203:20 266:18	511:24	233:9 241:6	337:3	351:3 369:7
291:24 319:15	recall 86:1	242:5 298:4	recognizing	370:14 371:7
342:24 375:13	196:23 236:14	350:20 490:16	66:25 71:12	378:15 379:17
429:18	293:14 310:24	504:19 506:14	335:22 367:4	390:5 396:11
				•

396:12 411:25	73:18 74:15,21	252:15 360:5	references 62:23	417:16
412:2 450:8,11	74:24 75:7,13	384:21 390:10	63:1 67:1 68:7	regardless 68:8
452:13 453:19	78:9 79:15	rectangle-sha	71:6 100:9	69:19 79:15
470:15 473:5	88:11,12,14	426:22	referencing	232:11 237:2
473:13 481:5	93:3,7 95:12	rectify 45:7	158:22 173:2	242:17 483:10
482:8 496:5	108:1 122:16	recurring	referral 75:25	regards 316:8
503:5,7 506:16	122:18 167:11	164:25 168:20	referred 172:11	regime 177:11
506:20,23	171:14,15	Redelegation	249:9 288:18	region 2:7 7:7,8
512:2,6,7,11	173:5 182:8	7:6	344:15 377:16	7:16 11:10
513:3,5,6,25	183:23 184:13	Redgrave 9:10	487:8	13:6,13 16:6
514:16,18	185:2 198:4,5	33:18 337:17	referring 77:23	17:17,23 38:9
515:21 516:3	200:15 202:8	363:1,17	77:24 301:1	38:17 47:25
516:24 518:9	228:15 230:3,9	redirect 3:9,12	378:6 401:8	48:3 50:6 54:3
518:25 519:7	230:10,12,13	3:15,16,19	408:13 418:12	75:22 76:1
519:16,20	230:15,17	13:18 239:1,3	refinance	77:14,14,14,15
520:18 521:13	231:25 232:11	239:10,14	456:22	83:20 85:23
recorded 272:5	232:20 235:10	346:22 350:13	reflected 506:15	94:20 102:7
recordkeeping	235:11 253:25	381:1 388:3	refresh 171:23	105:7,14
58:17 62:15	288:21,23	473:22 507:6	344:24 347:6	141:15 162:13
66:19 70:1	289:1,3 353:3	reduce 59:25	refuse 422:14	193:24,25,25
72:15,25 73:16	383:11 384:9	103:6	refusing 172:7	194:4,12 207:5
73:19,24,25	384:13,17	reduced 14:18	288:15,21	227:6,6 382:17
74:8,11 79:12	411:18,18,21	29:3	refute 304:9	492:16
80:12,14	411:23,23	reducing 87:19	refutes 206:22	Region's 13:20
100:22 104:7	412:5,8,12	reduction 59:1,6	regard 14:13	366:11
136:20 153:23	433:23 441:18	60:13 79:3	21:21 22:25	regional 2:5,6
154:1 173:6,14	441:21,23,24	81:16,18 85:12	23:7 24:21	290:4,22 292:8
173:21,25	454:23 465:23	99:13 101:11	27:7 34:24	294:5 370:18
174:3,10 179:4	471:17,22	102:3 103:5	65:18,20 68:7	regions 167:16
182:13 183:13	483:18,21	235:15 238:11	71:15 165:2	registered
221:24 222:25	488:9,23 489:1	238:14 265:16	187:15 293:8	225:14 462:15
230:4,15,24,25	489:17,22	265:17 386:4	368:5 369:10	regs 63:18
232:9 237:15	490:1,6 491:3	412:9 506:11	399:12 437:18	regular 241:20
241:11 384:4	491:9,24 492:1	refer 34:21,22	451:12 452:6	regularity
411:15 494:6	492:2,2,6	63:19 94:5	476:9 481:8	393:15
501:15	500:24,25	112:8 195:1	484:7,21 485:9	regulate 51:23
records 40:24	501:14 502:25	249:20 250:11	490:23 494:2	regulated 52:1
41:1,4,10,18	503:3,4 504:9	250:13 345:1	494:22 495:7	55:5 56:25
42:8 44:12	504:13,24	347:4,9	511:21 513:13	58:13 239:19
58:25 60:9	505:4,10,18,19	reference 45:21	regarding 35:25	regulates 41:8
62:3 65:10,21	505:25 506:4	155:12,13	43:4 95:2	55:22
66:8,13,15	506:14,21	293:19 323:20	229:4,8 277:19	regulation 38:7
67:11,13,15,16	516:10	341:13 344:13	284:22 292:9	49:19 69:13
67:19,21 68:20	recovery 118:7	492:15	298:5 323:1	75:3 91:6
68:22 69:3,15	recross 3:9,12	referenced	338:11 339:8	96:16 104:22
69:16 70:7	3:15,16 13:18	86:14 200:1	364:12 373:16	177:14 178:2
71:2,15 73:14	RECROSS-E	311:10	383:11 386:21	183:16 243:19
L				

				Fage 570
regulations 6:6	335:11 345:16	131:8 153:22	remained 503:8	320:21 321:8
38:18 40:15,24	345:19 353:12	210:17,18	remaining 33:25	334:22 335:1
41:25 42:2	356:5 357:21	276:2 362:6,14	120:25 396:19	335:16,20
45:20 48:19	357:24 362:17	362:24 454:15	440:2	336:3 342:13
49:15,17,22,24	reimbursement	relative 116:9	remains 31:23	358:4 362:5,10
49:24 52:12	276:9 281:12	507:22	remarks 14:4	362:14 401:9
58:18 59:3	282:5 311:10	relatively 55:9	remember	417:4,22
60:20 61:4	311:12 313:14	175:18 177:24	70:21 184:18	454:19 496:17
62:16 63:7,7	313:17,18	262:18	184:19 318:18	499:10,17
65:11 66:24	331:4 333:4,6	relaxed 397:2	350:17 362:25	500:5,22
67:10,17,21	334:5 335:9	relay 322:9	363:3 373:12	Removal.'
68:18 70:20	343:10,12	release 365:17	392:20,22	296:19
71:20 74:25	344:5 345:15	449:24	393:14 412:16	remove 127:20
80:7,10,12	352:14 353:13	released 395:3	421:22 485:25	150:8 281:18
89:22 92:19	354:10,24	399:4	507:18	282:16 287:8
93:7 100:25	356:3 357:23	relevance 23:12	remembered	427:10 440:5
103:21 106:21	362:12 364:17	37:7 62:6 68:7	323:21	removed 140:19
136:19 137:23	364:20,23	69:18 248:4,6	remembering	151:5 289:15
143:6 146:25	365:5	317:25 318:8	124:17 187:4	298:18 345:18
151:7 153:15	reimburseme	385:22,24	remind 153:21	417:18 439:23
155:9,19	310:18	relevancy 71:4	305:4 307:21	477:8
174:22 175:13	reiterate 484:8	71:25 386:1,3	reminded	removing
178:25 190:15	489:11	relevant 36:2	504:16	280:25 417:16
190:15 192:1	reiterated 131:6	37:10 46:22	reminder	484:22
195:19 199:14	294:21 362:4	100:25 166:2	105:11	Renner 2:7
237:14 266:24	488:11	174:10,21	remitter 134:8	renovated 375:8
277:19,25	reiterating	177:14 213:18	remoteness	renovation
284:14 285:18	362:23	245:8 296:2	386:8,9,14	338:4 364:1
328:10 332:18	rejected 500:14	339:23 356:2	removal 7:19	487:21
333:1,3 383:25	503:14	385:22 415:2	40:22 41:21	rental 400:21
384:1,5 387:12	rejoined 308:13	reliability 43:8	60:9 77:9 88:6	repair 130:8,9
410:18 430:14	relate 66:15	165:4,7 212:7	96:8 97:7	132:24
496:22,25	67:5 341:25	reliance 165:20	110:24 112:18	repaired 508:18
499:6 503:2	related 193:6	relied 244:21,24	113:8 120:13	repeat 169:23
511:9,10	341:1 346:12	relief 108:4	120:17 125:17	210:10 228:24
regulatory	379:25	rely 43:5 75:3	131:7 132:18	302:4 318:17
62:23,25 65:4	relates 64:22	256:18,23	133:10 134:1	339:11,13
65:13,21 66:18	257:12 415:14	257:7,22 258:2	135:10 134:1	372:4 397:15
70:9 80:22	435:7 486:20	259:24 260:12	146:2 148:19	repeated 19:1
181:18,24	493:21	relying 237:11	150:5,14 152:1	repeatedly
184:14 187:7	relating 488:18	259:7	158:8 162:2	40:12,16,20
244:24	relation 43:18	remain 20:21	227:17 280:20	41:20,24 42:1
reimbursable	177:25 200:5	21:7,19 148:12	280:21 281:15	42:4
293:20	261:10 345:12	170:21 303:21	282:14 286:15	repetitive 23:13
reimburse	456:8	470:8 518:8	287:14,16	rephrase 216:13
310:22	relationship	remainder	289:10 299:5	222:19 224:16
reimbursed	77:20 108:17	398:7	299:11 313:9	317:16 318:16
	1	1	I	1

318:17 328:21	95:4 210:25	108:25 109:6	495:14 516:3	173:14,25
354:5 402:3	277:13 308:8	109:12 110:7	required 40:23	174:3,10,11,12
491:18	494:7	110:16 117:8	40:25 41:4	174:16 175:3
replace 53:7	reports 48:5,6	120:4 131:24	42:8 54:19	176:5,11,15,16
replacement	155:8 288:1	133:17 136:4	66:23 67:11,13	179:4 183:12
51:19 223:15	494:19	137:4,8 138:24	67:21 68:19,21	183:13 210:25
reply 15:13	represent 40:4	152:3 157:12	68:22 69:2	222:25 231:18
report 4:10 5:7	45:10 134:13	199:6,8 200:2	70:14 74:15,19	232:9 237:15
7:22 54:6,14	representation	200:7 202:2	79:14 93:6	241:11 243:19
77:4,11,17	256:2 257:9	207:8 221:5	101:6,8 106:21	277:14,25
78:6 85:21	351:19,24	228:19 229:2	107:2 154:1	278:1,3,5
86:2,4,14	representations	229:11 235:3	155:8 173:22	285:9 296:10
87:14,25 88:14	204:16 507:9	236:1 241:7	175:17,20	332:12,20
88:17 89:3	representative	242:23 283:15	176:16 191:21	351:21 353:5
92:25 93:3	86:20 193:19	287:5 296:18	224:18 225:9	357:11 384:4
128:17 172:2	303:18 408:21	304:14 346:24	230:7 316:9	392:11 411:15
178:9 226:25	439:11	377:3,6,14	326:4 338:8	488:11,14,23
227:2,11	represented	398:4 404:23	343:13 353:2	493:13 494:6
253:21 260:21	83:11 122:21	405:10 414:1,3	364:5 454:24	501:15 508:19
287:21,23	158:4 334:23	443:6 444:9,12	455:12,21	requirements.'
288:4 314:4,6	335:17 408:24	444:13 490:9	456:19 493:9	96:16
314:14 339:7	420:9 491:21	490:16 506:15	505:11	requires 89:25
339:10,16,19	representing	506:22 510:11	requirement	229:23
339:22,24	11:14 17:23	510:12 515:10	54:5 73:16	requisite 471:14
351:11,13	90:22 143:17	requested 44:2	75:6 81:18	471:21
353:5 445:10	159:25 163:7	83:23 93:4,10	92:25 173:22	reread 65:1
445:12 509:20	303:7 370:18	94:24 95:13	176:25 180:20	reschedule
reported 260:22	405:23 407:25	101:18,25	182:13 223:6	12:19
521:11	408:5,23 498:2	155:7 200:3	224:21 230:4	research 212:21
reporter 29:23	represents	232:23 356:22	230:10 326:13	213:15,20
34:1 72:22	166:9	442:1,3	326:17 478:12	214:18
93:25 129:11	reprocess 208:6	requesting	491:2	reservation
189:11,14	reproductive	79:23 181:7	requirements	122:7 148:1
197:21,24	52:20	200:22 221:2	58:17 61:25	158:2,13 276:6
211:13 251:6	reputation	228:23 236:12	62:15 66:20	280:5 282:23
252:2 270:11	263:21	283:16 287:11	69:23 70:2	298:6 323:16
270:13,17,19	request 6:12	287:12 296:25	72:15 73:1,19	342:15 401:12
308:5 325:12	41:1 44:12	321:24 501:8	73:23,25 74:11	421:21,24
330:8 339:11	54:10,20 68:12	requests 43:24	79:7,12 80:14	422:1 459:17
347:19 348:20	68:16 71:16	95:10 99:6	80:24 81:14,16	461:17 487:6
349:1 403:13	78:8,12,23	108:11 115:13	91:17,21,24	488:1 492:20
518:16,24	79:11 82:25	198:3 201:19	92:19,25 93:3	reservations
520:17 521:6	83:10 85:9	236:8	95:4 100:22	277:15 456:20
Reporters 1:22	88:11,12,15	require 89:16	101:13 102:17	reserves 152:5
reporting 54:4	93:11 101:19	200:22 212:20	118:18 131:15	Residential
75:6 91:23,24	106:17,18	212:21 214:4	136:20 146:22	436:13
92:16,18,24	107:4 108:12	326:9 392:12	153:23 173:6	residents 432:19

residing 521:2134:2,9 42:18405:1,9 483resolution 42:2291:11 112:17483:24 501:resolve 204:13130:14 131:11508:8 510:2334:25 335:19399:13 514:24responses 9:2resolved 205:15respondents9:5 31:23213:311:1 27:2432:10 101:1resources 14:2131:11 40:9,19169:11 377:257:140:25 41:4,15responsibilitirespect 28:841:20,22,2468:17 165:229:2 38:4 67:242:2,3,6 62:13277:7,11104:14 133:763:5 65:6,9responsibility133:10 138:966:15 67:1859:8 60:17,2386:14 414:2478:22 79:14285:20 296:438:15 450:2183:1,12,23315:11 335:465:6 482:2386:6 90:13356:4,4 357491:1 493:2492:17 93:6425:19,21494:24 507:8113:7 122:22483:8 497:1508:7 512:24179:15,23502:12,14,1respond 43:24359:4 369:1440:13,16 4154:19 62:11396:18,2344:5 62:1564:20 83:10399:23 511:2170:7 72:14108:2 115:7,17511:2396:9,13,15115:18 118:4responder 480:8100:22 120:170:3 173:5responds 402:22160:22 171:303:3 317:1response 6:12191:25 221:319:22 329:1827:21 32:6232:7 237:1340:5 347:1568:12 69:20285:17 294:386:13 415:2188:15 92:20294:23,25	1 445:13 511:23 218:24 1 restart 189:13 242:64 3 restate 234:3 318:1, 326:7 363:13 350:16 5 resting 435:17 351:1, 24 restoration 465:23 es 116:21 retain 8 5 restricted 175:24 313:23 231:25 retain 8 7 restriction retained 21 102:12 503:9 2 restrictions return 6 4 52:14 53:10,10 368:11 10 59:10 60:12 reversal :22 73:12,15 74:5 reverse 79:5 99:11 review 1	5,18,21 18,22 3 1:5 88:9 4 231:5,9 5 1 66:8 53:24 1 5 5 349:16
resolve 204:13130:14 131:11508:8 510:2334:25 335:19399:13 514:24responses 9:2resolved 205:15respondents9:5 31:23213:311:1 27:2432:10 101:1resources 14:2131:11 40:9,19169:11 377:257:140:25 41:4,15responsibilitirespect 28:841:20,22,2468:17 165:229:2 38:4 67:242:2,3,6 62:13277:7,11104:14 133:763:5 65:6,9responsibility133:10 138:966:15 67:1859:8 60:17,2386:14 414:2478:22 79:14285:20 296:386:14 414:2478:22 79:14285:20 296:438:15 450:2183:1,12,23315:11 335:465:6 482:2386:6 90:13356:4,4 357491:1 493:2492:17 93:6425:19,21494:24 507:8113:7 122:22483:8 497:1508:7 512:24179:15,23502:12,14,1respectfully185:3 187:13503:1121:24 287:5302:4 348:5responsible64:20 83:10399:23 511:2170:7 72:14108:2 115:7,17511:2396:9,13,15115:18 118:4responder 480:8100:22 120:170:3 173:5responds 402:22160:22 171:303:3 317:1responds 402:22160:22 171:303:3 317:168:12 69:20285:17 294:386:13 415:2188:15 92:20294:23,25	1 restart 189:13 242:64 ,3 restate 234:3 318:1, ,326:7 363:13 350:16 5 resting 435:17 351:1, 24 restoration 465:23 restricted 175:24 313:23 231:25 7 restriction retain 8 21 102:12 503:9 2 restrictions return 6 4 52:14 53:10,10 368:11 10 59:10 60:12 reversal :22 73:12,15 74:5 reverse 79:5 99:11 review 1	311:15 1,5 5,18,21 18,22 3 1:5 88:9 4 231:5,9 5 1 66:8 53:24 1s 449:6 349:16
334:25 335:19399:13 514:24responses 9:2resolved 205:15respondents9:5 31:23213:311:1 27:2432:10 101:1resources 14:2131:11 40:9,19169:11 377:257:140:25 41:4,15responsibilitirespect 28:841:20,22,2468:17 165:229:2 38:4 67:242:2,3,6 62:13277:7,11104:14 133:763:5 65:6,9responsibility133:10 138:966:15 67:1859:8 60:17,2153:23 165:1068:25 70:6148:20 249:386:14 414:2478:22 79:14285:20 296:438:15 450:2183:1,12,23315:11 335:465:6 482:2386:6 90:13356:4,4 357491:1 493:2492:17 93:6425:19,21494:24 507:8113:7 122:22483:8 497:1508:7 512:24179:15,23502:12,14,1respond 43:24359:4 369:1440:13,16 4154:19 62:11396:18,2344:5 62:1564:20 83:10399:23 511:2170:7 72:14108:2 115:7,17511:2396:9,13,15115:18 118:4responder 480:8100:22 120:170:3 173:5responds 402:22160:22 171:303:3 317:1responds 402:22160:22 171:303:3 317:168:12 69:20285:17 294:340:5 347:1568:12 69:20285:17 294:386:13 415:2188:15 92:20294:23,25	,3restate 234:3 326:7 363:13318:1, 350:165resting 435:17 restoration351:1, 465:2324restoration 116:21465:23 retain 85restricted 116:21175:24 231:256116:21 retain 8retain 8 175:24 231:257restriction 102:12retain 6 503:92restrictions 59:10 60:12return 6 368:11 reversal reversal reversal1059:10 60:12 79:5 99:11reversal reversal	1,5 5,18,21 18,22 3 1:5 88:9 4 231:5,9 5 1 66:8 53:24 53:24 53:24 53:24 53:24 53:24
resolved 205:15 213:3respondents 11:1 27:249:5 31:23 32:10 101:1resources 14:21 257:1 $31:11 40:9,19$ 40:25 41:4,15 $32:10 101:1$ 169:11 377:257:1 29:2 38:4 67:2 $40:25 41:4,15$ 42:2,3,6 62:13responsibiliti 68:17 165:229:2 38:4 67:2 29:2 38:4 67:2 $42:2,3,6 62:13$ 42:2,3,6 62:13 $277:7,11$ responsibility 59:8 60:17,2104:14 133:7 104:14 133:7 104:14 133:7 153:23 165:10 48:15 450:21 438:15 450:21 491:1 493:24 491:1 493:24 492:17 93:6 491:1 493:24 492:17 93:6 425:19,21 494:24 507:8 491:1 22:22 483:8 497:1 508:7 512:24 $359:4 369:14$ 40:13,16 41 503:1 respond 43:24 359:4 369:14 359:4 369:14 40:13,16 41 54:19 62:11 396:18,23 44:5 62:15 64:20 83:10 199:15 206:25 68:16 83:20 148:12 160: 292:12,14 108:2 115:7,17 511:23 115:18 118:4 	326:7 363:13 350:16 5 resting 435:17 351:1, 24 restoration 465:23 rest 116:21 retain 8 5 restricted 175:24 313:23 retain 8 175:24 21 102:12 retained 22 restrictions return 6 4 52:14 53:10,10 368:11 10 59:10 60:12 reversal :22 73:12,15 74:5 reverse 79:5 99:11 review 1	5,18,21 18,22 1:5 88:9 4 231:5,9 5 1 66:8 53:24 1s 449:6 349:16
213:311:1 27:2432:10 101:1resources 14:2131:11 40:9,19169:11 377:257:140:25 41:4,15responsibilitirespect 28:841:20,22,2468:17 165:229:2 38:4 67:242:2,3,6 62:13277:7,11104:14 133:763:5 65:6,9responsibility133:10 138:966:15 67:1859:8 60:17,2153:23 165:1068:25 70:6148:20 249:386:14 414:2478:22 79:14285:20 296:438:15 450:2183:1,12,23315:11 335:465:6 482:2386:6 90:13356:4,4 357491:1 493:2492:17 93:6425:19,21494:24 507:8113:7 122:22483:8 497:1508:7 512:24179:15,23502:12,14,1respond 43:24359:4 369:1440:13,16 4154:19 62:11396:18,2344:5 62:1564:20 83:10399:23 511:2170:7 72:14108:2 115:7,17511:2396:9,13,15115:18 118:4responder 480:8100:22 120:170:3 173:568:16 83:20148:12 160:292:12,14responds 402:22160:22 171:303:3 317:1responds 6:12191:25 221:319:22 329:1827:21 32:6232:7 237:1340:5 347:1568:12 69:20285:17 294:386:13 415:2188:15 92:20294:23,25	5 resting 435:17 351:1, 24 restoration 465:23 rest 116:21 retain 8 5 restricted 175:24 313:23 231:25 7 restriction retained 21 102:12 503:9 2 restrictions return 6 4 52:14 53:10,10 368:11 10 59:10 60:12 reversal :22 73:12,15 74:5 reverse 79:5 99:11 review 1	18,22 3 1:5 88:9 4 231:5,9 5 1 66:8 53:24 53:24 1s 449:6 349:16
resources14:2131:1140:9,19169:11377:257:140:2541:4,15responsibilitirespect28:841:20,22,2468:17165:229:238:467:242:2,3,662:13277:7,11104:14133:763:565:6,9responsibility133:10138:966:1567:1859:860:17,2153:23165:1068:2570:6148:20249:386:14414:2478:2279:14285:20296:438:15450:2183:1,12,23315:11335:465:6482:2386:690:13356:4,4491:1493:2492:1793:6425:19,21494:24507:8113:7122:22483:8508:7512:24179:15,23502:12,14,1respectfully185:3187:13503:1121:24287:5302:4348:5respond43:24359:4369:1440:13,164154:1962:11396:18,2344:562:1564:2083:10399:23399:23511:2170:770:772:14108:2115:7,17511:2396:9,13,15115:18118:4responds402:22160:22120:17170:3173:5responds402:22199:15206:2568:1683:20148:12160:22292:12,14responds </td <td>24 restoration 465:23 es 116:21 retain 8 5 restricted 175:24 313:23 231:25 7 restriction retained 21 102:12 503:9 2 restrictions return 6 4 52:14 53:10,10 368:11 10 59:10 60:12 reversal :22 73:12,15 74:5 reverse 79:5 99:11 review 1</td> <td>3 1:5 88:9 4 231:5,9 5 1 66:8 53:24 1s 449:6 349:16</td>	24 restoration 465:23 es 116:21 retain 8 5 restricted 175:24 313:23 231:25 7 restriction retained 21 102:12 503:9 2 restrictions return 6 4 52:14 53:10,10 368:11 10 59:10 60:12 reversal :22 73:12,15 74:5 reverse 79:5 99:11 review 1	3 1:5 88:9 4 231:5,9 5 1 66:8 53:24 1s 449:6 349:16
257:1 $40:25 41:4,15$ responsibilitirespect 28:8 $41:20,22,24$ $68:17 165:2$ $29:2 38:4 67:2$ $42:2,3,6 62:13$ $277:7,11$ $104:14 133:7$ $63:5 65:6,9$ responsibility $133:10 138:9$ $66:15 67:18$ $59:8 60:17,2$ $153:23 165:10$ $68:25 70:6$ $148:20 249:$ $386:14 414:24$ $78:22 79:14$ $285:20 296:$ $438:15 450:21$ $83:1,12,23$ $315:11 335:$ $465:6 482:23$ $86:6 90:13$ $356:4,4 357$ $491:1 493:24$ $92:17 93:6$ $425:19,21$ $494:24 507:8$ $113:7 122:22$ $483:8 497:1$ $508:7 512:24$ $179:15,23$ $502:12,14,1$ respectfully $185:3 187:13$ $503:1$ $121:24 287:5$ $302:4 348:5$ responsiblerespond $43:24$ $359:4 369:14$ $40:13,16 41$ $54:19 62:11$ $396:18,23$ $44:5 62:15$ $64:20 83:10$ $399:23 511:21$ $70:7 72:14$ $108:2 115:7,17$ $511:23$ $96:9,13,15$ $115:18 118:4$ responder $480:8$ $100:22 120:$ $170:3 173:5$ $responds 402:22$ $160:22 171:$ $303:3 317:1$ $response 6:12$ $191:25 221:$ $319:22 329:18$ $27:21 32:6$ $232:7 237:1$ $340:5 347:15$ $68:12 69:20$ $285:17 294:$ $386:13 415:21$ $88:15 92:20$ $294:23,25$	es116:21retain 85restricted175:24313:23231:257restrictionretained21102:12503:92restrictionsreturn 6452:14 53:10,10368:111059:10 60:12reversal:2273:12,15 74:5reverse79:5 99:11review 1	1:5 88:9 4 231:5,9 5 1 66:8 53:24 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
respect 28:841:20,22,2468:17 165:229:2 38:4 67:242:2,3,6 62:13277:7,11104:14 133:763:5 65:6,9responsibility133:10 138:966:15 67:1859:8 60:17,2153:23 165:1068:25 70:6148:20 249:386:14 414:2478:22 79:14285:20 296:438:15 450:2183:1,12,23315:11 335:465:6 482:2386:6 90:13356:4,4 357491:1 493:2492:17 93:6425:19,21494:24 507:8113:7 122:22483:8 497:1508:7 512:24179:15,23502:12,14,1respectfully185:3 187:13503:1121:24 287:5302:4 348:5responsiblerespond 43:24359:4 369:1440:13,16 4154:19 62:11396:18,2344:5 62:1564:20 83:10399:23 511:2170:7 72:14108:2 115:7,17511:2396:9,13,15115:18 118:4responder 480:8100:22 120:170:3 173:568:16 83:20148:12 160:292:12,14responds 402:22160:22 171:303:3 317:1response 6:12191:25 221:319:22 329:1827:21 32:6232:7 237:1340:5 347:1568:12 69:20285:17 294:386:13 415:2188:15 92:20294:23,25	5 restricted 175:24 313:23 231:25 7 restriction retained 21 102:12 503:9 2 restrictions return 6 4 52:14 53:10,10 368:11 10 59:10 60:12 reversal :22 73:12,15 74:5 reverse 79:5 99:11 review 1	4 231:5,9 5 1 66:8 53:24 1s 449:6 349:16
$29:2 \ 38:4 \ 67:2$ $42:2,3,6 \ 62:13$ $277:7,11$ $104:14 \ 133:7$ $63:5 \ 65:6,9$ $responsibility$ $133:10 \ 138:9$ $66:15 \ 67:18$ $59:8 \ 60:17,2$ $153:23 \ 165:10$ $68:25 \ 70:6$ $148:20 \ 249:$ $386:14 \ 414:24$ $78:22 \ 79:14$ $285:20 \ 296:$ $438:15 \ 450:21$ $83:1,12,23$ $315:11 \ 335:$ $465:6 \ 482:23$ $86:6 \ 90:13$ $356:4,4 \ 357$ $491:1 \ 493:24$ $92:17 \ 93:6$ $425:19,21$ $494:24 \ 507:8$ $113:7 \ 122:22$ $483:8 \ 497:1$ $508:7 \ 512:24$ $179:15,23$ $502:12,14,1$ $respectfully$ $185:3 \ 187:13$ $503:1$ $121:24 \ 287:5$ $302:4 \ 348:5$ $responsible$ $respond \ 43:24$ $359:4 \ 369:14$ $40:13,16 \ 41$ $54:19 \ 62:11$ $396:18,23$ $44:5 \ 62:15$ $64:20 \ 83:10$ $399:23 \ 511:21$ $70:7 \ 72:14$ $108:2 \ 115:7,17$ $511:23$ $96:9,13,15$ $115:18 \ 118:4$ $responder \ 480:8$ $100:22 \ 120:$ $170:3 \ 173:5$ $responds \ 402:22$ $160:22 \ 171:$ $303:3 \ 317:1$ $responds \ 402:22$ $160:22 \ 171:$ $303:3 \ 317:1$ $response \ 6:12$ $191:25 \ 221:$ $319:22 \ 329:18$ $27:21 \ 32:6$ $232:7 \ 237:1$ $340:5 \ 347:15$ $68:12 \ 69:20$ $285:17 \ 294:$ $386:13 \ 415:21$ $88:15 \ 92:20$ $294:23,25$	313:23 231:25 restriction retained 102:12 503:9 restrictions return 6 52:14 53:10,10 368:11 10 59:10 60:12 :22 73:12,15 74:5 79:5 99:11 reverse	5 1 66:8 53:24 1s 449:6 349:16
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	restriction retained 21 102:12 503:9 2 restrictions return 6 4 52:14 53:10,10 368:11 10 59:10 60:12 reversal :22 73:12,15 74:5 reverse 79:5 99:11 review 1	1 66:8 53:24 1 1s 449:6 349:16
133:10138:9 $66:15$ $59:8$ $60:17,2$ 153:23165:10 $68:25$ $70:6$ $148:20$ $249:2520$ 386:14 $414:24$ $78:22$ $79:14$ $285:20$ $296:296:296:2502$ $438:15$ $450:211$ $83:1,12,23$ $315:11$ $335:35:4,4$ $465:6$ $482:23$ $86:6$ $90:13$ $356:4,4$ $357<292:179:16$ $491:1$ $493:24$ $92:17$ $93:6$ $425:19,21$ $494:24$ $507:8$ $113:7$ $122:22$ $483:8$ $497:1$ $508:7$ $512:24$ $179:15,23$ $502:12,14,1$ $508:7$ $512:24$ $179:15,23$ $502:12,14,1$ $121:24$ $287:5$ $302:4$ $348:5$ $responsible$ respond $43:24$ $359:4$ $369:14$ $40:13,16$ $54:19$ $62:11$ $396:18,23$ $44:5$ $62:15$ $64:20$ $83:10$ $399:23$ $511:21$ $70:7$ $108:2$ $115:7,17$ $511:23$ $96:9,13,15$ $115:18$ $118:4$ $responder$ $480:8$ $100:22$ $170:3$ $173:5$ $responds$ $402:22$ $160:22$ $120:17$ $131:13:121$ $303:3$ $317:1$ $72:14$ $191:25$ $303:3$ $317:1$ $27:21$ $32:6$ $232:7$ $340:5$ $347:15$ $68:12$ $69:20$ $285:17$ $386:13$ $415:21$ $88:15$ $92:20$ $294:23,25$	21 102:12 503:9 2 restrictions return 6 4 52:14 53:10,10 368:11 10 59:10 60:12 reversal :22 73:12,15 74:5 reverse 79:5 99:11 review 1	53:24 1 1 s 449:6 349:16
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 restrictions return 6 4 52:14 53:10,10 368:11 10 59:10 60:12 reversal :22 73:12,15 74:5 reverse 79:5 99:11 review 1	l Is 449:6 349:16
386:14 414:2478:22 79:14285:20 296:438:15 450:2183:1,12,23315:11 335:465:6 482:2386:6 90:13356:4,4 357491:1 493:2492:17 93:6425:19,21494:24 507:8113:7 122:22483:8 497:1508:7 512:24179:15,23502:12,14,1respectfully185:3 187:13503:1121:24 287:5302:4 348:5responsiblerespond 43:24359:4 369:1440:13,16 4154:19 62:11396:18,2344:5 62:1564:20 83:10399:23 511:2170:7 72:14108:2 115:7,17511:2396:9,13,15115:18 118:4responder 480:8100:22 120:170:3 173:568:16 83:20148:12 160:292:12,14responds 402:22160:22 171:303:3 317:1response 6:12191:25 221:319:22 329:1827:21 32:6232:7 237:1340:5 347:1568:12 69:20285:17 294:386:13 415:2188:15 92:20294:23,25	452:14 53:10,10368:111059:10 60:12reversal:2273:12,15 74:5reverse79:5 99:11review 1	l Is 449:6 349:16
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10 59:10 60:12 reversal :22 73:12,15 74:5 reverse 79:5 99:11 review 1	l s 449:6 349:16
465:6 482:2386:6 90:13356:4,4 357491:1 493:2492:17 93:6425:19,21494:24 507:8113:7 122:22483:8 497:1508:7 512:24179:15,23502:12,14,1respectfully185:3 187:13503:1121:24 287:5302:4 348:5responsiblerespond 43:24359:4 369:1440:13,16 4154:19 62:11396:18,2344:5 62:1564:20 83:10399:23 511:2170:7 72:14108:2 115:7,17511:2396:9,13,15115:18 118:4responder 480:8100:22 120:170:3 173:568:16 83:20148:12 160:292:12,14responds 402:22160:22 171:303:3 317:1response 6:12191:25 221:319:22 329:1827:21 32:6232:7 237:1340:5 347:1568:12 69:20285:17 294:386:13 415:2188:15 92:20294:23,25	:22 73:12,15 74:5 reverse 79:5 99:11 review 1	349:16
491:1493:2492:1793:6425:19,21494:24507:8113:7122:22483:8497:1508:7512:24179:15,23502:12,14,1respectfully185:3187:13503:1121:24287:5302:4348:5responsiblerespond43:24359:4369:1440:13,1654:1962:11396:18,2344:562:1564:2083:10399:23511:2170:7108:2115:7,17511:2396:9,13,15115:18118:4responder480:8100:22129:15206:2568:1683:20148:12160:22171:3130:3317:1responds40:5347:1568:1269:20285:17340:5347:1588:1592:20294:23,25	79:5 99:11 review 1	
494:24 507:8113:7 122:22483:8 497:1508:7 512:24179:15,23502:12,14,1respectfully185:3 187:13503:1121:24 287:5302:4 348:5responsiblerespond 43:24359:4 369:1440:13,16 4154:19 62:11396:18,2344:5 62:1564:20 83:10399:23 511:2170:7 72:14108:2 115:7,17511:2396:9,13,15115:18 118:4responder 480:8100:22 120:170:3 173:5responding120:17 131:199:15 206:2568:16 83:20148:12 160:292:12,14responds 402:22160:22 171:303:3 317:1response 6:12191:25 221:319:22 329:1827:21 32:6232:7 237:1340:5 347:1568:12 69:20285:17 294:386:13 415:2188:15 92:20294:23,25		
508:7 512:24179:15,23502:12,14,1respectfully185:3 187:13503:1121:24 287:5302:4 348:5responsiblerespond 43:24359:4 369:1440:13,16 4154:19 62:11396:18,2344:5 62:1564:20 83:10399:23 511:2170:7 72:14108:2 115:7,17511:2396:9,13,15115:18 118:4responder 480:8100:22 120:170:3 173:568:16 83:20148:12 160:292:12,14responds 402:22160:22 171:303:3 317:1response 6:12191:25 221:319:22 329:1827:21 32:6232:7 237:1340:5 347:1568:12 69:20285:17 294:386:13 415:2188:15 92:20294:23,25	8 102:2,14,16,23 12:17	
respectfully185:3 187:13503:1121:24 287:5302:4 348:5responsiblerespond 43:24359:4 369:1440:13,16 4154:19 62:11396:18,2344:5 62:1564:20 83:10399:23 511:2170:7 72:14108:2 115:7,17511:2396:9,13,15115:18 118:4responder 480:8100:22 120:170:3 173:568:16 83:20148:12 160:292:12,14responds 402:22160:22 171:303:3 317:1response 6:12191:25 221:319:22 329:1827:21 32:6232:7 237:1340:5 347:1568:12 69:20285:17 294:386:13 415:2188:15 92:20294:23,25	- , , , -, -	
121:24 287:5302:4 348:5responsiblerespond 43:24359:4 369:1440:13,16 4154:19 62:11396:18,2344:5 62:1564:20 83:10399:23 511:2170:7 72:14108:2 115:7,17511:2396:9,13,15115:18 118:4responder 480:8100:22 120:170:3 173:5responding120:17 131:199:15 206:2568:16 83:20148:12 160:292:12,14responds 402:22160:22 171:303:3 317:1response 6:12191:25 221:319:22 329:1827:21 32:6232:7 237:1340:5 347:1568:12 69:20285:17 294:386:13 415:2188:15 92:20294:23,25	233:5 383:20 37:18	
respond 43:24359:4 369:1440:13,16 4154:19 62:11396:18,2344:5 62:1564:20 83:10399:23 511:2170:7 72:14108:2 115:7,17511:2396:9,13,15115:18 118:4responder 480:8100:22 120:170:3 173:5responding120:17 131:199:15 206:2568:16 83:20148:12 160:292:12,14responds 402:22160:22 171:303:3 317:1response 6:12191:25 221:319:22 329:1827:21 32:6232:7 237:1340:5 347:1568:12 69:20285:17 294:386:13 415:2188:15 92:20294:23,25	384:13 410:25 77:17	
54:19 62:11396:18,2344:5 62:1564:20 83:10399:23 511:2170:7 72:14108:2 115:7,17511:2396:9,13,15115:18 118:4responder 480:8100:22 120:170:3 173:5responding120:17 131:199:15 206:2568:16 83:20148:12 160:292:12,14responds 402:22160:22 171:303:3 317:1response 6:12191:25 221:319:22 329:1827:21 32:6232:7 237:1340:5 347:1568:12 69:20285:17 294:386:13 415:2188:15 92:20294:23,25) 169:17
64:20 83:10399:23 511:2170:7 72:14108:2 115:7,17511:2396:9,13,15115:18 118:4responder 480:8100:22 120:170:3 173:5responding120:17 131:199:15 206:2568:16 83:20148:12 160:292:12,14responds 402:22160:22 171:303:3 317:1response 6:12191:25 221:319:22 329:1827:21 32:6232:7 237:1340:5 347:1568:12 69:20285:17 294:386:13 415:2188:15 92:20294:23,25		9 184:17
108:2 115:7,17511:2396:9,13,15115:18 118:4responder 480:8100:22 120:170:3 173:5responding120:17 131:199:15 206:2568:16 83:20148:12 160:292:12,14responds 402:22160:22 171:303:3 317:1response 6:12191:25 221:319:22 329:1827:21 32:6232:7 237:1340:5 347:1568:12 69:20285:17 294:386:13 415:2188:15 92:20294:23,25		2 227:2
115:18 118:4 170:3 173:5responder 480:8 responding100:22 120: 120:17 131: 120:17 131: 120:17 131: 120:17 131: 120:17 131: 120:17 131: 131:120:17 131: 148:12 160: 292:12,14 303:3 317:1 319:22 329:18 340:5 347:15responder 480:8 68:12 69:20 88:15 92:20100:22 120: 120:17 131: 120:17 131: 120:17 131: 160:22 171: 160:22 171: 202:172:172:172:172:172:172:172:172:172:17		253:25
170:3 173:5 199:15 206:25responding 68:16 83:20120:17 131: 148:12 160:292:12,14 303:3 317:1 319:22 329:18responds 402:22 27:21 32:6160:22 171: 191:25 221:319:22 329:18 340:5 347:1527:21 32:6 68:12 69:20232:7 237:1 285:17 294: 294:23,25		467:21
199:15 206:2568:16 83:20148:12 160:292:12,14responds 402:22160:22 171:303:3 317:1response 6:12191:25 221:319:22 329:1827:21 32:6232:7 237:1340:5 347:1568:12 69:20285:17 294:386:13 415:2188:15 92:20294:23,25		
292:12,14responds 402:22160:22 171:303:3 317:1response 6:12191:25 221:319:22 329:1827:21 32:6232:7 237:1340:5 347:1568:12 69:20285:17 294:386:13 415:2188:15 92:20294:23,25		196:11
303:3317:1response6:12191:25221:319:22329:1827:2132:6232:7237:1340:5347:1568:1269:20285:17294:386:13415:2188:1592:20294:23,25	<i>,</i>	5,17,20
319:22329:1827:2132:6232:7237:1340:5347:1568:1269:20285:17294:386:13415:2188:1592:20294:23,25		5 226:7
386:13 415:21 88:15 92:20 294:23,25		2 236:11
386:13 415:21 88:15 92:20 294:23,25		
	70:8 133:2 reviewe	r 216:2
483:22 93:9 99:17 297:7 299:1	0 456:14 reviewi	ng 15:15
responded 44:1 105:21,23 299:13 319:		0
201:25 241:12 110:6 111:13 334:21 335:	8	3 165:13
411:10 483:14 117:7 133:16 335:15 336:	2 60:23 67:13 520:6	
respondent 3:20 136:4 138:20 355:25 357:	10 00 1 10 14	237:25
7:18 29:19 139:16 200:6 357:20 362:	19 98:1,10,14 revoked	
30:25 70:11 201:5,19,24 362:11,13		
184:1 187:21 202:3,12 207:9 432:8 483:1		
196:14 234:2 219:12,13,15 488:13 489:	5,9 99:2,4,8 253:15 145:22 173:11 rhymes	
249:16 454:3 219:21 220:1 496:19 501:	5,999:2,4,8253:15145:22173:11rhymes9173:16,19,20right 10	
454:15 479:16 241:6 243:6 responsibly	5,999:2,4,8253:15145:22173:11rhymes9173:16,19,20right 1024176:19,2216:15	
480:23 514:11 290:20 294:5 237:6,23	5,999:2,4,8253:15145:22173:11rhymes9173:16,19,20right 1024176:19,2216:15	-
respondent's 317:2 339:2 responsive	5,999:2,4,8253:15145:22173:11rhymes9173:16,19,20right 1024176:19,2216:1514177:4,1219:5,1	4:5,19
3:4 22:13 30:5 359:18 380:12 106:18	5,999:2,4,8253:15145:22173:11rhymes9173:16,19,20right 1024176:19,2216:1514177:4,1219:5,1178:22179:1521:4	4:5,19 27:19
	5,9 99:2,4,8 253:15 145:22 173:11 rhymes 9 173:16,19,20 right 10 24 176:19,22 16:15 14 177:4,12 19:5,1 178:22 179:15 21:4 179:16,18,24 24:25	4:5,19 27:19 30:1

1	1	1	1	
32:19 33:13,23	398:23 399:18	Robinson 3:13	379:4 380:10	299:20 303:20
34:11 35:5,9	399:23 405:13	5:19 7:5 9:2	381:6,22	342:20,20
39:6,15 42:12	414:10 415:1,6	18:24,25 28:3	382:13 383:4	358:21,23
47:13 55:9	415:20 421:3	31:24 43:18	383:24 384:23	360:12 424:15
64:14 66:3,11	423:9 424:23	76:16 108:13	386:25 387:3	425:6 462:10
66:17 72:23	429:21 431:25	109:1 113:6	387:21 388:2,5	481:9
80:1 94:2,10	432:15,21,21	136:5,17 137:3	389:22 390:4	roles 342:23
119:12 152:5	434:13,15	137:8,15,22	390:12 394:24	358:25
155:1 164:25	435:12,13	138:7 141:7	397:6,18	rolled 297:19
166:17 167:2	438:25 440:14	161:9 172:3	398:18 401:13	441:3
168:21 169:7	446:18 447:15	173:3 178:23	408:8 411:17	room 202:25
183:5 184:20	447:18 448:20	195:18 203:12	412:15 418:19	271:15 272:13
186:8,21	449:11,12,16	204:25 205:4,6	420:25,25	273:7 325:7
187:20,23,24	449:22 450:1,6	206:3 209:15	421:5 425:7,9	345:8 349:4
188:2 189:1	450:15 453:16	210:18,19,24	428:8 429:8,12	350:6 443:25
195:10 198:19	458:10 464:22	218:14,19,21	430:6,16,22	Rosebud 225:25
206:12 207:3	468:24 469:2	219:11,16,22	435:24 442:22	244:12 245:23
208:16 216:12	469:25 471:10	220:18 221:5,9	443:4 445:5,9	248:18 255:15
238:19 239:9	477:6 479:11	221:13 222:1,4	445:12 485:6	255:25
245:20 246:14	480:18,20,21	222:13,18,20	486:4,10,11	rotation 367:22
250:15,20,21	482:21 490:25	227:20 230:6	490:7 498:8,20	368:17
254:13 256:21	497:19 499:11	233:19 235:4	504:8,12 506:7	rough 422:16
259:12 267:13	500:13 501:2	235:24 236:12	506:13,21	458:9
272:18,20,24	503:21 504:10	236:15 237:25	507:14,17	roughly 464:7
273:17,22	506:16,22	220.4 0 242.2	Dilingunt	401 12
,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	238:4,8 242:3	Robinson's	481:13
274:12 275:25	507:2 510:4,9	242:5,24 243:5	19:14 140:20	roundtrip 417:8
274:12 275:25 285:13 293:23	507:2 510:4,9 511:13,17	242:5,24 243:5 253:14,23	19:14 140:20 182:16 206:5	roundtrip 417:8 rule 6:4 15:4
274:12 275:25 285:13 293:23 301:7,13,23	507:2 510:4,9 511:13,17 514:4,13	242:5,24 243:5 253:14,23 282:24 288:8	19:14 140:20 182:16 206:5 236:1 254:9	roundtrip 417:8 rule 6:4 15:4 23:8 27:3 34:8
274:12 275:25 285:13 293:23 301:7,13,23 303:24 304:17	507:2 510:4,9 511:13,17 514:4,13 515:17 516:20	242:5,24 243:5 253:14,23 282:24 288:8 289:17,22	19:14 140:20 182:16 206:5 236:1 254:9 313:21,24	roundtrip 417:8 rule 6:4 15:4 23:8 27:3 34:8 35:25 38:12
274:12 275:25 285:13 293:23 301:7,13,23 303:24 304:17 304:23 306:8	507:2 510:4,9 511:13,17 514:4,13 515:17 516:20 517:13,19,21	242:5,24 243:5 253:14,23 282:24 288:8 289:17,22 296:23 309:1	19:14 140:20 182:16 206:5 236:1 254:9 313:21,24 318:12 323:18	roundtrip 417:8 rule 6:4 15:4 23:8 27:3 34:8 35:25 38:12 69:21 92:16
274:12 275:25 285:13 293:23 301:7,13,23 303:24 304:17 304:23 306:8 307:2,17 308:5	507:2 510:4,9 511:13,17 514:4,13 515:17 516:20 517:13,19,21 518:10,11	242:5,24 243:5 253:14,23 282:24 288:8 289:17,22 296:23 309:1 315:14 326:2	19:14 140:20 182:16 206:5 236:1 254:9 313:21,24 318:12 323:18 360:14 369:10	roundtrip 417:8 rule 6:4 15:4 23:8 27:3 34:8 35:25 38:12 69:21 92:16 191:17 206:9
274:12 275:25 285:13 293:23 301:7,13,23 303:24 304:17 304:23 306:8 307:2,17 308:5 309:2,3 312:15	507:2 510:4,9 511:13,17 514:4,13 515:17 516:20 517:13,19,21 518:10,11 519:13,13	242:5,24 243:5 253:14,23 282:24 288:8 289:17,22 296:23 309:1 315:14 326:2 326:20,25	19:14 140:20 182:16 206:5 236:1 254:9 313:21,24 318:12 323:18 360:14 369:10 406:4 410:3,5	roundtrip 417:8 rule 6:4 15:4 23:8 27:3 34:8 35:25 38:12 69:21 92:16 191:17 206:9 402:23
274:12 275:25 285:13 293:23 301:7,13,23 303:24 304:17 304:23 306:8 307:2,17 308:5 309:2,3 312:15 312:22 314:2	507:2 510:4,9 511:13,17 514:4,13 515:17 516:20 517:13,19,21 518:10,11 519:13,13 520:15	242:5,24 243:5 253:14,23 282:24 288:8 289:17,22 296:23 309:1 315:14 326:2 326:20,25 328:9 331:12	19:14 140:20 182:16 206:5 236:1 254:9 313:21,24 318:12 323:18 360:14 369:10 406:4 410:3,5 417:7 418:10	roundtrip 417:8 rule 6:4 15:4 23:8 27:3 34:8 35:25 38:12 69:21 92:16 191:17 206:9 402:23 Rule.' 91:22
274:12 275:25 285:13 293:23 301:7,13,23 303:24 304:17 304:23 306:8 307:2,17 308:5 309:2,3 312:15 312:22 314:2 314:21 315:4	507:2 510:4,9 511:13,17 514:4,13 515:17 516:20 517:13,19,21 518:10,11 519:13,13 520:15 right-hand	242:5,24 243:5 253:14,23 282:24 288:8 289:17,22 296:23 309:1 315:14 326:2 326:20,25 328:9 331:12 331:24 339:8	19:14 140:20 182:16 206:5 236:1 254:9 313:21,24 318:12 323:18 360:14 369:10 406:4 410:3,5 417:7 418:10 420:24 425:2	roundtrip 417:8 rule 6:4 15:4 23:8 27:3 34:8 35:25 38:12 69:21 92:16 191:17 206:9 402:23 Rule.' 91:22 ruled 37:24
274:12 275:25 285:13 293:23 301:7,13,23 303:24 304:17 304:23 306:8 307:2,17 308:5 309:2,3 312:15 312:22 314:2 314:21 315:4 319:25 324:17	507:2 510:4,9 511:13,17 514:4,13 515:17 516:20 517:13,19,21 518:10,11 519:13,13 520:15 right-hand 245:15	242:5,24 243:5 253:14,23 282:24 288:8 289:17,22 296:23 309:1 315:14 326:2 326:20,25 328:9 331:12 331:24 339:8 339:16 341:2	19:14 140:20 182:16 206:5 236:1 254:9 313:21,24 318:12 323:18 360:14 369:10 406:4 410:3,5 417:7 418:10 420:24 425:2 425:21 426:1	roundtrip 417:8 rule 6:4 15:4 23:8 27:3 34:8 35:25 38:12 69:21 92:16 191:17 206:9 402:23 Rule.' 91:22 ruled 37:24 262:8
274:12 275:25 285:13 293:23 301:7,13,23 303:24 304:17 304:23 306:8 307:2,17 308:5 309:2,3 312:15 312:22 314:2 314:21 315:4 319:25 324:17 328:2 333:16	507:2 510:4,9 511:13,17 514:4,13 515:17 516:20 517:13,19,21 518:10,11 519:13,13 520:15 right-hand 245:15 rise 36:17 37:2	242:5,24 243:5 253:14,23 282:24 288:8 289:17,22 296:23 309:1 315:14 326:2 326:20,25 328:9 331:12 331:24 339:8 339:16 341:2 352:4 353:24	19:14 140:20 182:16 206:5 236:1 254:9 313:21,24 318:12 323:18 360:14 369:10 406:4 410:3,5 417:7 418:10 420:24 425:2 425:21 426:1 442:13 485:2	roundtrip 417:8 rule 6:4 15:4 23:8 27:3 34:8 35:25 38:12 69:21 92:16 191:17 206:9 402:23 Rule.' 91:22 ruled 37:24 262:8 rules 23:9 26:10
274:12 275:25 285:13 293:23 301:7,13,23 303:24 304:17 304:23 306:8 307:2,17 308:5 309:2,3 312:15 312:22 314:2 314:21 315:4 319:25 324:17 328:2 333:16 333:18 342:7	507:2 510:4,9 511:13,17 514:4,13 515:17 516:20 517:13,19,21 518:10,11 519:13,13 520:15 right-hand 245:15 rise 36:17 37:2 risk 264:17	242:5,24 243:5 253:14,23 282:24 288:8 289:17,22 296:23 309:1 315:14 326:2 326:20,25 328:9 331:12 331:24 339:8 339:16 341:2 352:4 353:24 354:3 355:11	19:14 140:20 182:16 206:5 236:1 254:9 313:21,24 318:12 323:18 360:14 369:10 406:4 410:3,5 417:7 418:10 420:24 425:2 425:21 426:1 442:13 485:2 488:20 500:2	roundtrip 417:8 rule 6:4 15:4 23:8 27:3 34:8 35:25 38:12 69:21 92:16 191:17 206:9 402:23 Rule.' 91:22 ruled 37:24 262:8 rules 23:9 26:10 146:25 155:9
274:12 275:25 285:13 293:23 301:7,13,23 303:24 304:17 304:23 306:8 307:2,17 308:5 309:2,3 312:15 312:22 314:2 314:21 315:4 319:25 324:17 328:2 333:16 333:18 342:7 353:3 355:19	507:2 510:4,9 511:13,17 514:4,13 515:17 516:20 517:13,19,21 518:10,11 519:13,13 520:15 right-hand 245:15 rise 36:17 37:2 risk 264:17 risks 52:10	242:5,24 243:5 253:14,23 282:24 288:8 289:17,22 296:23 309:1 315:14 326:2 326:20,25 328:9 331:12 331:24 339:8 339:16 341:2 352:4 353:24 354:3 355:11 360:9,19 366:5	$\begin{array}{c} 19:14 \ 140:20\\ 182:16 \ 206:5\\ 236:1 \ 254:9\\ 313:21,24\\ 318:12 \ 323:18\\ 360:14 \ 369:10\\ 406:4 \ 410:3,5\\ 417:7 \ 418:10\\ 420:24 \ 425:2\\ 425:21 \ 426:1\\ 442:13 \ 485:2\\ 488:20 \ 500:2\\ 503:20 \ 504:3\\ \end{array}$	roundtrip 417:8 rule 6:4 15:4 23:8 27:3 34:8 35:25 38:12 69:21 92:16 191:17 206:9 402:23 Rule.' 91:22 ruled 37:24 262:8 rules 23:9 26:10 146:25 155:9 155:18 165:7
274:12 275:25 285:13 293:23 301:7,13,23 303:24 304:17 304:23 306:8 307:2,17 308:5 309:2,3 312:15 312:22 314:2 314:21 315:4 319:25 324:17 328:2 333:16 333:18 342:7 353:3 355:19 357:14 365:24	507:2 510:4,9 511:13,17 514:4,13 515:17 516:20 517:13,19,21 518:10,11 519:13,13 520:15 right-hand 245:15 rise 36:17 37:2 risk 264:17 risks 52:10 River 226:1	242:5,24 243:5 253:14,23 282:24 288:8 289:17,22 296:23 309:1 315:14 326:2 326:20,25 328:9 331:12 331:24 339:8 339:16 341:2 352:4 353:24 354:3 355:11 360:9,19 366:5 366:20,25	$\begin{array}{c} 19:14 \ 140:20\\ 182:16 \ 206:5\\ 236:1 \ 254:9\\ 313:21,24\\ 318:12 \ 323:18\\ 360:14 \ 369:10\\ 406:4 \ 410:3,5\\ 417:7 \ 418:10\\ 420:24 \ 425:2\\ 425:21 \ 426:1\\ 442:13 \ 485:2\\ 488:20 \ 500:2\\ 503:20 \ 504:3\\ 508:13\\ \end{array}$	roundtrip 417:8 rule 6:4 15:4 23:8 27:3 34:8 35:25 38:12 69:21 92:16 191:17 206:9 402:23 Rule.' 91:22 ruled 37:24 262:8 rules 23:9 26:10 146:25 155:9 155:18 165:7 212:15 215:17
$\begin{array}{c} 274:12\ 275:25\\ 285:13\ 293:23\\ 301:7,13,23\\ 303:24\ 304:17\\ 304:23\ 306:8\\ 307:2,17\ 308:5\\ 309:2,3\ 312:15\\ 312:22\ 314:2\\ 314:21\ 315:4\\ 319:25\ 324:17\\ 328:2\ 333:16\\ 333:18\ 342:7\\ 353:3\ 355:19\\ 357:14\ 365:24\\ 370:2,5\ 371:20\\ \end{array}$	507:2 510:4,9 511:13,17 514:4,13 515:17 516:20 517:13,19,21 518:10,11 519:13,13 520:15 right-hand 245:15 rise 36:17 37:2 risk 264:17 risks 52:10 River 226:1 244:16 248:19	242:5,24 243:5 253:14,23 282:24 288:8 289:17,22 296:23 309:1 315:14 326:2 326:20,25 328:9 331:12 331:24 339:8 339:16 341:2 352:4 353:24 354:3 355:11 360:9,19 366:5 366:20,25 367:14,19	19:14 140:20 182:16 206:5 236:1 254:9 313:21,24 318:12 323:18 360:14 369:10 406:4 410:3,5 417:7 418:10 420:24 425:2 425:21 426:1 442:13 485:2 488:20 500:2 503:20 504:3 508:13 role 41:23 58:21	roundtrip 417:8 rule 6:4 15:4 23:8 27:3 34:8 35:25 38:12 69:21 92:16 191:17 206:9 402:23 Rule.' 91:22 ruled 37:24 262:8 rules 23:9 26:10 146:25 155:9 155:18 165:7 212:15 215:17 303:17 304:13
274:12 275:25 285:13 293:23 301:7,13,23 303:24 304:17 304:23 306:8 307:2,17 308:5 309:2,3 312:15 312:22 314:2 314:21 315:4 319:25 324:17 328:2 333:16 333:18 342:7 353:3 355:19 357:14 365:24 370:2,5 371:20 373:11 377:23	507:2 510:4,9 511:13,17 514:4,13 515:17 516:20 517:13,19,21 518:10,11 519:13,13 520:15 right-hand 245:15 rise 36:17 37:2 risk 264:17 risks 52:10 River 226:1 244:16 248:19 248:20 264:14	242:5,24 243:5 253:14,23 282:24 288:8 289:17,22 296:23 309:1 315:14 326:2 326:20,25 328:9 331:12 331:24 339:8 339:16 341:2 352:4 353:24 354:3 355:11 360:9,19 366:5 366:20,25 367:14,19 368:18 369:13	19:14 140:20 182:16 206:5 236:1 254:9 313:21,24 318:12 323:18 360:14 369:10 406:4 410:3,5 417:7 418:10 420:24 425:2 425:21 426:1 442:13 485:2 488:20 500:2 503:20 504:3 508:13 role 41:23 58:21 88:17 111:8	roundtrip 417:8 rule 6:4 15:4 23:8 27:3 34:8 35:25 38:12 69:21 92:16 191:17 206:9 402:23 Rule.' 91:22 ruled 37:24 262:8 rules 23:9 26:10 146:25 155:9 155:18 165:7 212:15 215:17 303:17 304:13 332:17 406:9
$\begin{array}{c} 274:12\ 275:25\\ 285:13\ 293:23\\ 301:7,13,23\\ 303:24\ 304:17\\ 304:23\ 306:8\\ 307:2,17\ 308:5\\ 309:2,3\ 312:15\\ 312:22\ 314:2\\ 314:21\ 315:4\\ 319:25\ 324:17\\ 328:2\ 333:16\\ 333:18\ 342:7\\ 353:3\ 355:19\\ 357:14\ 365:24\\ 370:2,5\ 371:20\\ 373:11\ 377:23\\ 378:3,19\ 379:2\end{array}$	507:2 510:4,9 511:13,17 514:4,13 515:17 516:20 517:13,19,21 518:10,11 519:13,13 520:15 right-hand 245:15 rise 36:17 37:2 risk 264:17 risks 52:10 River 226:1 244:16 248:19 248:20 264:14 road 34:16	242:5,24 243:5 253:14,23 282:24 288:8 289:17,22 296:23 309:1 315:14 326:2 326:20,25 328:9 331:12 331:24 339:8 339:16 341:2 352:4 353:24 354:3 355:11 360:9,19 366:5 366:20,25 367:14,19 368:18 369:13 369:14,16,25	19:14 140:20 182:16 206:5 236:1 254:9 313:21,24 318:12 323:18 360:14 369:10 406:4 410:3,5 417:7 418:10 420:24 425:2 425:21 426:1 442:13 485:2 488:20 500:2 503:20 504:3 508:13 role 41:23 58:21 88:17 111:8 140:12,23	roundtrip 417:8 rule 6:4 15:4 23:8 27:3 34:8 35:25 38:12 69:21 92:16 191:17 206:9 402:23 Rule.' 91:22 ruled 37:24 262:8 rules 23:9 26:10 146:25 155:9 155:18 165:7 212:15 215:17 303:17 304:13 332:17 406:9 410:23
$\begin{array}{c} 274:12\ 275:25\\ 285:13\ 293:23\\ 301:7,13,23\\ 303:24\ 304:17\\ 304:23\ 306:8\\ 307:2,17\ 308:5\\ 309:2,3\ 312:15\\ 312:22\ 314:2\\ 314:21\ 315:4\\ 319:25\ 324:17\\ 328:2\ 333:16\\ 333:18\ 342:7\\ 353:3\ 355:19\\ 357:14\ 365:24\\ 370:2,5\ 371:20\\ 373:11\ 377:23\\ 378:3,19\ 379:2\\ 380:21\ 381:6\\ \end{array}$	507:2 510:4,9 511:13,17 514:4,13 515:17 516:20 517:13,19,21 518:10,11 519:13,13 520:15 right-hand 245:15 rise 36:17 37:2 risk 264:17 risks 52:10 River 226:1 244:16 248:19 248:20 264:14 road 34:16 385:9 446:20	242:5,24 243:5 253:14,23 282:24 288:8 289:17,22 296:23 309:1 315:14 326:2 326:20,25 328:9 331:12 331:24 339:8 339:16 341:2 352:4 353:24 354:3 355:11 360:9,19 366:5 366:20,25 367:14,19 368:18 369:13 369:14,16,25 370:1,7,15	19:14 140:20 182:16 206:5 236:1 254:9 313:21,24 318:12 323:18 360:14 369:10 406:4 410:3,5 417:7 418:10 420:24 425:2 425:21 426:1 442:13 485:2 488:20 500:2 503:20 504:3 508:13 role 41:23 58:21 88:17 111:8 140:12,23 180:5 243:22	roundtrip 417:8 rule 6:4 15:4 23:8 27:3 34:8 35:25 38:12 69:21 92:16 191:17 206:9 402:23 Rule.' 91:22 ruled 37:24 262:8 rules 23:9 26:10 146:25 155:9 155:18 165:7 212:15 215:17 303:17 304:13 332:17 406:9 410:23 ruling 27:21
$\begin{array}{c} 274:12\ 275:25\\ 285:13\ 293:23\\ 301:7,13,23\\ 303:24\ 304:17\\ 304:23\ 306:8\\ 307:2,17\ 308:5\\ 309:2,3\ 312:15\\ 312:22\ 314:2\\ 314:21\ 315:4\\ 319:25\ 324:17\\ 328:2\ 333:16\\ 333:18\ 342:7\\ 353:3\ 355:19\\ 357:14\ 365:24\\ 370:2,5\ 371:20\\ 373:11\ 377:23\\ 378:3,19\ 379:2\\ 380:21\ 381:6\\ 387:3,9\ 389:12\\ \end{array}$	507:2 510:4,9 511:13,17 514:4,13 515:17 516:20 517:13,19,21 518:10,11 519:13,13 520:15 right-hand 245:15 rise 36:17 37:2 risk 264:17 risks 52:10 River 226:1 244:16 248:19 248:20 264:14 road 34:16 385:9 446:20 446:22,23,24	242:5,24 243:5 253:14,23 282:24 288:8 289:17,22 296:23 309:1 315:14 326:2 326:20,25 328:9 331:12 331:24 339:8 339:16 341:2 352:4 353:24 354:3 355:11 360:9,19 366:5 366:20,25 367:14,19 368:18 369:13 369:14,16,25 370:1,7,15 371:5,9,11,25	19:14 140:20 182:16 206:5 236:1 254:9 313:21,24 318:12 323:18 360:14 369:10 406:4 410:3,5 417:7 418:10 420:24 425:2 425:21 426:1 442:13 485:2 488:20 500:2 503:20 504:3 508:13 role 41:23 58:21 88:17 111:8 140:12,23 180:5 243:22 248:25 276:11	roundtrip 417:8 rule 6:4 15:4 23:8 27:3 34:8 35:25 38:12 69:21 92:16 191:17 206:9 402:23 Rule.' 91:22 ruled 37:24 262:8 rules 23:9 26:10 146:25 155:9 155:18 165:7 212:15 215:17 303:17 304:13 332:17 406:9 410:23 ruling 27:21 28:19 29:10
$\begin{array}{c} 274:12\ 275:25\\ 285:13\ 293:23\\ 301:7,13,23\\ 303:24\ 304:17\\ 304:23\ 306:8\\ 307:2,17\ 308:5\\ 309:2,3\ 312:15\\ 312:22\ 314:2\\ 314:21\ 315:4\\ 319:25\ 324:17\\ 328:2\ 333:16\\ 333:18\ 342:7\\ 353:3\ 355:19\\ 357:14\ 365:24\\ 370:2,5\ 371:20\\ 373:11\ 377:23\\ 378:3,19\ 379:2\\ 380:21\ 381:6\\ 387:3,9\ 389:12\\ 390:6\ 394:22\\ \end{array}$	507:2 510:4,9 511:13,17 514:4,13 515:17 516:20 517:13,19,21 518:10,11 519:13,13 520:15 right-hand 245:15 rise 36:17 37:2 risk 264:17 risks 52:10 River 226:1 244:16 248:19 248:20 264:14 road 34:16 385:9 446:20 446:22,23,24 446:25 447:6	$\begin{array}{c} 242:5,24\ 243:5\\ 253:14,23\\ 282:24\ 288:8\\ 289:17,22\\ 296:23\ 309:1\\ 315:14\ 326:2\\ 326:20,25\\ 328:9\ 331:12\\ 331:24\ 339:8\\ 339:16\ 341:2\\ 352:4\ 353:24\\ 354:3\ 355:11\\ 360:9,19\ 366:5\\ 366:20,25\\ 367:14,19\\ 368:18\ 369:13\\ 369:14,16,25\\ 370:1,7,15\\ 371:5,9,11,25\\ 372:6,15\ 374:4 \end{array}$	19:14 140:20 182:16 206:5 236:1 254:9 313:21,24 318:12 323:18 360:14 369:10 406:4 410:3,5 417:7 418:10 420:24 425:2 425:21 426:1 442:13 485:2 488:20 500:2 503:20 504:3 508:13 role 41:23 58:21 88:17 111:8 140:12,23 180:5 243:22 248:25 276:11 276:13,15	roundtrip 417:8 rule 6:4 15:4 23:8 27:3 34:8 35:25 38:12 69:21 92:16 191:17 206:9 402:23 Rule.' 91:22 ruled 37:24 262:8 rules 23:9 26:10 146:25 155:9 155:18 165:7 212:15 215:17 303:17 304:13 332:17 406:9 410:23 ruling 27:21 28:19 29:10 38:1 71:10
$\begin{array}{c} 274:12\ 275:25\\ 285:13\ 293:23\\ 301:7,13,23\\ 303:24\ 304:17\\ 304:23\ 306:8\\ 307:2,17\ 308:5\\ 309:2,3\ 312:15\\ 312:22\ 314:2\\ 314:21\ 315:4\\ 319:25\ 324:17\\ 328:2\ 333:16\\ 333:18\ 342:7\\ 353:3\ 355:19\\ 357:14\ 365:24\\ 370:2,5\ 371:20\\ 373:11\ 377:23\\ 378:3,19\ 379:2\\ 380:21\ 381:6\\ 387:3,9\ 389:12\\ 390:6\ 394:22\\ 395:3,8\ 396:2\\ \end{array}$	507:2 510:4,9 511:13,17 514:4,13 515:17 516:20 517:13,19,21 518:10,11 519:13,13 520:15 right-hand 245:15 rise 36:17 37:2 risk 264:17 risks 52:10 River 226:1 244:16 248:19 248:20 264:14 road 34:16 385:9 446:20 446:22,23,24 446:25 447:6 Robichaud 4:17	$\begin{array}{c} 242:5,24\ 243:5\\ 253:14,23\\ 282:24\ 288:8\\ 289:17,22\\ 296:23\ 309:1\\ 315:14\ 326:2\\ 326:20,25\\ 328:9\ 331:12\\ 331:24\ 339:8\\ 339:16\ 341:2\\ 352:4\ 353:24\\ 354:3\ 355:11\\ 360:9,19\ 366:5\\ 366:20,25\\ 366:20,25\\ 367:14,19\\ 368:18\ 369:13\\ 369:14,16,25\\ 370:1,7,15\\ 371:5,9,11,25\\ 372:6,15\ 374:4\\ 374:15\ 377:14\\ \end{array}$	19:14 140:20 182:16 206:5 236:1 254:9 313:21,24 318:12 323:18 360:14 369:10 406:4 410:3,5 417:7 418:10 420:24 425:2 425:21 426:1 442:13 485:2 488:20 500:2 503:20 504:3 508:13 role 41:23 58:21 88:17 111:8 140:12,23 180:5 243:22 248:25 276:11 276:13,15 277:2 279:8	roundtrip 417:8 rule 6:4 15:4 23:8 27:3 34:8 35:25 38:12 69:21 92:16 191:17 206:9 402:23 Rule.' 91:22 ruled 37:24 262:8 rules 23:9 26:10 146:25 155:9 155:18 165:7 212:15 215:17 303:17 304:13 332:17 406:9 410:23 ruling 27:21 28:19 29:10 38:1 71:10 234:19
$\begin{array}{c} 274:12\ 275:25\\ 285:13\ 293:23\\ 301:7,13,23\\ 303:24\ 304:17\\ 304:23\ 306:8\\ 307:2,17\ 308:5\\ 309:2,3\ 312:15\\ 312:22\ 314:2\\ 314:21\ 315:4\\ 319:25\ 324:17\\ 328:2\ 333:16\\ 333:18\ 342:7\\ 353:3\ 355:19\\ 357:14\ 365:24\\ 370:2,5\ 371:20\\ 373:11\ 377:23\\ 378:3,19\ 379:2\\ 380:21\ 381:6\\ 387:3,9\ 389:12\\ 390:6\ 394:22\\ \end{array}$	507:2 510:4,9 511:13,17 514:4,13 515:17 516:20 517:13,19,21 518:10,11 519:13,13 520:15 right-hand 245:15 rise 36:17 37:2 risk 264:17 risks 52:10 River 226:1 244:16 248:19 248:20 264:14 road 34:16 385:9 446:20 446:22,23,24 446:25 447:6	$\begin{array}{c} 242:5,24\ 243:5\\ 253:14,23\\ 282:24\ 288:8\\ 289:17,22\\ 296:23\ 309:1\\ 315:14\ 326:2\\ 326:20,25\\ 328:9\ 331:12\\ 331:24\ 339:8\\ 339:16\ 341:2\\ 352:4\ 353:24\\ 354:3\ 355:11\\ 360:9,19\ 366:5\\ 366:20,25\\ 367:14,19\\ 368:18\ 369:13\\ 369:14,16,25\\ 370:1,7,15\\ 371:5,9,11,25\\ 372:6,15\ 374:4 \end{array}$	19:14 140:20 182:16 206:5 236:1 254:9 313:21,24 318:12 323:18 360:14 369:10 406:4 410:3,5 417:7 418:10 420:24 425:2 425:21 426:1 442:13 485:2 488:20 500:2 503:20 504:3 508:13 role 41:23 58:21 88:17 111:8 140:12,23 180:5 243:22 248:25 276:11 276:13,15	roundtrip 417:8 rule 6:4 15:4 23:8 27:3 34:8 35:25 38:12 69:21 92:16 191:17 206:9 402:23 Rule.' 91:22 ruled 37:24 262:8 rules 23:9 26:10 146:25 155:9 155:18 165:7 212:15 215:17 303:17 304:13 332:17 406:9 410:23 ruling 27:21 28:19 29:10 38:1 71:10

				rage Joz
77:17 240:21	29:16,20 33:19	228:4 320:5,9	444:22,24	scientific 261:20
406:6	337:12 363:4	320:16,25	452:25 458:5	263:16
rundown 17:13	363:10,11	321:4,8,9,13	479:24 513:4	scope 143:24
204:8 345:9	514:25	321:22 323:5,8	518:3	149:12,20,24
running 271:2	RX3 7:22	353:7 407:19	says 61:6 66:7	151:8 162:25
461:12	RX4 7:22	409:7,11,13	85:25 105:25	163:1 367:19
runoff 52:7	RX5 7:23 195:9	410:11,14	110:16 112:1	368:5 373:21
runs 56:4	327:10,11	440:15 455:1,3	112:12 114:12	374:2 397:1
249:12 279:22	386:23	465:19 466:19	116:21,22,23	502:16
279:23	RX6 8:2	467:15	126:22 127:6	screen 46:12,17
rural 385:1	RX7 8:3	sampling 57:23	127:15 129:18	46:20 255:18
rush 452:14	RX8 8:4	67:12 96:18,20	130:7 149:16	308:19 312:17
RX 31:13	RX9 8:6 199:19	99:9 175:16,24	150:7,20 155:6	314:23 372:21
333:10 336:6	Rydquist 321:5	176:22 177:8	156:4 159:1	377:16 437:14
RX1 7:19 22:15		178:20,21	161:7,8 174:25	screens 409:8,11
29:16,19 31:11	<u> </u>	179:15 218:4	176:4 227:17	Screw 509:8
33:24 34:19	S-P-R-A-G-U-E	222:11 228:9	240:13,16	scroll 101:9
514:24	400:15	228:12,15	265:1 313:3	111:4 143:10
RX10 8:7	Sackett 262:3,7	235:9 323:7	315:13 364:22	149:15 195:5
203:24	267:3	494:6	375:25 376:5	283:23 284:11
RX11 8:9	safe 277:23	sanitation	383:9 387:3,6	287:1 289:4
RX12 8:10	509:4 520:15	110:22 276:5	387:7 405:16	290:10 294:6
RX13 8:12	safety 125:20	276:10,14	407:18 413:3,4	295:9 298:9,21
RX14 8:13	296:3,5 458:16	278:10 313:8	416:3,4 417:2	313:16 327:17
RX15 8:15	458:19 475:17	Sara 4:22,23 5:2	424:23 429:23	327:22 333:9
RX16 8:16 35:8	508:19 509:1	5:4,5,7,15,16	433:18 437:14	333:20 350:25
35:19	sake 169:12	5:18 7:4 8:11	449:15	353:20,20
RX17 8:18	salad 186:7	8:12,13,15	scan 251:9,14	354:7 355:1,12
RX18 8:19	salmonella 53:3	94:19 96:1	scattered 475:1	469:23 473:3
31:12	53:7	105:6,12	487:23,23	scrolled 327:23
RX19 8:21	sample 58:2	109:18	508:17 509:12	scrolling 159:18
RX2 7:20	99:1 145:22	Sarah 2:17	509:13,21	351:2
361:15	153:18 175:20	11:23 272:7	scenario 45:2	se 2:10 12:17,20
RX20 8:22	177:2,2,4	308:11	scene 375:13	12:21 13:1
31:14	180:14 217:4	sat 156:9 281:3	380:2	42:21 452:18
RX21 8:23	227:12,13	saved 479:9	scenes 307:13	457:19
31:16	228:5 237:19	saw 139:14	schedule 296:12	seal 521:17
RX22 9:2 31:22	286:8	214:25 393:8	340:20 520:5	Sean 8:22 20:3,5
RX23 9:3 32:5	sampled 171:16	393:14 407:19	Schedule.'	31:14 475:5
RX24 9:5 32:9	173:10 263:5	409:7,7 425:3	296:15	486:9
RX25 9:6 32:16	sampler 199:17	467:11	scheduled 213:8	search 516:5
32:18 333:22	samples 56:14	saying 83:21	scheduling	searched 517:16
RX26 9:7 33:3	96:9,10 98:1	154:23 246:18	16:13	seasons 447:21
336:8	98:23 139:14	257:18 333:2	school 467:2	seat 46:8 370:13
RX27 9:8 33:13	146:1 153:22	344:2 369:18	science 49:10,14	400:8 454:7
336:10	177:1 180:7	401:21 437:9	49:19	481:2
RX28 9:9 22:16	181:1 218:16	440:17,18	sciences 49:8,21	second 18:16
L				

	I	I	I	
19:19 32:21	171:2 192:24	send 78:12	470:2,16,18	279:15 281:11
47:10 62:24	194:17 203:22	180:22 228:19	sentences	282:4 288:9
63:17 64:16,22	231:1,1 245:7	229:1,2 269:20	473:10	297:24 313:8
71:6 113:25	245:16,24	270:11 287:8	separate 25:15	337:10,18
116:3 142:24	255:7 272:13	326:18 339:7	57:22 127:20	338:1 363:24
149:6 150:2	280:18 282:1,7	348:10,21	165:5,6,9	365:8
153:17 183:2	290:3 306:10	456:2 458:4	232:9 338:7	Service's 172:2
187:1,10	306:13 308:2,6	465:22 493:18	364:4 368:18	services 1:3 5:10
194:25 203:9	308:9,13,17,17	501:10 518:4	509:22	5:13 10:25
232:3 252:10	308:19,20,21	518:20	separately 25:15	18:2 40:10
290:25 308:4	308:22 312:24	sending 519:25	septage 201:7,8	75:24 76:22
328:1 331:16	313:1,1 314:23	sends 199:8	201:16 241:18	77:13 86:19
333:8 341:6	324:16,22	sense 114:19	241:18	96:1,12 99:24
357:7 362:2	328:1 360:12	220:20 223:23	September	106:3 117:13
375:20,22	361:9,18,24	235:12 262:16	93:11 99:7	126:10,17,17
376:1,4 377:21	378:13 396:2	366:9 434:1	101:18 107:3	127:4 128:5,12
380:9 382:25	399:16,20	sent 14:25 34:1	132:9 203:14	129:4 137:15
386:19,25	437:13 439:2	69:14 77:13,14	205:7 207:6,7	141:13 143:5
395:16 402:1	447:7 470:19	78:8,23 84:10	septic 400:20	145:3 146:8,13
406:14 414:6	516:21	90:13 99:7	427:6 432:18	147:6,16 149:7
446:5,6 450:16	seeing 325:25	105:9 109:1,7	433:7,13,16	151:16,22
451:24 452:3	363:3	109:13,19	436:11,13,14	153:5,7 154:18
472:25 499:4	seek 84:24 108:3	120:3 141:13	septics 487:24	155:24 156:11
514:21	135:18 339:2	142:13 145:8	seq 284:16	157:3 159:13
secretary	seeking 42:22	146:8,12	285:11 332:14	160:21 178:9
437:11 462:23	84:19,20	151:21 153:4	332:22 357:13	193:7,9,14,23
Section 50:24	106:25 113:7	154:16 157:3	sequence 89:4	196:9,23,24
54:18,20 67:22	117:1 200:10	157:10 159:13	sequester	197:2,8,14
68:20 70:7	287:15 293:12	180:8,12,17	302:19 304:15	198:9 200:13
74:25 84:23	299:13 484:6	200:7 207:7,9	sequestered	205:7,16 210:1
94:25 95:11	seen 31:19 56:16	221:4 242:23	20:17	221:6,16,19
106:20 115:12	57:15 58:12	285:2,12	sequestering	235:7 261:6
174:1,3 175:2	178:1 326:1	286:23 290:15	303:10	302:3 313:13
248:23 294:15	359:6 364:25	294:2 297:15	sequestration	337:8 405:22
sections 62:16	375:15 379:15	323:3 339:10	20:15	448:14 481:10
84:25 93:21	409:12 489:11	339:15 355:4	serious 423:15	487:3
secured 326:5	seize 433:19	357:4 358:16	served 182:18	Services' 131:8
security 268:23	self-clean 263:2	377:14 404:23	490:8	144:12 497:15
sediment 263:24	self-cleans 263:6	405:9 443:6	service 18:3	SESSION 10:1
263:25 264:3	self-explanatory	451:17 463:24	19:3,8 92:12	186:17 301:17
see 15:10 28:4	321:3	490:12 499:15	110:23 132:25	396:6
30:18,19 34:4	self-implemen	501:7 520:8	134:2 141:9	set 21:22 22:2
35:22,22 37:7	75:3	sentence 172:4	160:10 223:17	28:20 61:3
46:19,21 70:1	semisolids 51:11	195:24 288:19	223:17 275:8	78:25 176:15
70:19 71:1	Senator 9:9	335:24 356:7	275:10 276:4	269:7 285:1
82:20 132:9	33:18 297:24	356:17 357:7	276:15 277:3	419:14 424:11
134:14,19	337:10,24	357:15 469:25	278:12 279:3	426:18 442:16
L	•	•	•	

	I		I	I
442:17 521:16	404:20 409:8	284:7 293:1	shoot 361:11	408:19 418:20
sets 452:5 520:3	409:20,21	325:24 340:21	short 64:5	418:21 420:22
setting 165:8	429:24,25	354:3 359:3	shorten 16:16	428:11
settings 305:2	430:1,2 447:2	363:2,17	shorthand	showing 94:13
305:19	461:1 468:19	sharing 289:2	521:11	123:5 133:13
settle 263:13	503:12	415:4	shortly 516:17	136:22 138:13
settled 281:1	sewer 7:19	she'd 45:19	shoulders	139:6,22 141:1
427:15 441:1	43:14 122:6	she'll 271:8	164:12	147:2 148:2,23
settlement 84:16	123:23 127:20	shed 42:23	shovel 441:3	149:8 150:25
132:3,4 456:18	132:21 147:24	sheet 35:23,24	show 46:17	152:10,24
491:12,19	158:1,3,5,11	422:13	60:25 76:7,23	154:12 155:20
seven 177:1	158:14 159:2	Shepherd 2:13	78:3,17 81:20	156:15 157:19
401:11	168:13 193:6	289:13	82:15,18 83:15	158:18 159:5
sewage 6:2,8,10	223:21 252:23	Sheri 4:9,12	84:2 85:14	160:2 170:10
8:16 35:6 40:6	319:16 436:8	7:14 8:2 28:3	86:9 87:10,22	170:22 254:25
41:12 51:9	436:10,14	96:6 111:6,22	89:19 90:15	261:15 378:17
59:13,22 60:1	455:18,21	114:8 142:14	91:7 92:3	429:9 445:13
60:8 61:10,14	457:21,23	143:14 145:8	93:14 95:18	shown 147:21
61:16,19,20,21	459:19 461:3	146:14 149:5	96:12,23 97:16	227:11 360:23
61:22,23 62:3	461:16 463:13	151:21 152:16	98:5 99:14	483:7 519:23
62:14 65:24	463:15,17	154:20 157:24	100:16 104:23	shows 115:15
66:9,16 67:11	464:9 468:1	170:6,6,8	107:6 108:7	255:20 483:8
67:14 68:8	476:18,22	171:2 279:24	109:2,8,14,25	shut 306:11
69:1 73:2,20	487:20,21	284:4 332:5,24	110:9 111:10	391:18,19,20
74:14,20 75:12	492:19 494:2	356:12 390:25	112:21 113:11	391:23 429:13
80:15,25 81:3	496:10,14	420:14,15,18	114:22 117:3	429:15,16
81:8 91:16,18	509:14,15	420:20,21	117:21 119:20	shutdown
91:21 95:5,7,7	SFC 298:17	421:11 422:21	121:11 122:11	434:11
95:9 100:21	310:9,11,13	431:19 432:10	123:15 124:5	shutting 440:7
101:6 103:16	313:8 314:8	433:5 436:24	126:12 127:25	sic 406:6 408:3,4
112:4,5 131:12	320:7 321:6,6	437:1,3,6	129:20 130:22	435:24 444:20
150:15 172:21	322:11 340:15	454:25 455:19	155:3 167:22	siccing 444:13
172:24 174:6	354:21,25	456:2 458:2,5	171:18 245:1	sick 53:4
175:3 177:16	358:23	458:17 463:11	245:23 247:3	side 15:8,24
177:17,19,20	Shakes 359:20	463:16 465:9	261:3 290:12	20:16 34:14
178:1,3 189:7	share 29:24	468:8 469:17	312:6,11	116:21 246:8
189:8,9,16,17	289:1 312:16	470:1,3,11,17	350:20,21	312:1 367:11
189:18 231:4,8	312:18 361:10	470:19,24	351:1 353:19	370:23 409:11
237:3 241:18	361:21 414:19	475:18 477:6,8	355:7 364:22	409:12,18,18
242:8,11 253:2	415:9,13,20	486:8 487:1	405:4 433:18	443:4,5 461:7
277:19 281:15	438:24 449:23	492:14 494:19	437:24 441:19	520:9
289:18 371:11	480:8 492:1	509:6,19	493:10 517:7,9	sides 20:18 22:5
371:14 372:2,7	493:23 494:22	510:10	showed 139:4	25:6 28:24
384:10,13,17	513:19	Sheri's 458:14	171:20 218:7	29:2,13 348:18
388:6,10	shared 17:14	shift 461:10	226:3,3 227:12	367:13,23
400:23 401:16	128:16 179:18	Shifting 278:14	360:15 405:17	379:8,10
402:24 404:10	231:19,23	shifts 462:16	405:19 408:12	414:16 444:22
L				

$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
306:9 381:2174:2,4,6 79:5situation 42:2596:21 97:6278:2,3 280:19416:681:2,2 99:1145:7 265:2298:21,23 99:2280:21 281:1signage 411:3102:2,12,14,16310:17 469:16100:4,6,10,21281:15,18isignatories102:16,18,23469:17 503:17101:6 103:17282:14,16,18149:3103:13,23situations 346:8110:24 112:1,2282:20 283:17signatory106:13 125:19six 195:21112:5,78,13286:7,11 287:7498:18149:24 150:1238:13 328:13112:15,18287:14,15signature 19:5,7153:19 171:2392:12 425:22113:5,6,8288:10,12,16134:19 149:5,6175:5,5 178:4433:5 487:13120:12,17,25289:10,14,15482:15,18178:5 182:5,10506:10121:4,8 131:7289:18,23signed 111:2,6182:14 201:2size 87:19 91:5131:12,16295:6 296:18157:25 294:20208:21 217:16191:19 493:12132:18,19296:19,22,23337:16 353:25227:15,18,23521:15135:19 136:14299:10 311:25354:1 431:13227:25 228:6skills 493:11137:18 138:1313:9 315:21474:7 486:3228:16 233:4skipped 473:6139:18 140:12316:1,6,20487:14243:15 250:3skipping 161:2140:19,23317:4,7,11,19100:3 101:22257:21 266:24slide 192:14148:19 150:5,9319:16 320:21100:3 101:22257:21 266:24slide 192:5152:1,8,9322:3,17,20,23381
416:681:2,2 99:1145:7 265:2298:21,23 99:2280:21 281:11signage 411:3102:2,12,14,16310:17 469:16100:4,6,10,21281:15,18149:3103:13,23situations 346:8110:24 112:1,2282:14,16,18signatory106:13 125:19situ 450:1238:13 328:13112:57,8,13286:7,11 287:7498:18149:24 150:1238:13 328:13112:15,18287:14,15signature 19:5,7153:19 171:2392:12 425:22113:5,6,8288:10,12,16134:19 149:5,6175:5,5 178:4433:5 487:13120:12,17,25289:10,14,15signed 111:2,6182:14 201:2size 87:19 91:5131:12,16295:6 296:18signed 111:2,6182:14 201:2size 87:19 91:5131:12,16295:6 296:18157:25 294:20208:21 217:16191:19 493:12132:18,19296:19,22,23298:25 331:24221:17 222:5skill 123:22133:10 134:1297:1,4 298:2037:16 353:25227:15,18,23521:15135:19 136:14299:10 311:25354:1 431:13227:25 228:6skills 493:1137:18 138:131:8:20 319:6474:7 486:3228:16 233:4skipping 161:2140:19,23317:4,7,11,19significant252:24 255:20473:9144:17 146:1318:20 319:6100:3 101:22257:21 266:24slide 192:14148:19 150:5,9319:16 320:21100:3 101:22257:21 266:24slide 192:5152:1,8,9322:3,17,20,23381:17296:3,5 313:21slides 192:5152:1,8,9322:3,17,20
signage 411:3102:2,12,14,16310:17 469:16100:4,6,10,21281:15,18signatories102:16,18,23469:17 503:17101:6 103:17282:14,16,18149:3103:13,23situations 346:8110:24 112:1,2282:20 283:17signatory106:13 125:19six 195:21112:5,78,13286:7,11 287:7498:18149:24 150:1238:13 328:13112:15,18287:14,15signature 19:5,7153:19 171:2392:12 425:22113:5,6,8288:10,12,16134:19 149:5,6175:5,5 178:4433:5 487:13120:12,17,25289:10,14,15signed 111:2,6182:14 201:2size 87:19 91:5131:12,16295:6 296:18157:25 294:20208:21 217:16191:19 493:12132:18,19296:19,22,23298:25 331:24221:17 222:5skill 123:22133:10 134:1297:1,4 298:2037:16 353:25227:15,18,23521:15135:19 136:14299:10 311:25354:1 431:13227:25 228:6skills 493:11137:18 138:1313:9 315:2147:74 786:3228:16 233:4skipped 473:6139:18 140:12316:1,6,20487:14243:15 250:3skipping 161:2140:19,23317:4,7,11,19310:122257:21 266:24slide 192:14150:14,15,19321:8,10,14,16259:25 286:7287:22,22,4203:8150:22 151:5321:16,18,25381:17296:3,5 313:21slides 192:5152:1,8,9322:3,17,20,23381:18 231:3326:10,24129:11,13161:15 162:2327:2 328:6,12383:12 33:16
signatories102:16,18,23469:17 503:17101:6 103:17282:14,16,18149:3103:13,23situations 346:8110:24 112:1,2282:20 283:17signatory106:13 125:19six 195:21112:5,78,13286:7,11 287:7498:18149:24 150:1238:13 328:13112:15,18287:14,15signature 19:5,7153:19 171:2392:12 425:22113:5,6,8288:10,12,16134:19 149:5,6175:5,5 178:4433:5 487:13120:12,17,25289:10,14,15signed 111:2,6182:14 201:2size 87:19 91:5131:12,16295:6 296:18157:25 294:20208:21 217:16191:19 493:12132:18,19296:19,22,23298:25 331:24221:17 222:5skill 123:22133:10 134:1297:1,4 298:20337:16 353:25227:15,18,23521:15135:19 136:14299:10 311:25354:1 431:13227:25 228:6skilped 473:6139:18 140:12316:1,6,20487:14243:15 250:3skipping 161:2140:19,23317:4,7,11,19significant252:24 255:20473:9144:17 146:1318:20 319:6100:3 101:22257:21 266:24slide 192:14148:19 150:5,9319:16 320:21102:5 153:11269:20 286:9194:17 199:18150:14,15,19321:8,10,14,16259:25 286:7287:22,22,4203:8150:22 151:5321:16,18,25381:17296:3,5 313:21slides 192:5152:1,8,9322:3,17,20,23silent 12:1313:22,23slowph 508:22153:12,14323:17,22183:15 231:3
149:3103:13,23situations 346:8110:24 112:1,2282:20 283:17signatory106:13 125:19six 195:21112:5,7,8,13286:7,11 287:7498:18149:24 150:1238:13 328:13112:15,18287:14,15signature 19:5,7153:19 171:2392:12 425:22113:5,6,8288:10,12,16134:19 149:5,6175:5,5 178:4433:5 487:13120:12,17,25289:10,14,15482:15,18178:5 182:5,10506:10121:4,8 131:7289:18,23signed 111:2,6182:14 201:2size 87:19 91:5131:12,16295:6 296:18157:25 294:20208:21 217:16191:19 493:12132:18,19296:19,22,23298:25 331:24221:17 222:5skill 123:22133:10 134:1297:1,4 298:2037:16 353:25227:15,18,23521:15135:19 136:14299:10 311:25354:1 431:13227:25 228:6skill 493:11137:18 138:1313:9 315:21474:7 486:3228:16 233:4skipped 473:6139:18 140:12316:1,6,20487:14243:15 250:3skipping 161:2140:19,23317:4,7,11,19significant252:24 255:20473:9144:17 146:1318:20 319:6100:3 101:22257:21 266:24slide 192:14148:19 150:5,9319:16 320:21102:5 153:11269:20 286:9194:17 199:18150:14,15,19321:8,10,14,16259:25 286:7287:22,22,24203:8150:22 151:5321:16,18,25381:17296:3,5 313:21slides 192:5152:1,8,9322:3,17,20,23381:183
signatory106:13125:19six 195:21112:5,7,8,13286:7,11287:7498:18149:24150:1238:13328:13112:15,18287:14,15signature 19:5,7153:19171:2392:12425:22113:5,6,8288:10,12,16134:19149:5,6175:5,5178:4433:5487:13120:12,17,25289:10,14,15482:15,18178:5182:5,10506:10121:4,8131:7289:18,23signed111:2,6182:14201:2size87:1991:5131:12,16295:6298:25294:20208:21217:16191:19493:12132:18,19296:19,22,23298:25331:24221:17222:5skill123:22133:10134:1297:1,4298:2037:16353:25227:15,18,23521:15135:19136:14299:10311:25354:1431:13227:25228:16skills 493:11137:18138:1313:9315:21474:7486:3228:16233:4skipped 473:6139:18140:12316:1,6,20487:14243:15250:3skipping 161:2140:19,23317:4,7,11,19significant252:24255:20473:9144:17146:1318:20319:6100:3101:22257:21266:24slide192:5152:1,8,9322:3,17,20,23381:17296:3,5313:21slides192:5152:1,8,9322:3,17,20,23381:17296:3,5
4 98:18149:24 150:1238:13 328:13112:15,18287:14,15 signature 19:5,7153:19 171:2392:12 425:22113:5,6,8288:10,12,16134:19 149:5,6175:5,5 178:4433:5 487:13120:12,17,25289:10,14,15482:15,18178:5 182:5,10506:10121:4,8 131:7289:18,23 signed 111:2,6182:14 201:2 size 87:19 91:5131:12,16295:6 296:18157:25 294:20208:21 217:16191:19 493:12132:18,19296:19,22,23298:25 331:24221:17 222:5 skill 123:22133:10 134:1297:1,4 298:20337:16 353:25227:15,18,23521:15135:19 136:14299:10 311:25354:1 431:13227:25 228:6 skills 493:11137:18 138:1313:9 315:21474:7 486:3228:16 233:4 skipped 473:6139:18 140:12316:1,6,20487:14243:15 250:3 skipping 161:2140:19,23317:4,7,11,19 significant 252:24 255:20473:9144:17 146:1318:20 319:6100:3 101:22257:21 266:24 slide 192:14148:19 150:5,9319:16 320:21102:5 153:11269:20 286:9194:17 199:18150:14,15,19321:8,10,14,16259:25 286:7287:22,22,24203:8150:22 151:5321:16,18,25381:17296:3,5 313:21 slide 192:5152:1,8,9322:3,17,20,23 similar 88:22315:13 326:5 sloughs 508:22153:12,14323:17,22183:15 231:3326:10,24129:11,13161:15 162:2327:2 328:6,12
signature 19:5,7153:19 171:2392:12 425:22113:5,6,8288:10,12,16134:19 149:5,6175:5,5 178:4433:5 487:13120:12,17,25289:10,14,15482:15,18178:5 182:5,10506:10121:4,8 131:7289:18,23signed 111:2,6182:14 201:2size 87:19 91:5131:12,16295:6 296:18157:25 294:20208:21 217:16191:19 493:12132:18,19296:19,22,23298:25 331:24221:17 222:5skill 123:22133:10 134:1297:1,4 298:20337:16 353:25227:15,18,23521:15135:19 136:14299:10 311:25354:1 431:13227:25 228:6skills 493:11137:18 138:1313:9 315:21474:7 486:3228:16 233:4skipped 473:6139:18 140:12316:1,6,20487:14243:15 250:3skipping 161:2140:19,23317:4,7,11,19significant252:24 255:20473:9144:17 146:1318:20 319:6100:3 101:22257:21 266:24slide 192:14148:19 150:5,9319:16 320:21102:5 153:11269:20 286:9194:17 199:18150:14,15,19321:8,10,14,16259:25 286:7287:22,22,4203:8150:22 151:5321:16,18,25381:17296:3,5 313:21slides 192:5152:1,8,9322:3,17,20,23silent 12:1313:22,23sloghs 508:22153:12,14323:17,22simlar 88:22315:13 326:5slow 113:19158:8 161:9,10326:15,20183:15 231:3326:10,24129:11,13161:15 162:2327:2 328:6,12460:13 494:9 </td
134:19149:5,6175:5,5178:4433:5487:13120:12,17,25289:10,14,15482:15,18178:5182:5,10506:10121:4,8131:7289:18,23signed111:2,6182:14201:2size87:1991:5131:12,16295:6157:25294:20208:21217:16191:19493:12132:18,19296:19,22,23298:25331:24221:17222:5skill123:22133:10134:1297:1,437:16353:25227:15,18,23521:15135:19136:14299:10311:25354:1431:13227:25228:6skills493:11137:18138:1313:9315:21474:7486:3228:16233:4skipped473:6139:18140:12316:1,6,20487:14243:15250:3skipping161:2140:19,23317:4,7,11,19significant252:24255:20473:9144:17146:1318:20319:6100:3101:22257:21266:24slide192:14148:19150:5,9319:16320:21102:5153:11269:20286:9194:17199:18150:14,15,19321:8,10,14,16259:25286:7287:22,22,24203:8150:22153:12,14323:17,22silent12:1313:22,23sloughs508:22153:12,14323:17,20,23silent12:1313:22,23sloughs508:22153:12,14323:17,20,23
482:15,18178:5 182:5,10506:10121:4,8 131:7289:18,23signed 111:2,6182:14 201:2size 87:19 91:5131:12,16295:6 296:18157:25 294:20208:21 217:16191:19 493:12132:18,19296:19,22,23298:25 331:24221:17 222:5skill 123:22133:10 134:1297:1,4 298:20337:16 353:25227:15,18,23521:15135:19 136:14299:10 311:25354:1 431:13227:25 228:6skills 493:11137:18 138:1313:9 315:21474:7 486:3228:16 233:4skipped 473:6139:18 140:12316:1,6,20487:14243:15 250:3skipping 161:2140:19,23317:4,7,11,19significant252:24 255:20473:9144:17 146:1318:20 319:6100:3 101:22257:21 266:24slide 192:14148:19 150:5,9319:16 320:21102:5 153:11269:20 286:9194:17 199:18150:14,15,19321:8,10,14,16259:25 286:7287:22,22,24203:8150:22 151:5321:16,18,25381:17296:3,5 313:21slides 192:5152:1,8,9322:3,17,20,23silent 12:1313:22,23slowf 13:19158:8 161:9,10326:15,20183:15 231:3326:10,24129:11,13161:15 162:2327:2 328:6,12460:13 494:9327:2 339:22189:11171:8 172:8,21328:24 329:21simple 40:8383:20 384:13sludge 6:2,9,11172:24 174:6330:21 331:556:10,11385:18 393:87:19 8:17 35:6175:4 177:16334:21 335:1simpler 378:22<
signed 111:2,6182:14 201:2size 87:19 91:5131:12,16295:6 296:18157:25 294:20208:21 217:16191:19 493:12132:18,19296:19,22,23298:25 331:24221:17 222:5skill 123:22133:10 134:1297:1,4 298:20337:16 353:25227:15,18,23521:15135:19 136:14299:10 311:25354:1 431:13227:25 228:6skills 493:11137:18 138:1313:9 315:21474:7 486:3228:16 233:4skipped 473:6139:18 140:12316:1,6,20487:14243:15 250:3skipping 161:2140:19,23317:4,7,11,19significant252:24 255:20473:9144:17 146:1318:20 319:6100:3 101:22257:21 266:24slide 192:14148:19 150:5,9319:16 320:21102:5 153:11269:20 286:9194:17 199:18150:14,15,19321:8,10,14,16259:25 286:7287:22,22,24203:8150:22 151:5321:16,18,25381:17296:3,5 313:21slides 192:5152:1,8,9322:3,17,20,23silent 12:1313:22,23sloughs 508:22153:12,14323:17,22similar 88:22315:13 326:5slow 113:19158:8 161:9,10326:15,20183:15 231:3326:10,24129:11,13161:15 162:2327:2 328:6,12460:13 494:9327:2 339:22189:11171:8 172:8,21328:24 329:21simple 40:8383:20 384:13sludge 6:2,9,11172:24 174:6330:21 331:556:10,11385:18 393:87:19 8:17 35:6175:4 177:16334:21 335:1simpler 3
157:25 294:20208:21 217:16191:19 493:12132:18,19296:19,22,23298:25 331:24221:17 222:5skill 123:22133:10 134:1297:1,4 298:20337:16 353:25227:15,18,23521:15135:19 136:14299:10 311:25354:1 431:13227:25 228:6skills 493:11137:18 138:1313:9 315:21474:7 486:3228:16 233:4skipped 473:6139:18 140:12316:1,6,20487:14243:15 250:3skipping 161:2140:19,23317:4,7,11,19significant252:24 255:20473:9144:17 146:1318:20 319:6100:3 101:22257:21 266:24slide 192:14148:19 150:5,9319:16 320:21102:5 153:11269:20 286:9194:17 199:18150:14,15,19321:8,10,14,16259:25 286:7287:22,22,24203:8150:22 151:5321:16,18,25381:17296:3,5 313:21slides 192:5152:1,8,9322:3,17,20,23silent 12:1313:22,23sloughs 508:22153:12,14323:17,22similar 88:22315:13 326:5slow 113:19158:8 161:9,10326:15,20183:15 231:3326:10,24129:11,13161:15 162:2327:2 328:6,12460:13 494:9327:2 339:22189:11171:8 172:8,21328:24 329:21simple 40:8383:20 384:13sludge 6:2,9,11172:24 174:6330:21 331:556:10,11385:18 393:87:19 8:17 35:6175:4 177:16334:21 335:1simpler 378:22410:2,4 412:1240:6,11,14,21177:18,19,20335:15,20
298:25 331:24 337:16 353:25221:17 222:5 227:15,18,23skill 123:22 521:15133:10 134:1 135:19 136:14297:1,4 298:20 299:10 311:25354:1 431:13 474:7 486:3227:25 228:6 228:16 233:4skills 493:11 skipped 473:6137:18 138:1 139:18 140:12316:1,6,20 317:4,7,11,19significant 100:3 101:22257:21 266:24 257:21 266:24473:9 slide 192:14144:17 146:1 148:19 150:5,9318:20 319:6 319:16 320:21100:5 153:11 259:25 286:7 381:17269:20 286:9 287:22,22,24194:17 199:18 203:8150:14,15,19 150:14,15,19321:8,10,14,16 322:3,17,20,23silent 12:1 83:15 231:3 460:13 494:9315:13 326:5 327:2 339:22slides 192:5 slow 113:19158:8 161:9,10 158:8 161:9,10326:15,20 327:2 328:6,12simple 40:8 56:10,11385:18 393:8 383:20 384:13 385:18 393:81:19 8:17 35:6 7:19 8:17 35:6175:4 177:16 75:4 177:16334:21 335:1
337:16 353:25227:15,18,23521:15135:19 136:14299:10 311:25354:1 431:13227:25 228:6skills 493:11137:18 138:1313:9 315:21474:7 486:3228:16 233:4skipped 473:6139:18 140:12316:1,6,20487:14243:15 250:3skipping 161:2140:19,23317:4,7,11,19significant252:24 255:20473:9144:17 146:1318:20 319:6100:3 101:22257:21 266:24slide 192:14148:19 150:5,9319:16 320:21102:5 153:11269:20 286:9194:17 199:18150:14,15,19321:8,10,14,16259:25 286:7287:22,22,24203:8150:22 151:5321:16,18,25381:17296:3,5 313:21slides 192:5152:1,8,9322:3,17,20,23silent 12:1313:22,23sloughs 508:22153:12,14326:15,20183:15 231:3326:10,24129:11,13161:15 162:2327:2 328:6,12460:13 494:9327:2 339:22189:11171:8 172:8,21328:24 329:21simple 40:8383:20 384:13sludge 6:2,9,11172:24 174:6330:21 331:556:10,11385:18 393:87:19 8:17 35:6175:4 177:16334:21 335:1simpler 378:22410:2,4 412:1240:6,11,14,21177:18,19,20335:15,20
354:1 431:13 474:7 486:3 487:14227:25 228:6 228:16 233:4 243:15 250:3skills 493:11 skipped 473:6 473:9137:18 138:1 139:18 140:12313:9 315:21 316:1,6,20 317:4,7,11,19significant 100:3 101:22 102:5 153:11 259:25 286:7 381:17252:24 255:20 259:25 286:7 287:22,22,24skipped 473:6 473:9139:18 140:12 144:17 146:1318:20 319:6 318:20 319:6100:3 101:22 102:5 153:11 269:20 286:9257:21 266:24 269:20 286:9slide 192:14 194:17 199:18148:19 150:5,9 150:14,15,19319:16 320:21 321:8,10,14,16259:25 286:7 381:17 296:3,5 313:21269:20 286:9 287:22,22,24194:17 199:18 203:8150:22 151:5 152:1,8,9321:16,18,25 321:16,18,25silent 12:1 183:15 231:3 460:13 494:9315:13 326:5 327:2 339:22sloghs 508:22 129:11,13153:12,14 161:15 162:2 327:2 328:6,12326:15,20 326:15,20simple 40:8 56:10,11383:20 384:13 385:18 393:8sludge 6:2,9,11 7:19 8:17 35:6175:4 177:16 175:4 177:16334:21 335:1 334:21 335:1
474:7 486:3 487:14228:16 233:4 243:15 250:3skipped 473:6 skipping 161:2139:18 140:12 140:19,23316:1,6,20 317:4,7,11,19significant 100:3 101:22252:24 255:20 257:21 266:24473:9144:17 146:1 148:19 150:5,9318:20 319:6100:3 101:22 102:5 153:11 259:25 286:7269:20 286:9 287:22,22,249194:17 199:18 203:8150:14,15,19 150:14,15,19321:8,10,14,16 321:8,10,14,16259:25 286:7 381:17296:3,5 313:21 313:22,23slides 192:5 sloughs 508:22152:1,8,9 152:1,8,9322:3,17,20,23 322:3,17,20,23silent 12:1 183:15 231:3 460:13 494:9326:10,24 327:2 339:22129:11,13 189:11161:15 162:2 172:24 174:6326:15,20 322:23simple 40:8 56:10,11383:20 384:13 385:18 393:8 410:2,4 412:12sludge 6:2,9,11 40:6,11,14,21172:24 174:6 175:4 177:16334:21 335:1 335:15,20
487:14243:15 250:3skipping 161:2140:19,23317:4,7,11,19significant252:24 255:20473:9144:17 146:1318:20 319:6100:3 101:22257:21 266:24slide 192:14148:19 150:5,9319:16 320:21102:5 153:11269:20 286:9194:17 199:18150:14,15,19321:8,10,14,16259:25 286:7287:22,22,24203:8150:22 151:5321:16,18,25381:17296:3,5 313:21slides 192:5152:1,8,9322:3,17,20,23silent 12:1313:22,23sloughs 508:22153:12,14323:17,22similar 88:22315:13 326:5slow 113:19158:8 161:9,10326:15,20183:15 231:3326:10,24129:11,13161:15 162:2327:2 328:6,12460:13 494:9327:2 339:22189:11171:8 172:8,21328:24 329:21simple 40:8383:20 384:13sludge 6:2,9,11172:24 174:6330:21 331:556:10,11385:18 393:87:19 8:17 35:6175:4 177:16334:21 335:1simpler 378:22410:2,4 412:1240:6,11,14,21177:18,19,20335:15,20
significant252:24 255:20473:9144:17 146:1318:20 319:6100:3 101:22257:21 266:24slide 192:14148:19 150:5,9319:16 320:21102:5 153:11269:20 286:9194:17 199:18150:14,15,19321:8,10,14,16259:25 286:7287:22,22,24203:8150:22 151:5321:16,18,25381:17296:3,5 313:21slides 192:5152:1,8,9322:3,17,20,23silent 12:1313:22,23sloughs 508:22153:12,14326:15,20183:15 231:3326:10,24129:11,13161:15 162:2327:2 328:6,12460:13 494:9327:2 339:22189:11171:8 172:8,21328:24 329:21simple 40:8383:20 384:13sludge 6:2,9,11172:24 174:6330:21 331:556:10,11385:18 393:87:19 8:17 35:6175:4 177:16334:21 335:1simpler 378:22410:2,4 412:1240:6,11,14,21177:18,19,20335:15,20
100:3 101:22257:21 266:24slide 192:14148:19 150:5,9319:16 320:21102:5 153:11269:20 286:9194:17 199:18150:14,15,19321:8,10,14,16259:25 286:7287:22,22,24203:8150:22 151:5321:16,18,25381:17296:3,5 313:21slides 192:5152:1,8,9322:3,17,20,23silent 12:1313:22,23sloughs 508:22153:12,14323:17,22similar 88:22315:13 326:5slow 113:19158:8 161:9,10326:15,20183:15 231:3326:10,24129:11,13161:15 162:2327:2 328:6,12460:13 494:9327:2 339:22189:11171:8 172:8,21328:24 329:21simple 40:8383:20 384:13sludge 6:2,9,11172:24 174:6330:21 331:556:10,11385:18 393:87:19 8:17 35:6175:4 177:16334:21 335:1simpler 378:22410:2,4 412:1240:6,11,14,21177:18,19,20335:15,20
102:5 153:11 259:25 286:7 381:17269:20 286:9 287:22,22,24 296:3,5 313:21194:17 199:18 203:8150:14,15,19 150:22 151:5321:8,10,14,16 321:16,18,25silent 12:1 similar 88:22 183:15 231:3296:3,5 313:21 315:13 326:5slides 192:5 slows 508:22152:1,8,9 153:12,14323:17,22 323:17,22similar 88:22 183:15 231:3315:13 326:5 326:10,24sloughs 508:22 129:11,13158:8 161:9,10 161:15 162:2326:15,20 327:2 328:6,12simple 40:8 56:10,11383:20 384:13 385:18 393:8sludge 6:2,9,11 7:19 8:17 35:6175:4 177:16 175:4 177:16334:21 335:1 335:15,20
259:25 286:7 381:17287:22,22,24 296:3,5 313:21203:8 slides 192:5150:22 151:5 152:1,8,9321:16,18,25 322:3,17,20,23silent 12:1 similar 88:22313:22,23 315:13 326:5sloughs 508:22 slow 113:19153:12,14 158:8 161:9,10326:15,20 326:15,20183:15 231:3 460:13 494:9326:10,24 327:2 339:22129:11,13 189:11161:15 162:2 171:8 172:8,21327:2 328:6,12 328:24 329:21simple 40:8 56:10,11385:18 393:8 385:18 393:87:19 8:17 35:6 40:6,11,14,21177:18,19,20335:15,20
381:17296:3,5 313:21slides 192:5152:1,8,9322:3,17,20,23silent 12:1313:22,23sloughs 508:22153:12,14323:17,22similar 88:22315:13 326:5slow 113:19158:8 161:9,10326:15,20183:15 231:3326:10,24129:11,13161:15 162:2327:2 328:6,12460:13 494:9327:2 339:22189:11171:8 172:8,21328:24 329:21simple 40:8383:20 384:13sludge 6:2,9,11172:24 174:6330:21 331:556:10,11385:18 393:87:19 8:17 35:6175:4 177:16334:21 335:1simpler 378:22410:2,4 412:1240:6,11,14,21177:18,19,20335:15,20
silent 12:1313:22,23sloughs 508:22153:12,14323:17,22similar 88:22315:13 326:5slow 113:19158:8 161:9,10326:15,20183:15 231:3326:10,24129:11,13161:15 162:2327:2 328:6,12460:13 494:9327:2 339:22189:11171:8 172:8,21328:24 329:21simple 40:8383:20 384:13sludge 6:2,9,11172:24 174:6330:21 331:556:10,11385:18 393:87:19 8:17 35:6175:4 177:16334:21 335:1simpler 378:22410:2,4 412:1240:6,11,14,21177:18,19,20335:15,20
similar 88:22315:13 326:5slow 113:19158:8 161:9,10326:15,20183:15 231:3326:10,24129:11,13161:15 162:2327:2 328:6,12460:13 494:9327:2 339:22189:11171:8 172:8,21328:24 329:21simple 40:8383:20 384:13sludge 6:2,9,11172:24 174:6330:21 331:556:10,11385:18 393:87:19 8:17 35:6175:4 177:16334:21 335:1simpler 378:22410:2,4 412:1240:6,11,14,21177:18,19,20335:15,20
183:15 231:3 460:13 494:9326:10,24 327:2 339:22129:11,13 189:11161:15 162:2 171:8 172:8,21327:2 328:6,12 328:24 329:21simple 40:8 56:10,11383:20 384:13 385:18 393:8sludge 6:2,9,11 7:19 8:17 35:6172:24 174:6 175:4 177:16330:21 331:5 334:21 335:1simpler 378:22410:2,4 412:1240:6,11,14,21177:18,19,20335:15,20
460:13 494:9 simple 40:8 56:10,11327:2 339:22 383:20 384:13 385:18 393:8189:11 sludge 6:2,9,11171:8 172:8,21 172:24 174:6 175:4 177:16328:24 329:21 330:21 331:5simpler 378:22385:18 393:8 410:2,4 412:127:19 8:17 35:6 40:6,11,14,21177:18,19,20335:15,20
simple 40:8383:20 384:13sludge 6:2,9,11172:24 174:6330:21 331:556:10,11385:18 393:87:19 8:17 35:6175:4 177:16334:21 335:1simpler 378:22410:2,4 412:1240:6,11,14,21177:18,19,20335:15,20
56:10,11385:18 393:87:19 8:17 35:6175:4 177:16334:21 335:1simpler 378:22410:2,4 412:1240:6,11,14,21177:18,19,20335:15,20
simpler 378:22410:2,4 412:1240:6,11,14,21177:18,19,20335:15,20
simplest 367:13412:13,1741:12,14,21178:1,3 189:7336:2 338:11
368:2,25 418:4 422:2 43:14 51:10 189:8,9,16,17 338:14 339:8
simply 34:18 434:17 437:4,7 59:14,22 60:1 189:18,24 341:13,25
39:9 115:14 437:9 440:1 60:8,9 61:11 192:11 195:14 342:4,13
144:4 169:1455:20 458:1461:14,16,20,20195:20 197:16351:25 352:2
183:20 292:16 458:19 467:4 61:21,22,23 198:6 204:19 352:25 353:1,8
303:24 303:24 467:12 470:12 62:3,14 65:7 204:21 205:11 358:4 362:5,10
365:5 377:7 470:19 472:4 65:25 66:9,16 205:20 207:16 362:14 364:13
378:24 398:25 474:19,24 66:20,22 67:11 207:20 208:24 364:19,20
461:15 494:9 475:1 476:5 67:14 68:9 209:1 216:5,24 371:11,15
512:23 483:4 487:23 69:1 73:2,20 217:1,4,7,17 372:2,8 373:17
single 175:20 487:23 503:9 74:15,20 75:12 220:2,5 221:14 374:19,24
177:2 304:7 504:14,25 76:18 77:8 222:21 227:17 375:4,10 382:6 00 15 25 01 4 00 15 25 01 4 00 15 25 01 4 00 14 10 14 10
sir 387:17 505:7,25 80:15,25 81:4 228:6 231:4,8 384:10,14,18
site 52:14 53:10 508:17 509:12 81:8 88:5 235:4 236:2,13 388:7,10 389:7
53:10 59:9509:13,2291:18,19,21237:4,11 238:5394:19 400:23

401:9,16	485:7 506:10	72:18 86:11	sound 305:15	178:5 184:13
402:24 404:3,8	soiled 442:19,20	90:24 105:8	sounds 24:7	185:2,8 277:25
404:20 417:5	soils 278:2	107:9 119:6	59:23 238:3	299:20 311:8
417:17,18,19	sold 177:22	129:11,13	251:3 349:9	378:22 402:8,9
417:22 419:13	solely 40:13	152:14 154:21	396:1 425:25	481:19 494:4,4
425:6 427:13	257:22 259:7	156:18,20	488:18	499:14
429:24,25	455:16	161:17 172:16	source 52:2	specifically
430:1,2 436:20	solid 201:10	189:11,12	54:22,24,25	75:21 96:14
440:3,5,7	244:9,12	192:17 197:21	55:14,23 56:17	174:2 176:6
441:6,7,12,16	245:24 246:3	198:19 203:21	56:25 68:22	183:14,22
444:23 454:19	246:12,19	203:23 210:10	74:17 79:17	224:22 276:12
456:9 472:1	404:10 427:20	211:13 222:18	89:7,14 90:1	278:15 292:6
484:22 485:6	427:23 436:19	228:2,24	source,' 90:3	313:23 326:22
488:19 496:17	solids 51:10	234:22 240:16	sources 56:2	404:19 438:19
499:10,17	59:25 60:3	241:15 242:4	256:18 257:15	specifications
500:1,5,22,22	87:19 114:20	247:16 251:12	452:1	147:22
501:21 502:1,7	197:10 204:21	253:7 261:25	south 245:22	specifics 71:14
502:8 503:12	208:17,25	280:13 282:3	246:7 450:5	specified 360:18
503:20 504:2	210:4 217:5,5	295:19 300:11	space 125:20	specifying 319:1
504:10 505:10	217:9,11 321:9	300:12 309:17	Spang 5:21 7:10	speculation
505:17,19,22	323:7 404:14	311:22 313:25	109:13,18,22	206:7 212:11
505:25 506:9	404:15 419:6	327:11 330:8	110:4	234:1 377:10
508:12 510:3	427:18,19	331:5 339:11	speak 36:13	402:20
Sludge' 91:16	428:2,3 439:23	344:1 347:20	37:6 75:10	speed 406:9
sludge-related	439:24 440:21	349:5 355:13	122:13 162:21	407:1 442:16
136:9 383:12	440:25	356:7 358:10	163:21 213:19	442:18
small 175:18	solution 441:8,9	359:21 360:3	232:15 269:23	spell 47:19
208:19 217:12	somebody 40:17	363:7 364:9	273:1 340:6	274:25 371:8
237:11 240:8	122:21 143:8	382:24 383:1	341:3 346:7	400:13 454:11
419:12 427:7	214:13 216:3,4	385:25 397:14	349:15 403:10	spelled 47:22
smaller 118:21	224:15 228:21	403:13,15	403:12 423:22	spelling 27:20
snake 475:10,10	268:1 319:5	409:15 426:10	434:4,11	Spencer 486:9
so-called 456:17	324:22 341:17	436:3,3 449:13	513:24	spend 21:23
soil 49:9 98:23	392:8 438:7	469:20 477:5	speaker 272:14	240:17
189:10,17,19	494:18 513:14	486:17 499:13	291:3 306:1	spent 457:14,17
189:20 195:21	somebody's	505:20	307:16	spills 447:3
217:16 222:3	231:12	sort 25:12 69:12	speaking 37:16	spinning 468:23
222:11 227:12	somewhat	190:16 213:14	363:5 401:6	spiral 465:17
227:13 228:4,5	143:24 262:9	216:23 310:9	404:18 513:5	501:6
228:11,14,15	386:6 410:20	317:15 344:22	speaks 115:10	spiraled 458:25
278:2 316:8	son 461:10	345:9 367:7	specialist 163:3	spirit 237:21
328:12 389:2	467:1	373:22 391:9	280:9	splotches 245:17
389:10,10	soon 331:19	463:5 479:4	specialization	246:11
392:1,21,23	406:11 432:19	485:17 487:18	50:19	spoke 252:17
393:1 394:4,20	sophisticated	491:20 508:4	specific 67:5	404:9 408:8
425:7 426:6	12:21	509:5 519:17	69:16 173:25	421:11 440:15
430:2,3,4	sorry 46:22	sought 71:3	175:13 176:15	481:17 485:17
L				

506:18	506:17,20	479:16 495:1	201:7,20	262:11,15
sponte 20:19	507:12,19	standalone	217:11 220:5	266:4 267:3
spots 16:22	508:2 512:4,18	35:21	224:17,20,23	314:18,25
Sprague 2:18	513:13,18	standard 91:19	225:6,14,18	336:1 337:24
3:17,21 5:20	Sprague's 44:14	288:3	231:3 253:23	492:5 516:10
18:5,9 19:18	44:18 201:5	standards 91:17	266:17 274:25	States' 90:4
19:24 20:14	202:12 485:10	143:1 284:13	291:18 315:4	stating 294:16
21:5,8,19	spray 393:23	499:6	356:21 362:8	294:21 296:17
43:18,22 44:8	394:7 430:19	standing 169:14	371:7 404:11	296:21 326:19
45:4 108:13	430:20	stands 55:20	430:13 454:14	332:24 358:13
109:7 118:24	sprayed 220:5	start 16:15	478:15,22	360:11 362:16
119:1,4 138:21	419:18 485:5	129:14 242:4	493:8,17,17	362:21 456:3
139:16 140:5	spraying 189:6	267:19 268:1	521:3,8,20	497:20
141:6 173:2	238:10,15	268:18 269:6	stated 24:15	station 87:20
178:24 200:23	428:9,18,25	285:22 307:19	88:7 178:14	116:22,23
201:3,25 202:4	429:2,23	322:16 324:25	231:11 253:6	118:7 130:8
202:16,20	441:16	381:3 382:16	254:17 255:14	429:7
218:14,19,21	spread 60:4,4	383:4 390:13	288:12 315:9	stations 56:7
220:5,11,18	217:19 288:13	402:12 405:13	336:4 386:20	88:8
221:9 230:5	404:5 426:5	418:15 446:8	391:15 429:14	status 115:16
233:9,13 241:6	485:6	started 10:21	484:17	293:9
241:12,16	spreading 189:7	16:11 21:21	statement 3:3,5	statute 230:19
242:3,5,23	238:10 429:24	50:20 158:11	6:13 39:9,17	230:23
243:7,11	spring 464:10	236:7 258:8	40:1 42:15,18	statutes 495:13
302:21,22,22	spur 437:21	267:24 292:6	81:10 159:3	statutory 65:4
302:23,23,24	squared 21:18	300:15 302:11	168:15 219:18	247:24 451:18
302:25 303:10	35:20 39:7	304:18 307:14	235:7 285:16	stay 64:2 103:23
303:22 304:1,4	188:24	307:18 322:18	381:12,15	104:4 124:25
352:8 360:8	ss 521:4	331:15 393:18	409:5 411:5	264:1,4,7
374:24 391:5	SSOs 86:25	393:20 395:14	416:1 424:23	303:8 350:5
393:2 394:2,13	stabilization	406:25 414:22	445:3 480:12	367:15 389:22
394:19 395:12	59:17	418:19 464:21	497:19 514:9	396:24 399:23
396:17,18,19	staff 152:3	468:2,14,23	statements 14:3	406:10 435:13
398:5,25	170:20 470:8	474:21 475:21	297:2 299:9	452:12
399:21 400:3	499:10,18	476:1,6 510:2	381:18 448:22	stayed 443:3
400:12,14,17	stage 29:4	starting 11:6	480:1	461:11
413:16,21	236:23 238:4	91:14 105:20	states 6:5 38:16	staying 47:11
415:25 416:20	stages 393:21	125:11 268:12	55:24 65:3	stays 222:3
420:5 428:8	stagger 15:10	287:17 294:2	89:15 90:2,8	steak 186:7
431:6 434:20	stall 444:15,16	472:5	105:25 124:8	steamrolled
436:2,4,7	444:17	starts 154:22	125:13 132:24	482:5
449:23 484:21	stand 42:21	248:13 295:13	155:11 189:5	stem 481:24
485:5 486:10	45:21 46:2	327:20 353:15	191:23 219:25	step 282:10
486:11 489:3	367:16,20	state 45:9 49:1	225:19,22	steps 282:12
490:7 498:8,19	368:13 390:5	56:15 96:14	226:10 233:15	401:15
501:23 504:7	396:25 397:7	125:18 145:14	243:21,25	Steve 8:20
505:3 506:13	397:19 422:7	157:25 166:16	245:9 248:21	337:24

		I	I	1
stick 69:18	stream 246:23	195:19 210:17	180:23 219:17	351:25
146:18 395:21	248:15 258:25	210:22 211:16	289:20 296:12	Sun 461:13
436:11 441:2	259:10 261:8	231:13 232:14	314:5,7 468:13	supervise
stiff 441:2	263:2,7 264:1	239:19 240:1,6	505:10,16,24	485:12
stips 27:8	264:6 277:13	240:12,19	506:3,6 520:6	supervised
stipulate 24:11	stream's 227:7	241:2 252:20	submitting	295:6
25:22 26:20	streambed	288:15,18	41:17 70:7	supervising
519:11	258:22 261:4	289:9 328:3,11	121:24 145:22	280:5
stipulated 21:22	261:14 263:14	331:18,21	381:18	Supervision
25:5 28:21	263:25 264:5	334:22 335:16	subpart 61:25	112:1,2
165:1	streamline	335:23 376:3,5	80:19 174:19	supervisor
stipulating	93:21 518:12	408:6 417:2,12	184:16	275:21 400:18
22:21 24:25	streams 246:1	431:18 438:1,3	subpoena 18:24	462:17
25:11	street 106:6	485:11 494:9	20:9 27:25	supervisors
stipulation 22:4	383:14	subcontractors	366:5,6 367:4	40:21
22:12 24:23	stressful 16:18	144:13,19	370:16 374:6	Supplements
27:2 28:13	strict 493:14	148:11 165:24	395:4	22:7,14
29:6 34:4	stringent 176:18	173:17,20	subsequently	supplied 209:15
362:20	411:9 493:13	179:17 232:16	37:13 334:24	316:6 465:12
stipulations	Structural	254:3 336:24	335:18	465:15,16
22:3 24:17	128:17	437:20 489:16	substantial 43:3	466:18
25:21 26:2,12	studies 49:4,14	497:16,19	substantiate	supplies 460:2
28:18 180:11	study 49:15	498:1,3,4,12	43:22	supply 331:24
333:5	stuff 49:10	503:19	successful	376:19 518:15
stood 411:17	52:25 401:22	subject 115:12	106:23,24	support 6:8,10
412:14	407:5 432:19	157:12 480:17	suck 428:2,24	121:5 188:13
stop 238:2	448:23 465:1	submit 15:9,13	441:4	211:5 307:12
253:24 354:15	472:23	40:25 41:5	sucked 427:25	365:4
357:14 423:13	sua 20:18	65:10 67:20	430:21	supporting
447:24 453:5	sub-consultants	69:2 79:15	sucking 430:24	209:21
stopped 253:22	144:13	104:6 107:2	sucks 428:1	supports 259:10
421:8,10 433:9	subconsultants	146:1 249:13	suffice 306:13	suppose 23:23
433:11 445:6	497:17	251:17 296:17	suffices 513:11	218:18 250:2
storage 150:23	subcontract	submittal 98:16	sufficient 19:16	265:15 399:3
store 208:7	233:12 438:4,7	98:18 120:3	99:5 186:4	supposed 104:5
209:6	506:6	121:14 137:2,7	191:19 207:9	105:24 197:10
stored 120:25	subcontracted	180:24	sufficiently	283:21 388:10
490:2	142:21 230:5	submitted 22:3	87:19	398:16 409:22
stormwater	489:7 498:5	29:22 30:12	suggest 306:17	437:14 455:11
50:23,24 57:20	499:1	32:19 36:9	suggested	476:24 507:23
story 243:18	subcontractor	93:25 97:9,23	313:21 368:17	509:9 510:5
straight 50:8	4:11 7:23	105:23 110:25	sum 140:18	supposedly
433:1	43:21 77:8	117:16 129:24	summarize	511:3
straightened	97:14 120:14	130:13 142:16	287:10	Supreme 36:14
82:3	137:13 138:3,6	147:5 156:13	summary 4:10	37:16,17
straightforward	140:3,9,18	157:13,25	7:22 314:3	261:24 262:8
206:8 234:9	172:5,6,11,14	168:2 177:12	summer 351:17	262:17

sure 12:4 13:2	375:16 378:10	455:25	163:12 166:23	258:17,23
17:16 18:17	389:18,23	switched 272:6	180:14 183:1,3	325:1 397:7
26:18,22 31:2	390:9 397:25	switching 82:14	184:9 186:25	517:4
31:8 32:23	407:14 408:19	410:16	188:9,11,12	talk 19:18 20:13
36:1 42:17	408:22 409:16	sworn 13:13	206:18 217:7	21:20 49:18
45:17,23,25	418:20 421:2,2	46:6,9 119:6	223:7 232:2	50:1,18 51:7
46:14 60:18	423:16 424:20	274:16,19	237:10 240:18	52:8 54:17
63:14,23 64:24	426:15 430:12	307:23 370:9	241:1 267:15	55:12 58:16
66:3,5,19 68:1	430:15 435:8	370:15 400:5	270:22 283:4	88:25 103:2
72:19,20 73:6	440:13 451:25	454:4 480:24	302:12 324:3	174:9 176:6
76:2 82:5 92:8	452:19 463:5	synonymous	325:5 349:6	184:15 194:14
94:7 102:1,19	467:21 469:6	57:12	353:7 367:19	222:8 319:14
103:23,25	469:15 473:19	synthesize 237:1	369:1 379:11	330:15 384:8
107:10 113:24	473:25 480:3	system 55:21	381:8 390:5	384:12,16
115:20 143:12	482:22 484:10	56:6,10 87:3,6	395:19 396:14	458:17 496:5
155:18 170:2	488:15 490:1	87:7 88:10	396:23 397:19	talked 49:13
179:7 181:20	499:14 502:22	114:20 115:24	399:23 407:21	55:13 122:18
181:25 183:8	512:3,10 513:2	118:22 150:12	427:12 444:2	176:8 209:5
185:1,17	513:19 514:15	223:21 224:13	452:2,13 453:6	241:14 418:10
188:15,15	515:4,12,13	230:11 278:20	463:19,21	418:23 429:15
190:22 192:23	518:17	278:24 280:6	464:23 465:22	476:14 478:3
197:13 201:24	surface 51:20	281:6 492:19	465:25 469:6	498:7 502:15
205:3 206:10	189:7,9,16	493:12 494:3,5	476:24 477:20	talking 92:24
207:18 208:12	217:19 392:22	494:13 519:2	493:10 502:11	192:25 212:18
216:14 220:10	392:25 429:24	systems 87:1	502:14 503:1,6	222:16 253:1
223:19 224:20	430:1 508:20	123:24 125:22	507:23 511:4	262:2,12,14
225:16 226:18	surgery 462:16	158:2 256:22	514:15,23,24	265:18,19
226:23 227:3	surprise 205:10	277:4,5 432:18	516:11,15	314:19 317:11
238:14 245:3	226:5 392:11	494:14	519:16	341:17 351:15
245:14 249:25	surprised 196:5		taken 51:2 64:13	373:10 377:24
251:21 253:17	206:1	T	82:9 85:10	410:1 418:20
254:7,13,23	surrounding	T 521:2,2	98:1 177:2	429:1,5 436:10
255:12 258:21	44:13 283:17	Т-Е-С-О 421:22	186:16 188:21	448:15 449:8
259:21 260:1,9	293:4	T-O-M 371:9	227:13 235:6	453:6
264:8 265:8	survey 150:3	table 81:7	237:16 239:8	talks 36:5
266:18 270:13	survive 456:24	175:16,22	273:24 282:13	100:13 170:5
270:16,19	sustain 144:1	255:5,11 272:7	317:5 318:6	387:1
299:18,20	212:13 377:11	tailor 69:19	320:9 325:10	tank 400:20
301:2 305:1	sustainable	take 10:20 14:18	326:15 342:4	426:4,15,20,20
315:13,13	135:11 162:16	15:19 16:22,25	369:6 390:3	426:22 427:4,6
322:7 324:5,7	164:22 166:5	16:25 32:21	396:4 453:18	427:8,12
327:23 333:7	373:25 374:9	48:9,11,17	457:25 459:4	428:14,15,23
344:23 345:17	sustained 135:4	54:7 56:13	463:9 465:20	431:1 440:2
347:14 349:22	164:20 216:18	58:4 63:16,17	466:19 471:24	tanker 393:22
362:22,25	340:8	63:21,21 64:4	516:23 521:9	417:24 418:20
363:2 365:1	swear 272:2,22	64:6,8,9 77:16	takes 14:21	424:25 428:11
368:12 372:5	switch 190:5	82:2 96:9	15:21 131:16	428:20,22,24
	-	-	-	-

420.0	4h 120.7	425.0 445.17	421.15.19.10	26.12.62.0
439:8	tech 130:7	435:9 445:17	421:15,18,19	26:13 63:9
tankers 150:21	188:13 270:20	495:15	421:19,21	65:15 143:8
tanks 137:19	309:14,16,20	telling 143:4	422:11,12,13	162:17 183:15
139:4 150:11	technical 4:14	496:24 512:5	422:17 445:4	212:12 214:6
150:23 151:5	6:8,10 8:3	513:8 517:12	445:15	253:14 377:18
152:20 195:15	82:13 127:17	tells 258:24	terrible 305:13	398:16 403:22
205:11 207:16	142:22 171:25	259:6	terribly 367:18	450:14
207:20 282:17	200:3 224:12	template 78:25	test 176:12	testifying
282:18 321:16	249:4 257:14	229:12	181:4 309:16	143:16 196:14
328:6 338:15	276:8 278:11	temporary	351:18 359:25	395:9
338:18 404:4,7	280:8 307:12	159:1 464:3	359:25,25,25	testimonial 14:9
405:19 417:5	310:14,15	492:22 494:16	359:25,25,25	testimony 13:12
417:18,20	324:1 325:5	494:18 496:14	359:25 406:6	13:16 19:15
418:16 425:9	366:2 474:10	ten 51:6 64:6	440:1 463:18	21:23 28:21
425:11,14,15	484:16 487:2	289:14 331:2	463:21 464:23	43:4,9,21
425:15,18,20	499:2 508:16	425:4 433:7,16	476:16,24	44:10,14 47:4
425:23 426:1,4	509:10	453:9	477:1,13	63:8 69:25
427:10,21,23	technically	ten-mile-an-h	478:12,22	93:21 116:13
430:21 432:5	185:23 268:25	406:9 407:1	493:10,16,20	143:24 163:16
433:8,13,16	373:24 374:9	ten-minute 17:5	tested 227:25	169:14,16,21
439:24 440:16	technician	366:19	316:18 439:25	171:21 187:3
440:20,24	223:17	ten-person	440:1	188:4 193:16
441:1,2 468:18	technique	485:17	testified 46:6	194:3,10
468:19 484:15	440:19	tend 12:25 15:9	50:14 57:14	206:20 209:18
484:22	technological	180:7	82:24 89:9	241:4,5 267:11
target 172:7	82:3 272:17	tends 25:3	100:20 103:15	293:7 307:22
288:16	technology 6:7	term 137:24	125:5 145:20	346:19 347:7
targeted 44:15	188:23 270:25	144:11 194:6	149:11 153:13	360:8 365:25
165:7	tell 30:22 34:10	342:5 497:15	161:21 174:5	366:10,14
Task 149:24	78:21 88:17	497:20,24	192:10 228:3	367:10,24
150:4,13,18	98:20 112:17	498:1	233:8 241:5	368:5,19
tasked 25:15	116:25 121:7	terminate 432:9	253:19 274:16	369:10 374:2
340:16,18,23	130:14 133:5,9	terminates	360:22 370:9	380:12 386:19
tasks 275:19	137:25 139:17	226:4	372:15 393:7	392:1,21
Tasmanian	140:22 143:25	terms 13:7	400:5 409:13	396:24 399:4
458:2	144:16,21	29:24 40:8	420:5 436:24	399:11,22
team 139:13	148:17 152:7	52:11,18 57:10	442:21 445:9	401:21 414:17
144:14 269:20	154:8 161:14	57:12 68:15	454:4 470:24	415:5 418:10
320:11 356:23	162:1 171:7	90:2 165:23	471:9 480:24	425:3 443:24
407:18 455:19	188:10 225:21	215:2 237:14	500:21 501:23	450:2,10,22,25
458:17 497:17	314:1,17	298:16 393:21	504:8,12 505:3	463:4 467:20
Teams 18:5	315:21 322:2	397:3 443:17	506:12,17	476:13 479:13
268:5 269:19	323:21 325:22	450:24 461:23	507:13,14,17	479:23 483:3
269:22,24	340:12 341:15	466:16 473:12	507:19	489:4 492:9
270:3 304:21	371:18 393:4	497:6	testifies 13:11	495:4 503:8
304:23 306:22	393:20 403:19	TERO 31:14	14:10	504:17,18,21
306:25 312:18	424:13 426:16	125:21 421:12	testify 25:7 26:8	507:8 508:8
	1	1	1	1

		_		
511:18 513:9	197:24,25	464:22 470:13	415:19 468:23	214:2,20
521:14	198:11 203:25	470:23 474:13	471:19 475:2	215:10,15
testing 145:15	210:14 220:21	478:25 479:12	478:3 481:8,25	216:15,18
150:2 217:24	223:13 224:6	479:14 497:9	482:14,25	217:2 218:2
271:23 277:14	229:20 238:24	500:9 507:2	494:8 502:3	223:22 228:8
278:1,3 314:12	238:25 239:5	511:17,19	512:6 513:18	230:14 232:24
349:18 353:18	241:3 242:2	513:10 514:2	518:12 520:5	232:25 234:10
494:6	245:5 247:2,18	518:12 519:24	think 10:13 11:6	234:21 237:24
tests 172:8	249:6 252:5,7	520:14,15,16	12:22 14:12,19	238:23 243:5,8
288:17 311:19	255:8,9 267:7	thanks 54:16	20:14 21:2,23	243:13 244:14
311:24 312:1,2	267:10,12	55:25 58:6	26:23 28:14,22	248:5 253:17
314:6 463:19	269:15 270:14	60:24 74:13	28:24 29:3,12	254:4 257:5,17
text 46:18,23	271:3 273:21	79:19 94:13	30:11,14,24	261:20 266:8
284:9 285:5	274:23 293:23	111:5 137:10	32:4 34:18	270:21 271:6,9
289:8 294:8	299:25 301:12	179:9 274:18	35:13,18,20	272:20 273:1,3
295:19.24	301:13 307:11	309:24 342:7	37:11,15 38:13	272:20 273:1,5
293:19,24	308:1 309:23	365:24 370:12	47:1,5 51:4	274:6 283:3
332:2 354:22	310:6 324:6	389:12 395:8	63:3 67:7 68:2	284:6 292:22
405:14 416:25	325:3,16,20	thick 419:9	68:5 71:4	293:6,13,13,19
texts 354:20	327:13 330:6	427:16 440:11	79:25 80:3	300:6 303:3,23
	332:3 333:23	thing 22:23 29:4	93:22 95:15,17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
thank 12:7,12 12:14 17:25	336:5 346:18	44:5 52:20	98:25 107:10	305:12,18
21:8 27:20	346:19 353:21	57:9 71:18	109:23 115:25	306:4,11,11 308:6 309:24
34:25 39:20	357:16 359:9	102:5 162:6	116:5,11	309:25 311:25 316:25 320:6
42:12 45:9,12	359:12 361:16	169:25 180:13	119:13 120:8	
45:24 46:8,11	365:14,15	209:1 212:15	124:15 143:17	320:15,16
47:7,15 48:21	369:3,4 371:1	231:7 232:7	143:19 162:10	323:13 326:1,1
53:24 61:17	371:5,10,24	265:24 293:10	163:16,21	326:14 329:23
62:1 64:5,10	372:11,18	310:11 329:24	164:24 165:8	331:13 333:12
64:24 69:4	374:12 380:6	366:4 368:6	165:20,22	333:20 344:11
70:25 71:23	382:25 383:23	392:3 396:25	166:2,9,25	347:22,23
72:2,22 81:19	387:19 388:14	414:4 424:19	168:20 169:3	349:6,19
82:6,12,17,22	388:17 389:19	428:21,22	169:10,25	350:11 351:5
85:13 90:10	390:1,7 392:18	438:3 445:17	174:17 177:4	354:12 357:14
97:1,19 101:14	394:22 395:10	451:2 461:3	179:21,23	357:15 359:6
107:12 114:2	398:3 400:8	464:3 466:10	181:17 183:17	360:17 365:11
114:21 116:17	404:12,17	467:25 483:19	184:17 185:25	367:3,5 369:17
119:19 123:5	407:9,11 410:7	484:18 485:23	186:3 187:9	370:16 373:15
130:6 138:12	419:19,25	504:2	188:7 191:3,7	377:12,15,17
139:21 151:11	422:25 428:4	things 21:25	193:8 194:5,6	377:22,23
161:1 166:14	431:3 432:2	24:21 46:16	197:15 202:19	379:22 381:3,4
173:23 174:19	435:22 437:10	62:20 121:3	203:8,17,18	382:10 385:5
175:10,25	448:4 449:2,17	162:14 165:18	204:13 205:13	387:25 388:20
177:13 186:12	450:1,3 451:5	214:8,25 215:3	207:11,24	389:16 392:5
186:13 188:16	451:22,25	233:9 271:15	209:20 210:8	393:7,10
190:4 193:16	452:9 453:12	316:9 330:24	211:25 213:13	395:12 396:14
193:17 197:20	453:15 454:7	368:3 402:14	213:17,21	397:5 398:19
L				

			1	1
398:20 399:8,9	18:20 30:21	188:9,11 195:1	tired 514:16	137:3,8,22
402:2 415:13	33:25 89:4	207:1,4 208:8	title 33:5 48:1	139:12 140:20
418:13 425:5	112:13 127:23	220:9 222:4	332:20	141:6 161:9
430:15 435:2	201:19 235:19	227:8 254:24	titled 32:17 33:1	173:3 195:18
436:18 437:19	286:4 439:23	259:1,3 260:24	33:12 97:6	203:12 209:15
444:4,8,13	439:24 440:3	261:13 263:11	99:22 123:20	210:18,18,24
448:6,15 449:2	440:21,25	267:16,21	171:25	218:14 220:18
449:5 450:4,7	460:17 462:15	269:7 280:7	today 26:9 42:21	233:19 236:12
450:24 451:4	487:15,19	287:24 288:3,4	44:8 45:9	253:14 289:17
452:15 453:9	threshold 54:6	292:9 293:11	100:5 152:23	296:23 309:1
461:20 463:6,8	402:22	298:25 300:6	274:24 286:11	313:24 326:2
466:17 467:24	thrown 243:14	300:22 301:2,3	300:7,13 302:6	326:19,25
473:5,23	408:2	301:4 326:1	307:22 350:15	328:9 331:24
474:19 479:3,9	throws 53:22	330:22 341:6	359:3 366:1,6	339:8,16 341:1
479:18 481:17	Thursday	356:13 358:19	366:6 367:5	352:4 353:24
482:24 483:19	105:10	366:13 375:3,7	371:6 372:16	354:3 355:10
483:22 486:12	tight 415:17	375:10,17	379:16 395:9	360:8 366:20
486:14 487:12	till 186:10,14	379:11 387:18	398:13,22	369:25 370:7
492:4 495:2	195:20 220:6	393:6 398:22	399:5,11	371:9 387:3,7
503:16 504:18	328:12 371:11	412:3,3 417:25	429:14 445:9	401:13 406:4,4
508:10 510:23	388:12,22	418:11,13	457:20 464:5	408:8,8 410:2
511:6 512:19	389:6 392:23	419:17 422:15	479:19 483:7,9	411:17 412:14
513:10,16,24	425:7,22 506:9	433:15 438:8	503:8	416:8,8,11
513:24 514:18	506:9	447:9,12	today's 10:15	417:7 420:24
514:19 519:14	tilled 238:9	449:14 453:6	11:4 267:23	420:24,25
thinking 187:4	371:15 372:2,7	455:7,15 457:2	Todd 321:5	421:5 425:2
240:4 306:16	375:1 392:1	458:9 459:18	toggle 89:18	428:8 429:8,12
448:11	425:10 442:20	460:22 461:6	100:17 174:2	430:5,15,22
third 16:14	485:7	461:11 463:10	290:11	442:12,22
224:12 497:12	tilling 229:24	466:6 469:6	told 224:1 392:5	443:2,4 445:4
thorough 15:21	235:16 288:14	479:23 485:20	392:8 412:18	445:8,12 486:4
43:11	392:21 428:9	486:3,5 491:13	412:20,22	486:10,11
thoroughly	428:18	494:12 503:23	413:6,11 421:8	489:20 490:7
196:11,17	time 11:7 14:21	503:25 504:2	422:4,6,21	498:8 506:6
thought 62:21	15:19,21 16:16	507:22 508:10	423:10,12,13	507:14
62:25 68:1	16:23 17:1	508:12 514:15	423:18 428:13	Tom's 406:10
183:18,18,21	20:8 27:2	516:15 521:10	432:12 438:20	Tommy 5 :19
183:24 185:8	35:25 36:16,20	timeline 166:21	445:3 455:22	76:16 282:24
198:20 250:23	37:2,12,17,21	times 12:18 65:1	458:18 463:12	288:8 289:22
293:3 397:17	37:23 38:19	70:24 289:14	468:10 471:2	313:20
414:16 426:14	40:15 48:20	323:17 331:2	477:10,15	tomorrow 16:14
thoughts 415:18	54:9 89:5	375:15 393:11	488:13 496:18	251:23 267:24
450:12	102:19,22,25	393:14,18	496:21 505:4	268:12,18
thousand 64:25	122:7,18	425:4 439:4,11	509:19 513:3	300:9,14,19
385:5	166:24 180:19	446:21 448:11	Tom 3:13 7:5	301:10 398:11
threaded 129:17	182:6 183:3	460:14 476:13	9:2 18:24	398:15
three 14:22	185:23 186:22	498:14	31:24 43:17	tool 258:14,23
			l	l

259:2 260:25	424:25 460:25	114:20 132:19	475:12	389:16 419:16
tools 460:2	train 198:19	150:17 177:10	trip 287:21	483:10 512:19
top 83:8 96:25	transcribed	201:13,15	339:10,16,21	Tuesday 1:8
97:18 110:15	521:12	226:17 240:8	trips 427:12	10:1 11:4
147:10 149:21	transcript 1:7	241:24 242:17	truck 133:2	152:23 186:17
217:8 246:8	14:23 15:2	248:1 260:20	238:15 393:22	tunnel 55:1
247:19 283:7	94:6,11 330:14	265:1 266:12	404:14 406:7	turf 102:21
290:12 327:21	344:15 403:16	276:12,19,25	417:24 419:1,5	turn 74:15,21
327:24 350:19	448:10 449:3	277:5,8 278:16	419:24 423:8	75:20 267:15
354:7 382:16	507:20 519:25	279:9 281:2,6	426:22 427:1,3	271:5,11,21
389:9 394:19	520:4	298:19 409:23	427:7,24,25	272:14,18
406:10 426:6	transfer 88:8	430:25 431:1	428:1,13,22,24	285:21 286:17
438:24,25	transferring	trench 508:19	436:19 439:8	289:24 297:9
442:19 472:17	401:10	508:21 509:3	442:16,17	301:7 307:3,4
total 59:25	transfers 409:23	trespassing	trucks 150:21	309:13 314:13
61:15 128:19	transmit 252:1	416:7	420:22	367:4 398:25
197:10 204:20	348:23	tribal 96:7	true 27:7 32:5	399:12,24
208:17,25	transparency	127:18 145:13	45:8 53:4	416:15 435:3
217:5,5,9,11	44:17 518:13	193:14 224:25	219:12,25	461:23 495:20
294:17 321:9	transpiring	284:14 335:8	220:4 265:15	501:9
323:7 351:7	491:17	356:20 405:22	326:4 360:25	turned 180:1
424:21,22	transport	405:24,25	381:16 502:18	200:19 232:22
425:2 487:13	149:25 425:20	408:20 420:9	521:13	323:8 404:8
totality 23:18	485:1	433:8 474:7,9	truthfully	459:23 460:3
totally 208:3	Transportation	487:5 493:2	380:16	491:4
228:15	150:19,21	tribe 139:12	try 12:5 15:19	turning 141:16
touch 243:20	transported	276:9 279:16	16:19 17:1	141:22 151:12
408:20 438:3	404:21 428:20	284:7 311:13	29:6 39:24	307:16 492:7
touched 293:6	Transporting	354:11 405:23	43:9 69:19	turnip 495:6
310:9 436:18	425:8	408:22 416:10	71:20 162:13	twice 113:5
touching 438:2	trap 204:23	422:14 456:18	202:10 213:25	two 14:22 20:2
town 279:23	traveled 263:10	457:22	214:1 267:15	23:1 24:21
385:7	385:10	tribes 310:14	276:7 306:5,13	30:23 38:10
track 17:7 47:11	travels 264:13	tribunal 513:20	306:18 309:16	48:16 51:25
182:9 183:4	520:16	519:23	346:2 359:16	54:4 58:20
368:3 422:19	treasurer	tributary	359:24 467:25	71:10 73:7
467:7	462:23	248:18	516:7,25	84:17 111:24
tracking 519:2	treat 180:11	tried 305:12	trying 33:4 66:2	112:10,12
tractor 220:6	278:22	393:25 423:13	113:18 135:7	113:15 116:19
323:22 406:5	treated 176:21	457:23 463:9	143:8 177:9	127:20 168:5
traditionally	241:19 263:8	471:9,11	183:4 184:5,6	176:9,11 179:7
55:10 226:1	264:11,18	483:15 484:4	205:14 208:23	184:11 245:17
244:17 262:19	treatment 42:4	511:8,9	236:25 253:7	246:11 278:12
traffic 161:25	51:12,13 52:3	tries 190:16	270:1 271:15	278:12 347:9
Trail 2:12	56:6,12,19	233:1	282:1 316:15	363:21 379:10
289:12	57:7,10,11	trigger 223:8	334:23 335:17	418:2,6,11
	57:7,10,11 92:10 114:18	trigger 223:8 triggered	334:23 335:17	418:2,6,11 448:17 452:1

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	458:25 460:17	252:21 256:12	116:10 124:12	225:19.21	216:25 229:12
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			135:7.10	,	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
	·	•			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $,		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	v 1				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			2		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	-		0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			· ·		-
					e e
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	• 1				<i>.</i>
					· · · · ·
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				-	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				-	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
typo 405:17 406:16unaware 223:9 225:13144:10 165:16 169:3 374:11118:19,22 247:25 280:1U US 2:2,6 7:7,8 7:16 137:22uncertain 284:8 361:4380:12 478:9 497:14 498:25UPS 19:6 uptaken 264:3405:22,25 420:16,17U.S 2:2,6 7:7,8 7:16 137:22unclear 19:1 uncemfortableundo 272:22 unduly 23:12uptown 429:6 urge 479:25420:16,17 420:16,17143:2 146:23 195:18 248:16 248:17,25517:24 205:22uncquivocally unfairly 44:15URL 250:2 515:10,24439:10 445:15 448:19,21257:24 259:24 266:11 284:14 285:10 328:10 332:13 357:12 382:17 499:7undergraduate 48:24unfortunate 205:23516:16,25 517:2444:24 122:1 126:21 129:18 261:15UCNC 444:20 Uh-huh 56:20 117:24 181:10 194:22 195:7underpin 42:24 44:944:9 United 6:5 38:15 51:18 91:18 51:18 91:18 299:14 310:18 299:14 310:18 299:14 310:18 299:14 310:18		U		-	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		10	
typos 15:3uncertain 284:8380:12 478:9UPS 19:6405:2,25U361:4undo 272:22uptaken 264:3411:6 420:9,16U.S 2:2,6 7:7,8unclear 19:1unduly 23:12uptaken 264:3420:16,17143:2 146:23517:24unequivocallyURL 250:2439:10 445:15143:2 146:23517:2443:25346:24 347:15448:19,21195:18 248:16uncommonunfairly 44:15515:10,24utility 43:20248:17,25205:22unfortunate516:16,2544:24 122:1257:24 259:24undergrad 49:6205:23517:5,16 518:3134:9 147:17266:11 284:14undergraduateunfortunately519:6193:11 199:24322:13 357:12underneathuninterrupted284:15 285:11281:12,17,19382:17 499:7126:21 129:18254:19322:14,22281:25 282:1,6UC 410:15261:15undergin 42:2444:9use 6:5 13:7287:13 288:24Uh-huh 56:20understandUnited 6:5 38:1517:6 27:25293:18,21117:24 181:1014:18 16:1155:24 89:1551:18 91:18299:14 310:18194:22 195:724:20 29:2190:2,4,7152:4 170:20310:23 313:12	v 1				
Uunchanged 361:4497:14 498:25 undo 272:22uptaken 264:3 uptown 429:6411:6 420:9,16 420:16,17U.S 2:2,6 7:7,8 7:16 137:22361:4 unclear 19:1 uncomfortableunduly 23:12 unequivocallyurge 479:25 439:10 445:15421:7,7 424:9 43:25143:2 146:23 195:18 248:16 248:17,25517:24 205:22unafairly 44:15 205:22URL 250:2 346:24 347:15448:19,21 448:19,21248:17,25 257:24 259:24 266:11 284:14 285:10 328:10 332:13 357:12 382:17 499:7undergraduate 48:24unfortunately 205:23517:5,16 518:3 519:6134:9 147:17 193:11 199:24 254:19332:13 357:12 382:17 499:7underneath 126:21 129:18 261:15uninterrupted 254:19284:15 285:11 357:13281:25 282:1,6 281:25 282:1,6UCNC 444:20 Uh-huh 56:20 117:24 181:10understand 14:18 16:11 14:18 16:1155:24 89:15 55:24 89:1517:6 27:25 51:18 91:18 519:18 91:18 299:14 310:18					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	typos 15:5				<i>.</i>
U.S 2:2,6 7:7,8 7:16 137:22Joh 1.4 unclear 19:1 uncomfortable 517:24unduly 23:12 unequivocally 43:25unge 479:25 421:7,7 424:9143:2 146:23 195:18 248:16 248:17,25517:24 205:22uncommon 205:22unfairly 44:15 205:23URL 250:2 346:24 347:15448:19,21 448:19,21266:11 284:14 285:10 328:10 332:13 357:12 382:17 499:7undergraduate 48:24unfortunately 254:19516:16,25 10:23 494:2044:24 122:1 195:13382:17 499:7 UC 410:15126:21 129:18 261:15254:19 underpin 42:24 44:9255:13:7 282:125 282:1,6 253:13281:12,17,19 282:9 286:23UCNC 444:20 Uh-huh 56:20 117:24 181:1014:18 16:11 14:18 16:11 24:20 29:21United 6:5 38:15 55:24 89:1517:6 27:25 51:18 91:18 299:14 310:18 299:14 310:18	U	Ū.		-	-
7:16 137:22 143:2 146:23uncomfortable 517:24unequivocally 43:25URL 250:2 346:24 347:15439:10 445:15 448:19,21195:18 248:16 248:17,25uncommon 205:22unfairly 44:15 205:22515:10,24 205:23utility 43:20 516:16,25257:24 259:24 266:11 284:14 285:10 328:10undergraduate 48:24unfortunately 205:23516:16,25 516:16,2544:24 122:1 134:9 147:17382:17 499:7 382:17 499:7underneath 126:21 129:18uninterrupted 254:19284:15 285:11 332:14,22281:12,17,19 285:10328:10UC 410:15 UC 410:15261:15 underpin 42:24 understandunited 6:5 38:15 55:24 89:15357:13 17:6 27:25287:13 288:24 293:18,21Uh-huh 56:20 117:24 181:1014:18 16:11 24:20 29:2155:24 89:15 90:2,4,7152:4 170:20310:23 313:12				-	,
143:2 146:23517:2443:25346:24 347:15195:10 110 10195:18 248:16uncommonunfairly 44:15346:24 347:15448:19,21248:17,25205:22unfortunate516:16,2544:24 122:1257:24 259:24undergrad 49:6205:23517:5,16 518:3134:9 147:17266:11 284:14undergraduateunfortunately519:6193:11 199:24285:10 328:1048:2470:23 494:20USC 248:23225:5 280:3,18332:13 357:12underneathuninterrupted284:15 285:11281:12,17,19382:17 499:7126:21 129:18254:19332:14,22281:25 282:1,6UC 410:15261:15undergin 42:2444:9284:15 285:11281:25 282:1,6UCNC 444:20undergin 42:2444:9use 6:5 13:7287:13 288:24Uh-huh 56:2014:18 16:1155:24 89:1551:18 91:18299:14 310:18194:22 195:724:20 29:2190:2,4,7152:4 170:20310:23 313:12			-	0	,
195:18 248:16 248:17,25uncommon 205:22unfairly 44:15515:10,24utility 43:20257:24 259:24 266:11 284:14 285:10 328:10undergrad 49:6 undergraduateunfortunate 205:23516:16,25 517:5,16 518:344:24 122:1266:11 284:14 285:10 328:10undergraduate 48:24unfortunately 70:23 494:20519:6193:11 199:24332:13 357:12 382:17 499:7underneath 126:21 129:18uninterrupted 254:19284:15 285:11 332:14,22281:25 282:1,6UC 410:15 UC 410:15261:15 underpin 42:24 117:24 181:10understand 14:18 16:11United 6:5 38:15 55:24 89:1517:6 27:25 51:18 91:18299:14 310:18 299:14 310:18					
248:17,25 257:24 259:24 266:11 284:14 285:10 328:10205:22 undergraduate 48:24unfortunate 205:23516:16,25 517:5,16 518:344:24 122:1 134:9 147:17322:13 357:12 332:13 357:12 382:17 499:7underneath 126:21 129:18unfortunately 254:19519:6193:11 199:24 284:15 285:11UC 410:15 UC 410:15261:15 underpin 42:24 117:24 181:10undergin 42:24 261:15unique 12:3 44:9357:13 357:13282:9 286:23 282:9 286:23Uh-huh 56:20 117:24 181:1014:18 16:11 24:20 29:2155:24 89:15 90:2,4,751:18 91:18 51:18 91:18299:14 310:18 299:14 313:12					-
257:24 259:24 266:11 284:14 285:10 328:10undergrad 49:6 undergraduate205:23517:5,16 518:3134:9 147:17332:13 357:12 382:17 499:748:24underneath 126:21 129:18uninterrupted284:15 285:11225:5 280:3,18UC 410:15 UC 410:15261:15 underpin 42:24underpin 42:24 44:9unique 12:3357:13282:9 286:23UCNC 444:20 117:24 181:10understand 14:18 16:11United 6:5 38:15 55:24 89:1517:6 27:25 51:18 91:1829:14 310:18 299:14 310:18				,	v
266:11 284:14 285:10 328:10undergraduate 48:24unfortunately 70:23 494:20519:6193:11 199:24 251:6332:13 357:12 382:17 499:7underneath 126:21 129:18uninterrupted 254:19284:15 285:11 332:14,22281:12,17,19 281:12 5282:1,6UC 410:15 UC 410:15261:15 261:15unique 12:3 44:9357:13 282:9 286:23282:9 286:23 282:9 286:23UCNC 444:20 Uh-huh 56:20 117:24 181:10understand 14:18 16:11United 6:5 38:15 55:24 89:1517:6 27:25 51:18 91:18299:14 310:18 299:14 310:18	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			,	
285:10 328:10 332:13 357:12 382:17 499:748:24 underneath 126:21 129:1870:23 494:20 uninterruptedUSC 248:23 284:15 285:11225:5 280:3,18 281:12,17,19 281:12,17,19UC 410:15 UCNC 444:20 Uh-huh 56:20 117:24 181:1014:18 16:11 14:18 16:1155:24 89:15 55:24 89:15357:13 17:6 27:25281:25 282:1,6 281:25 282:1,6Uh-huh 56:20 117:24 181:1014:18 16:11 24:20 29:2155:24 89:15 90:2,4,7152:4 170:20293:18,21 310:23 313:12		6		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
332:13 357:12 382:17 499:7underneath 126:21 129:18uninterrupted 254:19284:15 285:11 332:14,22281:12,17,19 281:12,5 282:1,6UC 410:15 UC 410:15261:15 261:15unique 12:3 44:9357:13 use 6:5 13:7282:9 286:23 282:9 286:23Uh-huh 56:20 117:24 181:1014:18 16:11 24:20 29:2155:24 89:15 90:2,4,717:6 27:25 51:18 91:18299:14 310:18 299:14 310:18		6			
382:17 499:7126:21 129:18254:19332:14,22281:25 282:1,6UC 410:15261:15unique 12:3357:13282:9 286:23UCNC 444:20underpin 42:2444:9use 6:5 13:7287:13 288:24Uh-huh 56:20understandUnited 6:5 38:1517:6 27:25293:18,21117:24 181:1014:18 16:1155:24 89:1551:18 91:18299:14 310:18194:22 195:724:20 29:2190:2,4,7152:4 170:20310:23 313:12		-			
UC 410:15261:15unique 12:3357:13282:9 286:23UCNC 444:20underpin 42:2444:9use 6:5 13:7287:13 288:24Uh-huh 56:20understandUnited 6:5 38:1517:6 27:25293:18,21117:24 181:1014:18 16:1155:24 89:1551:18 91:18299:14 310:18194:22 195:724:20 29:2190:2,4,7152:4 170:20310:23 313:12			-		
UCNC 444:20underpin 42:2444:9use 6:5 13:7287:13 288:24Uh-huh 56:20understandUnited 6:5 38:1517:6 27:25293:18,21117:24 181:1014:18 16:1155:24 89:1551:18 91:18299:14 310:18194:22 195:724:20 29:2190:2,4,7152:4 170:20310:23 313:12				,	· · · ·
Uh-huh 56:20 117:24 181:10understand 14:18 16:11United 6:5 38:15 55:24 89:1517:6 27:25 51:18 91:18293:18,21 299:14 310:18194:22 195:724:20 29:2190:2,4,7152:4 170:20310:23 313:12			-		
117:24 181:1014:18 16:1155:24 89:1551:18 91:18299:14 310:18194:22 195:724:20 29:2190:2,4,7152:4 170:20310:23 313:12		-	-		
194:22 195:7 24:20 29:21 90:2,4,7 152:4 170:20 310:23 313:12					<i>.</i>
230.21 237.3 38:3 62:9 132:24 155:11 208:24 216:24 333:6 337:5					
	230.21 237:3	38:3 62:9	132:24 155:11	208:24 216:24	333:6 337:5
		I	l	I	

	1	1	1	1
338:3 342:18	265:16 384:17	voice 270:16	250:11 262:2	204:13,15
342:21,23	386:4 412:9	volume 6:9,11	264:6 267:15	229:3 237:10
343:11,13,14	504:15,25	271:21 272:18	268:1 269:7	238:21 253:24
343:16 345:17	505:5 506:4,10	273:4 305:8,10	273:12 291:17	270:20 279:1
352:14 353:10	vegetation	305:19 306:1	293:8 304:6	291:14 292:4
362:17 363:25	189:19 430:4	316:1 349:7	305:1 307:6,21	326:20 333:9
390:22 410:15	vehicles 410:14	426:4	327:14,18	341:11 342:8
420:22 449:1	410:15	voucher 115:2	341:8 347:9	366:25 397:20
459:21 475:3	veracity 95:2	VP 462:23	348:18 349:15	405:19 424:11
486:25 488:5	verbal 466:9,10		351:3 366:10	433:19 448:9
490:13,14	492:21 505:6	W	367:21 368:1	449:8 463:4
494:15 497:7	verbatim 474:23	wait 403:13	368:14,23	467:20 468:2,3
utilize 253:15	verification	waiting 335:9	380:7 386:13	479:7 492:18
267:16	102:2 233:6	waive 514:6	392:19 395:14	493:23 494:21
utilizing 390:15	verify 75:16	walk 53:25	396:22,24	510:21 513:17
utter 476:2	110:3 175:22	71:21 101:24	397:8 399:1	513:19
	219:19 226:14	173:24	402:10 403:19	wanting 513:23
V	321:12 515:11	walked 226:11	407:25 408:2	wants 452:20
vac 427:25	Version 5:7	226:14 406:7	409:10,16	warrant 85:12
428:1	versus 35:14	461:9 468:11	413:18 414:8	washed 104:20
vacancy 121:20	71:3 369:19	walking 69:24	414:10,12,17	wasn't 25:5
vacated 38:12	viable 457:3	186:1	414:19,19	62:25 75:16,18
vacuum 427:9	video 18:4 268:5	want 12:4 15:3	415:3,5,6,20	178:11 198:16
vacuuming 87:7	view 258:21	16:10,24 18:13	434:18 435:8	198:23 202:5
valid 115:25	517:10	23:22 24:5	435:15 442:10	208:25 210:6
validate 249:21	violation 38:19	25:17 31:2,6	444:21 445:23	210:11 219:7
validity 204:16	49:24 58:4	35:16 39:16	448:13 449:23	219:10 231:22
valuable 44:11	68:24 85:4	41:6 42:14	450:14 451:19	254:6 256:5
valve 129:8,16	104:11 215:10	43:25 54:15	452:13,19	270:19 288:23
442:17 475:5	247:17,23	60:5 63:11,14	460:23 473:17	297:8 306:2
valves 88:7	266:5,7 483:2	63:17 64:1,2	473:22 479:17	315:11 323:19
459:24 475:3,7	violations 41:7	66:2 72:18,20	479:25 480:13	328:19 342:14
variations	48:8 54:12,12	76:2 78:25	481:18 491:25	357:23 375:5
447:20	58:10 84:15,25	84:17 94:7	492:25 493:4	412:22 415:9
variety 23:22	85:5 107:24	113:20 118:20	495:3,15 496:5	418:24 419:14
448:10	116:9 167:19	119:15 135:12	501:12 507:5	440:9,17
various 85:3	239:25 276:6	143:11 144:3	512:9,10,23	444:24 463:24
127:17,18	339:3 381:20	163:15 164:5	513:2,12 514:6	466:25 470:24
275:19 283:19	437:17 465:4	164:15 166:17	514:15,21	477:1,12,22
vary 56:9	495:8	166:22 181:16	515:4,20	478:6,6 500:25
201:14	virtue 12:21	182:25 183:8	516:11	507:9,10 510:9
vector 59:1,5	visit 287:22	183:19 184:21	wanted 18:10	517:10
60:12 79:2	288:4	184:21 185:1	25:13 26:24	waste 95:3 201:9
81:15,17 99:12	visited 287:24	185:13 186:7	27:1 28:4 29:9	264:25 265:5
101:11 102:3	visiting 339:16	229:12 240:10	40:10 46:16	332:20 401:9
103:2,5 235:15	visits 339:22	240:17 243:20	66:18 94:10	wastewater
238:11,13	visually 426:18	249:20 250:3	113:22 139:4	51:12 52:3
L	-	-	-	-

56:6,19 57:7	266:3,4,7,11	104:22 176:21	46:11,16 47:5	web 314:20
57:10 86:24	266:20 267:1,2	183:24 184:18	47:11 62:6,9	website 5:10
123:13,25	276:17 279:17	197:10 209:24	63:6 77:23,24	517:3
125:22,25	280:4 332:19	246:20 247:13	78:20 82:14	Wednesday 1:8
132:18 201:13	332:21 342:3	250:3 304:23	124:10 165:22	100:4 141:13
226:16 240:8	411:2 419:11	321:15 333:16	168:23 178:22	153:12 286:7
248:1 260:20	441:6,11 447:2	333:17 345:1	179:13 186:21	301:17 302:6
266:12 276:12	447:4,7,8,9,11	349:2,7 357:21	188:7,22 191:4	396:6
276:17,18,25	447:20,22	368:4,13 377:6	192:9 194:6	week 100:7,14
277:4,8 278:20	451:9 452:4	395:4 397:5,17	195:8 212:18	154:6 286:13
278:21,22	457:21,24	398:17 427:11	214:2 215:9	446:21 475:23
280:4 281:2	459:23,25	440:4 508:22	220:14 252:25	weekends
298:19	460:3,4,5,11	513:3 516:5	269:13 271:15	416:13 438:20
watching 475:25	461:12,13	518:23	272:24 292:2	439:9
water 5:13 11:2	463:12,14,15	ways 54:4	300:13 301:24	weekly 409:9
36:5,7 38:6	463:17 476:18	244:14 278:12	302:11 303:13	weeks 476:1
40:15 41:2	476:22 492:19	282:15	304:8 307:13	weigh 339:5
42:9 48:4 49:9	495:13	we'll 10:21	307:17 308:21	weight 22:25
51:24 52:1,7	watered 436:22	15:10 16:22	309:24 317:10	23:17,20 24:18
53:5,7,16,18	waterline	18:15 19:18	330:5 337:12	24:24 25:17
53:23 54:10,19	459:20,22	69:24 71:25	348:3 350:23	61:16 124:19
55:3,4,6,7,23	461:7 508:18	185:14 186:10	361:14 367:5	125:3 163:17
58:10 59:24	waters 35:25	186:13 187:17	367:18 378:20	164:13 165:10
67:22 68:23	36:13 38:12,15	208:7 248:5	390:5 395:23	165:13,19
74:10,25 75:17	38:20 55:5,11	252:3 268:17	396:12,15	176:13 192:11
84:23 85:1,10	90:2,3 225:19	270:25 271:2	401:6 435:2	welcome 17:25
89:5,14 90:7	243:21,25	285:22 300:8	440:7 449:8	21:6 114:3
92:18 94:25	245:8 249:3	300:14 301:8,9	450:5 469:21	162:15 189:4
95:11 104:2,20	257:3 260:12	301:10 305:21	473:5 487:5	268:23 346:20
115:12 122:6	262:15	306:8,13	512:2,19	452:11 473:24
123:23 125:22	watershed	324:25 325:1	514:14 516:14	well-tread 496:3
132:24 133:4	264:24 265:4	349:9 369:1,22	516:24 518:6	wells 487:24
150:10 217:8	265:11 266:15	389:20 399:18	we've 12:18,21	went 30:19 63:2
225:21 226:2,9	waterway 226:9	399:20 405:11	14:12 28:7	157:9 406:2
239:20,25	226:18 244:10	415:21 422:24	31:19 33:23	408:10 422:21
244:4,17,19	249:4,12	435:23 444:8	34:19 57:10	422:22 423:9
246:5,20,24,24	256:19,25	452:6 453:6,13	166:18 173:2	423:19 429:6,6
248:16,20,22 254:18 256:2	259:7,11 263:9 263:22 264:6	516:16,20	180:16 183:11 202:19 209:5	432:17,17,19 433:20 434:17
257:9,24		519:1,20,24,25 520:2,18	269:1 308:12	439:25,25
258:12,21	waterways 41:13 55:10	we're 11:6 15:20	351:15 378:22	439:23,23
259:3,9,20	155:10 261:20	17:16 21:2,23	379:2,15 479:3	463:16 466:7,7
260:3 261:3,22	way 16:24 24:7	26:21 28:23	479:9 483:2	467:15,25
262:9,10,15,19	30:9,16 41:10	29:4,5 31:2,8	479:9485:2 498:7,13	475:15 476:5
262:19,23	75:8 77:15	32:24 33:9,15	502:15 514:19	476:22 477:18
263:13,18	88:9 103:13	35:15,17,20	wealthy 456:12	485:16,20
265:3,10,13	104:16,17,20	38:19 39:7	weather 416:8	508:5
203.3,10,13	107.10,17,20	50.17 57.1		500.5
				I

weren't 178:11	29:11 37:8,9	139:6,22 141:1	305:4 307:10	418:15,18
199:12 221:10	39:3 43:6	143:8,9,13,18	308:3,6 309:6	419:3,6,9,16
320:3 359:7	125:2 143:21	147:2 148:2	309:10,19,22	421:19 425:8
388:7 411:8	225:10 367:25	149:8,16	317:4 318:9	425:13,24
437:2,14	514:5	150:25 152:10	319:19,25	426:3,7,9,17
461:15 462:23	wishes 20:16	152:24 154:12	320:14 325:8	426:20,24
west 401:11	withdraw 27:2	154:25 155:3	327:14,17,21	427:3,6,22
410:2 476:20	28:17 29:6	155:20 156:15	327:25 329:11	429:2,4 432:6
wetland 262:13	304:14,16	157:19 158:18	329:16,20,23	432:10,12,15
262:16	withdrawing	159:5 160:2	330:9,19	432:25 433:4
wetlands 55:8	28:23	161:19,24	339:15 340:6	434:6,9,13,15
257:5,6,18	withdrawn	162:23 166:12	341:14,21	435:10,14
262:12,20	234:20	167:23 168:18	342:2,6 346:20	438:17,19,25
what'd 347:20	withhold 483:25	168:23 169:2,8	350:10 359:15	444:4 446:3,7
whatever's	witness 13:11,14	169:16 170:4	359:18,20,23	446:11,13,17
397:2	13:15 14:10	170:10,22	360:2 366:8	446:24 447:8
whatsoever	18:12,18 25:7	171:19 179:20	367:8 370:3,8	447:11,15,18
305:9 477:2	29:3 42:11	180:1,9 181:2	370:11,21	447:22 448:1,5
509:1	45:14,19 46:5	181:5,8,10	374:5,16	448:18,21
wheel 145:18	46:9 61:1 76:7	183:15 184:13	376:18,21	449:11,13,25
146:19 313:25	76:24 78:4,18	189:12,15	379:7,10,12	450:3 454:3,6
426:21	81:21 82:18	196:22 197:23	380:19 381:11	458:10,13
WHEREOF	83:16 84:3	198:19 206:20	382:2 386:16	461:25 462:3,8
521:16	85:15 86:10	206:23 207:3	387:20 388:24	462:13,21,25
whirl 306:9	87:11,23 89:19	208:1 211:15	389:3,6,8,11	463:2,11 464:8
399:16	90:16 91:8	211:21 212:12	389:25 392:24	464:12,14,19
White 275:23	92:4 93:15	213:19 215:6	393:2,9,12,17	464:23 465:7
299:1 334:15	94:14 95:19,20	224:10 227:1	393:22,25	465:10,14,17
471:7	96:24 97:17	236:17,19,21	394:5,7,10,12	466:5,10,13,20
wife 122:3 168:8	98:6 99:15	237:5,9 238:8	394:15,17,21	466:22 467:6
454:16 462:14	100:17 104:24	238:18,23	395:10,12	467:10,14,24
462:25 487:22	107:7 108:8	246:8,10,13,15	396:15,21	468:8,10 469:1
493:8 508:15	109:3,9,15	247:4 252:8,11	397:12,19	471:5,7 474:22
wild 103:9	110:1,10 111:6	252:14 256:12	398:5,10,15,23	474:25 475:14
wildfire 475:25	111:11 112:22	256:15,21	399:25 400:4,7	476:4,11,15,17
wildlife 52:18,19	113:12 114:23	257:13,17	402:10,14,20	477:5,7,10,14
52:21 53:4	115:19,23	258:8 262:5	403:4,9,12,15	478:1,6,14,18
103:7,11 264:3	117:4,22 118:5	267:12 272:11	403:25 404:3	478:24 479:14
willing 463:19	118:14 119:11	273:9 274:4,6	404:13 406:17	480:23 481:1
519:11	119:20 121:12	274:15,19	406:21,24	481:11,15,21
winch 426:22	122:12,17,25	284:12 286:18	407:5,7 408:15	482:1,4,9,17
427:3	123:3,6,16	290:19,22	408:17 409:20	482:20,24
wind 243:2	124:6 128:1	291:9,13	410:6 412:18	484:2,4,10,23
winter 460:17	129:13,21	292:20 293:1	412:22,25	484:25 485:3,8
wire 130:9	130:23 133:13	293:11,22	413:3,8,10,22	485:14,16,24
wish 14:3,4	134:19 135:2	300:10,18,24	414:2,10,14,21	486:2,8,14,23
25:22 27:12	136:22 138:13	301:6 303:13	415:1,11,15	487:9,11
	-			-

[rage 550
488:21 489:2,8	148:11,15	419:21 421:8	269:11 278:16	Wu's 198:2
489:19 490:5,8	149:12,20,24	421:24,25	279:9 300:22	Wyatt 5:9
490:21,25	150:3 151:8	422:8,14 423:9	301:5 306:14	vv yatt 5.5
491:5,11,15,18	152:22 156:13	427:11 428:18	344:22 379:1	X
492:3,12,14	160:12,23	433:10 438:20	395:22 494:9	X 3:1 4:1 5:1 6:1
494:1,23 495:5	180:23,23	439:5,20	501:24 516:19	7:1 8:1 9:1
495:9,18,21	188:13 193:17	442:15,15	worries 273:16	
502:22 507:12	220:24 221:1	456:19 470:10	273:16 397:16	Y
507:24 508:2	223:20 224:3	470:12 485:12	449:16 463:3	yeah 18:21 19:5
508:14 509:23	224:13 229:22	499:5 500:5	worry 185:12	35:12 38:3,10
510:1,4,14,16	229:25 239:19	502:16 503:11	456:25	47:1 49:16
510:23 511:12	269:18 273:3	504:5 516:18	worth 34:5	57:1 58:20
511:19 512:25	275:7,12	work,' 160:20	119:13	62:19 64:1,4
513:4,13	276:22 277:3	294:22	wouldn't 26:14	70:21 71:1,19
521:14,16	281:13,24	worked 51:5	28:13 37:18	82:7 95:17
witness's 347:6	281:15,24	56:21 57:17	69:10 206:4	119:2,5,5
witnessed	282:0,7 284:12 285:15 289:10	221:12,15	209:3 213:8	124:12 154:25
214:13	293:12 294:18	275:9,14	215:14,15	180:9 183:17
witnesses 3:6,20	293:12 294:18 294:28	275:9,14 276:24 292:9	213:14,13	184:20 185:16
13:21,22 14:1	294.25,25	323:15 337:20	233:23 235:22	206:9 208:1
14:19 17:13,19	314:10 317:9	342:15 397:6	233.23 233.22	213:25 215:21
19:21,24,25	318:25 319:1	400:22 402:25	257:22 265:7	217:2 218:5
20:16 21:2	319:11 324:23	400.22 402.23	343:20 357:24	223:19 227:1
26:2,7 27:25	326:14 328:4	403.4 410.12 421:1 436:14	364:25 394:1	230:15 240:5
28:2,9 37:9	331:11,19	439:9 442:22	436:25 439:8	247:17 250:23
43:3 124:11	333:2 335:6	460:13 462:15	445:24,24	268:22 278:4
162:7 163:21	337:1 338:8,10	465:19 467:8	wrap 520:10	279:10 280:14
214:6 302:19	343:16,18	475:2 484:16	write 224:21	282:4 285:14
366:4 404:9	344:4 346:13	workers 139:12	287:23 288:4	290:3,19
450:23	349:2,8 350:12	405:24 416:10	321:23 465:18	291:14 294:4
wonderful 16:10	351:5 352:15	508:25	writing 15:15	300:12 301:1
wondering	352:18,21	workforce	501:5	303:16 304:6
378:21 434:3	353:5,15	160:11,14,22	written 12:23	304:11 305:23
words 143:20	354:10 356:4	160:24 294:18	61:14 209:23	308:16 311:24
148:21 154:11	357:20,23	294:24 295:2	415:19 463:22	314:7 315:25
473:9	358:3,5,24	working 46:16	413.19 403.22 520:7	317:15 319:20
work 15:20	359:8 361:2,5	56:7 277:2	wrong 217:24	319:25 327:4
16:15 43:16	362:5,10,11,14	288:2 310:1	257:3 449:14	327:11,25
44:6 47:23,25	362:17,18	320:7 348:25	449:15 464:16	328:1 330:10
49:20 50:2,15	364:6,10,12	366:23 378:11	469:14 472:22	331:5 347:23
50:19,22 51:1	365:8 374:23	406:12 422:3	478:18 508:10	354:9,23 359:7
56:17,22 77:9	375:14,17	439:7 442:12	wrote 159:20	361:4,5 362:16
96:13 116:1	389:1,3 394:1	466:22 467:7	362:3 469:18	363:12 368:12
118:14 121:5	397:10 398:12	494:16	499:8 508:10	378:5,17
131:7 132:21	400:16 405:18	works 18:3 42:4	Wu 5:8 94:19	379:11 387:5,8
131:7 132:21	406:2 416:13	57:11 92:10	96:2 105:6,12	387:22 389:20
147:21,23	417:3,16	193:14 250:25	109:19	392:10 394:15
177.21,23	-11/.3,10	175.17 250.25	107.17	397:16 403:9
				l

403:16 406:17	02-cc-2018	10/16 424:24	13th 99:25	150:9,13 220:4
413:22 427:18	110:17 111:19	100 387:17	109:19 153:4	301:24 302:7
427:22 429:2	04 521:22	100,000 422:16	286:3 351:13	307:18 309:16
432:10 441:19		103 35:14	14 84:3 160:3	313:9 332:16
449:2 451:6	1	105 35:14	290:12	348:4,19 381:9
456:25 458:13	1 6:9 41:19	11 78:4,18 79:21	14-foot 509:1	381:9 382:23
464:19 466:20	65:20 67:5	121:12 152:11	14th 91:1 382:19	404:11 413:3
475:11 479:6	76:8,14 78:4	298:14	15 166:18	416:4 493:22
489:19 502:3	81:21,24 82:19	11(h) 190:2	186:10 369:1,2	515:1
515:15 516:2	83:5,16 84:3	11:25 395:11,23	417:7 453:11	2- 129:9
518:17	85:15 86:10	396:5	453:13 462:17	2.8 91:23
year 93:1 132:10	89:24 90:16	11:30 395:24	516:15,21	2/7/2018 126:11
132:11 157:24	92:1,11 93:15	11201 2:7	15-minute 368:8	2:00 453:14,20
259:1 318:7	94:14 95:19	113 130:23	15th 147:11,14	20 154:13
year-round	104:24 106:4	11th 26:6 28:6	338:7 364:5	166:18 243:7
279:23	107:11 108:8	132:9 338:6	16 161:3 296:21	462:18
years 41:3 50:13	109:3,9,15	355:6 364:3	296:25 461:11	20,000 426:24
50:21 81:5	121:3 127:7	12 33:19 81:21	16550 2:12	427:11
221:13 230:17	135:23 136:6	81:23 82:19	289:12	200 427:9
231:6,10	136:23 141:2	83:5 97:17	16th 286:25	200,000 457:12
387:16 436:7	141:17,23	122:12 123:6	418:22,25	457:15
456:20 457:7	149:24 150:11	125:9 463:23	17 90:16 91:8	2000 456:23
462:9,17,18	171:19 195:9	12-hour 462:16	92:4 147:3	2009 436:9,10
481:16	199:19 203:24	12/12/2018	17th 83:8 97:8	436:15,17
Yellowstone	247:4 285:22	126:18	418:25	2015 4:6 247:13
226:1 244:15	287:18 295:9	12:15 166:17	18 4:7 7:21	248:4 257:25
248:19 264:14	309:16 327:12	12:30 395:25	93:15 94:14	260:14
Yep 346:20	333:24 336:8	12:32 396:5,7,13	148:3	2016 275:14
448:5	336:10 337:13	12:40 185:22	189 3:8	323:16 462:8
yesterday 156:9	361:15 378:20	12:41 185:22	18th 105:10	2017 114:9
302:8 304:24	381:24 386:23	12:45 186:16	294:19	115:4 318:6
305:5,6,24	452:8 472:13	120 482:5	19 31:12 95:19	351:13,18
307:22,23	514:25	122.1 89:19,24	96:24 97:17	437:13
310:8 342:19	1,000 176:12	122.2 90:4	98:6 99:15	2018 4:7 5:7
398:17 437:14	1,176.56 117:2	248:21	148:23	7:21 33:2
younger 350:6	117:14	1251 332:22	19,000 411:5	36:20 40:7
	1,419.55 128:6	128 133:14	1999 133:2	41:21 83:8
Z	128:19	134:18	1C 123:13,25	85:22,25 88:13
zero 306:2	1:45 186:10	129 134:5	125:25	93:11 97:8
zeroed 306:2	1:52 186:16,18	13 65:4 83:16		98:2 99:7,25
Ziontz 133:21	186:22	123:16 159:6	2	101:19 106:4
134:10,13	10 95:11 96:24	289:25 290:11	2 41:22 68:15	107:3 113:2
zone 300:22	155:21 188:17	290:12 292:6	88:5 91:8	120:1 121:21
zoom 46:18,21	247:4 446:19	294:3	110:1 121:5	127:5 131:2
46:22,22	10-day 95:13	132 131:20	129:9,16,17	136:15 142:14
	10,000 92:12	136 180:10,20	130:9 138:14	145:8 146:13
0	422:17	1362(7) 248:23	139:2 150:4,6	147:11,15
00710 294:15		1002(1)270.23	,-	11/11,10
	I		I	

		1	1	1
151:20 152:23	20th 85:22,25	427:7	95:11 105:25	248:10 267:14
153:4 157:4	141:14 142:13	252 3:9	108:25 115:12	289:4 382:1
160:14,21	283:13 285:2	257 5:23	157:9,12	389:3 416:17
203:13,15,16	295:22 356:23	25th 93:11	179:22 199:8	4-inch 129:7,8,8
205:8 207:5,6	21 88:13 104:24	101:19	219:11,21,25	129:9,9,9
207:7 208:10	155:4	26 98:6 107:7	242:23 243:6	4,000 132:23
275:25 281:9	21st 94:21 145:8	318:6	501:1 506:14	4,655 126:11
284:25 286:3	151:20 160:14	2601 1:14	506:22	4:41 301:16
286:25 288:9	160:20 295:2	26th 152:23	309 84:23	40 3:4 5:23
295:2 296:8,17	297:16 356:13	157:4	30th 146:12	43:12 61:1
296:21,25	499:15	27 110:10	154:17 284:25	80:2 89:19
298:14 331:21	22 10:1 27:24	151:13	357:5	91:18,23 95:4
332:8 335:4	28:1 31:21	274 3:11	31 99:15 109:3	100:17 106:14
336:19 338:6,7	136:15 186:17	27th 131:2 288:9	310 3:11	137:22 174:4
351:17,20,25	446:17	28 30:14 33:24	31st 133:20	195:18 243:8
355:6,17	22,000 195:22	34:20 111:11	32 109:9 144:24	248:21 284:15
356:14,24	328:14,16	113:25	355:21,22	285:11 328:10
357:5 364:3,5	330:2 373:3	28,000 177:5	320 140:18	332:14 357:13
371:12,22	411:7	29th 134:10	33 142:8 248:23	383:21 387:11
372:3 382:7	22,950 127:5	159:13 336:19	283:6,23 284:1	400 3:18
383:13 384:6	22.2 294:16	2E 123:13,25	294:17 332:22	403 5:23
458:12 462:3	22nd 1:8 11:5	125:25	497:9	404 50:24
464:11,12	382:7	2nd 1:14 96:2	34 112:12,14	405 84:25
496:9 499:8,15	23 4:6 36:1	98:2 105:22	126:24 149:9	406-647-8312
500:2	106:19 167:23		35 65:6 151:1	288:8
2019 33:19	301:17 396:6	3	350 3:12	406-697-3022
84:10 86:3	23,000 328:18	3 41:24 81:7	36 34:11	289:11
91:1 94:21	330:2 411:7	92:4 126:6	360 3:12	406)582-0668
96:2 105:10,22	23,950 315:23	139:7 150:18	37 146:5 284:17	1:23
106:19 109:20	325:22	175:16,22	356:25	406)697-3022
133:21 134:10	2300 427:8,10	247:17,18	371 3:14	2:13
141:14 159:14	239 3:9	378:21 407:15	372 3:14	41 381:4
205:2,8 207:6	239,000 299:7	3:30 461:11	381 3:15	42 3:5 138:13
283:13 285:3	23rd 1:8 302:6	3:46 520:23	384 3:15	139:7
295:22 297:3	24 33:2 170:11	30 83:21 108:8	388 3:16	420 3:18
297:16 382:20	460:3 463:23	296:8 351:10	39 26:12 127:9	43 109:15 110:1
383:6 439:14	469:21 471:11	382:11,24	390 3:16	110:10 111:11
462:3 481:21	24-hour 457:25	383:2		112:22 113:12
500:4	463:9 492:23	30-day 83:23	4	113:25 114:23
2020 36:1	24th 114:9 120:1	308 9:2,3,5	4 42:2 76:24	119:20 126:6
2022 22:7,15	335:4	31:23 32:6,10	80:20 96:15	128:1,24
38:13 275:15	25 22:7,15 30:14	54:10,18,20	126:13 129:15	133:14 134:4
2023 1:8,8 10:1	32:16 50:17	67:22 68:12,16	129:16,16,16	134:17 286:18
186:17 301:17	170:23 470:16	68:20 70:8	129:16,17	430-some 193:1
396:6 521:18	25,000 468:21	71:16 75:1	136:11 139:23	431 3:19
2027 521:22	2500 140:19	78:12,23 79:11	174:19,25	432 157:15
208 105:25	2500-gallon	84:25 94:25	187:12 231:1	436 3:22
	_			
L	-	•	•	•

	Ì			
44 128:8 351:7	146:24 150:17	80:24 175:2,8	7	8:15 269:8,9
351:10	151:6 173:22	175:10 176:4	72:77:711:10	300:16 301:4
45 141:2 142:8	174:1,1 175:23	503.17 65:11,23	13:6 16:11,12	8:30 269:4,6,8
147:3 152:11	176:15 178:25	80:3 100:17	17:23 47:25	300:16 301:18
154:13 155:3	180:9 190:2,17	101:1 174:4,4	48:3 50:6 54:3	302:10
156:17,19	191:16 192:1	174:12 176:1	75:22 77:14,15	8:53 10:5
161:2 388:25	195:18 199:13	230:24 231:10	83:20 87:23	80 332:16
392:2,3,14	205:25 229:1	503.17(a)(4)	94:20 105:7,14	84,000 243:11
497:9	229:22 230:18	182:18	105:24,25	8733 124:1
454 3:23	231:17 232:10	503.17(a)(4)(i)	112:22 114:1	89 332:16
46 65:6 156:17	232:15 235:3	101:2	136:23 141:15	8th 127:5
167:23 170:11	237:14,21	503.17(a)(4)(ii)	158:19 193:24	
469 3:24	241:20 243:19	66:7 174:13	194:4 207:5	9
47 3:8 65:6	266:9 283:21	187:10	227:6 267:21	9 76:8,24 119:21
48 128:24	284:15,15	503.17(a)(5)	268:25 297:20	152:25 171:19
481 4:2	285:11,11,18	182:2,11 187:8		296:16
49 151:13	285:20 295:16	503.18 91:24	382:17 7 5 410:2	9:00 1:9 11:5
496 4:3	295:16 296:4,9	503.32(b) 80:25	7.5 410:2	90 482:5,5
4th 1:14 84:10	297:7,19	81:14 175:3	7/27/2017 130:5	90,000 456:24
121:20	328:10 332:14	176:6	7:30 268:23,25	9th 332:8
121.20	332:14 333:5	503.32(b)(5)	70 244:15	
5	333:13 339:3,5	106:14	264:13 267:1	
5 16:12 22:7,14	339:23 351:21	503.33(b)(1)	7311 1:22	
85:15 86:10,11	353:3 356:1,5	81:16	75 332:20	
87:11,23	357:13,13	51 191:9	75,000 457:16	
129:15 156:16	358:7 362:20	59 250:19	457:17	
156:21 157:6	384:1 386:21	59079 2:13	7th 113:2	
182:13 184:16	387:11 392:11	289:13	8	
187:9,11		59771 1:23		
267:17 332:21	392:16 410:18		8 7:8,16 76:1	
353:20 383:9	411:15 488:11	5hp 130:9	77:14,14 81:17	
5-inch 129:7	488:14,23	6	85:23 102:7	
50 129:21	489:8,10,18,24	6 78:18 79:20	193:25 194:12	
195:21 243:9	490:23 491:9	87:11 113:12	248:9,13	
328:13 373:2	492:1 496:21	114:5 155:6	267:24 268:13	
	496:25 499:7		268:14,18	
502(7) 248:23	501:15 505:12	157:20 296:6 383:1	269:1,2,5	
503 5:23 6:4 9:6	505:13 506:8		331:21 355:17	
32:18 34:8	503's 266:18	6,000 156:14	492:16	
59:3 60:19	503,' 91:18	6,620.86 126:19	8-24-2018 9:7	
67:4,10 68:19	503.11(h) 43:13	6/21/2018	8,406.60 132:21	
75:9 79:6,12	189:5 190:3	111:18	8/16 418:21	
80:11 81:7	220:14	60 452:3	8/18-ish 486:4	
91:5,24 95:5	503.12 61:1 63:7	60-day 83:9	8/27/2018 76:17	
96:16 100:23	503.12(d) 61:7	600,000 156:12	8/9 405:13	
104:11 106:20	503.12(f) 61:18	65 114:23	408:19 424:24	
131:15,18	503.13 81:7	66 117:4	8/9/18 405:17	
137:22 143:3	503.13(b)(3)	66219 2:8	8/9/19 405:16	